

CONTENTS

PART I

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT OF FACTS (1-39)

		PAGES
T.	Names and Titles	1-6
	Note A. The name Hexateuch	6
	Heptateuch and Octateuch	7
	Note B. Jewish Titles of the Books of the Law.	8, 9
2.	Plan and Contents of the Hexateuch	10-14
	General Remarks on the History	14-17
3.	The Origin of the Hexateuch	17-24
	Two diverging views: further enquiry necessary .	18
	Criticism defined: 'Textual' and 'Higher' explained	18, 19
	Applied in dealing with classical problems	20
	Same methods necessary for determining age and	
	authorship of books of O.T	21
	Importance of internal evidence	22
	Objections considered	23
4.	Sketch of Hexateuch criticism	25-39
	i. Early Jewish and Christian writers	25
	ii. The first questionings	26, 27
	iii. The beginnings of criticism. Simon and	
	Astruc both pointed out marks of different	
	authors	27-29
	iv. A century of criticism. Astruc to Hupfeld .	29-32
	Four documents traced in the Hexateuch .	32
	Chronological order of the documents	3 3



viii	CONTENTS	
		PAGES
	The literary method followed in this period, but	
	v. In recent times, the historical method	3438
	Twofold comparison of the codes (a) with one	
	another, (b) with the history.	
	Results stated in three propositions	36
	Three stages in history of criticism	38
	Three corresponding propositions to be investi-	·
	gated in Part II	38, 39
	PART II	
	271112 22	
	r. THE FIRST PROPOSITION (40-50)	
2	The Hexateuch contains passages of later date than the Moses and Joshua	times of
i.	Passages quoted by earlier critics, and	40
ii.	Other short passages	41
	These might be regarded as editorial additions	42
	The following cannot be so regarded.	
iii.	Passages of greater length	4345
iv.	Two groups of passages:	
	a. Passages in which quotations are made .	45-47
	b. Passages which refer to prophets	4749
	The narratives were composed in Palestine	49
	The evidence in iii-v implies a series of writers, and	
	establishes a probability in favour of	50
	2. THE SECOND PROPOSITION (50110	p)
	The Hexateuch is a composite work, in which four doc	uments
	(at least) can be distinguished	
i.	Use of different Names for the Divine Being	51-53
	a. Elohim. b. Jehovah	51
	implies more than one writer	52, 53



CONTENTS	ix
This inference confirmed by the fact that variety of Name is accompanied by	PAGES
ii. Diversity of Style and Vocabulary shewn by examining and comparing passages in	53 —73
Gen. i.—xi., and in the patriarchal history from the facts marked (a) — (h) [p. 60 n.]	54—66
are drawn the inferences (I)—(6) . Two narratives are distinguished; one of them shews marked characteristics of style and	6 166
phraseology, and is denoted by P P uses <i>Elohim</i> , the other account <i>Jehovah</i>	66
N.B. The arguments in (i) and (ii) are independent.	66 6 7
Examination of Exod. vi. 2, 3 suggests a reason	07
why P uses Elohim in Genesis	67
Elohim used designedly as far as Exod. vi	68
Portions belonging to P after Exod. vi	68—70
The character of the document	71
The writer who uses Jehovah in Gen. ii. 4—	
Exod. vi. not the same as P Deuteronomy has a style of its own, and is the work of another writer	72
iii. Existence of Duplicate Accounts	73
Some duplicate accounts already noticed	73—97 73
N.B. This section is independent of the preceding section; the arguments here advanced will corroborate	13
those drawn from style &c. in (ii)	73
a. Duplicate accounts in Genesis.	
Narrative of the Flood examined	74-81
An example of a composite story: it indicates diversity of source in the same manner as	
separate accounts	5 0
The narrative has been put together by one	79
who shews affinity with P, not with J.	7981
b. Duplicate accounts in other books	81-97
Three sets of passages considered	18
	a 5



