

I. DR E. W. BENSON, ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY, TO THE REV. CHR. WORDSWORTH, M.A.

Addington Park, Croydon. 27 Nov., 1886.

My DEAR CHRISTOPHER W.,

Would you look at these papers for me? I have entertained a contrary opinion, but I am disposed to think the points of apparent difference really mean that the Bishop of Winton was Chancellor, but that in the vacancy of the See of London he acted as Bishop of London (Dean) should have acted, and was called for the time Subdean.

That he was Subdean in the vacancy only—and Lincoln Vice-Chancellor ordinarily, but Chancellor in the vacancy of Winton.

Still I am not sure that this is exactly compatible with the extract from Arundel.

It would be very strange if such a usage had got out of gear, or [had] been altered between Arundel and Parker.—Would it not?

I shall be so grateful for your investigation and opinion. I do not know whether anything else can be made out or discovered in the Library.

Your ever affectionate

EDV. CANTUAR.

W. I



2 The Precedence of English Bishops

[The question had been put to the Archbishop by Dr E. Harold Browne, Bishop of Winchester, in a letter dated "Farnham Castle, Surrey, Nov. 16, 1886." The letter is not forthcoming; but I gather from notes which I made at the time that the Bishop of Winton was of opinion that, in consequence of the προεδρία of London and Winton, the offices of Dean and Subdean (or Chancellor) were given them in the Provincial Chapter; that the capitular prelates (Sarum, Lincoln, Worcester and Rochester) gained no fresh precedence. At one period, Winton, as Prelate of the Garter¹, took precedence of all bishops, and thus became Dean of the Chapel Royal.

But 31 Hen. VIII. (1539), cap. 10 § 3, orders that the King's Vicegerent shall sit on the form

¹ The order of the Garter was instituted in, or before, 1347.

K. Edward III., its founder, established as its principal officer a *Prelate*, under whom were a *Registrar* and an *Usher*. At a later date, a second principal officer, the *Chancellor*, was added; and likewise *Garter*, as one of the subordinate or inferior officers of the Order. All five are sworn of the Council of the Order.

William of Edyngdon and his successors as Bishops of Winchester from the first foundation of the order have held the office of *Prelate* of the Garter, as Earls of Southampton, according to the Statutes of the Order:—"Quisquis autem presul Wintoniensis ac Southamtonie comes *et cet.* eo tempore fuerit, is et Prelatus ordinis esse debet." (Statut. Lib. N. p. 232, art. 18, ap. El. Ashmole, *Appendix to History of the Garter*, fo. 1672, p. 34; id. *Hist.* p. 234, S. H. Cassan, *Lives of Bishops of Winchester*, ii. 289—93.)

The *Chancellorship* of the Order of the Garter was conferred on Bishops of Salisbury from 1475 to 1535, and after being held for 150 years by laymen, it was restored to Sarum under a royal decree of 19 Nov. 1669, to take effect at the next vacancy. When Berks (and Windsor with it) was transferred to Oxford in 1836 the Chancellorship of the Order was allowed to the Bishops of Oxford. (W. H. Jones, *Fasti Eccl. Sarish.* 1879, p. 61.)



The Precedence of English Bishops

3

to the right of the Throne with the Archbishop, who shall sit next; then, York, London, *Durham*, Winton, &c.

Bishop E. H. Browne thought that this Act of King Henry VIII. was the first to give Durham precedence of Winchester, and that while its authority is of general application as to precedence in other cases, it does not over-rule the Canon as to a seat in Synod, which in terms suited to his invariable sweetness of manner he claimed for his see in cases where Anglican prelates might be assembled synodically.

Three or four of the following extracts were submitted by the then Bishop of Winchester, the remainder were forwarded by me to the Primate in 1886. I have now brought them so far up to date, as I have been able.

II. WILLIAM OF MALMESBURY.

[De Concilio Regni, A.D. 1072 habito.]

HABEBAT autem ex antiquo, sicut in libro primo dixisse me memini², Cantuariensis archiepiscopus hos episcopos:—

Londoniensem, Wintoniensem, Rofensem, Scire-

¹ The third Lambeth Conference, or Pan-Anglican Synod was within measurable distance at the time, meeting, as it did, in July, 1888. I well remember the *jucunda senectus* of Bishop Browne of Winchester, who had ordained me deacon when he was at Ely. It was at an earlier period in his life when Dr Jacobson, an Oxonian Professor and Bishop of Chester, exclaimed after making his first acquaintance with his Cambridge equal, "I have often heard of 'the *milk* of human kindness'; but now I've seen the *cow*!"

