ΑΡΙΣΤΟΦΑΝΟΥΣ

ΙΠΠΗΣ.

ΥΠΟΘΕΣΕΙΣ.

I.

Τὸ δρâμα τοῦτο ποιεῖται εἰς Κλέωνα, τὸν ἀΑθηναίων δημα-γωγόν. ὑπόκειται¹ δὲ ὡς Παφλαγὼν νεώνητος, δουλεύων τῷ Δήμω, καὶ προαγόμενος παρ' αὐτῶ περιττότερον. ἐπιτιθεμένων δε αὐτῷ δυοῖν τοῖν ὁμοδούλοιν, καὶ κατά τινα λόγια πονηρία διάσημον άλλαντοπώλην Αγοράκριτον ἐπαγόντοιν, ὃς ἐπιτροπεύσει τοῦ δήμου τῶν Ἀθηναίων, αὐτοὶ οἱ Ἀθηναίων Ἱππεῖς συλλαβόντες έν χορού σχήματι παραφαίνονται· ύφ' ών προπηλακιζόμενος ό Κλέων άγανακτεί, καὶ διενεχθεὶς ίκανῶς περὶ τοῦ ἀνώτερος² εἶναι των έναντιουμένων, σφάς ώς συνομωμοκότας κατά της πόλεως (διαβαλών)³ πρός την βουλην ίεται· διώξαντος δε και του άλλαντοπώλου κατά πόδας, οί Ἱππεις περί τε τοῦ ποιητοῦ τινα καὶ τῶν προγόνων, έτι δε και των συγκινδυνευόντων σφίσιν επι ταις μάχαις ίππων⁴, πρός τούς πολίτας άδροτέρως διαλέγονται. ό δὲ ἀλλαντοπώλης περιγεγενημένος έν βουλή μάλα γελοίως τοῦ Κλέωνος, καὶ λοιδορούμενος αὖθις αὐτῷ προσέρχεται· ἐκκαλεσαμένου δὲ τοῦ Κλέωνος τὸν Δημον, προσελθών οὖτος διαφερομένων ἀκροâται. λόγων δέ πολλών γενομένων κατά τοῦ Κλέωνος, τοῦ 'Αγορακρίτου μάλ' έντέχνως τοις έπινοήμασι και ταις θωπείαις, και προσέτι ταῖς ἐκ τῶν λογίων ὑπερβολαῖς κρατοῦντος, κατὰ μικρὸν τοῖς λόγοις ό Δήμος συνεφέλκεται. δείσαντος δε τοῦ Κλέωνος κάπλ τὸ ψωμίζειν τὸν Δῆμον ὁρμήσαντος, ἀντιψωμίζειν ἅτερος ἐγχειρεί. καὶ τέλος τοῦ Δήμου τὴν ἑκατέρου κίστην συνέντος, εἶτα τῆς μέν κενής, τής δε τοῦ Κλέωνος μεστής εύρεθείσης, έλεγχθεις αὐτὸς ὡς

The arguments are not given in R. I follow the readings of V in the main.

¹ This word, so common in grammarians' Greek, correlative to $\dot{v}\pi\delta\theta\epsilon\sigma s$, may here be rendered *presented* on the stage: $\dot{\epsilon}va\rho\mu\delta\tau\tau\sigma\nu$ $\tau\hat{\psi}$ $\dot{v}\pi\sigma\kappa\epsilon\iota\mu\epsilon\nu\psi$ $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\dot{\omega}\pi\psi$ Plut. quom. adol. 3. 18 B. So \dot{o} $\dot{v}\pi\sigma\kappa\epsilon\iota\mu\epsilon\nu\sigmas$ $\kappa\alpha\iota\rho\deltas$, present time)($\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\kappa\epsilon\iota\mu\epsilon\nu\sigmas$ perfect, as Athen. ix 409 B.

² άλογώτερος V.

4 om. V &c.

³ Supplied by Bergk.

