

DiatessaricaPART X, SECTION IV

THE FOURFOLD GOSPEL THE LAW OF THE NEW KINGDOM



For a list of previous parts of Diatessarica, see pp. 574—5] of this volume.



THE FOURFOLD GOSPEL

SECTION IV

THE LAW OF THE NEW KINGDOM

BY

EDWIN A. ABBOTT

Honorary Fellow of St John's College, Cambridge Fellow of the British Academy

"Bear ye one another's burdens, and so fulfil the law of Christ."

The Epistle to the Galatians, vi. 2.

Cambridge:
at the University Press
1916



CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107418462

© Cambridge University Press 1916

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1916 First paperback edition 2014

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-107-41846-2 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



PREFACE

The subject with which this volume begins is Christ's teaching in parables and His object in thus teaching. The subject with which it concludes (or draws towards its conclusion) is Christ's precept to His typical follower: "Let him deny himself and take up his cross." There are few Synoptic traditions that are more obscure as to their meaning and origin than these two. An attempt will be made in the following pages to shew that the Fourth Evangelist, who nowhere mentions the word "parable," and who nowhere represents Jesus as mentioning the word "cross," constantly refers to Christian thoughts about both these terms, and helps us not only to understand why he, the writer, left the terms unmentioned, but also to draw nearer to what we may believe to have been thought about them by our Lord Himself.

Mark's Gospel is taken as the starting point for investigation, for three reasons, first, because it has been proved to contain Synoptic tradition in its earliest form, secondly, because Matthew and Luke have largely borrowed from it, and thirdly, for a reason that cannot be quite so briefly expressed.

It is, that Mark appears to have been, to a surprising extent, let alone by early editors and scribes. Victor of Antioch, writing not earlier than the fifth century, complains that Mark's is the only Gospel on which he has not been able to find a single continuous commentary. The result is that Mark often retains, in a brief, rough, and obscure shape, some tradition, altered or omitted in Matthew, and

v



PREFACE

still more frequently in Luke, which takes us back, closer than we are taken by their later and smoother traditions, to the original thought.

In these cases, where the thought is of importance, John often intervenes in such a way that he explains Mark's tradition. The instances of intervention are so frequent that it has been found expedient to regard such Johannine intervention as a rule, and to undertake the task of discussing all important exceptions.

This has added greatly to the bulk of the work, and must add not a little to the labour of the reader. But it seemed the only fair course. To select some twenty or thirty instances where John obviously intervenes in behalf of Mark would have been easy, brief, and momentarily effective. But it would have left the thoughtful reader unsatisfied and inquiring—after he had had time to reflect—"But what about those instances of peculiar Marcan tradition where John has not intervened?"

By the inclusive method adopted in these pages that question is anticipated and answered. The answer is "The instances of peculiar Marcan tradition are all given. Sometimes it is admitted—as, for example, in traditions relating to the Baptist—that John has not intervened. Sometimes you may think that non-intervention ought to have been admitted more freely as to other matters. Sometimes the evidence for intervention may appear to you thin, tedious, and unsatisfactory. But in any case you cannot complain that anything is kept back. The phenomena unfavourable to the theory of Johannine Intervention are to be found in the continuous text of Mark which you can compare with the texts of Matthew and Luke in parallel columns. You can judge for yourself. The facts are placed fully before you."



PREFACE

Take, as an instance, the following tradition about parables, where Matthew omits part, and Luke the whole, of a tradition in Mark:-

Mk iv. 33—4

And with many such parables spake spake Jesus in parhe the word unto them, as they were able to hear it:

And without a parable spake he not unto them: but privately to his own disciples he expounded all things.

Mt. xiii. 34

All these things ables unto the multitudes; and without a parable spake he nothing unto them.

Lk. om.

