

## THE CHRONICLE

of

JOSHUA THE STYLITE.





### THE CHRONICLE

ΟF

# JOSHUA THE STYLITE,

COMPOSED IN SYRIAC A.D. 507,

# WITH A TRANSLATION INTO ENGLISH AND NOTES

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$ 

W. WRIGHT, LL.D.,

PROFESSOR OF ARABIC IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE.

EDITED FOR THE SYNDICS OF THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.

CAMBRIDGE: AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS. 1882



### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107621053

© Cambridge University Press 1882

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1882 First paperback edition 2013

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ısвn 978-1-107-62105-3 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



### PREFACE.

I. The Chronicle of Joshua (المُصَعَلَى, Yêshûa' or Jesus) the Stylite has been long known to historians in the abridged Latin translation of Joseph Simon Assemâni (السُمَعاني), which occupies pp. 262—283 of the first volume of his Bibliotheca Orientalis; and it is generally acknowledged to be one of the most valuable authorities for the period with which it deals\*. The first complete edition of the Syriac text did not, however, appear till 1876, when it was edited for the German Oriental Society, with a French translation and many useful notes†, by the well known orientalist the Abbé P. Martin, to whose industry scholars are indebted for various important Syriac publications.

That this editio princeps should be faulty in many respects was unavoidable, partly from the fact that the editor had only a single not very clearly written manuscript for the basis of his text, and partly because circumstances prevented him from re-collating his copy with the original before putting it to press. It was reviewed by Professor Noeldeke of Strassburg in the Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Bd xxx, pp. 351—8, where he proposed many excellent emendations. Having read the book through several times with pupils, I sent

<sup>\*</sup> See, for example, the numerous references to it in Lebeau, *Histoire du Bas-Empire*, ed. Saint-Martin, t. vii, especially in book xxxviii.

<sup>†</sup> See Abhandlungen für die Kunde des Morgenlundes herausgegeben von der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft. VI. Band. No. I. Chronique de Josué le Stylite écrite vers l'an 515, texte et traduction par M. l'abbé Paulin Martin.



vi PREFACE.

to Professor Noeldeke a further list of corrections, shortly before the publication of his Syriac Grammar in 1880, and we exchanged several letters on the subject. Since then another friend, Professor Ignazio Guidi of Rome, has most kindly supplied me with a fresh collation of the entire work; and I am thus enabled to lay a tolerably correct text before the reader, without having much recourse to conjectural emendation. have not described certain readings of my text as corrections made by this or that scholar, it is because I have ascertained, thanks to Guidi's unwearying kindness, that they are the actual readings of the original manuscript. Thus I could not credit M. Martin himself with Appen (p. 18, l. 15), and with Images (p. 88, l. 2); nor Professor Noeldeke with און ביסוי ביסלים 1. 1); nor Mr Bensly, of Gonville and Caius College, with (p. 3, l. 13); nor my former pupil Mr Keith-Falconer with (p. 49, l. 5); nor myself with مات (p. 29, l. 13), and with وكره (p. 34, l. 8). I have never altered the actual readings of the manuscript, so far as I am aware, without giving due warning thereof in the notes. I have, however, taken the liberty, with the view of facilitating the task of the reader, of adding a considerable number of diacritical points, especially in the verbal forms. From the interpunction of the manuscript, on the other hand, I have but rarely deviated, and then only when it seemed to me to be absolutely necessary.

In my translation I have striven to be as literal as the difference between the two idioms will allow. My method is first to translate as closely as I can, and then to try if I can improve the form of expression in any way without the sacrifice of truthfulness to the original. I also endeavour to preserve a somewhat antiquated and Biblical style, as being peculiarly adapted to the rendering into English of Oriental works, whether poetical or historical. The Old Testament and the Kor'ân,



PREFACE. vii

which are, of course, in many ways strikingly similar in their diction, can both be easily made ridiculous by turning them into our modern vernacular, particularly if we vulgarize with malice prepense.

In my version I have sometimes expressed the sense of a conjectural emendation rather than of the manuscript reading. The comparison of the Syriac text and the critical notes will readily show the attentive reader when this is the case. Words which I have found it necessary to add for the sake of the English form of expression, or of greater clearness, I have commonly put within parentheses (); but where an actual lacuna in the text is supplied by conjecture, I have employed brackets [].

Of the notes I think it necessary to say no more than that they are intended chiefly for non-orientalists and for those who are beginning their oriental studies. It seemed to me to be quite superfluous to repeat the historical information contained in the copious annotations of Assemâni and of the Abbé Martin. In matters relating to the topography of Edessa and its district I have had recourse to my friend Professor G. Hoffmann of Kiel, who is probably the best acquainted of living orientalists with the geography of Mesopotamia and the adjacent countries. A comprehensive work on the subject from his hand would be a boon to all scholars. The plan of Edessa is taken from Carsten Niebuhr's Voyage en Arabie, et en d'autres Pays circonvoisins, traduit de l'Allemand, 1780, t. ii, p. 330, with additions and alterations suggested by Professor Hoffmann. As for the rough map of the seat of war, it is only reproduced from an ordinary atlas.

