

Sign Language Phonology

A concise overview of key findings and ideas in sign language phonology and its contributions to related fields, including historical linguistics, morphology, prosody, language acquisition, and language creation. Working on sign languages not only provides important new insights on familiar issues, but also poses a whole new set of questions about phonology, because of the use of the visual communication modality. This book lays out the properties needed to recognize a phonological system regardless of its modality. Written by a leading expert in sign language research, the book describes the current state of the field and addresses a range of issues that students and researchers will encounter in their work, as well as highlighting the significant impact that the study of sign languages has had on the field of phonology as a whole. It includes lists of further reading materials, and a full glossary, as well as helpful illustrations that demonstrate the important aspects of sign language structure, even to the most unfamiliar of readers. A text that will be useful to both specialists and general linguists, this book provides the first comprehensive overview of the field.

DIANE BRENTARI is the Mary K. Werkman Professor in the Department of Linguistics at the University of Chicago. She is author or editor of six books including Shaping Phonology (co-edited with Jackson Lee, 2018), Sign Languages: A Cambridge Language Survey (Cambridge University Press, 2010), A Prosodic Model of Sign Language Phonology (1998) and Foreign Vocabulary in Sign Languages: A Crosslinguistic Investigation of Word Formation (2001).



KEY TOPICS IN PHONOLOGY

Key Topics in Phonology focuses on the main topics of study in phonology today. It consists of accessible yet challenging accounts of the most important issues, concepts and phenomena to consider when examining the sound structure of language. Some topics have been the subject of phonological study for many years and are re-examined in this series in light of new developments in the field; others are issues of growing importance that have not so far been given a sustained treatment. Written by leading experts and designed to bridge the gap between textbooks and primary literature, the books in this series can either be used in courses and seminars, or as one-stop, succinct guides to a particular topic for individual students and researchers. Each book includes useful suggestions for further reading, discussion questions and a helpful glossary.

Already Published in the Series:

Neutralization by Daniel Silverman
Underlying Representations by Martin Krämer
Intonation and Prosodic Structure by Caroline Féry
Phonological Tone by Lian-Hee Wee
Sign Language Phonology by Diane Brentari



Sign Language Phonology

DIANE BRENTARI
University of Chicago





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107534094

DOI: 10.1017/9781316286401

© Diane Brentari 2019

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2019 First paperback edition 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Names: Brentari, Diane, author.

Title: Sign language phonology / Diane Brentari.

Description: New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2019. | Series: Key topics

in phonology

Identifiers: LCCN 2019010022 | ISBN 9781107113473 (hardback) Subjects: LCSH: Sign language. | American Sign Language. | Grammar,

Comparative and general - Phonology. | BISAC: LANGUAGE ARTS & DISCIPLINES /

Linguistics / Phonetics & Phonology.

Classification: LCC HV2474 .B724 2019 | DDC 419–dc23 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2019010022

ISBN 978-1-107-11347-3 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-53409-4 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

List of Figures

	List	of Tables	xvi
	Ackı	nowledgments	xvii
1	Intr	oduction: Sign Language versus Gesture; Sign Language	
	vers	sus Speech	1
	1.1	Introduction to the Topics of this Volume	1
	1.2	Historical Perspectives on Sign Language Phonology	4
	1.3	Sign Language and Gesture	6
		1.3.1 Neuroimaging	9
		1.3.2 Conventionalization	10
	1.4	Sign Language and Speech at the Word Level	11
		1.4.1 The Core Lexicon	13
		1.4.2 The Spatial Lexicon	31
		1.4.3 The Non-native Lexicon: Fingerspelling,	
		Mouthings, and the Foreign Component	35
	1.5	New Theoretical Contributions to Sign Language	
		Phonology	39
		1.5.1 Optimality Theory	39
		1.5.2 Cognitive and Usage-Based Approaches	41
		Summary	42
	1.7	Further Reading	42
2	Mod	dality Effects	44
		Why Is Communication Modality So Important?	44
		Signal Differences	46
	2.3	Phonetic Differences: Perception	49
		Phonetic Differences: Articulation	52
	2.5	Complexity	55
		2.5.1 Articulatory Complexity	55
		2.5.2. Frequency as Complexity	57

