

Copyright and Collective Authorship

As technology makes it easier for people to work together, large-scale collaboration is becoming increasingly prevalent. In this context, the question of how to determine authorship – and hence ownership – of copyright in collaborative works is an important question to which current copyright law fails to provide a coherent or consistent answer. In Copyright and Collective Authorship, Daniela Simone engages with the problem of how to determine the authorship of highly collaborative works. Employing insights from the ways in which collaborators understand and regulate issues of authorship, the book argues that a recalibration of copyright law is necessary, proposing an inclusive and contextual approach to joint authorship that is true to the legal concept of authorship but is also more aligned with creative reality.

Dr. Daniela Simone is a Lecturer in Law at University College London, where she is also a Co-Director of the Institute of Brand and Innovation Law. Dr Simone holds BCL, MPhil and DPhil degrees from the University of Oxford. Prior to moving to the UK, she was awarded a BA/LLB (Hons I) degree from the University of Sydney, Australia, was admitted to the Supreme Court of New South Wales and worked as a lawyer for a global commercial law firm in Sydney.



Cambridge Intellectual Property and Information Law

As its economic potential has rapidly expanded, intellectual property has become a subject of front-rank legal importance. Cambridge Intellectual Property and Information Law is a series of monograph studies of major current issues in intellectual property. Each volume contains a mix of international, European, comparative and national law, making this a highly significant series for practitioners, judges and academic researchers in many countries.

Series Editors

Lionel Bently

Herchel Smith Professor of Intellectual Property Law, University of Cambridge

Graeme Dinwoodie

Professor of Intellectual Property and Information Technology Law, University of Oxford

Advisory Editors

William R. Cornish, Emeritus Herchel Smith Professor of Intellectual Property Law, University of Cambridge

François Dessemontet, Professor of Law, University of Lausanne

Jane C. Ginsburg, Morton L. Janklow Professor of Literary and Artistic Property Law, Columbia Law School

Paul Goldstein, Professor of Law, Stanford University

The Rt Hon. Sir Robin Jacob, Hugh Laddie Professor of Intellectual Property, University College London

Ansgar Ohly, Professor of Intellectual Property Law, Ludwig-Maximilian University of Munich

A list of books in the series can be found at the end of this volume.



Copyright and Collective Authorship

Locating the Authors of Collaborative Work

Daniela Simone

University College London





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107199958 DOI: 10.1017/9781108186070

© Daniela Simone 2019

The author asserts her moral rights, including the right to be identified as the author of this work.

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2019

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Clays Ltd, Elcograf S.p.A.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Simone, Daniela, 1983- author.

Title: Copyright and collective authorship: locating the authors of collaborative work / Daniela Simone, University College London.

Description: Cambridge [UK] ; new York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2019. \mid Series: Cambridge intellectual property and information law \mid Includes bibliographical references.

Identifiers: LCCN 2018048870 | ISBN 9781107199958

Subjects: LCSH: Copyright. \mid Authorship. \mid Copyright – Art. \mid Wikipedia. \mid User-generated content – Law and legislation. \mid Electronic encyclopedias.

Classification: LCC K1440 .S56 2019 | DDC 346.04/82–dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2018048870

ISBN 978-1-107-19995-8 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

	Acknowleagements	age VIII
	Abbreviations	X
	Table of Cases	xii
	Table of Statutes	XX
1	Copyright Law and Collective Authorship	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Methodological Approach	6
	1.3 A Roadmap	8
2	Authorship and Joint Authorship	15
	2.1 The Concept of Authorship in the CDPA	17
	2.2 The Joint Authorship Test	29
	2.2.1 A Contribution That Is Not Distinct	30
	2.2.2 Collaboration or Common Design	31
	2.2.3 A Significant Contribution of the Right Kind	35
	2.3 A Critique of the Application of the Joint Authorship Test	42
	2.3.1 Factual Specificity	43
	2.3.2 The Pragmatic Instrumental Approach	43
	2.3.3 A Preoccupation with Aesthetic Neutrality	50
	2.4 The Factual and the Normative Dimensions of the Joint Authorship Test	
	2.5 Copyright Scholarship: Theories of Authorship 2.5.1 The Romantic Author	58
	2.5.1 The Romantic Author 2.5.2 Ginsburg's Search for Copyright's Author	59 62
	2.5.2 Ginsburg's Search for Copyright's Author 2.5.3 Nimmer v Goldstein (and Beyond)	63
	2.5.4 The Value of Social and Cultural Conceptions of Authorship	67
	2.6 Conclusion	70
3	Wikipedia	72
	3.1 Authorship Dynamics: Promoting Sharing	73
	3.2 Copyright Subsistence on Wikipedia	78
	3.2.1 Is Wikipedia (or Parts Thereof) an Original Literary Work?	78
	3.2.2 Are Wikipedia Contributors Copyright Authors?	86
	3.3 Copyleft Licences and the Ambivalent Role of Copyright Law	90
	3.4 Insights for Copyright Law	95

