CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

page ix

page 1

The two controversies—relation of the writings—Calvinism and the state in the Netherlands—confessionalism—Arminianism—political mediation dissension—the Synod of Dort, 1618—the Arminian church, 1625—problems of the orthodox church—conflict of church and state even when the rulers were orthodox—failure of the Synod of Dort to settle the problem—political control of the church after 1618.

Chapter I. THE ORTHODOX THEORY

Derived from Calvin—certain conditions to be satisfied by orthodox theory three problems—the church and the Bible—the divine and human aspects of the church—collaterality—four writings—based upon the idea of a divine law determining the function and organisation of church and state—differentiation of church and state—specialisation of function—self-government seen in the call to the ministry and the holding of synods—duty of state to church—interdependence—mutual obedience—relation as of body and soul problems unanswered by the orthodox theory.

Chapter II. ARMINIANISM AND ERASTIANISM page 25

SECTION I. The Arminian theorists—differed from the Calvinists over the definition of the Christian ruler—negative duty of the state—state-control—how to free the function from that control—difference between the two theories in regard to a sinful ruler.

SECTION II. Uytenbogaert's conception of the fundamental problem simplicity of his argument—assumptions shared with his opponents differed over content of the divine constitution—his trust in the Old Testament—supreme power to the ruler, even in ecclesiastical matters—God, the sovereign and the clergy—his final judgment—qualifications to his theory— Christian magistracy—actively Christian—external matters—distinction of internal and external—independence of pastoral function—supremacy of God's Word—attack upon collaterality—the ruler's judgment and his duty to the church—the *Predicatie*—conflict with *Tractaet*—Uytenbogaert's effective criticism of the Contra-Remonstrants—dubious value of his own principles—*Tractaet* and toleration.

SECTION III. Vossius—a criticism of Walaeus—his attitude to the state a liberal theory—relation of church to that ideal of the state—his conception of society was fundamental—society as a church—and as a state—the church as a public institution under the state—his use of divine right—of the ministry—of the ruler—difference of internal and external ecclesiastical power—the ruler and external ecclesiastical power—indirect control over internal ecclesiastical power—the difference between church and state illustrated by the communion—his Christian humanism.

vi

CONTENTS

SECTION IV. Grotius—his Dutch writings—relation of *De Imperio* and Vossius' Letter—date of the composition of the *De Imperio*—the argument of the *De Imperio*—the imperium and religion—grace and nature—a legal theory—the imperium included religion because of the unity of matter—the method of its operation—the universality of end—distinction of function and imperium—pastoral government not contrary to the imperium—nature of this pastoral government—declarative and constitutive government—the church not a citizen—sovereignty and the invisible and visible church pastoral government not coercive—the kinds of actions subject to the imperium—the ruler and divine law—extent and sphere of human discretion —nature of judgment—the definitive judgment of the ruler—relation of the imperative and directive judgments—the distinction between righteous and valid acts of the ruler—Grotius' thought revolves round the imperium.

SECTION V. Episcopius—the Disputatio—distinction of public and private churches—imperium and external forms of religion—relation of Christian citizen to ruler's power in the public church—denied that doctrine was subjected—the Confession, and the "Censure"—the Apologia—religion and force—what was the spiritual power of the church—eclesiastical discipline wholly spiritual—voluntary character of the church—the architectonic power —in external church-government, not to the ministers—theory of toleration —essential principles—the rights of the voluntary church.

Chapter III. THE CHRISTIAN MAGISTRACY page 108

Vedelius supported by Rivetus and Maccovius—representatives of the old Scriptural Calvinism and the new scholastic Calvinism—their theories of church and state—Vedelius' *De Episcopatu Constantini*—genesis—its premises —his ideal of the church—four considerations laid down—two assumptions —his conception of the Christian magistrate—divinely ordained function two limitations—the distinction between objectively and formally ecclesiastical power—and between internal and external—the distinctions modified —church government not to the ministers alone—the ruler's official power in the church—what was the ruler's formally ecclesiastical power?—anticlerical in character.