I have endeavoured, for the convenience of readers, to conform my edition in externals, as far as possible, to that of the Abbé Martin; and I would therefore have gladly adopted his numeration of the chapters, but found it to be impossible. In the first place, I had to strike out his seventh chapter, which



viii PREFACE.

is merely the final note of a scribe of much later date. This reduces the number of chapters by one from VIII (now VII) to XCI (now XC). But, in the second place, I had to unite his chapters XCI and XCII, the lacuna on p. 75 of his edition being imaginary. Consequently the number of chapters from here to the end is reduced by two, and Martin's ch. XCIII is in my edition XCI.

We owe the preservation of the short Chronicle of Joshua the Stylite to the care of a later historian, Dionysius of Tell-Mahrê\*, patriarch of the Jacobites (ob. A. Gr. 1156, A.D. 845)+, who incorporated it with his own larger work, which deserves to be made accessible to students of history without The solitary manuscript of this work which has further delay ±. come down to our times is preserved in the Vatican Library §. It is in great part palimpsest, the underlying text being Coptic. According to Assemâni, Bibl. Orient., t. ii, pp. 98, 99, it was written in the Nitrian desert when Moses of Nisîbis was abbot of the convent of S. Mary Deipara, that is to say, between A.D. 907 and 944 (see my Catalogue of Syriac MSS. in the British Museum, General Index, p. 1310); but in his Catal. Codd. Manuscriptorum Biblioth. Apostol. Vaticanae, t. iii, p. 328, no. CLXII, he asserts that it was one of those volumes which Moses of Nisîbis brought back with him to the Nitrian Convent in 932, after his visit to Baghdâd and his journey through Mesopotamia ||.

<sup>\*</sup> תול אבים, in Arabic ינע מובים, a small town on the river Balíkh, between ar-Rakkah and Ḥiṣn Maslamah, according to Yâkût in the Mujam al-Buldân.

<sup>+</sup> See Assemâni, Bibl. Orient., t. ii, p. 98 sqq., and pp. 344—8.

 $<sup>\</sup>ddag$  The Swedish orientalist Professor Tullberg of Upsala began an edition of it in 1850, which will, I hope, be completed by Professor Ign. Guidi.

 $<sup>\</sup>$  Dionysius has placed the Chronicle of Joshua immediately after the Henôtikon of Zénôn, without any prefatory remarks.

<sup>||</sup> If so, the note to that effect has disappeared from the manuscript. It must be remarked, however, that the volume is much damaged, and that some of the worst pages have been covered at a recent period with "carta vegetale". The result is that the writing is no longer legible or barely so.



PREFACE. ix

Of Joshua we know little more than what he has himself thought fit to tell us. He wrote his Chronicle at the request of one Sergius, the abbot of a convent in the district of Edessa (ch. I), to whom he repeatedly addresses himself in the course of it. The last date which occurs in it is 28th November A.D. 506 (ch. C); and considering the tone of the final chapter, I have thought myself justified in assigning the composition of the work to that winter and the earlier part of the following year, which is also Noeldeke's opinion (Zeitschrift d. D. M. G., Bd xxx, p. 352)\*. A more recent copyist, who supplied a lacuna in the manuscript of Dionysius†, adds some details regarding Joshua as follows (see Martin's edition, p. 8).

ورد و من المعلى واقط ورد المعلى والمعلى والمع

"Pray for the wretched Elisha, from the convent of Zûķnîn (near Âmid), who wrote this leaf, that he may find grace like the thief on the right hand. Amen and Amen. May the

<sup>\*</sup> The first sentence of the last chapter is no doubt an addition by a later writer, perhaps Dionysius of Tell-Mahrê himself.



X PREFACE.

mercy of the great God and our Redeemer Jesus Christ be upon the priest Mâr Yêshûa' (Joshua) the stylite, from the convent of Zûķnîn, who wrote this Chronicle of the evil times that are past, and of the calamities and troubles which the (Persian) tyrant wrought among men."

W. WRIGHT.

QUEENS' COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE. 23 April, 1882.

### CORRIGENDA.

In the Syriac text: Page 2, 1. 3, read OOLA].—Page 19, 1. 9, perhaps we might read OOLA instead of POALS; "he used every day to thrust himself into his presence, and importunately ask him to give him" etc.—Page 25, 1. 18, read OOLA; "Page 36, 1. 12, read Page 46, 1. 13, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 61, 1. 11, read Page 57, 1. 22, add OOLA; Page 57, 1. 22, add OO

In the English translation: Page 65, last line, Read: "at Âmid. With the view.......of peace, he also sent" etc.