

Index

-
- accountability for
 reasonableness 94–95
 appeals 94
 publicity 94
 reasonableness 94
 ADL, and well-being 70
 Adult Social Care Outcomes
 Toolkit (ASCOT) 108
 aesthetic values 5
 Aristotle, formal principle of
 equality 87, 92
- barriers to entry and exit from
 care 120
 Bevan, A, quoted 116
 ‘Big Society’ 44
 ‘blue ocean’ strategy 131
 boundaryless organisation 134
- cancer networks 29
 capabilities approach 107
 capacity for benefit 107
 care pathways, and service users
 74–75
 Care Quality Commission
 (CQC) 127
 approach to assessing
 restrictions 116
 catastrophe theory 50–51
 cusp catastrophe 51
 ‘cherry picking’, by providers
 122
 choice 11, 76–80
 citizens and 77
 infrastructure for assisting
 117
 and related aspects 116–117
 right of patient 115
 citizens 77
 Citizens’ juries 46
 climate change 66–67
 Clinical Commissioning
 Groups (CCGs) 27, 29,
 56, 83
 distributed leadership 136
 group values 46
 clinical decision-making
 process 40–41
 and E-BP 40–41
- rationality structure 41
 clinical senates 30
 co-production 109
 Coalition, political attitudes 44
 commissioning 1
 clinician-led 3
 cycle of 31
 eight principles 128–129
 and epidemiology 11
 social care 11
 for value 31–41
 for well-being 36
 see also values-based
 commissioning
 Commissioning for Quality and
 Innovation (CQUIN) 104
 Community Budget Pilots 73
 community budgets, choice 76
 community engagement 43–53
 definitions 48
 factors preventing
 effectiveness 47
 methodological problems 48
 minority and ethnic groups
 51–53
 NICE guidelines 48
 project setting-up 48
 real people 45
 service users 45
 standard processes 46
 step change and
 discontinuity 49–51
 ‘Community Right to
 Challenge’ 44
 compact agreements 129
 competing values 134–135
 conduct disorders 36
 consumerism 61, 78
 Cooperation and Competition
 Panel (CCP) 30, 116
 Cooperative Councils Network
 45
 corollary of evidence-based
 medicine 38
 cost–benefit analysis (CBA) 90
 calculations 17
 cost–effectiveness analysis
 (CEA) 90, 97
 cost–quality trade-off 124
- cost–utility analysis (CUA) 90
 application 91–92
 Quality Adjusted Life Years
 (QALYS) 91–92
*Creating Strong, Safe and
 Prosperous Communities*
 73
 customer-driven innovation
 137
- Data Protection Act 15
 rights 15
 decision-making, service
 pathways 43–44
 dementia care 78–79
 principles 79
 depression, risk of CHD 36
 DH Right Care *see* Quality,
 Innovation, Productivity
 and Prevention (QIPP)
 ‘Right Care’
 digital systems 20–21
 disability
 HAQ-DI 38
 measures of 39
 Disability Adjusted Life Years
 (DALYs) 92
 disability-free life expectancy 60
 discrimination 12
 disease, vs. illness 8–9
 dissensus 8
 diversity of values 2, 12
 doctors
 GP commissioning consortia
 30
 and reforms 29
 remuneration 26
- economic evaluation 90
 ethically relevant principles
 90
 principles, maximising
 overall benefit 92
 end-of-life care 110–113
 % of whole lifetime costs 111
 cost–benefit trade-off 111
 example 112–113
 futility of treatment 111–112
endnote 141

