Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-64541-7 - A Short Account of the Hebrew Tenses The Rev. R. H. Kennett Excerpt More information

A SHORT ACCOUNT OF THE HEBREW TENSES.

THE name 'tenses' as applied to Hebrew verbs is The so-called Hebrew 'tenses' do not misleading. express the time but merely the state of an action. Indeed were it not for the confusion that would arise through the application of the term 'state' to both nouns and verbs, 'states' would be a far better designation than 'tenses.' It must always be borne in mind that it is impossible to translate a Hebrew verb into English without employing a limitation (viz. of time) which is entirely absent in the Hebrew. The ancient Hebrews never thought of an action as past, present, or future, but simply as perfect, i.e. complete, or imperfect, i.e. as in course of development. When we say that a certain Hebrew tense corresponds to a Perfect, Pluperfect, or Future in English, we do not mean that the Hebrews thought of it as Perfect, Pluperfect, or Future, but merely that it must be so translated in English. The time of an action the Hebrews did not attempt to express by any verbal form

к.

1

THE HEBREW TENSES.

THE PERFECT.

The fundamental idea denoted by the Perfect is that of a completed act; and this idea underlies all its various uses. Thus the Perfect is used to describe the following classes of actions:

I. Actions completed at a definite moment in the past: e.g.

ַבָּרָא אֶלֹהִים אֵת הַשָּׁמַיִם וְאֵת הָאָרֶץ

God created the heavens and the earth (Gen. i. 1)

מַיִם שָׁאַל חָלָב נָתָנָה

Water he asked, milk she gave (Judges v. 25)

II. Actions completed in the past of which the effect remains: e.g.

הושיעה לו ימינו וזרוע קרשו

His right hand and His holy arm have gained Him the victory (Ps. xcviii. 1)

הַמַר שַׁהַי לִי מָאָד

Shaddai has brought sore trouble upon me (Ruth i. 20)

III. Actions completed in the immediate past, in which case the Perfect passes over into the meaning of the Present: e.g.

כה אַמַר יהוה

Thus saith Jehovah (passim)

הַרִמֹתִי יָדִי אֶל־יהוה אֵל עֶלְיוֹן

I lift up my hand to Jehovah God most high (Gen. xiv. 22) and similarly,

יָדַעָהָי I know, יָדַעָהָי I remember.

N.B. The Perfect of so-called 'stative' verbs is naturally used to express a state complete in the present: e.g.

קטורתי I am small, אָהָבָתִי I love, שונאתי I hate.

לא קצרה יד יהוה מהושיע ולא קברה אונו משמוע Jehovah's hand is not too short to save, nor His ear too dull to hear (Isaiah lix. 1)

IV. Actions completed in the future, which in Latin would be expressed by the Future Perfect or Subjunctive Perfect: e.g.

ואס־כּה אֹמַר לְעָלֶם.....לְךָ כִּי שָׁלַחֲךָ יהוה But if I say thus to the youth....., go, for Jehovah will have sent thee away (1 Sam. xx. 22)

אַל־הַאָצַר־לִי לִרְכּב כִּי אִם־אָמַרְתִּי לָדְ

I will not have thee stop driving unless I tell thee (shall have told thee) (2 Kings iv. 24)

יְבַקְשׁוּ אֶת-אֲדֹנֶיךּ כָּן־נְשָׂאוֹ רוּתַ יהוה

Let them seek thy master, lest the wind of Jehovah may have taken him up (2 Kings ii. 16)

1 - 2

3

THE HEBREW TENSES.

V. Actions completed before some definite point of time in the past, which in Latin would be expressed by the Pluperfect: e.g.

וִיּשְׁבָּת בַּיּוֹם הַשְׁבִיעִי מִבָּלֹ-מְרַאַכְתוֹ אֲשָׁך עָשָׂה And He desisted on the seventh day from all His work which He had done (Gen. ii. 2)

וְרָחֵל לְקָחָה אֶת־הַתִּרָפִים

Now Rachel had taken the teraphim (Gen. xxxi. 34)

לִשֶׁמַע אֹזֵן שִׁמַעִתִיך וִעַתָּה עֵינִי רַאָתִד

By the mere hearing of the ear had I heard of Thee, but now my own eye has seen Thee (Job xlii. 5)

N.B. It must not however be forgotten that, although the Perfect may frequently be translated by our Pluperfect, yet the Pluperfect idea is foreign to Hebrew thought. A Hebrew merely stated the *completion* of an action, and left the *time* of its completion to be inferred from the context. Thus in the first of the three examples given above the verb $\gamma \gamma \gamma$ merely states the completion of the act of doing. It is obvious from the context that this act of doing was completed before God desisted from the work of creation. So likewise in the second illustration it is merely stated that Rachel took the teraphim, the context making it sufficiently clear that she took them before her father began to look for them.