page x



vi			Contents
		2.5.3 Complexity as Expressed by Order	
		of Acquisition	57
		2.5.4 Complexity as Expressed by Phonological	
		Structure	58
	2.6	Prominence: Single versus Multiple Oscillators	58
	2.7	Modality Effects on Phonology	60
		2.7.1 Movements, Segments, Features: How	
		Are They Organized?	60
		2.7.2 Sonority	62
		2.7.3 Sonority, Movement, and Syllable Nuclei	64
		2.7.4 Syllable Weight	70
		2.7.5 Morphophonology and Word Shape	72
		Summary	74
	2.9	Further Reading	74
3	Ico	nicity	75
		Introduction to Central Issues of Iconicity	
		in Sign Languages	75
		3.1.1 Types of Iconicity	78
		3.1.2 Avoiding the "Gesture-Is-Iconic/Language-	
		Is-Not" Trap: Clarifying the	
		Relevant Terms	79
	3.2	Iconicity across the Lexicon	82
		3.2.1 Iconicity in Word Building: Movement	
		and Event Structure	84
		3.2.2 Arbitrary Distribution of Orientation in Iconic	
		Classifier Constructions	87
		3.2.3 The Feature [Stacked] and Iconicity	88
	3.3	Iconicity in the Grammar: Agreement	90
		Iconicity in Sign Language Processing	94
		Conclusion	96
	3.6	Further Reading	97
4	Into	erfaces	00
4		Introduction to Interface Phenomena	99
	4.1	in Sign Languages	99
	42	The Language-Gesture Interface	101
	7.2	4.2.1 The <i>Inter</i> -modality Gestural Interface	101
		4.2.2 The <i>Intra</i> -modality Gestural Interface	101
	43	Interfaces of Phonology and Other Grammatical	102
	7.0	Components	106
		4.3.1 Phonetics–Phonology Interface: Constrained	100
		Flexibility	107
		1 ichibility	107



Cont	tents		vii
		4.3.2 Morphology-Phonology Interface4.3.3 Prosodic Units and Sentence/Discourse	111
		Meaning	120
		4.3.4 Nondominant Hand (H2)-Spread	126
		Conclusion	130
	4.5	Further Reading	131
5	The	Emergence of Phonology	132
		Introduction to the Issues	132
	5.2	Where Do Phonological Features and Phonological	
		Patterns Come From?	138
	5.3	Applying Internal Phonological Principles	
		to Emerging Sign Languages	141
		5.3.1 The Development of Grammatical Non-manuals	
		and Their Alignment	142
		5.3.2 Dispersion and Handshape Morphology within	
		the Phonemic Space	144
		5.3.3 Repetition: Loss and Reorganization	
		of Iconicity in Distinguishing Nouns	450
	- 4	and Verbs	156
	5.4	External Pressures on a Phonological System	159
		5.4.1 Applying Principles External to the Phonological	100
		System in Emerging Sign Languages 5.4.2 Are Internal or External Factors More Important	160
		in Emerging Phonologies?	162
	5.5	Conclusions	164
		Further Reading	165
		· ·	
6		n Language Phonological Processing	166
		Introduction	166
	6.2	Language Processing of Phonological Units	167
		6.2.1 Production Evidence: Slips of the Hand	167
		6.2.2 Production Evidence: Tip-of-the-Finger	
		Phenomena	168
		6.2.3 Perceptual Evidence: Movement	171
	6.0	6.2.4 Perceptual Evidence: Handshape	178
	6.3	Phonological Processing and Neighborhood	100
	6.1	Density Nouvelogical Mapping of Sign Language Phonology	186 188
		Neurological Mapping of Sign Language Phonology Conclusions	188
		Further Reading	198
	0.0	i ui uici acauliig	エンフ