| | Index | 151 |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| engaging all stakeholders 95 | evidence-based practice (E-BP) | QIPP 'Right Care' 22, 25, 55, 72 |
| epidemiology 11 | vii, 10, 39–41 | health and well-being 34–36 |
| epistemic values 5 | and clinical decision-making | Health and Well-being Boards |
| Equalities Act (2010) 14, 52 | process 40–41 | 10, 14, 29, 55 |
| <i>Equity and Excellence:</i> | and E-BM 10 | alternative service elements |
| <i>Liberating the NHS (2010)</i> | 'noughties' 17 | 45 |
| 27–28 | reforms 25 | and CCGs 136 |
| 300 amendments to Bill in | and V-BP 40 | values proposition and |
| House of Lords 28 | | discipline 131 |
| Conservatives 29 | Facebook 20 | <i>Healthy Lives, Healthy People</i> |
| further changes 29–30 | fact–value distinction 5–6 | 101 |
| Liberal Democrats 29 | individual/population 10 | key changes 65–66 |
| uncertainty 34, 101 | facts | heart surgery, vertical equity |
| ethical alertness 135 | fact–value distinction 5–6, 10 | 39 |
| ethical principles 89–90 | facts and values 8–11, 9 | Herfindahl–Hirschman Index |
| benificence 89 | <i>Fair Society, Healthy Lives see</i> | 121 |
| due process, reasonable, | Marmot Review | hip replacement |
| justifiable and acceptable | fairness, as a value 108 | as output 103 |
| procedures 93–95 | focus groups 46 | value 38 |
| equity 92–93 | freedom, as a value 108 | human rights 88–89 |
| justice 90 | Freedom of Information Act | Hume, D, on facts and values |
| non-maleficence 90 | (FOIA) 15 | 8–11 |
| respect for autonomy 89–90 | human rights organisation | |
| values 5, 8 | (Article 19) 15 | illness |
| vs. values 3 | protection for whistle- | no disease 9 |
| ethical resource allocation | blowers 15 | vs. disease 8–9 |
| 88–90 | fundholding 25–26 | immunisation programmes 59, |
| ethically relevant economic | abolition of 26 | 65, 66 |
| principles 90 | funding, renegotiated 129 | indicators 64 |
| ethnic minorities <i>see</i> minority | futility of treatment, end-of-life | inequalities <i>see</i> health |
| and ethnic groups | care 111–112 | inequalities |
| EU competition panel rules | | infant mortality (IM) 61 |
| 30 | geographical scope 120, 121 | information, shared decision- |
| EU legislation 15 | government, unprecedented | making 4 |
| procurement for sustainable | 'pause' 27 | innovation |
| development 15 | GP commissioning consortia | customer-driven 137 |
| subsidiarity 15 | 30 | harnessed 137 |
| eudaimonic models 106–107 | GPs and social care 70 | and transformation, |
| evidence and outcomes 37–38 | growth, economic 33–34 | values-based leadership |
| evidence-based facts, medicine | | 137–138 |
| vs. social care 17 | happiness/hedonistic systems | integrated commissioning, |
| evidence-based medicine | 106 | defined 71 |
| (E-BM) 17, 38–39 | harnessed innovation 137 | integration, laws 71–72 |
| defining vii, 39 | Health Assessment | integrative commissioning |
| and E-BP 10 | Questionnaire Disability | 69–80 |
| E-BP element shortcomings | Index (HAQ-DI) 38 | defined 69, 70, 71 |
| 16 | health inequalities 12, 58 | and E-BM 69 |
| 'health' money 18 | discrimination 12 | GPs and social care 70 |
| and integrated or | 'health' money 18 | whole systems 72–74 |
| commissioning 69 | Vs. local authority money | interventions 61 |
| interventions 37–38 | (failed prevention) 18 | cumulative difference 37 |
| mental illness 39–41 | health promotion 35–36 | ethical and values-based |
| and preferences 40 | Health and Social Care Bill | 36 |
| rational discourse 39 | (2011) 14 | evidence-based 36–37 |
| and V-BP 69 | health and social care reforms | outcomes 37–38 |
| values-based view 10 | in England 25–30 | |

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-60335-6 - Values-Based Commissioning of Health and Social Care

Christopher Heginbotham

Index

[More information](#)