I---2

4

ΥΠΟΘΕΣΕΙΣ

περιφανώς τὰ τοῦ Δήμου κλέπτων, εἶκει θατέρω τῆς ἐπιτροπείας. μετὰ ταῦτα δὲ τοῦ ἀλλαντοπώλου τὸν Δῆμον ἀφεψήσαντος, εἶτα νεώτερον ἐξαυτῆς ἐς τοὐμφανὲς γεγονότα προάγοντος, Κλέων περικείμενος τὴν ᾿Αγορακρίτου σκευὴν ἐπὶ παραδειγματισμῷ διὰ μέσης πόλεως ἀλλαντοπωλῶν ἀνὰ μέρος, καὶ τῆ τέχνῃ χρησάμενος¹ πέμπεται, καὶ ἡ ἐπιτροπὴ τῷ ἀλλαντοπώλῃ παραδίδοται. τὸ δὲ δρâμα τῶν ἄγαν καλῶς πεποιημένων.

II.

ΑΛΛΩΣ.

Ο σκοπὸς αὐτῷ πρὸς τὸ καθελεῖν Κλέωνα. οὖτος γὰρ βυρσοπώλης ῶν ἐκράτει τῶν Ἀθηναίων ἐκ προφάσεως τοιαύτης. Ἀθηναῖοι πόλιν Πύλου¹, λεγομένην Σφακτηρίαν, ἐπολιόρκουν διὰ Δημοσθένους στρατηγοῦ καὶ Νικίου· ῶν στρατηγῶν χρονισάντων ἐδυσχέραινον οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι. καὶ εἰς ἐκκλησίαν συνελθόντων αὐτῶν καὶ ἀδημονούντων, Κλέων τις βυρσοπώλης ἀναστὰς ὑπέσχετο δεσμίους φέρειν τοὺς ὑπεναντίους εἴσω εἴκοσιν ἡμερῶν, εἰ στρατηγὸς αἰρεθείη· ὅπερ καὶ γέγονε. κατὰ τὰς ὑποσχέσεις οὖν ἐστρατήγει, κυκῶν τὴν πόλιν. ἐφ' οἶς μὴ ἐνεγκὼν Ἀριστοφάνης καθίησι τὸ τῶν Ἱππέων δρâμα δι' αὐτοῦ, ἐπεὶ τῶν σκευοποιῶν οὐδεἰς ἐπλάσατο τὸ τοῦ Κλέωνος πρόσωπον διὰ φόβον. καὶ τὰ μὲν πρῶτα κύπτει φοβούμενος· εἶτα προφανεὶς αὐτὸς ἀνεδίδαξε τὸ δρâμα.

'Εοικεν ὁ προλογίζων εἶναι Δημοσθένης, ὀς ἐκεκμήκει περὶ τὴν Πύλου πολιορκίαν, ἀφηρέθη δὲ τὴν στρατηγίαν ὑπὸ Κλέωνος, ὑποσχομένου τότε τοῖς 'Αθηναίοις παραστήσασθαι τὴν Πύλον εἴσω εἴκοσιν ἡμερῶν ὁ καὶ κατώρθωσε διὰ τὸ πλεῖστα τῆς ἀλώσεως προπεπονῆσθαι Δημοσθένει. ἔοικε δὲ ὡς ἐπὶ οἰκίας δεσποτικῆς ποιεῖσθαι τὸν λόγον. εἶη δ' ἀν δεσπότης ὁ Δῆμος, οἰκία ἡ πόλις. οἰκέται δὲ δύο τοῦ Δήμου προλογίζουσι, κακῶς πάσχοντες ὑπὸ Κλέωνος. ὁ δὲ χορὸς ἐκ τῶν ἱππέων ἐστίν, οῦ καὶ ἐζημίωσαν τὸν Κλέωνα πέντε ταλάντοις ἐπὶ δωροδοκία ἀλόντα. λέγουσι δὲ τῶν οἰκετῶν τὸν μὲν εἶναι Δημοσθένην, τὸν δὲ Νικίαν, ἵνα ὦσι δημηγόροι οἱ δύο.

¹ Sic MSS.

ΥΠΟΘΕΣΕΙΣ

'Εδιδάχθη τὸ δρâμα ἐπὶ Στρατοκλέους ἄρχοντος δημοσία εἰς Λήναια, δι' αὐτοῦ τοῦ 'Αριστοφάνους. πρῶτος ἐνίκα· δεύτερος Κρατῖνος Σατύροις¹· τρίτος 'Αριστομένης 'Υλοφόροις¹. 'Ιστέον ὅτι εἰς τέτταρα μέρη διήρητο ὁ δήμος τῶν 'Αθηναίων,

είς πεντακοσιομεδίμνους, είς ίππέας, είς ζευγίτας και είς θητας².