Chapter IV. THE VOLUNTARY CHURCH AND THE SECULAR

STATE

page 130

SECTION I. The writings against Vedelius—their connection—Voetius his position at Utrecht—his respect for secular as well as for ecclesiastical rights.

SECTION II. The ideal of self-sufficiency—inherent power of the church to govern itself—necessarily self-determined—the state without any part in the church, which was free to choose its ministers—to organise classical and synodal relations—to determine all disputes—to use ecclesiastical discipline to make canons—the church was in no way dependent upon the state.

SECTION III. Voetius' analysis of the church—the nature of the church—the visible church—a societas—a particular church, primarily—related by federal ties—basis of church in the covenant—explicit consent—the obligations of membership—nature of the contract—in virtue of the contract, power

CONTENTS

inherent in the whole church—not in any particular part—"applicatio" by the church—power not created by the contract—power was divine—influence of Ames and Parker.

SECTION IV. The relation of church and state—separation—self-sufficiency only in own sphere—church subject in so far as civil and external—autonomy of church—ruler supreme in the state—true of all rulers—acts in ecclesiastical only as in civil matters—as ruler not a member of the church—state, secular and natural—limited sphere—difference of church and state in membership, spheres and power—the ideal relation—what the ruler ought to do—how? and where?—the relation of the ruler as such to the church—his relation as a church-member to the church—the failure to observe the primary principles of a voluntary church and secular state—due to refusal to admit toleration.

Chapter V. THE ERASTIAN CRITICISM

page 213

vii

SECTION I. A LOW CHURCH THEORY. Salmasius—the anonymous Grallae accused Apollonius of Romanism—statement of his theory—Salmasius' analysis of the church—the visible church—holiness—its meaning and standard—sanctity was relative—spirituality—the modern church compared with the Apostolic—not intrinsically spiritual—preaching and the ministry excommunication—denied that the spiritual must be done by the ministry how far were modern preachers the legates of God?—no special sanction in church government—no peculiar vocation—denial of Jus Divinum of the ministry—the ruler and religion—a primary means of salvation—difference in methods and powers—divine right of the ruler—human power of the church—his secular thought.

SECTION II. THE CHURCH AS A HUMAN ASSOCIATION. Du Moulin-relation of his books-his conception of the two kingdoms-character of the jurisdiction of the Word-distinguished from external ecclesiastical jurisdictionlatter identical with civil power-difference between power and authority; laws and canons; and the judgment of ruler and church-difference between the ecclesiastical function and organisation-association characterised by majority rule-the two capacities of a member of any association-how was the ruler the head of the church?-His Erastianism-need of unity and co-ordination-no medium between command and persuasion-his individualism-only one source of compulsion-government of the church part of government in general-his Independency-the rights the churches hadthe church as an association-divine positive rights existing by the recognition of the conscience-the church as a congregation of Christians-Baxter's criticism of Du Moulin-Du Moulin and the use of coercion, especially to deny rights to a minority-coercion and the church-question of political supervision.

SECTION III. Constans—wholly secular and absolutist—the pseudonym distinction of internal and external worship—the church was the civil establishment—the ruler the source of all power—proved by the rights of nature and the contract—ecclesiastics derived their power from the ruler a corporal power—inequality in the state was due to the ruler's action—the ministers were his delegates.

viii

CONTENTS

CONCLUSION

- -

page 251

Interpretation of the two kingdoms—its different forms—based on revelation —undermined by theories of toleration—belief in the dedication of society to God—abandoned by Collegialism—the relations of the two kingdoms problem of co-ordination—similarity of Arminian and Calvinist theory importance of the supreme power—limitations upon it—duty and sphere of the state—greatest divergence in the conception of the church—was the human association divine?—relations of church and state obscured by the failure to answer certain questions—the difference between internal and external—the church under a Christian ruler—the conception of a Christian magistracy—the church as a voluntary society—the issue obscure in the Arminian controversy—more direct in the Vedelian controversy—increasingly secular tone—the transition from Scriptural revelation to natural law.

Appendix.	GREAT	BRITAIN	AND	THE	DUTCH	CONTRO-
VERSIES						page 271
Bibliogr	АРНҮ					274
Index						277