v



Contents vi 4 Australian Indigenous Art 100 102 4.1 Indigenous Art 4.1.1 Authorship Dynamics: Building and Sustaining Cultural Identity 102 4.1.2 Background to the Issue of Protecting Indigenous Cultural Expressions 105 4.2 Protecting Indigenous Art with Copyright 109 4.2.1 Cases Prior to Bulun Bulun 110 4 2 2 Bulun Bulun 112 4.2.3 Bulun Bulun's Limited Legacy 115 4.3 Other Solutions for the Protection of Indigenous Cultural Expressions 122 4.3.1 Protocols and Codes of Conduct 123 4.3.2 Collective/Certification Trade Marks 124 4.3.3 Contract 125 4.3.4 Sui Generis Legislation 126 4.4 Insights for Copyright Law 127 Scientific Collaborations 131 5.1 Authorship Dynamics: Constructing Authority 132 5.2 Regulating Scientific Authorship with Private Ordering 139 5.2.1 Biomedical Science Collaborations: An Authorship Crisis 139 5.2.2 Particle Physics Collaborations: The Bureaucratisation of Authorship 145 5.3 The Application of Copyright Law 148 5.4 Insights for Copyright Law 154 159 6.1 Authorship Dynamics: The Pragmatic Value of Authorship 161 6.2 The Subsistence of Copyright 169 170 6.2.1 A Brief Historical Note 6.2.2 Explaining the Complexity of Film Copyright 171 6.2.3 Film as a First Fixation 176 6.2.4 Film as a Dramatic Work 180 6.2.5 The Pitfalls of Pragmatic Reasoning 187 6.3 Private Ordering 192 196 6.4 Insights for Copyright Law 7 Characteristics of Collective Authorship and the Role of Copyright Law 201 7.1 The Nature of Collective Authorship 201 7.2 The Meaning of Authorship for Each Collective Authorship Group 204 7.2.1 Different Meanings of Authorship 205 7.2.2 Authorship Signifies Responsibility for the Work 206 7.2.3 Authorship Signals Status within a Particular Community 208 7.2.4 Power Dynamics Affect the Attribution of Authorship 209 7.3 The Gap between Copyright Law's Assumptions about Authorship and

7.4 Bridging the Gap between Copyright Law and Creative Reality with Private

Creative Reality

7.4.1 Successful Examples

Ordering

210

213

213



	Contents	vii
	7.4.2 Less Successful Examples	215
	7.4.3 The Benefits and Limitations of Relying upon Private Ordering	216
	7.5 The Role of Copyright Law and Its Concepts	224
	7.6 Summary	229
8	An Inclusive, Contextual Approach to the Joint Authorship	
	Test	231
	8.1 The Relevance of Social Norms	233
	8.2 The Dangers of Deferring to Social Norms	238
	8.3 A Framework for Considering Social Norms	241
	8.4 Revisiting the Critique of the Joint Authorship Test	246
	8.4.1 Factual Specificity	246
	8.4.2 The Preoccupation with Aesthetic Neutrality	246
	8.4.3 The Pragmatic Instrumental Approach	247
	8.5 An Inclusive and Contextual Approach to the Joint Authorship Test	250
	8.6 Alternative Approaches to Joint Ownership	256
	8.6.1 The Current Approach to Joint Ownership	257
	8.6.2 A View from the United States	262
	8.6.3 A Proposal for a Modest Legislative Amendment	266
	8.7 Final Note	269
	Bibliography	273
	Index	294



Acknowledgements

This book would not have been possible without the support of many people. I owe a great debt of gratitude to Graeme Dinwoodie, who supervised my DPhil thesis, for his formidable expertise, great generosity, unwavering support and dynamism. Also to Mireille van Eechoud and Dev Gangjee who made the viva voce examination a thought-provoking and enjoyable experience, which has been a great resource in shaping this book.