III.

ΑΡΙΣΤΟΦΑΝΟΥΣ ΓΡΑΜΜΑΤΙΚΟΥ.

Παράγει τινὰ Κλέωνα, τὸν καλούμενον Παφλαγόνα, κἄτι βυρσοπώλην, πικρότατα κατεσθίοντά πως τὰ κοινὰ χρήματα· καὶ παραλογισμῷ διαφέροντ' ἐρρωμένως ἀλλαντοπώλην, εὐθέως τε σκατοφάγον³, πεισθέντα τ' ἐπιθέσθαι σὺν ἱππεῦσίν τισιν, ἐν τῷ χορῷ παροῦσι, τῇ τῶν πραγμάτων ἀρχỹ· Κλέωνός τ' ἐν μέσῷ κατηγορεῖ. ἐγένετο τοῦτ'· ἐξέπεσεν ὁ Κλέων παγκάκως· ὁ δὲ σκατοφάγος ἔτυχε προεδρίας καλῆς.

Aristophanes of Byzantium set the fashion of giving an argument $(\dot{v}\pi \delta\theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s)$ as necessary in a proper edition of a play: and many of the extant arguments, especially the metrical ones, are attributed to him; though the latter were no doubt written long after his decease (Nauck's Aristoph. Byz. pp. 252—, Wilam. *Herakles* ed. I, i p. 145). We naturally find these arguments most numerous in the case of the two plays read first—*Plutus* and *Nubes: Thesm.* has none. The historical style of the second argument suggests the same hand as in the second arguments to *Nub. Pax Av.*

¹ No fragments of these two plays have been preserved.

² This remark of course has little to do with the case: the cavalry was no doubt drawn from both the $\pi\epsilon\nu\tau\alpha\kappa\sigma\sigma\iotao\mu\epsilon\delta\iota\mu\nu\sigma\iota$ and the $i\pi\pi\eta$ s of the Solonian division: see Martin, *Cavaliers Athéniens*, pp. 308—.

³ "Simply a coarse buffoon": cf. κοπρίαs.

5

ΤΑ ΤΟΥ ΔΡΑΜΑΤΟΣ ΠΡΟΣΩΠΑ.

ΟΙΚΕΤΗΣ Α' (ΔΗΜΟΣΘΕΝΗΣ). ΟΙΚΕΤΗΣ Β' (ΝΙΚΙΑΣ). ΑΛΛΑΝΤΟΠΩΛΗΣ (ΑΓΟΡΑΚΡΙΤΟΣ). ΠΑΦΛΑΓΩΝ (ΚΛΕΩΝ). ΧΟΡΟΣ ΙΠΠΕΩΝ. ΔΗΜΟΣ.

The MSS. which contain this list (R omits it) give $\Delta \eta \mu o\sigma \theta \ell \nu \eta s$, $N \iota \kappa l a s$, $K \lambda \ell \omega \nu$, not olkérns a', olkérns β' , $\Pi a \phi \lambda a \gamma \omega \nu$. It is plain however from the second argument that these characters' names, which never occur in the play, were not given in the early copies: probably the names would have been felt as inconsistent with their stagecharacter as slaves, though their identity would be unmistakeable. So in the *Acharnians*, Euripides' slave was no doubt meant for Cephisophon, but he is never called by that name.

Παφλαγών, as slaves commonly had no individual name, merely the name of their race: Λυδδs Θρậττα Σύρα Καρίων Cappadox Geta and perhaps Davus are such names and throw some light on the chief sources of the slave-supply at various periods¹. Paphlagonian slaves would come from the Euxine pirates and the Sinope market.

A name for a Paphlagonian slave, common in later times, was Tíβιos, cf. Leuco in Kock's Fragm. Com. i p. 704, Strabo vii 304 c, Lucian Timon 22, salt. 29 τδ καταγέλαστον...οΐα Δάων και Τιβίων και μαγείρων πρόσωπα.

Hyperbolus was presented on the stage as $\Lambda v \delta ds$ by Plato, fr. 170.