John substitutes the word "proverb" for "parable" when he says about the allegorical parable of the Good Shepherd "This proverb [i.e. parable] spake he unto them." He also represents Jesus as saying, on the night before the Crucifixion, "These things have I spoken unto you in proverbs [i.e. parables]; the hour cometh when I shall no longer speak unto you in proverbs [i.e. parables] but shall tell you plainly of the Father." But the substitution of a different word does not affect the inference that John is here intervening as to Synoptic thought. We shall endeavour to shew that his object was to modify, explain, and place in its right order, the Marcan tradition omitted partially by Matthew and wholly by Luke.

It will be maintained that in the Fourth Gospel all Christ's teaching is regarded as having been of the nature of parables, proverbs, or dark sayings, to His disciples, until the Holy Spirit was given to them after His death and resurrection. According to this view, Mark's tradition



PREFACE

needed to be placed later. It was a mistake to suppose that Jesus used parables at any time to make His teaching The obscurity was a necessity. In that case, we may suppose John's interpretation of the motives of Jesus, and of the historical fact at the bottom of Mark's tradition, to have been something of the following kind: "All the words of the Lord Jesus before His death, not only those to the multitudes but also those to His disciples, were of the nature of parables or proverbs, which He spoke unto them 'as they were able to hear,' in order to lead those who were willing to be led, step by step, to the truth. But after His resurrection, after He had been manifested to those of His household, the disciples, and after He had bestowed on them the Holy Spirit, from that time forward He spoke no longer in parables but told them plainly of the Father. Mark's tradition is based on fact. But he has placed it before its time. The fact became fact after the Lord had risen from the dead."

Whether this Johannine intervention is correct is a point to be discussed later on. The point for us at present is that John does appear to intervene, and that we ought not to allow his intervention as to Synoptic thought about "parables" to be concealed from us by the fact that he avoids (as he almost always does) the technical Synoptic word. It may also be added that, whether John is right or wrong, we gain something by studying the difficult Synoptic statements about Christ's parables in the light of his intervention.

Let us now consider what, if anything, John has to teach us about the second of the two subjects mentioned at the beginning of this Preface, the command that bids every Christian "take up his cross." At first sight it seems that there is nothing Johannine that even remotely



PREFACE

corresponds to this. But it has been urged in a previous part of Diatessarica that when Jesus said "Take my yoke upon you," that is to say, "Take my service upon you," He implied a precept that might be expressed in some circumstances (where the "service" was hard and dangerous) by the phrase "take my cross upon you." The original may not have enjoined, and probably did not enjoin, mere readiness to face death. It enjoined service to the Son of Man, including service to all the sons of man whom He came to serve and to save.

Turning to the Fourth Gospel for some command or precept of this kind—some precept enjoining service such as the Son of Man enjoined—many of my readers may be surprised to find how few commands or precepts that Gospel contains—not more than three or four—as compared with the numerous commands recorded by the Synoptists. Among these three or four by far the most prominent is that one which Jesus Himself literally fulfilled for His disciples and then bade them fulfil for one another: "If I then, the Lord and the Master, have washed your feet, ye also ought"—literally, "ye owe it as a debt"—"to wash one another's feet."

Even this precept is not expressed by an imperative. The Fourth Evangelist dislikes imperatives. Yet how much more than an ordinary imperative is implied by this "owe-it-as-a-debt" may be seen from the Johannine Epistle, which tells every Christian that "he owes-it-as-a-debt to walk even as he [i.e. Jesus] walked," and that "we owe-it-as-a-debt to love one another," and "Hereby know we love because he [i.e. Jesus] laid down his life for us, and we also owe-it-as-a-debt to lay down our lives for the brethren." To the same effect the Epistle to the Romans says "Owe not a debt to anyone except to love one another,"

ix



PREFACE

and "We that are strong owe-it-as-a-debt to bear the weaknesses of those that are not strong."

