

Elevation in the Eucharist its History and Rationale

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-43289-5 - Elevation in the Eucharist its Hitory and Rationale T. W. Drury Frontmatter More information



Elevation in the Eucharist its History and Rationale

by

T. W. Drury, D.D.

Principal of Ridley Hall, Cambridge, and Examining Chaplain to the Bishop of Liverpool

> CAMBRIDGE: at the University Press 1907



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107432895

© Cambridge University Press 1907

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1907 First paperback edition 2014

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-107-43289-5 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



TO MY WIFE
C. B. D.

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-43289-5 - Elevation in the Eucharist its Hitory and Rationale T. W. Drury Frontmatter More information



PREFACE.

FEW words must be said as to the origin and purpose of this book. Frequent mention was made, in the evidence given before the recent Royal Commission on Ecclesiastical Discipline, of the elevation of the Bread and Wine immediately after Consecration, and not unfrequently the practice of "elevation" was denied, even where the witness distinctly alleged that some such ceremony had taken place1. It further appeared, from the evidence of several of the clergymen who were examined, that this discrepancy arose from a distinction drawn between elevating elements after consecration so as to make them visible to the people, and the practice of a more moderate elevation, either when taking the paten and chalice into the hands, or at the words "Do this in remembrance of Me."

It is beyond dispute that, whatever name may be assigned to it, both types of this ceremony are now practised in many Anglican churches². Yet there is

¹ This occurred in twenty-seven instances. Report of Royal Commission on Ecclesiastical Discipline, p. 36.

² See the letters from clergymen published in the Minutes of Evidence taken before the Royal Commission. Vols. 1.—III.



viii PREFACE.

an entire absence of any direction in the rubrics to lift up the elements, except so far as is necessary in order to perform those manual acts, which were specified with such deliberate care at the last revision.

In the absence of any rubrical authority, the ceremony is defended on the ground that the "elevation or showing to the people," which was expressly forbidden in the first Prayer Book, had an exclusive reference to the lifting up with a view to worship, which had been introduced after the rise of a belief in Transubstantiation, and is specially referred to in Article XXVIII. Accordingly, other explanations of the purpose of elevation are put forward by those who practise it. Even extreme elevation in view of the congregation is justified as enabling the manual acts to be made visible, when the eastward position is adopted, while a slight elevation is interpreted to signify a presentation to God of the memorial of Christ's sacrifice.

These varying accounts suggested a more exact enquiry into the various types of elevation which have been practised in the Church, and into the several shades of meaning which they have been taken to represent. I have endeavoured to collect and arrange the evidence available on the subject, and to present a fair and impartial, if not a complete, statement of the main issues which are involved. I have thought

¹ Minutes of Evidence, г. pp. 268, 269, 274, 414, 440, 476, 533, 541: п. pp. 37, 114, 118, &с., &с.



PREFACE.

ix

that this might be more useful than a strong expression of my own views upon the whole subject.

Such an enquiry cannot be regarded as unimportant. There is no more striking, and hardly any more significant, ceremony in the Roman Mass than the elevation of the Host. It is the very climax of the service, and is looked for with feelings of the most intense expectation and devotion. Its intention, moreover, is undoubted, and is one which marks distinctly the "line of deep cleavage" between the Churches of England and Rome.

If this study has proceeded on sound lines of enquiry, there can be no doubt as to the historical meaning in the Western Church of any extreme elevation of the elements as soon as they have been consecrated. And however sincerely a different signification may now be intended, the coincidence in time between the Roman elevation, and that recently introduced into the Anglican service, must inevitably result in a serious confusion between the two ceremonies, and in frequent misunderstanding².

^{1 &}quot;That the people with all reverence and honour may worship the same." The Rationale, see p. 160. Ut visum ab omnibus adoretur. Coutances Missal, A.D. 1557. See pp. 110 f.

² Report, p. 35. "Those who guided the Church of England through this process of restoration to primitive antiquity were of opinion that the elevation was so connected with the repudiated doctrine of Transubstantiation, as distinguished from the Real Presence, that it ought not to be suffered to remain." Extract from a judgment in the Court of the Arches by Sir R. Phillimore.



X PREFACE.

The significance of elevation cannot therefore be regarded as a matter of private interpretation. We must weigh, with the utmost care, not only its historical purpose, but also the impression produced on the popular mind, when a ceremony, so intimately wrapped up with a doctrine repudiated at the Reformation, is being restored, without authority, at the very point of the service where it is most liable to be misunderstood.

But further, a distinction has been drawn, in the recent Report of the Royal Commission, between extreme elevation to evoke worship, and "the slight lifting up which has come to be practised after consecration at the words 'Do this in remembrance of Me'." The history of elevation, as practised at these words, is a very complicated one, but it does not appear, from any of the evidence adduced in this volume, that moderate elevation had, at this particular point of the service, any peculiar significance other than that of the ordinary post-consecration form. The slighter elevation of the Chalice, which was almost universally enjoined, arose out of special reasons which are definitely named, and not from any variation in the meaning of the ceremony.