Παφλαγών is of course intended to suggest παφλάζω, as expressly said in 919, Pax 314: that word is used of Hyperides' oratory by Timocles fr. 15, of barbarous speech by Eubulus fr. 109, of spluttering talk by Hippocrates epidem. ii 5. 2. p. 1040 Foës.

¹ In the list of sixteen slaves belonging to Cephisodorus, an attainted Hermocopid, at least fifteen have names of this kind $\Sigma i\rho \sigma s$, $\Lambda v \delta \eta$, $K \delta \rho \pi a \hat{s} \& c.$ Corp. Inscr. Att. i 277. 16 (Hicks Gr. Hist. Inscr. p. 104). A slave's name was accordingly a shorter word than the compound which was the normal form of a free Greek's name : hence we find $\delta \iota \sigma i \lambda a \beta o \iota$ Athen. xiv $\delta 14$ E meaning slaves.

ΑΡΙΣΤΟΦΑΝΟΥΣ ΙΠΠΗΣ.

ΟΙ. Α. Ἰατταταιὰξ τῶν κακῶν, ἰατταταί. κακῶς Παφλαγόνα τὸν νεώνητον κακόν αὐταῖσι βουλαῖς ἀπολέσειαν οἱ θεοί.

1. larraral MSS. larraraî edd. since Dindorf, following the grammarians' rule that rà $\sigma\chi\epsilon\tau\lambda\iotaa\sigma\tau\iota\kappa\dot{a}\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\sigma\pi\dot{a}\tau\iota$ (see Chandler Greek Accent. § 897). But the rule was not always kept, rà $\sigma\chi\epsilon\tau\lambda\iotaa\sigma\tau\iota\kappa\dot{a}$ où $\pi\epsilon\phi\rho\delta\nu\tau\iota\kappa\epsilon\tau\hat{\eta}s$ $d\kappa\rho\iota\betao\hat{v}s$ extendias Herodian i 507. 5 Lentz. From Arcadius 183. 18 $\dot{\eta}$ ourifieta délivet rò mamal kal àraral it might be inferred that $-\tau a\hat{i}$ would appear in Tragedy (so Soph. Phil. 790 &c.), $-\tau al$ in Comedy, and MSS. always give $-\tau al$ in Aristoph., except that R gives àrraraî in parody as Ach. 1190, Nub. 707.

1. The -d\xi is comic, $\beta a\beta aid\xi$, $\pi a\pi aid\xi$, $\epsilon \dot{\nu} d\xi$ Plaut. Bacch. 247; so $\beta o\mu\beta d\xi$, $\pi\nu\pi\pi d\xi$, $\epsilon \dot{\nu} pa\xi \pi a\tau d\xi$, $\pi d\xi$ Diphilus 96, Herondas 7. 114, Plaut. Trin. 889: more serious $\pi \delta \pi a\xi$ Aesch. Eum. 143, and perhaps $\delta \mu \pi a\xi$ (Lobeck Aglaoph. 780). No doubt the Greeks felt the ξ sound to be clumsy as the Romans did (Cic. orator 153). $\delta \pi \tau \pi a\tau$ seems to be used not only in pain, but also in remonstrance, tut-tut, cf. Ran. 57.

τῶν κακῶν 'confound it all,' as olµou τῶν κακῶν (Plut. 389, Luc. pisc. 3), an exclamation recommended to a vulgar orator by Lucian rhet. praec. 19: φοῦ τῶν κακῶν Epicharmus (p. 251 Lor.) ap. Athen. vii 277 F. [Such phrases hardly occur in tragedy: Eurip. Her. 224 is probably spurious; Soph. OC 982 has ῶμοι μοι κακῶν (the passage has been suspected): Eurip. Hel. 1223 ol 'γῶ τῶν ἐμῶν τλήμων κακῶν is different: and Lucian fugit. 33 calls φeῦ τῶν κακῶν, ότοτοῖ, παπαιπαιάξ a quotation from tragedy only in ridicule.] In colloquial Greek and Latin, κακὸs and malus were constantly used with a meaning that would in modern times be expressed by an imprecation. 'Bad' is a poor rendering in hundreds of such cases: τί κακόν; Thesm. 1080 is quid, malum?: $\kappa \alpha \kappa l \zeta \omega$ is 'swear at': and the $\kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \delta a \iota_{\mu \sigma \nu \sigma \tau a l}$ mentioned by Lysias were an Athenian 'Hell-fire Club.' The use is more common in Latin: malum was the common imprecation of a Roman, and the adjective has a similar meaning in many such cases as Horace's mali culices, Catullus' malus liber (44. 21) and malae tenebrae: male mulcatus (as Cicero Brutus 88, Phaedrus i 3. 9) is a weaker form of Lord Wharton's 'damnably mauled.' The words $\dot{\alpha}\gamma \alpha \partial \delta s$ kands bonus malus, so obscure in origin, may all have had a religious meaning once: this would suit their social and political usage, as nobility were $\delta \iota \sigma g \nu \epsilon \tilde{c}$, and also such cases as mala lingua, malum carmen.