It may be fairly and reasonably argued that, when John describes Jesus as thus ministering to His own disciples, who recline at the table while He waits on them like a servant, he has in view the tradition of Mark and Matthew, omitted by Luke, "Verily the Son of man came not to be ministered to, but to minister, and to give his life a ransom for many." But, apart from this, we ought to learn much from these Johannine traditions about "owing-as-a-debt." They connect the "debt" of a Christian with Christ's "washing the feet" of the disciples; and with "walking" even as He walked (that is to say "following" Him); and with "loving one another"; and with "laying down life for the brethren." Is not this last phrase equivalent to "taking up the cross for the brethren"? And are we not thus brought round by these stages of tradition from the Johannine precept "wash one another's feet" to the Synoptic precept "take up the cross" -with this new light on the latter, that we perceive its meaning to be, not, "Face martyrdom, and practise asceticism that you may save your own souls," but "Follow the Son of Man whose love of men constrained Him to make Himself the Servant of those whom He loved"?

It may seem somewhat venturesome to say that Jesus was "constrained" to do anything. But in reality it is more reverent, as well as more true, than to say that He "was not constrained to do anything," or that He "could do as He pleased." The expressed doctrine of the Fourth Gospel is that Jesus "was not able to do" anything except that which He saw the Father doing. And its implied doctrine is, that the Son, being the incarnate Love of God, was constrained by His own love to take up the yoke, or



PREFACE

the cross, and to lay down His life for His brethren, to whom, when He departed from them, He bequeathed Himself, that is to say, the Spirit of His own "constraining" love.

The great need of Christendom, at the present time, is the sense, or rather the indwelling fervour, of this constraining love. The Fourth Evangelist and the Apostle of the Gentiles both speak of it as a "debt." And "debt" may seem a cold word to connect with love. So also may "constraint." But there is no coldness in the Pauline words "The love of Christ constraineth us: because we thus judge, that one died for all, therefore all died; and he died for all, that those who live should no longer live unto themselves, but unto him who for their sakes died and rose again." John takes this fervid doctrine a little further by saying, in effect, that men "should no longer live unto themselves but unto the brethren for whose sake Christ died."

Thinking of this debt, as expressed by these two great exponents of Christian thought, we perceive at once that it is not of a commercial character. It may be instructively (though not completely) regarded in a military aspect, as the debt owed by the soldiers of the army of righteousness, in the first place to their Leader the Prince of righteousness—who both died for them as their comrade, and led them to victory as their Lord-and in the second place to their fellow-soldiers, whom their Leader identified Even men of the world would admit that with Himself. Christians, if they believed in the existence of such a debt, ought to regard it as "a debt of honour." Yet how very far are those who are striving to become "sons of the light" below those who frankly admit that they are "sons of this world," in feeling a whole-hearted and passionate



PREFACE

determination that, whatever else may remain unpaid, their "debt of honour" shall be discharged!

To inspire Christians with a passionate determination of this kind, passionate yet not military—merging duty in love, and "I must" in "I will"—is (doubtless) the object of all the Evangelists. But the Fourth, more than the Three, seems to reveal Jesus as the natural source of such an inspiration. The Three teach us about the Law of the Seed and about the Law of the Cross, but separately, and without much suggestion of their naturalness, or of any connection between the two. The Fourth combines the doctrines, shewing (in one and the same lesson) that the seed must fall in order to rise, and that the death implied by the Cross means self-sacrifice for others, not asceticism for oneself. The seed is drawn up by the sun from the darkness of its decaying integument into light, life, and fruitfulness; so is the crucified and buried Saviour drawn up to the Father, with power to draw up into Himself and into His eternal life the souls of those who have shared His death.

Hence it is that from the Fourth Gospel imperatives are almost banished, and commands in it are almost superseded by silent drawing. The "almost" is required by a notable exception—the last of the few Johannine imperatives, "Follow thou me." As this command was addressed to Philip at the opening of the Gospel, so it is to the penitent Peter at its close.

The exception is indeed noteworthy. But we should also note, in the same passage, an instance of silent "drawing." For there we find, besides Peter, another disciple following Jesus; and he follows uncommanded. What made him follow? We are not told. But we are led, with Johannine indirectness, to surmise the cause. "Peter,



PREFACE

turning about, seeth the disciple whom Jesus loved following." We must be dull indeed if we cannot infer that the cause of that "following" was Christ's "constraining love."