viii			Contents
7	Sign	n Language Acquisition	200
	7.1	Introduction	200
	7.2	Typical First-Language (L1) Acquisition	203
		7.2.1 Iconicity in L1 Acquisition	204
		7.2.2 IDS and Iconicity	204
		7.2.3 Time Course of L1 Acquisition	
		in ASL Phonology	206
		7.2.4 Acquisition of Classifier Handshapes	212
		7.2.5 Prosodic Acquisition	213
		7.2.6 Acquisition of Fingerspelling	215
	7.3	Second-Language (L2) Acquisition	218
		7.3.1 L2 Acquisition of Sign Parameters	218
		7.3.2 L2 Acquisition and Iconicity	220
		7.3.3 Acquisition of Classifier Constructions in L2	
		Signers	222
		7.3.4 Acquisition of Prosody in L2 Signers	222
	7.4	The Critical Period for Language Acquisition	
		and the "Phonological Bottleneck"	224
	7.5	Connection between Sign Language Phonology	
		and Reading	229
		7.5.1 The Use of Spoken and Signed Phonological	
		Codes in Deaf Readers	230
		7.5.2 Cross-Modal Activation	234
		7.5.3 Phonological Readiness for Reading in Deaf	
		Children	236
		Conclusions	239
	7.7	Further Reading	240
8	Sign	n Language Phonological Variation and Change	241
	8.1	Introduction	241
		8.1.1 Sources of Data	242
		8.1.2 Language Variation, Change, and Emergence	245
	8.2	Synchronic Variation	246
		8.2.1 Synchronic Variation Based on Linguistic	
		Factors	246
		8.2.2 Synchronic Variation Based on Sociolinguistic	2
		Factors	249
	8.3	Diachronic Change	253
		8.3.1 Frishberg's Contribution	253
		8.3.2 Further Developments in ASL Historical	
		Studies	258
	84	Combining Synchronic and Diachronic Sources	261



Contents	1X
8.5 Conclusions	266
8.6 Further Reading	267
8.7 Further Corpus Information	267
Glossary	269
References	274
Index	318



Figures

1.1	The two ASL stellis THINK (left) and SELF (center), which	
	form the compound THINK^SELF	page 5
1.2	Possible mechanisms that can facilitate	
	conventionalization of the signifier-signified	
	relationship	10
1.3	The three components of a sign language lexicon	12
1.4	Minimal pair contrasts in American Sign Language (ASL)	
	and British Sign Language (BSL)	16
1.5	Confusion matrix of phonological features employed	
	in Lane et al. (1976)	22
1.6	The features and parameters of ASL represented as a flat	
	structure (ca. 1980)	23
1.7	The ASL sign inform	24
1.8	Four post-Stokoe models of sign language phonological	
	representation	25
1.9	Hierarchical organization of selected fingers	
	and orientation	28
1.10	Binary branching nodes of the handshape feature	
	structure in the Prosodic model (Brentari, 1998)	29
1.11	Polymorphemic classifier predicate in ASL meaning	
	two-people–hunched–go forward–carefully	32
1.12	The timing of the two hands' articulation in classifier	
	constructions hints at constituent structure	34
1.13	The ASL manual alphabet	36
1.14	The BSL manual alphabet	36
1.15	Partially lexicalized fingerspelled forms in BSL and ASL	37
1.16	Closed and open variants of -E- in ASL fingerspelling	38
2.1	Typical and distalized production of the ASL sign HARD	54
2.2	The joints of the arm that generate movements in sign	
	languages, from largest to smallest with their associated	
	phonological features	59