VI. Actions of which the time is quite indefinite, the completion of the single act alone being regarded¹: e.g.

¹ This is the so-called Perfect of Experience: it scarcely occurs in ordinary prose.

יַבֵשׁ חַצִיר נַבֵל צִיץ כִּי רוּחַ יהוה נַשִּׁבָה בּוֹ

Grass withers, flower fades, when Jehovah's wind has blown upon it (Isaiah xl. 7)

חַתַר בַּחשֶׁךְ בָּתִים

He breaks into houses in the dark (Job xxiv. 16)

VII. Actions the sphere of which belongs to the future, the certainty with which they are regarded being thus expressed¹: e.g.

הַלְקַת הַשָּׁדֶה.....מָכְרָה נָעֲמִי

Naomi is selling (has determined to sell).....the plot of land (Ruth iv. 3)

הַשַּׁרֵה נַתַּתִּי לַך

The whole field I give thee (Gen. xxiii. 11)

¹ This Perfect of Certainty is frequent in the writings of the Prophets, and is therefore sometimes called the Prophetic Perfect. Examples of its use are : יְמַעָּרְכָם שָׁלֵחְתּי בְבָלָה For your sake will I send to Babylon (Isaiah xliii. 14) : בְּלֵע הַכְּעָת לְנָצַח Ite will annihilate death for ever (Isaiah xxv. 8).

In an interrogative sentence the Perfect of Certainty acquires a meaning resembling that of the Deliberative Subjunctive in Greek: e.g. אַהָרָשָׁנִי מָאָרָ אָאָר־יָשָׁנִי מָאָרָ אָאָר־יָשָׁנִי מָאָרָ אָאָר־יָשָׁנִי מָאָר ז to leave my fatness? (Judges ix. 9); and, somewhat similarly, שָׁרָשָׁנִי מָאָרָ עִרָי מָאָרָ אָרָיָשָׁני וווו thow long refusest thou? (Ex. x. 3). This latter use of the Perfect, however, is rare, and some of the instances which may be assigned to it are capable of a different explanation: thus in the quotation from Exodus x. 3 the Perfect, may be explained on the analogy of 'stative' verbs (see above, § III, note) or even as a Future Perfect.

THE HEBREW TENSES.

N.B. It must be remembered that, as there is no time in the Hebrew tenses, the Perfect may refer to the future equally well as to the past. It is incorrect to say that the Hebrew said 'I have done' when he meant 'I will do': in reality he merely described the completion of the act of doing without specifying the time. But as there is no more emphatic way of predicting an event still future than by describing its result (see, for example, Isaiah xiii., where the certainty of the fall of Babylon is brought out by the description of its future desolation), so an event which is obviously future, when described as completed, is impressed upon the hearer's mind as certain.

VIII. Hypothetical actions, completed

(a) at or before some definite time in the past: e.g.

לוּ הַחַיָּתֶם אֹתָם לא הַרַגְתִּי אֵתִכֵם

If ye had saved them alive, I would not have slain you (I would not slay you)¹ (Judges viii. 19)

לֹּוּלֵא הִתְמַהְמָהְנוּ בִּי עַתָּה שַׁבְנוּ זֶה בַּוּעָמָיִם

If we had not delayed, we might by this time have returned twice over² (Gen. xliii. 10)

¹ The use of the Perfect הָרָנְאָי in the *apodosis* to express an action still future may be explained on the analogy of the Perfect of Certainty.

² The use of the Perfect in the *apodosis* of this sentence does not materially differ from its use in the *protasis*. Thus the Perfect $\forall y \in \mathcal{M}$ expresses the hypothetical completion of the act of return at some moment in the past in consequence of a previous hypothetical action.

To this heading may be assigned such a sentence as Genesis xxi. 7 אי מְלָל לְאָרְרָהָם הֵינִיָהָה בְנִים שְׁרָה Who would have said to Abraham (sc. if he had known the circumstances), Sarah is to suckle children?

(b) in the past, but with effect continuing into the present: e.g.

אָם־עָשִׂיתִי זאֹת.....יִרִדֹּף' אוֹיֵב נַפִּשִׁי

If I have done this (the guilt of which still continues)..... then let an enemy persecute my soul (Ps. vii. 4, 6)

אָם־יהוה הֶקִיתִדְ בִי יָרַח מִנְחָה

If it be Jehovah that has stirred thee up against me, let him smell an offering (1 Sam. xxvi. 19)

(c) in the future: e.g.

אם-זְרָחָה הַשֶּׁמֶשׁ עָלָיו הָמִים לוֹ

If the sun shall have risen upon him, there shall be bloodguiltiness for him (Ex. xxii. 2)

אָם־רָחַץ אַדֹנָי אָת צאַת בּנוֹת ציוֹן

If (when) the Lord shall have washed away the filth of the daughters of Sion (Isaiah iv. 4)

(d) at some indefinite time or times, i.e. when the verb in the a podos is expresses what is habitual: e.g.