With the following of this unnamed disciple the following of Peter appears to be both compared and contrasted. Peter is to have the privilege of "glorifying God" by the "manner" of his "death." This probably means that he is to follow literally on the path of the Cross, and to be a crucified martyr. In any case it means that he is to be a martyr—that is to say, a martus, or "witness."

The other disciple is to have no such privilege of special following. Perhaps he is not to follow at all in any such sense but merely to wait ("If I will that he tarry?"). Tertullian said, "The blood of Christians is seed." If that were the only seed, the unnamed disciple would be no sower. But may not the life and work of Christians also be "seed"? May not this also "witness" for Christ? The Gospel implies that it may. The unnamed disciple, it says, "witnesses" in a way of his own:—"This is the disciple that witnesseth concerning these things, and we know that his witness is true."

And surely, whatever may be its historical defects, "his witness is true"—spiritually, most true. No other disciple of Christ, not even Paul, has so powerfully helped us to discern, in the words and deeds and posthumous influence of Jesus, the Law of the Seed and the Law of the Cross, and to recognise, beneath both, the Law of eternal Life and Growth revealed as triumphant through the transitory appearances of death.

xiii



PREFACE

I gratefully acknowledge the help of my friends Mr W. S. Aldis, Mr H. Candler, and Rev. J. Hunter Smith in revising the proofs of this volume, as also those of preceding volumes of Diatessarica. Their criticism has enabled me to see and to correct many obscurities, and some errors, that would otherwise have escaped my notice.

EDWIN A. ABBOTT.

Wellside, Well Walk Hampstead, N.W.

20 Dec. 1915.



R	EFE	ERENCES AND ABBREVIATIONS	PAGE XXI
		CHAPTER I	
		THE PARABLES OF SOWING	
		[Mark iv. 1—34]	
§	I	Christ's "parables" or "things hidden"	I
§	2	"Hear ye," in Mark	8
	3	When did the disciples "ask Jesus the parables"? .	10
§ §	4	"The mystery of the kingdom," in Mark	15
§ §	5	"The word," in Mark	19
§	6	"The word," in John, how first mentioned by Jesus.	21
§	7	"There is nothing hid save that it should be mani-	
		fested," in Mark	27
§	8	"He knoweth not how," and "the earth beareth fruit of herself," in Mark	26
§	^	"Less than all the seeds," and "greater than all the	36
3	9	herbs," in Mark and Matthew	
۶ .		Private "expounding," in Mark	41
§ : § :		"The word," in the Fourth Gospel as a whole	45
3	11	The word, in the Fourth Gospei as a whole	49
		CHAPTER II	
		THE STILLING OF THE STORM [Mark iv. 35—41]	
	_	Nither data Taha amid dhia)	
	Ι	Why does John omit this?	52
3	2	(R.V.) "They take him with them, even as he was, in	
		the boat," in Mark	55
	3	"And other boats were with him," in Mark	60
3	4	"On the cushion," in Mark	62



		CHAPTER III	
		THE DEMONIAC AND THE SWINE	
		[Mark v. 1—20]	
•	t -	Reasons for discussing this nametice	PAGE
	1 2	Reasons for discussing this narrative	66
	3 3	"Two" demoniacs in Matthew, and "two thousand"	71
•	3 3	swine in Mark	
1	§ 4	Why does Matthew omit "legion"?	74
	5 5	"Beseeching," "exhorting," or "comforting".	75 78
	6	Versions of the narrative in an Apocryphal Gospel .	70 82
	7	"Outside the country" in Mark, and "into the abyss"	02
	•	in Luke	86
8	8 8	"Outside," applied to the "casting out" of "the ruler	
		of this world," in John	89
		CHAPTER IV	
		JESUS RESTORING TO LIFE	
		[Mark v. 21—43]	
	_		
	§ I	Differences in the Synoptic narratives	94
-	§ 2	"Knowing that she was dead" in Luke, "Lazarus is	
		dead" in John	100
		CHAPTER V	
		JESUS IN HIS OWN COUNTRY	
		[Mark vi. 1—6 a]	
ş	1	The agreements and disagreements of the Four	
		Gospels	107
§	2	"His country" and "his own country," in all the	•
_		Gospels	109
§	3	"And his disciples follow him," in Mark	III
§	4	"Were astonished" in Mark and Matthew, how	
	_	expressed in Luke	113
§	5	"Cast down a precipice" and "hang," confused in a	
2	6	version of Luke	115
§ §	6	"Wisdom" and "mighty works," in Mark and Matthew	118
8 §	7 8	"The carpenter," in Mark and Matthew	119
8	9	"Offended," in the Synoptists	120
8	10	"Offended," in John	124 128
3			120
		XV1	