One witness stated to the Commission that he believed the grounds on which this lesser act of

¹ See below, pp. 113-124.



PREFACE.

хi

elevation is practised to be "a certain interpretation of τοῦτο ποιεῖτε, 'Do this'." It is obvious that such a view raises a serious doctrinal question, and that the ceremony, when so explained, commits our common worship to a highly controversial interpretation of those I am fully aware that such an intention is far from the minds of many who practise this moderate elevation, but the careful employment of the ceremony at that point of the service, as an act of oblation, certainly gives the impression of fixing upon the words τοῦτο ποιείτε a meaning, which no formulary of the Church of England sanctions, and which no commentator of the first rank has ever adopted2. In a public Liturgy such a result is surely to be deprecated. especially where the ceremony, as so used, has no clear historical support, and no rubrical warrant whatever.

The general conclusion to which I have been led is that, while the forms of elevation which were practised before consecration appear to have had, for the most part, a God-ward intention, all elevation after consecration has, by almost unanimous testimony, been practised with a view to displaying the hallowed Bread and Wine to the people, either as an invitation to Communion, or, in later times, to evoke their adoration.

¹ Minutes of Evidence, n. p. 258.

² Prof. Bigg, in his Wayside Studies in Ecclesiastical History, points out that even the Council of Trent did not adopt this interpretation, p. 170.



xii

PREFACE.

The results of this enquiry are published at the suggestion of friends whose judgment I greatly value, and I can only add an expression of my own hope and prayer that they may in some small measure promote a fair and dispassionate consideration of the whole subject, and may eventually contribute towards a reasonable conclusion.

My sincere thanks are due to those friends who have helped me in the work. Both the Regius and the Norrisian Professor of Divinity have kindly made several valuable suggestions. The Rev. R. Sinker, D.D., and the Rev. G. A. Schneider, M.A., have not only given their counsel and advice, but also much patient labour in revising the proof sheets. Nor must I forget the help received in preparing the index and in the tedious work of verification from members of my own family.

Few pages fail to show how much I owe to the learned and painstaking labours of Dr Wickham Legg in his *Tracts on the Mass*, and to the Rev. F. E. Brightman, in his *Eastern Liturgies*.

T. W. D.

Cambridge, July, 1907.



CONTENTS.

INTRODUCTION (pp. 1—8).

The relative value of ceremonies—divergent lines of meaning in the case of Elevation, 1—8.

CHAPTER I.

Eastern Liturgies (pp. 9-62).

- (1) ELEVATION AT THE OFFERTORY. No public elevation, 9.—The *Prothesis*, 10.—Great Entrance, 11.
- (2) ELEVATION AT THE WORDS OF INSTITUTION. The exact point of consecration.—Recital of Words of Institution, or Epiclesis, 13.—Consecration by the Lord's Prayer, 13 f.—by our Lord's ipsissima verba, 16.—Enlargement of His words, 17.—ἐπὶ τῶν ἀγίων χειρῶν.—ἀναβλέψας εἰς τὸν οὐρανόν, 18.—ἀναδείξας τῷ Πατρί, 19.—Corresponding action (even fraction) rare, 20 f.—ἀναδείκνυμι and ἀνάδειξις, 21 f.—St Basil, 23 f.—James of Edessa.—Lit. of Coptic Jacobites, 27.
- (3) ELEVATION AT τὰ ἄγια τοῖς άγίοις. Meaning of the phrase undoubted from the 4th century, 31 f.—Evidence of early writers, 33 f.—and of Liturgies, 35 f.—Mozarabic use of Sancta sanctis, 36 f.—Archdeacon Freeman's view, 38 f.—Translations of τὰ ἄγια κ.τ.λ., 43.

Meaning of Elevation at these words.—Use of ὑποδείκνυμι etc. in early Writers and Liturgies, 45 f.—Two objections to our conclusions.—(1) The Curtains of the Bema,—(2) Roman interpretation of the Eastern elevation, 55 f.—Eastern elevation compared with the late Roman elevation before Communion, 59 f.

ADDITIONAL NOTE. THE ROMAN ELEVATION, AND USE OF ECUE AGNUS DEI, 60-62.



xiv

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER II.

WESTERN LITURGIES (pp. 63—138).