X

		PAGES
	Those which refer to	111013
	(1) the Ark	
	(2) the Tent of meeting	
	(3) the mission of the spies	
	(1) Of the passages referring to the Ark	8183
	(a) Exod. xxxiv. and Deut. x. in their pre-	01 03
	sent form are not from same writer.	84
	(b) neither of the passages belongs to P.	84
	(c) Deut. shews affinity with a passage which	- 7
	does not belong to P	84
	three writers at least indicated	84
	(2) Passages which refer to the Tent of meeting.	8486
	An account of a Tent without the camp which	•
	differs from that of P as to the time when	
	and place where it was set up	85
	These passages cannot belong to P	86
	(3) Passages referring to the mission of the spies.	86—97
	Examination of Num. xiii., xiv. shews that two	
	versions of the story are here combined .	92-94
	The account in Deut. i. 19-44	90—92
	is parallel with the non-P section of Num. and	
	was written before the two sources in Num.	
	were combined	94, 95
	Josh. xiv. 6—15 corroborates this inference.	96, 97
iv.	The sources J and E	97—107
	Arguments similar to those employed in separating	
	P in (i)—(iii) shew that the remainder after	
	this separation is composite	97
	a. Examination of Gen. xx., xxi., and xxvi shews that	98—100
	2	
	a. Gen. xx. 1—17 does not belong to P	99
	β. Gen. xx., xxi. 22—32, and xxvi. are not from P, and not from the same writer: therefore	
	Two sources besides P are found in Genesis .	
	b. Comparison of Exod. iii. with Exod. vi. shews that	100
	another writer besides P uses <i>Elohim</i> in Gen.	
	i.—Exod. iii.	100, 101



CONTENTS	XI
	PAGES
c. Additional evidence from Genesis that the non-P	
portions are composite	01-103
The composite character of JE shewn from examina-	· ·
4 7 4 7 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	103, 104
d. Also from examination of passages which refer to	
	04—107
The variety of names indicates a double narrative,	-,,
by writers who lived in an age remote from	
that of Moses	107
The evidence brought forward in (iv) is weighty and varied:	•
the sources cannot always be distinguished with	
certainty; but this does not weaken the argument that	
IE is composite	107
v. Summary of the evidence in support of the second Propo-	•
	08—110
•	
3. THE THIRD PROPOSITION (110-172)	
	_
The laws contained in the Pentateuch consist of three separa	
which belong to different periods in the history of Isra	el
•	10-112
a . The laws in JE \cdot	110
Exod. xx. 23 -xxiii. 19, xxxiv. 11-27 and xiii.	
3-7, 10-13.	
b. The laws in Deuteronomy	111
Deut. xii,—xxvi.	
c. The laws in the Priestly code	III
Exod. xxv.—Num. x., Num. xv., xviii., xix., xxvii.	
-xxxvi., Gen. xvii. and Exod. xii.	
	111, 112
•	12-122
	12-117
Difference between the accounts of the covenant	
at Sinai;	
the Book of the covenant the basis of the covenant	
in Exod. xxiv. $3-8$ (JE)	113
the Decalogue only the basis of the covenant at	
Horeb (D)	114



xii

		PAGES
	the author of Deut. was not acquainted with Exod.	
	xx.—xxiv. in its present position	114
	probable growth of the Sinaitic narrative	115
	the precepts in Exod. xxxiv. 10-26 are also the	
	basis of a covenant	115
	this representation differs from that of D	116
	various interpretations of vv. 27, 28	116
	but same conclusion follows	117
	the two accounts of a covenant made on the basis	•
	of words written by Moses, and different from	
	the words of the Decalogue, vary so much	
	from the account in Deut., that D and JE	
	cannot be from the same writer	117
ь.	Comparison of D with P	117-122
	According to P, a series of statutes are given	
	through Moses at Sinai, and during the	
	40 years: D records two covenants, one at	
	Horeb based on the Decalogue only, and one	
	in the land of Moab based on xii.—xxvi.	117, 118
	These representations are so divergent that they	• •
	cannot be from the same writer	118-120
	Silence of D with respect to matters in P not	
	explained by saying that Deut. is a people's	
	book	119, 120
	Comparison of laws about the cities of refuge makes	,
	the divergence more apparent	121, 122
iii. Co	omparison of particular Laws	123-172
a.	Laws relating to slavery	123-129
	Examination of these laws will shew that they	,
	must have been gradually developed; and	
	that JE, D, P represent successive stages of	
	this development	123
	a. Comparison of the laws with one another.	
	Tabular view of the legislation	I 2 4
	Exod. and Deut. very similar	125
	Variations in Deut. imply later date of D.	
	Why are two laws needed before the settled	 '
	life in Palestine?	126



Exod. and Deut. allow Hebrew bondservants: Leviticus forbids Israelites to be sold as bondservants, and must be last in historic sequence 126, 127 The laws cannot be explained on the supposition that JE and P were set forth at Sinai, and D about 40 years later . . 127, 128 β. Comparison with the history 128, 129 shews that law of D was recognized in Zedekiah's reign 128 Jeremiah uses language which implies the 128, 129 idea expressed in the law of Leviticus the law of Leviticus was introduced after the exile . 120 Laws relating to worship . 130-134 offer abundant material for twofold comparison (p. 36) and may be considered under four heads. The place of Worship (131-146) (1) The comparison of the codes with one another shews that 131-133 the laws in JE imply a plurality of altars, but 131 (ii) the laws in D introduce a limitation . . 131, 132 offerings must be brought to one place only; to be enforced when they dwell in safety after the Temple is built, but 132, 133 (iii) the laws in P represent the principle of the one sanctuary as established from the

CONTENTS

beginning

(2) The comparison of the codes with the history shews that

and in both kingdoms .

places was suppressed .

