

OBJECTS AND INFORMATION STRUCTURE

In many languages, the objects of transitive verbs are either marked by grammatical case or agreement on the verb, or they remain unmarked: this is differential object marking. This book is a cross-linguistic study of how differential object marking is affected by information structure, the structuring of the utterance in accordance with the informational value of its elements and contextual factors. Marked objects tend to be associated with old information or information that the sentence is about, while unmarked objects tend to express new information. The book also sheds light on grammatical patterning in languages with differential object marking: in some languages marked and unmarked objects have identical grammatical properties, whereas in other languages marked objects are more active in syntax. Finally, it provides a theory of the historical changes that led to the emergence of various patterns of differential object marking.

MARY DALRYMPLE is Professor of Syntax in the Faculty of Linguistics, Philology and Phonetics at the University of Oxford.

IRINA NIKOLAEVA is a lecturer in Endangered Languages in the Department of Linguistics at the School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London.



In this series

- 99 DIANE BLAKEMORE: Relevance and linguistic meaning: the semantics and pragmatics of discourse markers
- 100 IAN ROBERTS AND ANNA ROUSSOU: Syntactic change: a minimalist approach to grammaticalization
- 101 DONKA MINKOVA: Alliteration and sound change in early English
- 102 MARK C. BAKER: Lexical categories: verbs, nouns and adjectives
- 103 CARLOTA S. SMITH: Modes of discourse: the local structure of texts
- 104 ROCHELLE LIEBER: Morphology and lexical semantics
- 105 HOLGER DIESSEL: The acquisition of complex sentences
- 106 SHARON INKELAS AND CHERYL ZOLL: Reduplication: doubling in morphology
- 107 SUSAN EDWARDS: Fluent aphasia
- 108 BARBARA DANCYGIER AND EVE SWEETSER: Mental spaces in grammar: conditional constructions
- 109 HEW BAERMAN, DUNSTAN BROWN AND GREVILLE G. CORBETT: The syntax-morphology interface: a study of syncretism
- 110 MARCUS TOMALIN: Linguistics and the formal sciences: the origins of generative grammar
- 111 SAMUEL D. EPSTEIN AND T. DANIEL SEELY: Derivations in minimalism
- 112 PAUL DE LACY: Markedness: reduction and preservation in phonology
- 113 YEHUDA N. FALK: Subjects and their properties
- 114 P. H. MATTHEWS: Syntactic relations: a critical survey
- 115 MARK C. BAKER: The syntax of agreement and concord
- 116 GILLIAN CATRIONA RAMCHAND: Verb meaning and the lexicon: a first-phase syntax
- 117 PIETER MUYSKEN: Functional categories
- 118 JUAN URIAGEREKA: Syntactic anchors: on semantic structuring
- 119 D. ROBERT LADD: Intonational phonology, second edition
- 120 LEONARD H. BABBY: The syntax of argument structure
- 121 B. ELAN DRESHER: The contrastive hierarchy in phonology
- 122 DAVID ADGER, DANIEL HARBOUR AND LAUREL J. WATKINS: Mirrors and microparameters: phrase structure beyond free word order
- 123 NIINA NING ZHANG: Coordination in syntax
- 124 NEIL SMITH: Acquiring phonology: a cross-generational case-study
- 125 NINA TOPINTZI: Onsets: suprasegmental and prosodic behaviour
- 126 CEDRIC BOECKX, NORBERT HORNSTEIN AND JAIRO NUNES: Control as movement
- 127 MICHAEL ISRAEL: The grammar of polarity: pragmatics, sensitivity, and the logic of scales
- 128 M. RITA MANZINI AND LEONARDO M. SAVOIA: Grammatical categories: variation in romance languages
- 129 BARBARA CITKO: Symmetry in syntax: merge, move and labels
- 130 RACHEL WALKER: Vowel patterns in language
- 131 MARY DALRYMPLE AND IRINA NIKOLAEVA: Objects and information structure

Earlier issues not listed are also available



CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN LINGUISTICS

General Editors: P. AUSTIN, J. BRESNAN, B. COMRIE, S. CRAIN, W. DRESSLER, C. J. EWEN, R. LASS, D. LIGHTFOOT, K. RICE, I. ROBERTS, S. ROMAINE, N. V. SMITH

Objects and Information Structure





OBJECTS AND INFORMATION STRUCTURE

MARY DALRYMPLE

University of Oxford

IRINA NIKOLAEVA

University of London





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107627376

© Mary Dalrymple and Irina Nikolaeva 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011 Reprinted 2012 First paperback edition 2014

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data
Dalrymple, Mary. Objects and information structure / Mary Dalrymple,
Irina Nikolaeva.

p. cm. – (Cambridge studies in linguistics; 131) ISBN 978-0-521-19985-8 (hardback)