- (1) ELEVATION AT THE OFFERTORY. The ceremony late and not universal, 64.—The Secretæ, 66.—Not named in Roman Ordinary,—Stages of growth in English and Foreign Missals, 67 f.—Enjoined in Ritus Celebrandi Missam, 69.—The Rationale, 70.—A more comprehensive view of the Offertory-Oblation, 71.
- (2) ELEVATION AT QUI PRIDIE AND SIMILI MODO. Three acts of elevation in the Canon compared, 73.—Origin of this elevation in our Lord's own action, 73 f.—The Western ceremony corresponds to the Eastern enlargement of our Lord's words, 74.—Earliest mention in the Micrologus of 11th cent.—Later evidence, 76 f.—English and Foreign Missals.—Moderate elevation enjoined to distinguish from later elevation, 80 f.—Omission from Roman Canon, 81.—Influence of belief in Transubstantiation, 83 f.
- (3) ELEVATION AT OMNIS HONOR ET GLORIA. Connected with "the crossings," 84 f.—Not expressly named in English Missals, 85.—A joint elevation of both elements, 86.—Earliest mention in Ordines Romani and Amalarius of 8th and 9th centuries.—Later evidence, 88 f.—Its varied position, 92.—Its purpose, 93 f.—Absence from English rubrics qualified by popular use, 94 f.—The second Mozarabic elevation compared with the Eastern, and with that at Omnis honor et gloria, 96 f.
- (4) ELEVATION AFTER THE WORDS OF CONSECRATION. Characteristic of the Western (Roman) Church.—Unknown for 1000 years after Christ, 100 f.—Arose in Gaul, as a protest against Berengarius, in the 11th century, 101 f.
- (i) Elevation of the Host. Earliest mention in Carthusian Statutes of the 12th century, 103.—Accompanied by bell-ringing, 104.—A result of the dogma of Transubstantiation, 106.—The adoration of the Host, 107.—Degrees of elevation.—English service-books, 108 f.—Foreign service-books, 110 f.



CONTENTS.

хv

- (ii) Elevation of the Chalice. Greater variation of position, 112.—Use of the words "Hæc (Hoc) quotiescunque etc.," 112 f.—Not so general or so marked as that of the Host,—reasons for this, 113 f.—Technical use of the word "elevation," 115.—English service-books, 116 f.—Foreign service-books, 118 f.—No difference of meaning signified by the special treatment of the Chalice, 123 f.
- (5) Elevation at the Communion of the people. 124. Cf. 60—62.

DEVELOPMENT OF CEREMONIAL AFTER A.D. 1215.

The new elevation accompanied by rapid development of ceremonial, 124 f.—Extreme lifting up.—Bells.—Portable lights —Genuflexion, 125.—Mr Edmund Bishop's witness, 126.—Effect on Reservation, 126 f.—Festival of *Corpus Christi*, 127.—Service of Benediction,—Growth of genuflexion, 128 f.

Additional Note A. Evidence of English Councils, 131-133.

Additional Note B. The Consecration in the Mozarabic Missal, 133-138.

CHAPTER III.

THE RATIONALE OF ELEVATION (pp. 139-165).

Two main ideas involved,—Presentation to God and to the people, 139 f.

- (a) At the Offertory. A dedication of gifts to God's service,—Relation of the offerings to the Eucharistia, 141 f.
- (b) AT QUI PRIDIE AND SIMILI MODO. Original intention that the manual acts should be visible to all, 142 f.—This elevation came to have a God-ward purpose, connected with the blessing of the elements, 143 f.—The sharp distinction between reverence due to the elements before and after consecration was of later growth, 145 f.—Both elevations before consecration were mainly presentations to God, 147 f.



xvi

CONTENTS.

- (c) AFTER THE CLOSE OF, OR AFTER, THE CANON.
- (i) The Eastern Elevation. An invitation to Communion, 149 f.
- (ii) Mozarabic Elevation. Ut videatur a populo. Followed by the Nicene Creed, 150.
- (iii) At the close of the Western Canon. Evidence less decisive.—Probably intended to exhibit the consecrated elements to the people, 151 f.
- (d) IMMEDIATELY AFTER CONSECRATION. Meaning of this elevation undoubted, 158.—The lifting up of Christ upon the cross, 159 f.—The growth of direct adoration of the elements, 160 f.—Colet's statute for St Paul's School, 161.—Passage ascribed to Bonaventura, 162 f.—Elevations after consecration intended to be seen by the people, 164.
- (e) At the Communion of the People. Accompanied by Ecce Agnus Dei.—This elevation is clearly for exhibition before Communion.—Only enjoined at actual Communion, 165.

CHAPTER IV.

ELEVATION AND THE BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER (pp. 166—181).

Elevation after consecration modified in 1548, 166 f.,—forbidden in 1549,—all mention omitted in 1552, 167 f.—All points of the service, where elevation occurred, are represented in Anglican Office, 168.—Omnis honor et gloria retained, 168 f.

The Offertory. In 1549 Offerings placed on the Holy Table though not elevated,—Words of Memorial, 169 f.—Ceremonies revived in 17th century, 171 f.,—or partly adopted in 1662, 172.

The Consecration. Revival of the Manual acts in 17th century welcomed by all.—Baxter's Service, 173 f.—General results of revision of 1662, 177 f.