(ii) a reformation was introduced in Josiah's reign on the authority of the law book found in the Temple, and sacrifice at the high

altars were set up, and sacrifice offered in different places by Joshua, Samuel, Saul,

133

134

135

133-146

xiii PAGES



xiv

	PAGES
reasons for considering this book to be Deut.	
or a part of it (a) — (d)	136
the reformers advocated suppression of the	
high places	137
Deut. limits sacrifice to one place: both Deut.	
and the reformers denounce idolatry .	137
The particular cases specified and the intensity	
of feeling in Deut. indicate a present	
danger and conflict	138
Deut. depicts a situation like that in Josiah's	
reign	138
The significance of Deut. xii	139
Passages in Deut. which imply a post-Mosaic	
date	140
Modifications necessary when worship is	
limited to a central sanctuary	140, 141
Summary of facts which support the con-	
clusion that: parts at least of Deut. were	
composed in or shortly before the reign of	
Josiah	142
Note on Deuteronomy and Josiah's reform.	142-145
Deut. contains a law given to Moses at	
Horeb, and set forth by him for the	
first time in Moab	143
Meaning of 'the book of the law' in 2 K.	
xxii. 8	I44
The reformers in Josiah's reign issued a pro-	
phetic version of older laws which they	
regarded as Mosaic	145
The use of the definite article in Hebrew .	145, 146
β. The Times of Sacrifice (146—148)	
(1) Comparison of the codes with one another shews that	
the three pilgrimage feasts are more fully	
described in D than in JE, and most fully	
in P	146, 147
P enjoins additional feasts, and a fast; the time is fixed	• • ••
by the month and day	147



		PAGES
	7. The different kinds of Sacrifices and	
	their names (148—153)	
(1)	A comparison of the codes shews that:	
	(i) in JE, sacrifices are not specified by name, and	
	the material is not prescribed	148
	(ii) a list of offerings is found in D, and the material	
	of the Passover sacrifice is prescribed .	148
	(iii) in P, Sin Offering and Guilt Offering are added,	
	sacrifices for each occasion prescribed, and	
	the ritual of each sacrifice	148, 149
	For fulness of detail in respect of (β) and (γ)	
, ,	the codes stand in the order JE, D, P	149
(2)	Names denoting sacrifice used in the history	149, 150
	Use of minhah in P	150
	Sin- and Guilt-Offerings first mentioned in Ezek.	150
	Elkanah's sacrifice illustrates early custom The feast kept at the dedication of the Temple .	151
	Comparison of the accounts in Kings and Chronicles	152
	The history points to a development, the stages of	152, 153
	which are represented by JE, D, P	153
		-33
	δ. The laws relating to Priests (153—172)	
(1)	From a comparison of the codes, it appears that for the	153-157
	performance of priestly duties	••
	(i) no provision is made in the Covenant code.	153
	(ii) the tribe of Levi is set apart in the Deut.	
	code	153
	(iii) sons of Aaron only are qualified in the Priestly	
	code	154
	Is the limitation of (iii) known to Deute-	
	ronomy?	154
	Wide difference between D and P in respect of	
	(a) Place of abode	154
	in P, the tribe of Levi dwell in their own cities	
	own cities	

in D, the Levite is a sojourner in cities

of others . .

CONTENTS

155

XV



xvi

		PAGES
	(b) Revenue	
	in P, all the tithe belongs to the tribe	
	of Levi	155
	in D, all the tithe brought before the	
	Lord and shared by the offerer, with	
	his household and the Levite 'that	
	is within thy gates'	155
	The difference not explained by	
	(a) the traditional interpretation of a second	
	tithe	155
	nor (β) by considering D's provision for the	
	Levite as a temporary one	156
	This marked difference between the codes raises the	
	presumption that the limitation of (iii)	
	indicates a further difference between	
	D and P	157
	The differences between D and P (1)—(5) can be	
	explained only by assuming that the	
	codes belong to different periods in the	
	history of Israel	157
(2)		157-172
	Instances of sacrifices offered by those who were not	
	priests	157
	(i) The history of Micah shews that:	158—160
	Levites were preferred as priests, but others	
	might discharge priestly functions.	158
	descendants of Moses officiated at Dan while	
	the house of God was at Shiloh	159
	no trace of an exclusive Aaronic priesthood	159
	Levite has not the same meaning as in P	160
	Another meaning of 'Levite'	ib. note 3
	(ii) An ancestor of Eli appointed priest in Egypt	161
	the priesthood transferred from Eli's house	1 61
	Zadok does not belong to the family of Eli's	-6-
	ancestor	161 162
	History of the priests obscure (iii) The tribe of Levi entrusted with priestly	102
	functions in Deuteronomy	162