2. The combination kakds kakds is of course constant: here the order of words is uncommon, as the kakds rarely comes first (see Elmsley on Eurip. Med. 787) and the kakdw after another adjective is awkward. Possibly there is a quotation or parody of something in tragedy: the rhythm of 2-5 suggests this. vedwyrow not so much because his importance was recent (four years in Attic politics gave a good standing) as because he was a norus homo, no olkoyew's or olkotput, as the regular stageslave was (Plut. comp. Ar. et Men. 2. 853 E).

8

ΑΡΙΣΤΟΦΑΝΟΥΣ

έξ οὗ γὰρ εἰσήρρησεν εἰς τὴν οἰκίαν, πληγὰς ἀεὶ προστρίβεται τοῖς οἰκέταις.

OI. B. κάκιστα δηθ'ουτός γε πρώτος Παφλαγόνων αὐταῖς διαβολαῖς. ΟΙ. Α. ὦ κακόδαιμον, πῶς ἔχεις;

ΟΙ. Β. κακώς καθάπερ σύ. ΟΙ. Α. δεῦρο δὴ πρόσελθ', ίνα

ξυναυλίαν κλαύσωμεν Ούλύμπου νόμον.

ΟΙ. Α καί Β. μύ μῦ μὐ μῦ μὐ μῦ μὐ μῦ μῦ μῦ μῦ μῦ μῦ ΟΙ. Α. τί κινυρόμεθ' ἄλλως; οὐκ ἐχρῆν ζητεῖν τινα ΙΙ σωτηρίαν νῷν, ἀλλὰ μὴ κλάειν ἔτι; ΟΙ Β. τίο οὖν φίνουσ' ἄν: Σόρις σύ ΟΙ Δ. σύ μὲν

ΟΙ. Β. τίς οὖν γένοιτ' ἀν; λέγε σύ. ΟΙ. Α. σὺ μεν οὖν μοι λέγε,

5. rods olkéras R. 8. $\delta\eta$ R. $\nu\partial\nu$ the other MSS. 13–16. I keep the MS. arrangement, though with hesitation. Editors generally adopt the proposals of K. F. Hermann (*Progymn.* 3. p. 21) and Beer (*Zahl d. Schausp. bei Aristoph.* p. 149), giving :-

4. In Attic ἕρρω has always a sense of contempt or misfortune: the Laconic ἕρρει τὰ κᾶλα in the famous despatch given by Xen. Hell. i I. 23 might be Athenian as far as the verb is concerned. It is by no means confined to comedy: ἕρρει πᾶσ' 'λφροδίτα Aesch. Agam. &c.

Αφρούτα Aesch. Agam. &C. 5. πληγάς προστρίβεται gets them beaten: the nearest parallel is given by πληγάς or κονδύλους ἐντρίβευν, ἐντρίβεσθαι Cobet VL p. 223: προστρίβεσθαι δόξαν, ἀδοξίαν Demosth. Androt. 75 (repeated Timocr. 183), cf. i Aristog. 52, Antipho Tetral. γ 2.8. Cf. also the use of the compounds of όμόργνυμι and σμάω.

 γ 2. 8. C.i. also the use of the compounds of δμόργνυμι and σμάω. **6.** δητα is common in responses as Pax 978, Ran. 552: δητα. γε Soph. OC 537, οὐ δητα. γε OC 810 OT 1377, μη δητα. γε Aj. 111 &c. ἀπόλοιτο is easily supplied from ἀπολέσειαν. For πρῶτος Παφλ. cf. οἰμώξει μακρὰ πρῶτος μαγείρων Diphilus 43. 37.