וָאִם־בָּא לִרְאוֹת שָׁוָא יְדַבּר

And if he come (whenever he may have come) to see, he speaks (is wont to speak) that which is vain

(Ps. xli. 7)

וְאָם־זָכַרְתּי וְנִבְרָאָלִתי

And whenever I think (sc. of the injustice in the world), I am panic-stricken (Job xxi. 6)

¹ Point thus.

7

THE HEBREW TENSES.

IX. Actions the completion of which is desired¹,

(a) in the past: e.g.

לוּ־מַתְנוּ בְּאֶרָץ מִצְרַיִם

Would that we had died in the land of Egypt! (i.e. If we had died in the land of Egypt, it had been well with us)

(Numbers xiv. 2)

(b) in the present: e.g. (b)

מִי־יִהֵן יָדַעָּתִי

O that I knew / (i.e. Who will give—O that one would give—the state described by the word יְדָעָהָי)

(Job xxiii. 3)

(c) in the future : e.g.

לוא-קַרַעָהָ שָׁמַיִם

O that thou wouldest rend the heavens! (Isaiah lxiii. 19)

X. The Perfect is also frequently used in sentences which western idiom puts into a hypothetical form, but which, technically, are scarcely hypothetical in Hebrew. In such sentences the division into *protasis* and *apodosis* is misleading: they are in reality coordinate clauses, and the graphic Hebrew idiom with its absolute method of expression, that disdains saving clauses and particles,

¹ This must not be understood as implying a belief in the so-called 'Precative' Perfect, the existence of which in Hebrew is extremely doubtful. The Perfect, though it may express confident expectation, does not of itself express a wish. The illustrations given above under (a) and (c) are in reality merely the *protases* of hypothetical sentences of which the *apodoses* are suppressed.

introduces what is in reality only hypothetical or possible as though it were fact or certainty¹. Illustrations are

מַצָא אִשָּה מַצָא טוֹב

If one has found a wife, one has found a good thing (Prov. xviii. 22)

[In this sentence the two clauses are coordinate. The Hebrew, so to speak, paints a picture of the finding of a wife as an accomplished fact, and likewise the finding of a good thing as parallel to it, the *time* being quite indefinite.]

If one were to attempt to speak to thee, would est thou be wearied? (Anglice would it be too much for thee?) (Job iv. 2)

יְהַלֹּא נִפַּע יִתְרָם (יְיֵתֵדָם) בָּם יָמוּתוּ *

When their cord (? tent-peg) is plucked up in them, do they not die? (Job iv. 21)

¹ Similar sentences, viz. hypothetical in English but not in Hebrew, are found even where there is no verb in the first clause: e.g. דָרָא לְעַבְדָך מָשָׁא *Then if not* (i.e. assuming that the refusal to accept a present is unalterable), let there be given to thy servant a load, etc. (2 Kings v. 17), cf. 2 Kings x. 15; and similarly with the Participle: e.g. קנה יהוה עשה אַרְבּוֹת בַּשָׁמֵים הַוּהָיָה הַדְּבָר הָזָה be even now making windows in the heavens, can such a thing come to pass? (2 Kings vii. 2).

² In this instance the interrogative particle, although placed at the head of the sentence, really belongs to the second verb, or perhaps it would be more true to say that the interrogative particle belongs to the whole sense conveyed by the two closely coordinated clauses.

THE HEBREW TENSES.

THE IMPERFECT.

The Imperfect in its fundamental meaning denotes actions as incomplete, i.e. as in process of development. It does not express the mere continuance of an action, which would be expressed by the Participle, but the development of it from its beginning towards its completion. Whereas the Perfect, so to speak, paints a single picture of an action as completed, the Imperfect paints a series of pictures. Thus in the words נפלה בבל we have a picture of the fall of Babylon as an accomplished fact, without any specification of the time; in תפל בבל, on the other hand, we have, as it were, a cinematographic representation of the fall of Babylon, stopping short however of the complete end. In the Imperfect, as in the Perfect, there is no definition of time: the time of an action denoted by it can only be inferred from the context.

The Imperfect is accordingly used to denote actions I. regarded as in process of development,

(a) in the past: e.g. וְהַבַּיִת יִמָּלֵא עָשָׁן

And the house began to fill (and kept filling) with smoke (Isaiah vi. 4)

וּבְכָל־אֶרֶץ מִצְרַיִם תּשָׁחֵת הָאָרָץ

And in all the land of Egypt the land began to be destroyed (implying that the destruction went on from one stage to another) (Exodus viii. 20)¹

¹ Similarly the Imperfect is commonly used after 18 'then' and not yet,' since both words call attention to the origin and