X



List o	of Figures	xi
2.3	The hierarchical organization of movement subtypes in the Prosodic model	61
2.4	Results from Berent et al. (2008) for Korean, showing that a spoken language with no consonant clusters	
2.5	respects the Sonority Sequencing Principle (SSP) (repeated from Figure 1.1) THINK has a movement when produced as a single sign, but not in the compound	63
D. C	THINK [^] SELF	65
2.6	The full and reduced fingerspelled form in ASL for P-H-O-N-O-L-O-G-Y	66
2.7	Sample stimuli from Berent et al. (2013) with four possible combinations of syllables and morphemes	68
2.8	Results from the four experiments in Berent et al. (2013)	69
2.9	Examples of word structure in the four types of languages, resulting from crossing the number of syllables with	03
	the number of morphemes	73
3.1	The distinctive use of the [direction] feature in the ASL minimal pair TEND and BE-MOVED/TOUCHED	81
3.2	Telic and atelic verbs according to the Event Visibility Hypothesis (Wilbur, 2010) and their schematic	01
	phonological representations	85
3.3	Distribution of phonological and morphological uses of orientation in ASL classifier predicates	88
3.4	Examples of [stacked] handshapes in the three components of the ASL lexicon	90
3.5	Examples of morphological use of the [direction] feature in	
3.6	ASL Examples of the phonological expression of verb	91
	agreement in ASL	92
3.7	Sample stimuli from Bosworth & Emmorey (2010) showing semantically related iconic, semantically related non-iconic, and unrelated non-iconic ASL signs	96
3.8	Possible mechanisms that can facilitate conventionalization of the signifier-signified	30
	relationships	97
4.1	Three forms of understand: the citation form, understand-a-little, and undo-understanding	
	utilizing phonemic features of the handshape	103
4.2	Handshapes in core, foreign, and spatial vocabulary to investigate phonetic preferences	108
4.3	The [stacked] feature used allophonically in the ASL	100
	"core" sign see	109



X11	List of Fig	gures
4.4	Flexion of the metacarpal joint used allophonically	
	in two ASL classifier forms: person move away	
	and person come towards	110
4.5	Examples of different forms of Prosodic words in ASL	115
4.6	The ASL sentence BOY SAY YOU MY FRIEND without	
	and with a point-of-view predicate	118
4.7	Changes in the non-manual markers between the two	
	I-phrases in a conditional phrase of Israeli Sign Language	124
4.8	The progressive spread of the nondominant hand in	
	a phonological phrase in ASL	127
4.9	The progressive spread of the nondominant hand in two	
	parenthetical phrases in ASL	128
4.10	The proportion of H2-Spread in conversation and	
	in narratives in Sign Language of the Netherlands	129
5.1	Descriptions of motion and location events by	
	an American gesturer and a signer of ASL	133
5.2	Examples of object handshapes and handling handshapes	146
5.3	Feature organization for joints and selected fingers	
	within the Handshape structure of the Prosodic model	146
5.4	Handshapes with low, medium, and high joint complexity	
	within the Handshape structure of the Prosodic model	147
5.5	Handshapes with low, medium, and high selected finger	
	complexity within the Handshape structure of the Prosodic	
	model	147
5.6	Examples of low-, medium-, and high-complexity	
	handshapes for joints and selected fingers	148
5.7	Examples of vignettes used to elicit object handshapes	
	(left) and handling handshapes (right)	149
5.8	Average overall complexity across adult study groups	
	in Nicaragua	150
5.9	Joint and selected finger complexity across signers	
	and gesturers in the United States, China, Italy, and	
	Nicaragua	152
5.10	Selected finger complexity in adult signers, homesigners,	
	and gesturers	154
5.11	Average finger group complexity for a single Nicaraguan	
	child at five time points	154
5.12	Comparison of NSL1, NSL 2, and NSL3 signers	
	on the four types of devices used to express the noun-verb	
	distinction	157
5.13	Comparison of homesigners on the four types	
	of devices used to express the noun-verb distinction	158



