

BISHOP REGINALD PECOCK

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-64358-1 - Bishop Reginald Pecock: A Study in Ecclesiastical History and Thought: Thirlwall Prize, 1941 V. H. H. Green Frontmatter More information



BISHOP REGINALD PECOCK

A Study in Ecclesiastical History
and Thought

BY

V. H. H. GREEN, M.A.

Formerly Scholar of Trinity Hall, and Lightfoot Scholar of the University of Cambridge. Fellow of St Augustine's College, Canterbury. Assistant Master at Sherborne School

THIRLWALL PRIZE, 1941

CAMBRIDGE
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS
1945



CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107643581

© Cambridge University Press 1945

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1945 First paperback edition 2014

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-107-64358-1 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



CONTENTS

Preface		page vii
Chapte	rs	
I.	The Study of Pecock	I
II.	Pecock's Early Years	8
III.	Pecock in London	
IV.	Pecock as Bishop of St Asaph	
v.	Pecock as Bishop of Chichester	42
VI.	Pecock's Trial and Sentence	49
VII.	Pecock's Character	70
VIII.	Pecock as a Scholar	76
IX.	The Lollards	89
X.	Pecock's Reply to the Lollards (1)	108
	(a) Introduction	108
	(b) The Lollards and the Scriptures	109
	(c) Faith and Reason in the Middle Ages	113
	(d) Faith in the works of Bishop Pecock	I 2 2
	(e) Reason in the works of Bishop Pecock	129
XI.	Pecock's Reply to the Lollards (2)	143
XII.	Bishop Pecock as a Critic	170
XIII.	Bishop Pecock and the English Language	188



vi	CONTENTS	
Chapters		
XIV. Peco	ck the Theologian	page 205
XV. Peco	ck's Significance	230
Appendix I.	Biblical References in Pecock's works	236
II.	Pecock's works	238
III.	Glossary	246
B i bliog r aphy		250
Index		2.5 5



PREFACE

'Who on earth', my friends say to me, 'was Bishop Pecock?' This small and obviously imperfect essay is intended as an answer to that question. Its career has been somewhat chequered. Teaching and lecturing work at a theological college, at a training college and at a school have prevented anything like continuous research into the problems of fifteenth-century history. Apart from this, when the finishing touches were being put to the essay the Luftwaffe treated it with that incivility and disdain, which was so characteristic of the housemaid's attitude to a very much greater work, Carlyle's French Revolution. In other words, the manuscript was burned, burned as Abailard's precious writings on Ezekiel had been burned centuries before. The rough copy of the essay which survived provided the foundations for this book. I had hoped to explore further, to have found more documentary evidence for the details of Pecock's career and thought, but the difficulties of our time and my own feeling that there was further and probably more important work to be done in other fields of historical research convinced me that I should not be justified in withholding this short study any longer. This explanation is intended in no sense as an apology. It is merely meant to explain the imperfections and defects of the essay itself.

It is a pleasant task to thank those who have in greater or lesser degree helped this essay on its way. I must particularly acknowledge my indebtedness to the adjudicators of the Thirlwall Prize, 1941, the Chairman of the Degree Committee of the Faculty of Divinity, and to the Trustees of St Deiniol's Library, Hawarden, for the award of a Gladstone Studentship in 1937. Many friends have at one time or another shown an interest in my work, Professor C. W. Previté-Orton who first suggested that Pecock was worthy of study, Professor A. Hamilton Thompson with whom I used to talk most fruitfully at Leeds, Mr C. W.



viii PREFACE

Crawley of Trinity Hall and the Rev. Dr G. C. Richards, sometime senior Fellow of Pecock's old college, Oriel. My friend and colleague, Dr F. J. Badcock, Librarian of St Augustine's College, Canterbury, has always placed the full facilities of the library at my complete disposal, nor can I forget the help which I have received during past years from Dr Williams's Library of Gordon Square, London. A former pupil, Mr David Munns, has kindly helped me with the Index. I have mentioned some further obligations in the footnotes, but I am grateful to the Rev. G. F. Nuttall, B.D., for so kindly lending me his thesis on 'Faith and Reason in the Works of Bishop Pecock'. I have also to thank the editor of the Church Quarterly Review for permission to use my article on 'Bishop Pecock and the English Bible'. The tragic death of Mr J. G. Sikes deprived the world of a real scholar and the author of a personal friend and adviser. Two other scholars of note, Mr B. L. Manning and Dr E. V. Hitchcock, who expressed their interest, and the former of whom gave me invaluable advice, have died since I commenced this study. Finally, it would be the height of discourtesy to omit my thanks to the Cambridge University Press for the helpful suggestions of its proof readers and the patience of its printers. I need hardly add that I am alone responsible for any errors and imperfections.

This essay is intended primarily for students of theology, of history, of English literature and of the medieval and pre-Reformation period in general. I have therefore nearly always retained the wording of the original manuscripts, modified and punctuated by their various editors. I have, however, taken the liberty of substituting the letters 'th' for the O.E. form 'p'. Wherever possible I have let Bishop Pecock speak for himself so that students of English literature may gather some impression of his style while they are reading about the man and his works.

Dominus tecum!

VIVIAN H. HOWARD GREEN

HOLNICOTE, 1944