Grammar, Comparative and general–Syntax.
 Grammar, Comparative and general–Topic and comment.
 Semantics.
 Focus (Linguistics)

I. Nikolaeva, Irina. II. Title. P291.D35 2011

P291.D35 2011 415-dc22

2011012721

ISBN 978-0-521-19985-8 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-62737-6 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

	Preja	Prejace ana Acknowleagements			
	List	of Abbrev	13		
1	Intro	Introduction			
	1.1	The ph	1		
	1.2	Previous work		2	
		1.2.1	Marking as distinguishing arguments	2	
		1.2.2	Marking as coding features	5	
		1.2.3	DOM in transformational syntax	8	
	1.3	Criteria for marking		11	
	1.4	1.4 Our proposal		13	
		1.4.1	Information structure	14	
		1.4.2	Syntax	17	
		1.4.3	Diachrony and grammaticalisation	18	
		1.4.4	Limits of our analysis	19	
	1.5	Structu	20		
2	Synt	Syntactic assumptions			
	2.1	Grammatical functions		22	
		2.1.1	Grammatical function diagnostics	23	
		2.1.2	Grammatical functions and semantic roles	25	
	2.2	Objects		26	
	2.3	Levels of syntactic representation		28	
	2.4	Describing linguistic structures			
	2.5	Grammatical agreement			
	2.6	Agreement and pronominal incorporation			
	2.7	Casemarking			
	2.8	Nonsyntactic critera for casemarking patterns		41	
	2.9	Conclusion		44	
3	Information structure in grammar			45	
	3.1	The content of information structure			
	3.2	Information-structure roles		47	



8	Conte	ıts			
		3.2.1	Focus	47	
		3.2.2	Topic	48	
		3.2.3	Topicality and topic-worthiness	50	
		3.2.4	Secondary topic	53	
	3.3	Conclu	asion	57	
4	Syntax and information structure			58	
	4.1 Alternative views of information structure				
		4.1.1	Tree-based representations	58	
		4.1.2	Early work in LFG	61	
	4.2	Information structure and its role in grammar			
	4.2.1 Content of information structure: The LFC		Content of information structure: The LFG view	65	
		4.2.2	Linguistic encoding of information structure		
			relations	67	
		4.2.3	Information structure in relation to semantics	68	
	4.3	Our ar	chitecture	71	
		4.3.1	Glue and the syntax-semantics interface	71	
		4.3.2	Information structure features and relations	75	
		4.3.3	Levels and equations	78	
		4.3.4	A short text	86	
		4.3.5	Information structure and its place in grammar	90	
	4.4	Conclu	ısion	93	
5	Topicality and grammatical marking			94	
	5.1	Topical marking for different grammatical functions			
	5.2	Grammatical encoding of topical subjects			
	5.3	Subjects and topichood			
	5.4	Conclusion			
6	Topical marking of nonsubjects				
	6.1 Casemarking of topical nonsubjects				
		6.1.1	Persian	107	
		6.1.2	Tariana	113	
		6.1.3	Topical nonsubject casemarking	115	
	6.2	Agreer	ment with topical nonsubjects	116	
		_	Itelmen	116	
		6.2.2	Tabassaran	120	
		6.2.3	Topical nonsubject agreement	122	
	6.3	Conclusion			
7	Topicality and DOM			125	
	7.1	Objects as grammaticalised secondary topics			
	7.2	Agreement with topical objects: Tundra Nenets 1			



			Contents	9
	7.3	Casemarking of topical objects		132
		7.3.1 Tigre		133
		7.3.2 Dolakha Newar		137
	7.4	Conclusion		139
8	Prim	ary and secondary objecthood and DOM		140
	8.1	Grammatical marking and grammatical function		140
	8.2	Object agreement and grammatical function: Ost	yak	142
	8.3	Object casemarking and grammatical function		150
		8.3.1 Mongolian		150
		8.3.2 Chatino		155
		8.3.3 Hindi		159
	8.4	Objects and markedness		164
	8.5	Conclusion		167
9	Mult	iple objects and grammatical alignment		169
	9.1	On the typology of multitransitive constructions		169
	9.2	No ditransitive construction		172
		9.2.1 Nenets		172
		9.2.2 Ostyak		173
		9.2.3 Mongolian		175
	9.3	Ditransitive constructions		177
		9.3.1 Chatino		177
		9.3.2 Hindi		179
	9.4	Other multitransitive constructions		180
		9.4.1 Dolakha Newar		181
		9.4.2 Tigre		185
		9.4.3 Applicatives: Upper Necaxa Totonac		186
	9.5	Topicality and goals		191
	9.6	Conclusion		193
10	Sema	antic features, topicality and grammaticalisation	I	194
	10.1	Case studies		194
		10.1.1 Uralic		194
		10.1.2 Persian and the Iranian languages		201
		10.1.3 Hindi and the Indo-Aryan languages		203
	10.2	Paths of grammaticalisation		207
		10.2.1 Spreading of DOM		208
		10.2.2 Narrowing of DOM		211
	10.3	Towards a typology		215
	10.4	Conclusion		217