List o	f Figures	xiii
5.14	Two variants of DOG and one family's form	
	of EGG in Al-Sayyid Bedouin Sign Language	161
6.1	A slip of the hand in German Sign Language involving	
	regressive assimilation of the whole handshape	170
6.2	A slip of the hand in ASL involving regressive assimilation	
	of the selected fingers	170
6.3	Perceptual salience judgments from signers and	
	non-signers for lexical and morphological movements	174
6.4	Example stimuli of Berent et al. (2016)	177
6.5	The two parts of an ideal categorical perception result	179
6.6	Handshape intervals for a phonemic and allophonic	
	handshape pair in ASL	181
6.7	Example stimuli from Best et al. (2010) with intervals	
	for a spread and unspread handshape	
	(pseudosigns)	182
6.8	Sample stimuli from the animated video stimuli used	
	in Morford et al. (2008) with intervals for a phonemic	
	handshape pair in ASL	182
6.9	Sample stimuli from McCullough and Emmorey (2009)	
	showing intervals for ASL grammatical and affective	
	facial expressions	183
6.10	Example stimulus handshapes and signs used in Eccarius	
	(2008) for flat and round handshapes	185
6.11	Regions in the left hemisphere attributed to language	
	processing	190
6.12	Phonemic substitutions in selected finger specifications for	
	handshapes produced by an aphasic ASL signer	192
6.13	Example stimuli from MacSweeney et al. (2004) showing	
	sequences of complex forms in a nonlinguistic system	
	("TicTac") and British Sign Language (BSL)	194
6.14	Stimuli from the three tasks in MacSweeney et al. (2008)	
	to assess phonological processing in BSL	195
7.1	Low- and high-frequency cyclical movements in sign- and	
	speech-exposed infants	207
7.2	Error rates on the three manual parameters of signs	
	in four deaf children of deaf parents	209
7.3	Stages of handshape acquisition	211
7.4	Recall of fingerspelled English words in deaf readers when	
	similarity/dissimilarity was based on spoken English or	
	on the manual form of the fingerspelled word	217
7.5	Mean frequency of proximalization versus distalization	
	of movement in the imitation of single- and	



xiv	List of Fi	gures
	multiple-jointed ASL signs in deaf and hearing	
	L2 learners	219
7.6	The interaction between iconicity and complexity	
	in L2 BSL production	221
7.7	Schema of the hypotheses considered in Brentari	
	et al. (2012b) regarding the prosodic patterns of L1 deaf,	
	L1 hearing, and L2 hearing ASL signers	223
7.8	Evidence for the "phonological bottleneck." Effects of	
	late L1 acquisition showing that as age of acquisition	
	of an L1 increases, the number of phonological errors	
	increases, and semantic errors decrease	227
7.9	Mean phonological and semantic priming effects for	
	ASL sign recognition as a function of age of	
	acquisition	228
7.10	Sample items from the phoneme judgment task	
	and phoneme manipulation task reported in Hirshorn	
	et al. (2015)	232
8.1	Variants of the ASL sign ANGRY showing historical	
	change from a one- to a two-handed variant and then	- · -
	back to a one-handed variant again	245
8.2	The ASL sentence translated into English as <i>I cook</i>	
	articulated in citation form and with regressive	0.45
0.0	assimilation	247
8.3	The ASL sign DEAF in citation form and in two	240
0.4	non-citation variants	248
8.4	The ASL sign KNOW in citation form and in a non-citation	
	variant after undergoing the phonological rule of	249
0 =	displacement The grid word in McCookill et al. (2011) to determine	249
8.5	The grid used in McCaskill et al. (2011) to determine if a sign was produced in "typical" signing space or in a	
	larger signing space, illustrated by two variants of the	250
0.6	ASL sign LIGHT The ASL sign QUIET in citation form and after undergoing	250
8.6	the phonological rule of Weak Drop	251
8.7	Normalized pairwise variability index plotted for	231
0.7	Sign Variety ((m)ainstream, (b)lack), Age (older (squares),	
	younger (circles)), and Gender ((m)ale, (f)emale) for	
	twenty-three individuals	252
8.8	Phonological changes from Old French Sign Language	434
0.0	(O-FSL) to Modern ASL (ca. 1965) showing a move towards	
	concentration of lexical information on the hands in	
	the sign COMPARE	255
	the sign countake	433