I have been fortunate to be able to develop my ideas as a result of lively discussions with many scholars and friends. I am grateful for feedback from participants at the Intellectual Property Scholars Conference (DePaul University, 2011); the Authorship Dynamics and the Dynamic Work HERA Workshop (University of Cambridge, 2012); the Oxford Intellectual Property Discussion Group (2014) and graduate conferences at Queen Mary, King's College London and Oxford. Observations great and small from a number of intellectual property scholars have helped enormously in the development of this book, in particular, the anonymous reviewers of the manuscript, Isabella Alexander, Tanya Aplin, Barton Beebe, Lionel Bently, Laura Biron, Catherine Bond, Kathy Bowrey, Christopher Buccafusco, Robert Burrell, Pascale Chapdelaine, Elena Cooper, Giuseppina D'Agostino, Richard Danbury, Séverine Dusollier, Ilanah Fhima, Matt Fisher, Michael Fraser, Robert Gomulkiewicz, Jonathan Griffiths, Michael Handler, Emily Hudson, Marta Iljadica, Sir Robin Jacob, Phillip Johnson, Barbara Lauriat, Yin Harn Lee, Brigitte Lindner, Luke McDonagh, Poorna Mysoor, Justine Pila, Graham Reynolds, Mark Rose, Uma Suthersanen, Dilan Thampapillai, David Vaver and Kimberlee Weatherall.

I would be remiss not to thank the members of the Oxford Intellectual Property Research Centre, the fantastic group of intellectual property doctoral students at the University of Oxford and members of the Oxford Law Faculty for many conversations on fine points of copyright law as well as broader philosophical issues. Whilst at Oxford, I also benefited from the support and assistance of many scholars,

viii



Acknowledgements

ix

administrators and librarians at the Faculty of Law, Worcester College and the International Office.

I am particularly grateful to the University of Sydney for a visiting period in March–April 2017, which allowed me to make useful progress on this book and to discuss my work with some of Sydney's vibrant intellectual property community. I am thankful to the Arts Law Centre of Australia, especially Robyn Ayres and Alida Stanley, for useful discussions about the protection of Indigenous art in the early stages of this project.

The difficult task of turning a thesis into a book was made immeasurably easier by research assistance and attentive proof-reading from the wonderful Lynne Chave, as well as the support and guidance of many colleagues and friends at University College London.

I would like to express my gratitude to the many extraordinary teachers who have encouraged and inspired me over the years, particularly, Deirdre Coleman, Elaine Moore, Mary Crock, Peter Gerangelos, Hilary Astor, and Patricia Loughlan.

To Mum and Dad, your selfless love created the many opportunities with which my life has been blessed. Thank you for being a constant source of strength and support. Mike and Tonia (Leo and Olivia); Nick and Steph; Cath – thank you so much for your love, support and encouragement at every difficult turn. Thanks to my grandparents; to Juliet, Peter, Chris; and Sr Teresita for their thoughfulness and kind prayers. To Alicia, Amanda, Annie, Bipana, Chloe, Erie, Jen, Laura, Leo, Maria, Megan, Nahal, Natasa, Rani, Sam, Sarah, Tania and Vasiliki - a big thank you for sharing the ups and downs of this project with me. I very much appreciate the kindness and hospitality of Cristina, Luciano, Alessandro and friends in Genoa. Finally, words cannot adequately express my gratitude to Andrea, who has been there on every step of this journey, rigorously challenging my ideas and always believing in me.

* * *

Some of the research this book draws upon has been published in an earlier form: 'Copyright or Copyleft? Wikipedia as a Turning Point for Authorship' (2014) 25(1) King's LJ 102, 'Dreaming Authorship: Copyright Law and the Protection of Indigenous Cultural Expressions' (2015) 37(4) EIPR 240 and 'Recalibrating the Joint Authorship Test: Insights from Scientific Collaborations' (2013) 26(1) IPJ 111.

The law is stated as at 1 September 2018.



Abbreviations

1911 Act Copyright Act 1911 1956 Act Copyright Act 1956

Bently et al. L Bently, B Sherman, D Gangjee and P Johnson,

Intellectual Property Law (OUP 2018)

Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary

and Artistic Works of 9 September 1886

Copinger et al. KM Garnett, G Davies, G Harbottle, WA

Copinger and EP Skone James, Copinger and Skone James on Copyright (16th edn, Sweet &

Maxwell 2011)

Cornish et al. W Cornish, D Llewelyn and T Aplin, Intellectual

Property: Patents, Copyright, Trade Marks and Allied Rights (8th edn, Sweet & Maxwell 2013)

CDPA Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988

Database Directive Directive 96/9/EC of the European Parliament

and of the Council of 11 March 1996 on the

Legal Protection of Databases

Gregory Report 'Report of the Board of Trade Copyright

Committee' (Her Majesty's Stationery

Company October 1952) Cmd 8662

InfoSoc Directive Directive 2001/29/EC of the European

Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2001 on the harmonisation of certain aspects of copyright and related rights in the information

society

IPQ Intellectual Property Quarterly

JIPLP Journal of Intellectual Property Law and

Practice

Laddie et al. H Laddie, P Prescott and M Vitoria, The Modern

Law of Copyright and Designs (4th edn,

LexisNexis 2011)