§ 11	"Not without-honour," in Mark and Matthew	PAGE
§ 12	"And he was not able to do there any mighty work,"	
8 70	in Mark	137
§ 13	"And he marvelled because of their unbelief," in Mark	143
	CHAPTER VI	
	THE SENDING OF THE APOSTLES	
	[Mark vi. 6 <i>b</i> —13]	
§ I	Johannine "sending of the apostles"	148
§ 2	Jesus "going round the villages in a circle," in Mark.	152
§ 3	"He began to send them out by two and two," in Mark	155
§ 4	"Save a staff only," in Mark	158
§ 5 § 6	A parallel from the Essenes	161
§ 6	What corresponds to "the staff" in John?.	165
§ 7	"Shod (R.V.) with sandals," in Mark	167
§ 8	What corresponds to "sandals" in John?	168
§ 9	"Scrip," "girdle," "purse"	170
§ 10	"Anointing with oil," in Mark and James	175
§ 11	"The sin unto death"	178
§ 12 § 13	"Anointing" among the Jews	180 183
§ 14	"Many that were infirm," in Mark	184
§ 15	Johannine tradition	187
5 -J		107
	CHAPTER VII	
	JOHN THE BAPTIST'S DEATH	
	[Mark vi. 14—29]	
§г	What was said after the Baptist's death	190
§ 2	The cause of the Baptist's death	195
	CHAPTER VIII	
	CHRIST'S MIRACLES OF FEEDING	
	[Mark vi. 29—44, and see vii. 24—ix. 1]	
§ I	The complexity of the evidence	203
§ 2	Traces of metaphor underlying the narratives about the	
	"baskets"	209
§ 3	The immediate sequel of John the Baptist's death .	216
§ 4	"And he saith unto them, Come yeand rest a	
	little," in Mark	219
	xvii	



CONTENTS

	_	"Come of the second of the sec	PAGE
§	5	"Come ye, [by] yourselves, apart, into a desert place,"	
۰	_	in Mark	223
§	6	The concourse of "many," in Mark	226
§	7	"They had no leisure so much as to eat," in Mark	233
§	8	"To a desert place apart," in Mark and Matthew .	237
§	9	"In the boat," in Mark	241
	10	Signs of conflation in Mark	243
	ΙΙ	"On foot," in Mark and Matthew	245
	12	"He had compassion," in Mark and Matthew	247
§	13	"They were as sheep not having a shepherd," in Mark	
		and Matthew	252
§	14	"Shepherd" (sing.) nowhere mentioned by Luke .	254
_	15	"And he began to teach them many things," in Mark	256
§	16	"When the day was now far spent," in Mark	261
§	17	"They continue with me now three days," in Mark	
		and Matthew	263
§	18	"Buying" or "Whence?"	269
	19	"Two hundred pennyworth," in Mark and John .	274
	20	"How many loaves have ye? Go [and] see," in Mark	283
§	21	"There is a lad here," in John	286
§	22	"Here," in all the Gospels	294
§	23	"By companies," "by ranks," in Mark	296
	24	"On the green grass," in Mark	302
	25	"By hundreds and by fifties," in Mark	309
	26	"Taking," "blessing," and "looking up to heaven".	315
-	27	"Breaking in pieces" or "breaking"	321
	28	"And the two fishes he divided among [them] all," in	•
Ĭ		Mark	328
8	29	"Twelve basketfuls" (R.V.), in Mark	336
- 7	30	"They that ate the loaves," in Mark	344
-	31	"Five thousand men" or "about five thousand [men]"	348
	32	Irenaeus and Origen on the "five thousand" in the	٠,
Ü	3	Acts, and Clement of Alexandria on the "five loaves"	352
8	33	"Give ye them to eat," why omitted by John	355
	34	"Eating" in the presence of the Lord	357
	35	"That he should give something to the poor," in John	360
	36	"We all partake of the one loaf"	363
-	37	"Jesustaketh the loaf and giveth to them," in John	365
	38	Christ's "leaven"	370
	39	The passionateness of the Eucharist	375
	40	The "kiss of love"	373 378
	41	"Testament" or "Covenant"	384
	12	"Testament" in the Gospels	302