f Figures	XV
Phonological changes from Old French Sign Language (O-FSL) to Modern ASL (ca. 1965) showing displacement toward the midline in the ASL sign HELP (top)	
and sweetheart (bottom)	256
ě	257
(repeated from Figure 1.1) The two ASL stems тнінк (left)	
and SELF (center), which form the compound	
THINK SELF translated as decide for oneself (right).	
The compound (right) has two morphemes but just	
one syllable, just one movement	258
Three historical variants of the ASL sign who	259
Historically related ASL signs (left) wнісн, wiтн,	
and Boss/CHIEF	260
The ASL sign Full and Italian Sign Language sign BOTTLE.	
	264
<u>-</u>	
	264
Form of SIGN LANGUAGE used by older and	
v v	
	265
	Phonological changes from Old French Sign Language (O-FSL) to Modern ASL (ca. 1965) showing displacement toward the midline in the ASL sign help (top) and sweetheart (bottom) A Type 3, Type 2, and Type 1 two-handed version of the ASL sign whiskey (repeated from Figure 1.1) The two ASL stems think (left) and self (center), which form the compound think^self translated as decide for oneself (right). The compound (right) has two morphemes but just one syllable, just one movement Three historical variants of the ASL sign who Historically related ASL signs (left) which, with,



Tables

2.1	Differences between signed and spoken languages	
	at the level of the signal, phonetic system,	
	and phonological system	page 46
4.1	Differences between affective and grammatical facial	
	expressions	105
4.2	Morpho-syntactic and corresponding prosodic units	107
4.3	The distribution of the number of morae in core lexical	
	stems of FinSL	113
5.1	Narrative by one of the first users of Al-Sayyid Bedouin	
	Sign Language (ABSL; cf. Sandler et al., 2011b)	142
5.2	Narrative by one of the two older signers of ABSL	
	(cf. Sandler et al., 2011b)	143
5.3	Narrative by one of the two younger signers of ABSL	
	(cf. Sandler et al., 2011b)	144
6.1	Comparison of signed and spoken language "slips"	
	in German Sign Language and German	169
7.1	Sample sentence pairs for the discrimination task	
	reported in Boechner et al. (2011)	220

xvi



Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my colleagues who provided support while I was preparing this manuscript by reading chapters or helping with the manuscript preparation itself (in alphabetical order): Iris Berent, Rain Bosworth, Marie Coppola, Karen Emmorey, Jordan Fenlon, Laura Horton, Aurora Martinez del Rio, Kathryn Montemurro, and Gary Morgan. Also, special thanks to my sign language phonology seminar in the fall of 2018 that helped me iron out the final kinks of the text and to Petra Eccarius who assisted with excellent comments, as well as editorial and logistical help. All of you helped to make the text clearer and more readable. All of the mistakes are my own.

I'd like to acknowledge my funding sources that helped support this work over the years from NSF grants 0112391, 0547554, 1227908, and 1400998. I would also like to thank the Center for Gesture Sign and Language at the University of Chicago, particularly my co-directors Susan Goldin-Meadow and Anastasia Giannakidou, for the most stimulating group of colleagues and students one could ever hope for.

I am extremely grateful to Susan Elizabeth Rangel and David Reinhart for being the sign language models for the original photos in this book, and to Andrew Gabel, Rita Mowl, Drucilla Ronchen, and Robin Shay for their help and advice on many issues concerning ASL and the Deaf community. And a big thank you also goes to all of the deaf and hearing people – too numerous to name – who helped with this research over these last twenty years in Nicaragua, Italy, the United Kingdom, Hong Kong, and many other sites. Without your patience and generosity, this work would not be possible.

And, always, thank you to my husband and conversation partner in all things, Arnold I. Davidson, who is a constant reminder of not only how important the life of the mind is but also how important it is to have someone with whom to share it.

xvii