² Will. Malmesb. *De Gestis Regum* i. § 99—103, Rolls Series, i. pp. 100, 101.

I — 2



4 The Precedence of English Bishops

burnensem, Wigornensem, Herefordensem, Lichetfeldensem, Selesiensem, Legacestrensem, Elmanensem, Sidnacestrensem, Domuccensem: additi sunt, tempore regis Edwardi senioris¹, Cornubiensis, Cridiensis, Wellensis in West-Saxonia, et in Merciis Dorcestrensis, ut secundo libro dixi².

Eboracensis autem archiepiscopus habebat omnes trans Humbram episcopos suæ ditioni subjectos,

Ripensem, Haugustaldensem, Lindisfarnensem, illum de Candida Casa quæ nunc Witerne dicitur, et omnes episcopos Scotiæ et Orcadum;

sicut Cantuariensis habet episcopos Hiberniæ et Walarum.

Perierunt autem jamdudum episcopatus Ripensis et Haugustaldensis vi hostilitatis; et Legacestrensis, et Sidnacestrensis et Dommuccensis, quo nescio modo.

Porro autem tempore regis Edwardi simplicis³, Cornubiensis et Cridiensis uniti sunt, et translatus est episcopatus in Exoniam.

Sub rege Willelmo, in isto eodem concilio pronuntiatum est, secundum scita canonum, ut episcopi transeuntes de villis constituerent [al. 'construerent'] sedes suas in urbibus diocesium suarum: Licit-feldensis ergo migravit [al. 'transiit'] in Cestram, quæ olim Civitatis Legionum dicebatur; Selesiensis in Cicestram; Elmanensis in Tethford primo, nunc ab Herberto episcopo in Norwic; Scirburnensis in Salisbiriam; Dorcestrensis in Lincoliam. Nam

¹ Edward the Elder, son of Alfred: cf. Gesta Regum ii. § 129, vol. 1. 140—1, from Leofric Missal, fo. 1.

² Gesta Regum ii. § 129; Rolls S. i. p. 141.

³ i.e. Edward Confessor. Cf. § 196; II. p. 236.



The Precedence of English Bishops

Lindisfarnensis pridem veteri tempore transierat in Dunelmum, et nuper Wellensis in Bathoniam. (Gesta Regum, lib. iii. § 300, vol. II. pp. 352-3.)

[De proëdria singulorum episcoporum in concilio.]

In hoc conventu Lanfrancus, qui erat adhuc rudis Anglus, quæsivit a senioribus episcopis qui esset ordo sedendi in concilio, antiquo more statutus: illi vero, excusata difficultate responsi, in diem distulerunt posterum. Et tunc, diligentissime advocata memoria, hunc se vidisse morem asseruere; ut Cantuariæ archiepiscopus, concilio præsidens, habeat

a dextro latere archiepiscopum Eboraci, et juxta eum episcopum Wintoniæ, a sinistro autem Londoniensem:

quod si, ut contingit, pro aliqua necessitate Cantuariensis primas adventum suum negaverit [al. 'excusaverit'], vel obitu defuerit, Eboracensis archiepiscopus, concilio præsidens, habeat

- a dextra Londoniensem episcopum,
- a sinistra Wintoniensem;

ceteri secundum tempora ordinationum, sedilia sua agnoscant. (Gesta Regum iii. § 301; vol. 11. p. 353.)

[York had claimed Worcester and Dorchester as suffragans, but the pope having referred the dispute to the English Council, it was after much discussion then agreed (at Windsor, in 1072) "ut quia citra Humbram essent, hi episcopi Cantuariensi



6 The Precedence of English Bishops

applicarentur, omnes vero Transhumbranos Eboracensis obtineret." (§ 302; II. p. 354.)]

[If we may judge from the order of signatures of Bishops, &c. at the Council of Windsor in 1072, Winchester had not then acquired this precedence which was to be determined in the following year.