xviii



CONTENTS

	CHAPTER IX			
	JESUS WALKING ON THE SEA			
	[Mark vi. 45—52]			
_	The second	PAGE		
§ I	The sequel of the Feeding of the Five Thousand Christ's journeying in North Palestine	403 407		
§ 2	"Having (?) bidden them farewell," in Mark	413		
§ 3 § 4	"Distressed" and "the fourth watch of the night," in	, ,		
υт	Mark and Matthew	418		
§ 5	"Distressed," differently applied in Mark and Matthew	421		
§ 6	How Peter "was grieved" by Jesus	426		
§ 7	"For they all saw him," in Mark	429		
§ 8	"An apparition," in Mark and Matthew	430		
§ 9	"But their heart was hardened," in Mark	438		
	CHAPTER X			
	THE NEW LAW OF PURIFICATION			
	[Mark vi. 53—vii. 23]			
§ I	Jesus is followed at first by the multitudes	442		
§ 2	Jesus is attacked by the Pharisees concerning the washing of hands	445		
§ 3	The reply of Jesus to the Pharisees, in Mark and			
_	Matthew	451		
§ 4	The doctrine of Jesus on "purifying," in Matthew and	455		
• -	Luke	455 458		
§ 5	Johannine Intervention	450		
	CHAPTER XI			
	THE NEW LAW OF SACRIFICE			
	[Mark vii. 24—ix. 1 ¹]			
ŞΙ	The Syrophoenician woman	464		
§ 2	The first "sighing" of Jesus, in Mark.	467		
§ 3	The second "sighing" of Jesus, in Mark	474		
§ 4	The disciples are said for the second time to have their	_		
	"heart hardened," in Mark	478		
§ 5	Jesus lays His hands twice on a blind man and heals	.0.		
	him, in Mark	483		

¹ See p. 464, n. *.

xix



		CONTENTS			
					PAGE
§	6	The Johannine healing of blindness			489
§	7	"Prophet," "Son of Man," "Christ"			493
§	8	"Get thee behind me, Satan," in Mark and Ma	atthe	w.	498
§	9	Variations in the expression of the New Law			501
§ :	10	"Denying oneself"			506
§ :	II	"Taking up the cross" and "following".			507
§ :	12	"For my sake and the gospel's," in Mark .			511
§ :	13	"For what could a man give in exchange for hi	s sou	l?"	
		in Mark and Matthew			512
§ :	14	"In this adulterousgeneration," in Mark.			515
§ :	15	"With the angels that are holy," in Mark .			518
§ :	16	"When he cometh in the glory of his Father	·"·		522
		INDICES			
Ι		Scriptural Passages			529
13	I	English			543
Ħ	T	Greek	•		570



REFERENCES AND ABBREVIATIONS

REFERENCES

(i) a. References to the first nine Parts of Diatessarica (as to which see pp. 574—5) are by paragraphs in black Arabic numbers:—

1 - 272 = Clue.

273— 552 = Corrections of Mark.

553—1149 = From Letter to Spirit.

1150 - 1435 = Paradosis.

1436—1885 = Johannine Vocabulary.

1886-2799 = Johannine Grammar.