43. 37. 7. κακοδαίμων 'poor devil' was barely a serious word. It occurs only once in tragedy, in Hippolytus' cries, $\tau \delta \nu$ κακοδαίμουα και κατάρατον Eurip. Hipp. 1362, once in the Orators Antiph. Herod. 43, where it is almost colloquial (confounded fool, cf. κακοδαιμονῶ), as it is in Plato Rep. iv 440 A, Symp. 173 C, and perhaps Meno 78 A (the only cases in Plato). Aristotle, who has $\epsilon v \delta a l \mu \omega v$ so often, avoids it altogether: so do Thucydides and Xenophon.

5

9. $O\partial\lambda \phi \mu \pi o v$ because the rhythm and tone are tragic. To this famous Phrygian or Mysian master were attributed the development of flute music, the first composition of music without words ($\mu o v \sigma \kappa \eta$) $\kappa \rho o v \mu a \tau \kappa \eta$), and the invention of the Phrygian and Lydian modes. The points here are their whining tone, like Lydian music, and the want of words, $\mu \partial$ $\mu \hat{v}$ being only $\kappa \rho o \hat{v} \mu a \tau$ or $\tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau \delta \mu a \tau$ (Aristot. *Problem.* xix 10). $\xi v \sigma a \lambda l a \tau$, in apposition apparently to $v \delta \mu o \tau$, implied that no articulate words were sung to the notes Semus ap. Athen. xiv $\delta 18$ A. Cf. Theopompus com. $\delta 4$ T $\epsilon \lambda a \mu \hat{\omega} \rho s o l \mu \hat{\omega}$ $j o v \tau \epsilon d \lambda i j \lambda o s \mu \ell \lambda \eta$. **11—12.** 'Why this silly whimpering?'

11—12. 'Why this silly whimpering?' $\kappa w i \rho \rho \mu a \iota$ may be from the same root as whine, or, less probably, from the Phoenician kinnör, the $\kappa w i \rho a$: this seems the only case of its use outside serious poetry. The rhythm changes markedly from comic to tragic as he passes from one course to another. $d\lambda \lambda a \mu \eta$ 'and not': the Greeks emphasize the contrast, and say $d\lambda \lambda' o v_{\eta}$ $d\lambda \lambda a \mu \eta$, rarely $\kappa a l o v_{\eta}$, $\kappa a l \mu \eta$.

ΙΠΠΗΣ

ΟΙ. Β. μὰ τὸν Ἀπόλλω γώ ίνα μη μάχωμαι. μέν ού.

άλλ' είπε θαρρών, είτα κάγώ σοι φράσω. 15 ΟΙ. Α. πως αν σύ μοι λέξειας άμε χρη λέγειν;

ΟΙ. Β. άλλ' ούκ ένι μοι το θρέττε. πως αν ούν ποτε είποιμ' άν αύτο δητα κομψευριπικώς;

ΟΙ. Α. μή μοί γε, μή μοι, μη διασκανδικίσης άλλ' εύρέ τιν' άπόκινον άπό τοῦ δεσπότου. 20

NIK. τίς οῦν γένοιτ' ἄν; ΔΗΜ. λέγε σύ. ΝΙΚ. σὺ μὲν οῦν μοι λέγε ἴνα μὴ μάχωμαι. ΔΗΜ. μὰ τὸν Ἀπόλλω 'γὼ μὲν οῦ. Besides this, Sauppe's proposal (ep. crit. ad Herm. p. 111) to transpose 15 and 16 has found support from Mein. Dind. Vels. Ribb. Bergk.

14. $\ln a \mu \eta \mu d \chi \omega \mu a \iota$ has been thought more suitable to Nicias' timid spirit, as such phrases may have been current about him even before Hermocrates' jest γελοΐός έστιν ὁ Νικίας, ὅπως οὐ μαχεἶται στρατηγῶν Plut. Nic. 16. But in arguστρατηγῶν Plut. Nic. 16. But in argu-ment such phrases were used to mean 'don't let us quarrel about it' as Plato Crat. 430 D, Rep. i 352 B, and here the speaker probably means only that he will not insist on the first word, as the Sausage-man does in 339 άλλ' αυτό περί roῦ πρόrepos elπεῖν πρῶτα διαμαχοῦμαι. **16.** The line is Eurip. Hipp. 345, where Phaedra shrinks from speaking out to the nurse. The Hippolytus had ap-peared in its second form four years be-fore the Knights, but the scandal the play caused was not forgotten: and the φρην ἀνώμοτοs itself was hardly more notorious