The order of signatures in 1072 had been:—
1. King; 2. Queen; 3. Legate; 4. Canterbury;
5. York; 6. London; 7. Sherborne (Sarum);
8. Worcester; 9. Hereford; 10. Wells; 11. Dorchester (Lincoln); 12. Winchester; 13. Elmham (Norwich); 14. Chichester; 15. Rochester; 16. Exeter; 17. Bayeux; 18. Coutances.

(Wilkins, Concilia i. 325.)

Gervase of Canterbury (*Acta Pontificum*, col. 1654, cited by Wilkins, *Conc.* i. 369) in his account of the Council of London under Lanfranc, omits all mention of any special priority for Winchester.]

III. COUNCIL OF LONDON, 9 Will. I. (1075).

Concilium Londini celebratum A.D. 1075, præsidente Lanfranco Dorobernensis ecclesiæ archipræsule.

Anno incarnationis Dominicæ .Mlxxv. regnante [glorioso Anglorum rege] Willielmo, anno [regni eius] ix°., congregatum est in Lundonia [in ecclesia beati Pauli apostoli] concilium [totius Angliæ regionis, episcoporum videlicet et abbatum, necnon et multarum religiosi ordinis personarum, jubente, atque eidem concilio] præsidente, Lanfranco archiepiscopo [sanctæ] Dorobernensi[s ecclesiæ archipræsule, totiusque Britanniæ insulæ primate]



The Precedence of English Bishops

considentibus secum [viris venerabilibus] Thoma Eboracensi archiepiscopo Willielmo Londoniensi episcopo

†Goisfrido Constantiensi¹, qui cum transmarinus esset episcopus in Anglia multas possessiones habens cum ceteris in concilio residebat †

Walkelino Wintoniensi
Hermanno Siraburnensi
Wlstano Wiricestrensi
Walter(i)o Herefordensi
Gisone Wellensi
Remigio Dor(ca)censi, seu Lincoliensi
Herfasto Helmeanensi, sive Nor(ch)wicensi
Stigando Seleugensi (al. Selengensi)²
Osberno Exoniensi
Petro Licedfeldensi (al. Licitfeldensi);

Rof(f)ensis ecclesia per id[em] tempus pastore carebat; Lindisfarnensis (qui et Dunelmensis) episcopus, canonicam excusationem habens, concilio interesse non poterat [al. 'potuit'].

Et quia multis retro annis in Anglico regno usus conciliorum obsoleverat, renovata sunt nonnulla quæ

¹ Geoffrey de Montbray, who became bishop of Coutances in 1048, presided as Chief Justiciar in 1071. He came over with William, and acted as interpreter at his coronation in 1066. He held (e.g.) in Wilts the manors of Draycot Cerne, Withenham and Winfield in Bradford hundred, Malmesbury, Pertwood, Littleton Drew, and Winterbourn (? Dauntsey). W. H. Jones, *Wilts Domesday*, 26—7. Geoffrey headed the rising of barons against William II., by whom he was pardoned. He maintained the privileges of the clergy, at Salisbury, in 1088.

⁺⁻⁺ Geoffrey of Coutances is passed over in MSS. of Gesta Pontificum in this connexion.

² It will be observed that *after* the council, Stigand subscribes the resolutions or canons as 'Cicestrensis.' (Wilkins *Concil.* i. 373—4.)



8 The Precedence of English Bishops

antiquis etiam canonibus noscuntur [al. 'fuerant,' al. 'sunt diffinitionibus'] definita [al. 'diffinita'].

Ex concilio igitur Toletano IV. [aº. 633]¹, Milevitano [aº. 402]² atque Bracharensi [aº. 599]³ statutum est, vt

Singuli secundum ordinationis suæ tempora sedeant, præter eos qui ex antiqua consuetudine, sive suarum æcclesiarum privilegiis, digniores sedes habent.

De qua re interrogati sunt senes et ætate provecti, quid vel ipsi vidissent, vel a majoribus atque antiquioribus veraciter ac probabiliter [ipsi] accepissent [al. 'audissent,' vel 'vidissent']. Super quo responso petitæ sunt indutiæ, ac concessæ, usque in crastinum.