CONTENTS	xvii
	PAGES
Priests at the Temple, and priests at the	
high places till Josiah's time	163
(iv) Effect of Josiah's reformation	163
Priests of the high places removed, but .	163
not allowed to officiate at Jerusalem .	164
(v) Ezekiel's ordinance enforces the difference be-	
tween priests officiating at the altar, and	
those who did not, which had already	
existed at Jerusalem as a result of Josiah's	
reformation	165-168
he occupies a position intermediate between	
the legislation of D and P	169
his other ordinances are intermediate in	_
character	169
(vi) The condition of the people after the Return	170
No definite reference to Priestly code until.	170
the reading of the Law by Ezra	171
its acceptance by the people followed by a	
ceremony enjoined in P	171, 172
Resemblance to Josiah's reform	171
Reforms before and after captivity	
for the interval D is law for the nation .	172
4. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENTS IN SUPPO	חם תפו
THE THREE PROPOSITIONS (173)	JKI OF
TILD TIRED TROTOBILIONS (175)	
The evidence that P is the latest element in the Hexateuch	
is weighty and cumulative	173
corroborated by considering the relation of the prophets	
to the law	174
5. THE LAW AND THE PROPHETS (174-	181)
	,
Prophecy the most prominent feature in the history of the	
nation	174
at first oral, afterwards written	175
Prophetical Torah and Priestly Torah developed on similar	_
lines	176



xviii	CONTENTS	
		PAGES
The	earliest written prophecy in opposition to the priests	176, 177
1	makes no appeal to a written standard of law or	
	doctrine, it represents	177
	Moses as prophet, rather than lawgiver	177
Josia	h's reformation effected with concurrence of priest and	
	prophet	177
	eronomy expounded written law in the prophetic spirit	178
	ten law grew, and became more ceremonial in character	178
	iel the exponent of this phase	178
	developed in the code read by Ezra	178
The	history sets forth prophecy in three stages of	178, 179
	(1) independence of	178
	(2) alliance with	179
	(3) subordination to	179
1	the Priestly Torah, and corroborates the argument	
	deduced from examination of the laws.	179, 180
The	sense in which the laws are put forth as part of the	
	law of Moses	180, 181
	6. CONCLUDING REMARKS (181-191)	
(i) .	Probable steps in the formation of the Pentateuch and	
	Book of Joshua	181—186
•	The chronological order of the codes being JE, D, P,	
	the steps would be	
	J and E, each containing records of the early	•
	history, were combined	182
	D, when accepted as a law book, would be added	•
	to JE	183
	Deuteronomic recension of Joshua and the history	_
	in Judges—Kings	184
	Efforts during the exile to preserve the ancient	_
	traditions	184
	embodied in the book of the Law brought by	_
	Ezra	185
	When accepted incorporated with JED	185
1	Joshua probably separated	185



CONTENTS	xix
	PAGES
(ii) Ancient customs preserved in D and PBoth codes contain (a) ancient customs and	186—191
(b) later developments	186
that (b) was also then known	_
Illustrations from 'the lamp'	186
2	187—189
The developed system of P not known to D	190
Note on I Sam. i.—vii. supplies further illustrations	192—195
APPENDIX	
I. Passages in the Hexateuch assigned to P	197—206
II. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PRIESTLY CODE .	207—227
III. COMPARISON OF THE COVENANT CODE WITH DEUTERONOMY AND LEVITICUS	228—231
IV. THE STYLE OF DEUTERONOMY	232-239
V. Leviticus xvII.—xxVI. AND THE PROPHET	
EZEKIEL	240-255
VI. THE MEANING OF TORAH	256-259
VII. CHARACTERISTICS OF COMPOSITE DOCUMENTS.	260276
VIII. THE SAMARITAN PENTATEUCH	277-299
IX. THE CHRISTOLOGICAL ARGUMENT	300-304
X. Archaeology and Criticism	305—318
MISCELLANEOUS NOTES AND REMARKS	319-323
Index	325 230