2800—2999 = Notes on New Testament Criticism.

3000-3635 = The Son of Man.

3636—3999 = Light on the Gospel from an ancient Poet.

(i) b. References to the Sections of the Tenth Part of Diatessarica, entitled *The Fourfold Gospel*, are by pages. The four Sections now completed are:—

(Section 1) Introduction.

(Section 2) The Beginning.

(Section 3) The Proclamation of the New Kingdom.

(Section 4) The Law of the New Kingdom.

- (ii) The Books of Scripture are referred to by the ordinary abbreviations, except where specified below. But when it is said that Samuel, Isaiah, Matthew, or any other writer, wrote this or that, it is to be understood as meaning the writer, whoever he may be, of the words in question, and not as meaning that the actual writer was Samuel, Isaiah, or Matthew.
- (iii) The principal Greek MSS are denoted by N, A, B, etc.; the Latin versions by a, b, etc., as usual. The Syriac version discovered by Mrs Lewis on Mount Sinai is referred to as SS, i.e. "Sinaitic Syrian." It is always quoted from Prof. Burkitt's translation. I regret that in the first three vols. of Diatessarica Mrs Lewis's name was omitted in connection with this version.
- (iv) The text of the Greek Old Testament adopted is that of B, edited by Prof. Swete; of the New, that of Westcott and Hort.
- (v) Modern works are referred to by the name of the work, or author, vol., and page, e.g. Levy iii. 343 a, i.e. vol. iii. p. 343, col. 1.

xxi



REFERENCES AND ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATIONS

Aq. = Aquila's version of O.T.

Brederek = Brederek's Konkordanz zum Targum Onkelos, Giessen, 1906.

Burk. = Prof. F. C. Burkitt's Evangelion Da-mepharreshe, Cambridge University Press, 1904.

Chr. = Chronicles.

Clem. Alex. 42 = Clement of Alexandria in Potter's page 42.

Dalman, Words = Words of Jesus, Eng. Transl. 1902; Aram.

G. = Grammatik des Jüdisch-Palästinischen Aramäisch, 1894.

En. = Enoch ed. Charles, Clarendon Press, 1893.

Ency. = Encyclopaedia Biblica, A. & C. Black, 1899.

Ephrem = Ephraemus Syrus, ed. Moesinger.

Etheridge = Etheridge's translations of the Targums on the Pentateuch.

Euseb. = the Ecclesiastical History of Eusebius.

Field = Origenis Hexaplorum quae supersunt, Oxford, 1875, also Otium Norvicense, 1881.

Gesen. = the Oxford edition of Gesenius.

Goldschm. = Der Babylonische Talmud, 1897—1912, ed. Goldschmidt.

Goodspeed = Goodspeed's *Indices*, (i) *Patristicus*, Leipzig, 1907, (ii) *Apologeticus*, Leipzig, 1912.

Hastings = Dictionary of the Bible, ed. Hastings (5 vols.).

Hor. Heb. = Horae Hebraicae, by John Lightfoot, 1658—74, ed. Gandell, Oxf. 1859.

Iren. = the treatise of Irenaeus against Heresies.

Jer. Targ. or Targ. Jer. (abbrev. for Jerusalem Targum), or Jon. Targ. (i.e. Targum of Jonathan, abbrev. for the Targum of Pseudo-Jonathan) = the Targum of Pseudo-Jonathan on the Pentateuch, of which there are two recensions—both quoted (Notes on N.T. Criticism, Pref. p. viii) by ancient authorities under the name "Jerusalem Targum." The two recensions are severally denoted by Jer. I and Jer. II. On other books, the Targum is referred to as simply "Targ."

Jon. Targ., see Jer. Targ.

Justin = Justin Martyr (Apol. = his First Apology, Tryph. = the Dialogue with Trypho).

K. = Kings.

Krauss = Krauss's Griechische und Lateinische Lehnwörter etc., Part 11, Berlin, 1899.

xxii



REFERENCES AND ABBREVIATIONS

Levy = Levy's Neuhebräisches und Chaldäisches Wörterbuch, 4 vols., Leipzig, 1889; Levy Ch. = Chaldäisches Wörterbuch, 2 vols., 1881.