caused was not forgotten: and the $\phi p \eta \psi$ $\dot{a}\nu \dot{\omega}\mu \sigma ros$ itself was hardly more notorious than Phaedra's fencing in the scene quot-ed from here (Plato i Alcib. 113 C). **17–18.** $\theta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \tau \tau \epsilon$: $\beta a \rho \beta a \rho \iota \sigma \tau l$ $\tau o \hat{\upsilon} \theta a \rho \rho \epsilon \hat{\upsilon}$ schol.; and there is no other case of it in literature: but it may be originally the impact of a varb curving originally the imper. of a verb surviving in this one form $[\theta \rho \epsilon \sigma \cdot \iota \omega] \theta \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma \omega$, from the root of $\theta \rho a \sigma v s$.

the root of θρασύs. πώς ἀν utinam, as in 16. πῶς...δῆτα as Nub. 1196, Lys. 912, Thesm. 211: δῆτα giving a certain emphasis to αὐτὸ 'the right thing', 'the point'. κομψευριπικῶς syncopated for κομψευρι-πιδικῶς (cf. βδελύκτροπος, idolatry &c.). Adjectives in -κὸς were an affectation of the day (1378—), and no doubt adverbs in -κῶς came with them. It is noticeable that Euripides first used κομψὸς in serious that Euripides first used $\kappa o \mu \psi \delta s$ in serious

literature.

19. μή μοl γε, 'O pray don't': μή μοl γε, μη σύ γε are both appeals, the former more colloquial (does it occur in tragedy?), the latter more serious: the pronouns may be combined in strong ap-peal as Eurip. Med. 964 μή μοι σύ. διασκανδικίζω is given as a fair retort to

9

διασκανδικίζω is given as a fair retort to such an invention as κομψευρατικώς. The use of - l_{ij}^{∞} was elastic and lent itself to such formations. The public was already familiar with the tale that Euripides' mother, Clito, had plied the trade of a greengrocer and sold bad herbs (Ach. 478, Thesm. 450). The σκανδιζ, trans-lated chervil, cerfeuil (from χαιρέφυλλον), was not a garden-herb, ne holus quidem legitimum Plin. N. H. xxii 80, not always reckoned even among άνοια λάνανα reckoned even among άγρια λάχανα Theophr. *Hist. Plant.* vii 7. 1, and eaten only by the poor (Alciphro iii 40. 1, Diog. Laert. ii 8. 17) or in famine: Andocides Lact. II 6. r/r to the Archidamiae Andottes fr. 4 referring to the Archidamian war μηδέ άγρια λάχανα και σκάνδικαs ένι φάγοιμεν: so it was familiar to the audience. Teleclides 38 διασκανδικίσαι "Dioscorides saith it is eaten both raw and boyled, and that it is an whole-some pot-herbe among the Greekes: but in these dayes it is of small estima-tion or value, and taken but for a wilde wort, as appeareth by Aristophanes taunting of Euripides, as aforesaid" Gerarde's *Herbal (Of shepheard's needle*

or wilde chervill). 20. ἀπόκινος, a comic dance, Athen. xiv 629 C την ἀπόκινον καλουμένην ὅρχησιν,

10

ΑΡΙΣΤΟΦΑΝΟΥΣ

- ΟΙ. Β. λέγε δή μολώμεν ξυνεχές ώδι ξυλλαβών.
- ΟΙ.Α. και δη λέγω μολωμεν. ΟΙ. Β. εξόπισθε νῦν αὐτὸ Φαθὶ τοῦ μολῶμεν. ΟΙ. Α. αὐτό. ΟΙ. Β. πάνυ καλώς. ώσπερ δεφόμενος νῦν ἀτρέμα πρῶτον λέγε
 - το μολωμεν, είτα δ' αὐτό, κἀτ' ἐπάγων πυκνόν 25
- ΟΙ. Α. μολώμεν αὐτό μολώμεν αὐτομολώμεν. ΟΙ. Β. ήν, ούχ ήδύ; ΟΙ. Α. νή Δία πλήν γε περί τώ δέρματι

δέδοικα τουτονί τον οίωνόν. ΟΙ. Β. τί δαί; ΟΙ. Α. ότιή το δέρμα δεφομένων απέρχεται.