152

Index

- joint strategic needs assessment (JSNA) 48, 64, 69
- justice, relevant to health care 93
- Kings Fund/Institute for Fiscal Studies (IFS) 33–34
 - potential gap in NHS funding 34
 - three scenarios 33–34
- leadership *see* values-based leadership
- Leutz W., five (now six) laws of integration 71–72
- life expectancy 60, 61
 - female 63
- local authorities 29
 - delivery of public health services 65, 66
 - London riots 31
 - withdrawal of funds from youth work 31
- Local Strategic Partnerships 71
- Localism Bill/Act (2011) 44, 45
 - housing 45
- London Health Observatory 59
- London School of Economics (LSE), Decision Conference 96
- long-term care 22, 131
 - care package reduction/addition 132
- mandated welfare models 106
- marginal analysis calculations 99
 - see also* Programme Budgeting and Marginal Analysis (PBMA)
- market
 - managing the market 123–124
 - model markets 117–120
- market culture 115
- market evolution 124–125
 - five forces 124
- market shares 123
- market stimulation and market shaping 115–130
 - third sector 127–128
- Marmot Review: *Fair Society, Healthy Lives* 35, 36, 55, 57
 - emphasis on proportionate universalism 58
 - report 59, 63–65
- Measuring Outcomes for Public Service Users (MOPSU) 108
- Mental Capacity Act (2005)
 - capacity 14
 - decisions 14
 - minimum data set (MDS) 14
 - principles 14
 - religion 14
- Mental Health Act (2007) 13–14
 - age, disability, gender or ethnicity 14
 - decisions under this Act 13
 - discrimination 13–14
 - religion, culture and sexual orientation 14
- mental health services
 - mental well-being 35–36
 - recovery (values-driven outcome) 138
- ‘Thin’ and ‘Thick’ systems of V-BP 19
- mental illness
 - and EBP 39–41
 - prevention 36–37
 - treatment consent/refusal 9
- mental models 136
- Middle Level Super Output Areas (MSOAs) 64
- minority and ethnic groups 48–49, 93, 119
 - concerns 17
 - Equalities Act (2010) 52
 - fear of mental health services 52
 - PCTs and 52
 - Social Enterprises 130
- MMR (measles, mumps and rubella vaccine) 65, 66
- model markets 117–120
 - segmentation 117
- Monitor
 - changes to role 30
 - core functions 127
 - EU competition law 123
 - regulator for foundation trusts 121
 - role 29–30, 127
 - as separate function 116
- multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) 97
 - values matrix 97
- NAO Report (2010) 35
- national contracts, norm with PBR 31
- National Institute for Mental Health, England (NIMHE), values framework 7
- national tariffs 86
 - needs
 - felt/expressed 2
 - long-term 133
- neighbourhood care teams 22
- neural networks 19–20
- New Horizons* (2009) 66–67
- NHS, underlying values 28
- NHS commissioning 27–28
- NHS and Community Care Act (1990) 25
- NHS Constitution 16, 23–24
 - access to health services 23
 - commitment 24
 - community values 16
 - complaint and redress 24
 - information 24
 - informed choice 24
 - involvement in your healthcare 24
 - quality of care and environment 23
 - respect, consent and confidentiality 23
 - treatments, drugs and programmes 23
- NHS funding
 - 5% take year on year 34
 - potential gap 34
- NHS Outcomes Framework (2011–12) 101, 102, 110
 - 5 domains 109
- NICE guidelines 16
 - community engagement 48
 - functions 56
 - gold standard 16
 - one offer 17
 - over-riding 10
 - Patient and Public Involvement Programme 104
 - Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) 104
 - range of options 16
- nuclear power stations 44
- obesity 61
- ontological values 5
- Oregon, resource allocation 96, 99