L.S. = Liddell and Scott's Greek Lexicon.

Mechilta, see Wü(nsche).

Onk. = the Targum of Onkelos on the Pentateuch.

Origen is referred to variously, e.g. *Hom. Exod.* ii. 25 = lib. ii. ch. 25 of Hom. Exod., but Orig. on Exod. ii. 25 = the commentary ad loc.; Lomm. iii. 24 = vol. iii. p. 24 of Lommatzsch's edition.

Oxf. Conc. = The Oxford Concordance to the Septuagint.

Pec. = peculiar to the writer mentioned in the context.

Pesikta, see Wü(nsche).

Philo is referred to by Mangey's volume and page, e.g. Philo ii. 234, or, as to Latin treatises, by the Scripture text or Aucher's pages (P. A.).

Pistis = Pistis Sophia, ed. Petermann (marginal pages).

Ps. Sol. = Psalms of Solomon, ed. Ryle and James, Cambr. 1891.

R., after Gen., Exod., Lev. etc. means *Rabboth*, and refers to Wünsche's edition of the Midrash on the Pentateuch, e.g. *Gen. r.* (on Gen. xii. 2, Wü. p. 177).

Rashi, sometimes quoted from Breithaupt's translation, 1714. S. = Samuel; s. = "see."

Schöttg. = Schöttgen's *Horae Hebraicae*, Dresden and Leipzig, 1733.

Sir. = the work of Ben Sira, i.e. the son of Sira. It is commonly called Ecclesiasticus (see Clue 20 a). The original Hebrew used in this work is that which has been edited, in part, by Cowley and Neubauer, Oxf. 1897; in part, by Schechter and Taylor, Cambr. 1899; in part, by G. Margoliouth, Jewish Quart. Rev., Oct. 1899 (also printed in About Hebrew Manuscripts (Frowde, 1905) by Mr E. N. Adler, who discovered the missing chapters).

SS, see (iii) above.

Steph. Thes. = Stephani Thesaurus Graecae Linguae (Didot).

Sym. = Symmachus's version of O.T.

Targ. (by itself) is used where only one Targum is extant on the passage quoted.

Targ. Jer., Targ. Jon., and Targ. Onk., see Jer. Targ., Jon. Targ., and Onk., above.

Tehillim = Midrash on Psalms, ed. Wünsche (2 vols.).

Test. XII Patr. = Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs ed. Charles, 1908 (Gk, Clarendon Press, Eng., A. & C. Black).

Theod. = Theodotion's version of O.T.

Thes. Syr. = Payne Smith's Thesaurus Syriacus, Oxf. 1901.

Tromm. = Trommius' Concordance to the Septuagint.

xxiii



REFERENCES AND ABBREVIATIONS

Tryph. = the Dialogue between Justin Martyr and Trypho the Jew.

Walton = Biblia Sacra Polyglotta, 1657.

Wetst. = Wetstein's Comm. on the New Testament, Amsterdam, 1751.

W.H. = Westcott and Hort's New Testament.

Wü. = Wünsche's translation of Rabboth etc., 1880—1909 (including Mechilta, Pesikta Rab Kahana, Tehillim etc.).

- (a) A bracketed Arabic number, following Mk, Mt., etc. indicates the number of instances in which a word occurs in Mark, Matthew, etc., e.g. $\partial \gamma \hat{a} \pi \eta$ Mk (o), Mt. (1), Lk. (1), Jn (7).
- (b) Where verses in Hebrew, Greek, and Revised Version, are numbered differently, the number of R.V. is given alone.
- (c) In transliterating a Hebrew, Aramaic, or Syriac word, preference has often, but not invariably, been given to that form which best reveals the connection between the word in question and forms of it familiar to English readers. Where a word is not transliterated, it is often indicated (for the sake of experts) by a reference to Gesen., Thes. Syr., Levy, or Levy Ch.

xxiv