ΟΙ. Β. κράτιστα τοίνυν τῶν παρόντων ἐστὶ νῷν, 30 θεών ιόντε προσπεσείν του πρός βρέτας.

μόλωμεν vulg. and so till 26 : μολῶμεν Μ, τινες τὸ μόλωμεν προπερισπῶσιν schol.
25. Most MSS. κατεπάγων, standing to ἐπάγω as κατεπείγω to ἐπείγω: but Enger's κᾶτ' ἐπάγων is better, cf. εἶτ' ἐπάγει Athen. xi 782 D. κατεπάδων V Bergk.
26. ἦν R and most MSS.: see Wilam. on Eur. HF 867.
29. τῶν δεφ. MSS.

ής μνημονεύει Κρατίνος έν Νεμέσει και Κηφισόδωρος έν Αμαζόσιν Αριστοφάνης τ' έν Κενταύρω και άλλοι πλείονες, ὕστερου εν πενταυρώ και αλλοί πλείονες, υστερου μακτρισμών ώνόμασαν: 629 F γελοΐαι είσιν όρχήσεις έγδις καί μακτρισμός άπόκινός τε καί σοβάς: Pollux iv 101 άπόκινος καί άπόσεισις καί έγδις άσελγή είδη όρχήσεων. Such dances were probably a resource of the *poptikol* among comedians, whom Aristophanes contemns and sometimes imitates: the *Ecclesiazusae* ends apparently with such a dance off $(\dot{v}\pi a\pi \sigma\kappa i v \epsilon i v)$ is a probable conjecture of Cobet's in 1165).

21. $\mu o \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ was tragic: it could only be allowed in parody, and a parody of the *Hippolytus* is still intended: see Rutherford *New Phryn.* 41, Bakhuyzen *Parod.* 105 (the rhythm of the three fragments quoted there shows that their tone is travial fragments quoted there shows that their tone is tragic). $\omega \delta i \xi v \lambda \lambda a \beta \delta v$: the parallel of the Latin concipere suggests that $\sigma v \lambda \lambda a \mu \beta d v w$ had the same meaning 'take part' in a form of words, and specially repeat from dictation: but I can find no instance of this use, though certain forms of incantation in which words were divided between those taking part in the spell are probably alluded to. The words mean 'taking it as I do', *i. e.* pronouncing, not $\mu \delta \lambda \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, but $\mu \delta \lambda \tilde{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ in anticipation of 26. (I owe this explanation to Dr Verrall.) 23. The article, as often, means the

25. The article, as often, means the mark of quotation. **25.** For $\epsilon \pi \delta \gamma \omega$ 'hurry', 'quicken' cf. *Nub.* 390, Plato *Crat.* 420 D. **27.** Here $\gamma \epsilon$ might be taken as the 'yes' answering to $o \delta \chi ~ \eta \delta \delta$: but $\pi \lambda \eta \nu \gamma \epsilon$ without any preceding question expressed, marking a reservation 'well—except...'occurs from Homer Od. viii 207 downwards, and in later Greek is common even at

and in later Greek is common even at the beginning of a sentence. **30**—**31.** Nicias' helplessness takes the form of a wish to prostrate himself before some holy image. $\beta\rho\epsilon ras$ is a poetical word, used only of old images or $\xi \delta a \nu a$, chiefly venerated by a city: such as the old Athena of the Erechtheum (Aesch. Eum. 80 &c., Lys. 262), the Tauric Artemis, the Hera of Samos (Athen. xv 672 B). Pollux i 7 disallows $\beta\rho\epsilon ras$ and $\delta\epsilon l \kappa \eta \lambda o \nu$, in Attic prose pre-sumably: it is excused here by Nicias' semi-tragic tone. The distinction drawn by Hermann on Soph. Ajax 908 between by Hermann on Soph. Ajax 998 between $\theta \epsilon \delta s \tau s$ (a god, not a man) and $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \tau s$ (some one god), though sometimes hard