| | Index | 153 |
|--|-------|-----|
| organisation | | |
| boundaryless 134 | | |
| process–outcome distinction 134 | | |
| outcome measures 103, 104–105 | | |
| outcomes | | |
| 4 levels 102 | | |
| aggregating 103 | | |
| defining 107 | | |
| designing 103 | | |
| focusing on, vs. inputs 101 | | |
| measuring 107 | | |
| shared decision-making 4 and values 81 | | |
| outcomes-led commissioning 101–113 | | |
| 3 sets of factors 102 | | |
| 4-level population perspective 102 | | |
| attention to patient 103 | | |
| ‘outcomes turn’ 101 | | |
| performance management credibility 103 | | |
| see also values-based commissioning | | |
| output, vs. outcome measure 103 | | |
| parallel processing system 19–20 | | |
| patients | | |
| change of behaviours 33–34 | | |
| and service users, care pathways 74–75 | | |
| see also service users | | |
| pause or ‘listening exercise’ 29 | | |
| Personal Health and Social Care budgets 81–82 | | |
| personalisation 76–77 | | |
| physical illness, risk of depression 36 | | |
| policy and practice 13–22 | | |
| population approaches, three groups 21 | | |
| population and individual factors 22 | | |
| Porter M.E., value chain analysis model 131, 138 | | |
| power and control 70 | | |
| practice-based commissioning (P-BC) 26 | | |
| preferences 4 | | |
| and E-BM 40 | | |
| primary care commissioning 25–27 | | |
| historical precedents 25–27 | | |
| primary care trusts (PCTs) 26, 116 | | |
| clusters 46, 83 | | |
| community engagement 45–48 | | |
| end of 29 | | |
| loss of 27 | | |
| unable to function 28 | | |
| Principles and Rules of Cooperation and Competition (PRCC) 115 | | |
| priority-setting 8, 88 | | |
| in health and social care 84 | | |
| process 85 | | |
| and resource allocation 8, 83–99 | | |
| private sector 1, 119 | | |
| privatisation of NHS 28 | | |
| programme budgeting and marginal analysis (PBMA) 17, 72, 85, 92, 97 | | |
| DH Right care 131 | | |
| marginal analysis calculations 99 | | |
| programme budgeting 97 | | |
| prosperity–austerity cycle 31 | | |
| public see community engagement | | |
| Public Health, ‘new’ 55–67 | | |
| initiatives 59 | | |
| new responsibilities 55 | | |
| tasks for health authorities 55 | | |
| Public Service Agreement (PSA), targets 35 | | |
| public values 28–29 | | |
| Q4C | | |
| choice, patient and service user options 121–122 | | |
| competition 122 | | |
| concentration 121 | | |
| cooperation 122 | | |
| model of markets in health and social care 120–122 | | |
| quality 117–119 | | |
| dependent on range of values 117–118 | | |
| without informing service user 124 | | |
| quality of care, policy statements 123 | | |
| quality of daily life (QALY) 107 | | |
| determination by evidence and values 92 | | |
| Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) 92 | | |
| Quality, Innovation, Productivity and Prevention (QIPP) ‘Right Care’ 104 | | |
| agenda 55 | | |
| health and social care reforms in England 25 | | |
| increasing value in three ways 72 | | |
| model 115 | | |
| programme 131 | | |
| value increase 138 | | |
| workstream 22, 25, 72 | | |
| Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) 104–105 | | |
| NICE indicators 104 | | |
| randomised controlled trials (RCTs) 40 | | |
| rationality structure, clinical decision-making process 41 | | |
| Rawls J., <i>Theory of Justice</i> 93 | | |
| resource allocation 8 | | |
| budget spent by GPs 87 | | |
| cost–benefit or cost–utility 96 | | |
| critical concerns 87–88 | | |
| enforcement 94 | | |
| ethical concerns 88–90 | | |
| ethical robustness 86–87 | | |
| framework for priority-setting 8, 83–99 | | |
| good 84–86 | | |
| limited list 95 | | |
| marginal investment possibilities 96 | | |
| marginal list system 96 | | |
| maximum saving from a cost improvement programme 87 | | |
| Oregon 96, 99 | | |
| practical procedures 95–99 | | |
| process 84–86 | | |
| single point interventions 96 | | |
| responsibility, as a value 109 | | |
| rheumatoid arthritis 38–39 | | |
| right to life 4 | | |
| risk profiles 22 | | |
| segmentation of market 119 | | |
| self-care and shared decision-making 22 | | |

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-60335-6 - Values-Based Commissioning of Health and Social Care

Christopher Heginbotham

Index

[More information](#)