10	Contents	
11	Conclusion	219
	References	223
	Author Index	240
	Language Index	243
	Subject Index	245



Preface and Acknowledgements

Our work on this topic began in connection with our participation in the AHRC project "Noun Phrase Agreement and Coordination", a joint project which involved, besides us, Louisa Sadler, Ryo Otoguro, and Aline Villavicencio at the University of Essex (AHRC grant MRG-AN10939/APN17606, 2004–2006). We are grateful to Louisa, Ryo, and Aline for helpful comments and discussion as our ideas developed over the course of the project.

Earlier versions of the work were presented at the 2005 International Lexical Functional Grammar Conference (LFG05) in Bergen, the 2005 Linguistic Association of Great Britain conference in Cambridge, the 2005 Colloque de Syntaxe et Sémantique à Paris (CSSP 2005), the University of Leipzig in December 2007, the University of Manchester in April 2008, the workshop "Arctic Languages: Syntax, Morphology, Lexicon" at the University of Tromsø in September 2009, the workshop "Variation and Change in Argument Realisation" at the University of Naples in May 2010, the Surrey Linguistics Circle in December 2010, and the State of the Art Seminar on Differential Object Marking and Information Structure at the University of Konstanz in December 2010. An early version of our work appeared as Dalrymple and Nikolaeva (2005), though it will be clear to readers of that paper that our ideas have evolved considerably since its publication. We have profited from discussion of these earlier versions with Farrell Ackerman, Ash Asudeh, David Beck, Balthasar Bickel, Miriam Butt, Elizabeth Coppock, Greville Corbett, David Cram, Annahita Farudi, Ron Kaplan, Tracy Holloway King, Aditi Lahiri, Olivia Lam, Louise Mycock, Tara Mohanan, Louisa Sadler, Devyani Sharma, Nigel Vincent, Yogendra Yadava, and Annie Zaenen.

For very helpful comments on drafts of this book as it took shape, we thank Farrell Ackerman, Ash Asudeh, Miriam Butt, Dolgor Guntsetseg, Dag Haug, Sigve Berge Hofland, Bozhil Hristov, Nazareth Kifle, Aditi Lahiri, Louise Mycock, and Louisa Sadler. We are grateful to our language consultants for their generous assistance: Ghazaleh Kad and Shamsi Saber for Persian, Dolgor Guntsetseg and Tuya Bavuu for Khalkha Mongolian, Galina Koreneva and Anna Lamdo for Tundra Nenets, and Devyani Sharma and Tara Mohanan for



12 Preface and Acknowledgements

Hindi. Nazareth Kifle provided crucial assistance with the Tigre data. Finally, we thank our husbands, Ken Kahn and Simon Carne, for their love and support during the writing of this book.



List of Abbreviations

We have regularised some of the glosses and the transcription of the original sources. We use the following abbreviations:

Abs	absolutive	Ez	ezafe	Obl	oblique agreement
Acc	accusative	Fem	feminine	P	potential
Adv	adverb	Foc	focus	Part	participle
All	allative	Fut	future	Pas	passive
AN	action nominal	Gen	genitive	Perf	perfective
Art	article	Hab	habitual	P1	plural
Asp	aspect	Hon	honorific	Poss	possessive
Attr	attributive	Imp	imperative	Postess	postessive
Aug	augmentative	Impf	imperfective	Prep	preposition
Aux	auxiliary	Imprs	impersonal	Pres	present
C	completive	Indef	indefinite	Prog	progressive
Caus	causative	Indic	indicative	Proh	prohibitive
Cl	classifier	Inf	infinitive	Pron	pronominal
Com	comitative	Instr	instrumental	Purp	purposive
Conv	converb	Interj	interjection	Q	question
Cop	copula	Intr	intransitive	Rel	relative form or
Dat	dative	Irr	irrealis	_	relative pronoun
Decl	declarative	Loc	locative	Rep	reportative
Det	determiner	Masc	masculine	Sg	singular
Dim	diminutive	Mod	modal	Spec	specific
Dir	directive	Neg	negation	Subj	subject agreement
Dur	durative	NMLZ	nominalisation	Sub	subordinate
Du	dual	Nom	nominative	Supess	superessive
Erg	ergative	Obj	object marker or	Top	topic
Expl	expletive	J	object agreement	Tr	transitive