Crastina autem die, concorditer perhibuere, quod Eboracensis archiepiscopus ad dexteram Dorobernensis sedere debeat;

Lundoniensis episcopus ad sinistram; Wentanus, juxta Eboracensem. Si vero Eboracensis desit, Lundoniensis, ad dexteram,

Wentanus, ad sinistram.

(After some regulations respecting monasteries)

Ex decretis summorum pontificum, Damasi [videlicet,] et Leonis, necnon ex conciliis Sardicensi (a°. 347)⁴ atque Laodicensi (a°. 366)⁵ in quibus

¹ 'Omnes episcopi pariter introeant, et secundum ordinationis suæ tempus (al. 'tempora') resideant.' Concil. Tolet. IV. c. 4, ed. Bruns, i. 222.

² Cf. Codex Eccl. Africance cc. lxxxvi., lxxxix., ed. Bruns, 177-8.

³ Cf. Concil. Bracar. c. 34, Bruns, ii. p. 34.

⁴ Cf. Concil. Sardic. c. 1, ed. Bruns, i. p. 88 (89).

⁶ Concil. Laodiceni c. 57, Bruns, i. 79.



The Precedence of English Bishops 9

prohibetur episcopales sedes in villis existere, concessum est regia munificentia et synodali auctoritate [præfatis tribus] episcopis de villis ad civitates transire¹:

Herimanno, de Siraburna [al. 'Schireburne'] ad Serisberiam [al. 'Sarisberiam,' vel 'Salisberiam']

Stigando, de Selengeo [al. 'Selesia'] ad Cicestr(i)am [al. 'Cicestrum']

Petro, de Licitfelde [al. 'Licefelda,' vel 'Lichfeld'] ad Cestr(i)am [al. 'Cestrum'].

[De quibusdam, qui in villis seu vicis adhuc degebant, dilatum est usque ad regis audientiam, qui in transmarinis partibus tunc temporis bella gerebat.]²

(After 6 other canons, the subscriptions of prelates follow:—)

Date of Consecration

cration 1070 \ Aug. 29

- 🛧 Ego Lanfrancus Dorobernensis archiep. subscripsi.

² Lincoln cathedral was begun cir. 1074; foundation charter, Sept. 1090. Consecration, 1092. See of Wells removed to Bath, 1088.

¹ See p. 4, above.

³ The name of the Norman bishop of Coutances appears between London and Winton in the previous list of prelates present at the Synod. But in subscribing the acts after precedence was determined he takes a position *after* the four more honourable English sees.

10 The Precedence of English Bishops

```
Date of
Conse-
cration
1062 }
Sep. 8 }
          ♣ Ego Wlstanus Wigornensis ['Wircustrensis'] ep. subscr.¹
 1061
          ₩ Ego Walterus Herefordensis ep. subscr.
1061
         ♣ Ego Giso Wellensis ep. subscr.
         ₩ Ego Remigius Lincol(n)iensis ep. subscr.
1067
          并 Ego Herfastus Norhwicensis ep. subscr.
1070
1070
         ♣ Ego Stigandus Cicestrensis ep. subscr.
          # Ego Osbernus Exoniensis ep. subscr.
1072
          4 Ego Petrus Cestrensis episcopus subscripsi.
1072
```

Then follow the signatures of Aschenil, Anschitil, or Anschibil, archdeacon of Canterbury ('Doroberniensis eccl.') and 21 abbots.

[The preceding account of the Council of London in 1075 is extracted from Spelman's printing of the Worcester MS. register in his *Concilia* ii. 7—9. Cf. Wilkins, *Concil*. i. 373–4. I have inserted in brackets some various readings from Spelman's print of another exemplar from W. of Malmesbury and Bigne, iii. 1280. Spelman, *Concil*. ii. 9, 10; Wilkins, *Concil*. i. 374. This I have again compared with Malmesbury's *Gesta Pontificum* i. ff. 22^b—23^b. Rolls Series, pp. 66—8.

The signatures of those present in this Council are not given (according to Spelman) except in the Worcester Register. The list of those present is found in the same authority. Spelman's third exemplar, a 12th century MS. in Cambridge Univ. Library (Ff. i. 25), names only the two archbishops, and the bishops of London and Worcester (passing

¹ It seems that the Bishop of Worcester placed himself before two of his seniors by consecration. Or are we to suspect the scribe of the Worcester MS. of partiality in transcribing the record?