154

Index

- service pathways
 - decision-making 43–44
 - model 34
 - to health improvement 48–49
- service specifications 78
 - free at the point of delivery 17
- service users
 - and care pathways 74–75
 - leadership and management of services 17
 - see also* patients
- shared decision-making 4–5, 77
 - information 4
 - outcomes 4
- shared vision 136–137
- smoking 61
 - related deaths 36
- social care 78
 - espoused values 35
 - integrative commissioning 70
 - neighbourhood care teams 22
 - values 10
 - values-based leadership 136–137
- social care commissioning vii–ix, 11
- social care outcomes 105–109
 - 7 models 105
 - Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit (ASCOT) 108
 - capabilities approach 107
 - capacity for benefit 107
 - eudaimonic models 106–107
 - happiness/hedonistic systems 106
 - mandated welfare models 106
 - Measuring Outcomes for Public Service Users (MOPSU) 108
 - quality of daily life 107
 - Vision for Adult Social Care 108
- social contract 116
- social enterprises 1, 129–130
 - examples 129
- society
 - ‘Big Society’ 44
 - tribal nature 6
- Southern Cross 28
- Spearhead areas, health related behaviour 61
- Spearhead group 35, 44, 58
 - 15 elements 61–63
 - five factors 61
- spiritual values/spirituality 6, 11–12
- stock market crash (2011) 33
- Strategic Health Authorities 26
- systems thinking 135–136
- team learning 136–137
- third sector 1, 119, 127–128
- total purchasing pilots (TPPs) 26
- tribal nature of society 6
- Twitter 20
- US Declaration of Independence 88–89
- US primary care physician practice 124
- USA health care costs 26
 - Oregon resource allocation 96, 99
- utilitarian values 5
- utility definitions 91
- vaccines
 - immunisation programmes 59, 65, 66
 - MMR 65, 66
- ‘value’
 - absolute/individual 139
 - and ‘values’ 138–139
- value chain 131
- value chain analysis model 131
- value stream 131
- values 115
 - aesthetic 5
 - competing 134–135
 - defining vii, 2, 5, 11
 - differences 3–4
 - diversity of 2
 - drive of health system change 125
 - epistemic 5
 - ethical 5
 - extent, variety 13
 - fact–value distinction 5–6
 - facts and values 8–11
 - freedom, fairness, responsibility 109
 - of health vs. health care 6
 - informing evidence 39
 - ontological 5, 6
 - and outcomes 81
 - and preferences 4
- public involvement 47
- public values 28–29
- spiritual 6
- ‘thick’ 5
- universal 4
- utilitarian 5
- vs. ethical principles 3
- values base of government’s reforms 27
- values space 132–133
- values-based commissioning (V-BC) vii–ix, 2–3, 141
 - balanced decision-making 6
 - defining vii, 2, 6
 - diversity and difference 4
 - fourfold challenge viii
 - framework for
 - commissioners 3
 - insights of V-BP 3
 - see also* outcomes-led commissioning
- values-based decision-making *see* values-based practice
- values-based leadership 131–139
 - competing values 134–135
 - health and social care 136–137
 - innovation and transformation 137–138
 - systems thinking 135–136
 - values space 132–133
- values-based practice (V-BP) 1–12, 41, 43–44, 136
 - 10 principles 6–8
 - achieving 139
 - balanced decision-making 13
 - community level 43
 - corollary of evidence-based medicine 38
 - data interplay 9
 - defining vii
 - dissensus 8
 - and E-BP 7–8
 - and E-BS 16
 - emphasis on personalisation 6
 - and evidence-based practice (E-BP) 40
 - group level 43–44
 - guidelines, balancing with values and innovation 16–18
 - illness vs. disease 9
 - individual level 43

| | | Index | 155 |
|--|--|---|-----|
| levels of action and understanding 43–44, 49 | ‘Thin’ and ‘Thick’ systems 22, 22 | well-being, and ADL 70 | |
| mental health services example 19 | two-feet principle 7 | WHO, Commission on the Social Determinants of Health 58 | |
| mental models 136 | Vision for Adult Social Care 108 | World Class Commissioning (WCC) competencis 83–84 | |
| outcomes 10 | Wanless Reports 32–33 | youth work | |
| population level 43 | link with ‘full public engagement’ 36–37 | budget cut, Haringey 55 | |
| resource allocation 85 | three scenarios 32–33 | London riots 31 | |
| resources 3 | welfare systems 106 | withdrawal of funds 31 | |
| squeaky-wheel principle 8 | mandated models 106 | | |