X



List of Abbreviations

хi

Rental and Related Directive 2006/115/EC of the European Rights Directive Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2006 on rental right and lending right and on certain rights related to copyright in the field of intellectual property (codified version) (replacing Council Directive 92/100/EEC of 19 November 1992 on rental and lending right and on certain rights relating to copyright in the field of intellectual property) Australian Senate Standing Committee on Senate Report Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts, Indigenous Art -Securing the Future: Australia's Indigenous Visual Arts and Craft Sector (June 2007) Term Directive Directive 2006/116/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2006 on the term of protection of copyright and related rights (codified version) (repealing and replacing Council Directive 93/98/EEC of 29

TRIPS Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects o

Intellectual Property Rights 1994

October 1993 harmonizing the term of protection of copyright and certain related rights)



Table of Cases

Note: Locators followed by 'n' denote a reference in the footnotes to the text.

United Kingdom

Abraham Moon v Thornber [2012] EWPCC 37, [2013] FSR 17, 24n49, 25n51, 26n70

Adventure Film Productions v Tully [1993] EMLR 376 (Ch), 177n123 Anacon v Environmental Research [1994] FSR 359 (Ch), 175n109 Anya v Wu [2004] EWCA Civ 755, 148n95, 150n105, 154n126, 155n127, 222n86

Baigent v Random House Group [2006] EWHC 719, [2006] EMLR 16, 81n55

Baigent v Random House Group [2007] EWCA Civ 247, [2008] EMLR 7, 81n55

Bamgboye v Reed [2002] EWHC 2922, [2004] 5 EMLR 61, 25n54, 27n78, 28n83, 32n102, 33n106, 33n107, 37n131, 37n134, 40, 40n152, 44n172, 48n193, 56n242, 87n85, 138n49, 156n130, 209n25, 258n158

Beckingham v Hodgens [2002] EWHC 2143 (Ch), [2002] EMLR 45, 30, 30n90, 31n95, 33, 33n106, 35n118, 35n119, 37, 37n128, 37n132, 44n171, 47n187, 47n189, 52, 56n243, 88, 89, 156n130, 183n165, 185n176, 257n154, 258n157, 260n173

Beckingham v Hodgens [2003] EWCA Civ 143, [2003] EMLR 18, 30n90, 32, 32–33n104, 33, 33n106, 88, 89, 150n102, 156n130, 182n164, 251n121

Beechwood House Publishing t/a Binley's v Guardian Products [2010] EWPCC 1200, 85n73

Beggars Banquet Records v Carlton Television [1993] EMLR 349 (Ch), 177n124

Biotrading and Financing Oy v Biohit [1996] FSR 393 (Ch), 80n45, 80n46 Biotrading and Financing Oy v Biohit [1998] FSR 109 (CA), 80n45, 80n46

xii



Table of Cases xiii

- Blacklock v Peterson [1915] 2 Ch 376, 22n33
- BP Refinery (Westernport) Pty Ltd v President, Councillors and Ratepayers of the Shire of Hastings (1977) 52 ALJR 20, (1977) 180 CLR 266 (PC), 114n66, 261n174
- Brighton v Jones [2004] EWHC 1157, [2005] FSR 288, 26n63, 31n96, 32, 32n99, 32n101, 38n141, 39n144, 40, 41, 41n153, 43n164, 45, 46n184, 46–47n185, 47, 47n186, 47n187, 48n192, 79n40, 117n82, 148n96, 158n138, 182n163, 183n168, 183n169, 185n178, 260n173, 262n182
- Brown v Mcasso [2005] FSR 846 (EWPCC), 30n92, 32n102, 33n106, 35n117, 37, 37n131, 37n133, 43n164, 52, 56n242, 56n244, 87n85, 209n25
- Brown v Mcasso [2005] EWCA Civ 1546, [2006] FSR 480, 35n117 Brutus v Cozens [1973] AC 854 (HL), 57n247
- Cala Homes v Alfred McAlpine Homes [1995] EWHC 7, [1995] FSR 818, 20n22, 30n92, 36n127, 39n147, 40n151, 41, 41n154, 41n155, 42, 50n205, 62, 63, 80n44, 86n78, 118, 118n85, 119n86, 119n89, 148n97, 157, 157n132, 157n135, 207, 207n19, 255, 258n161
- Cescinsky v Routledge [1916] 2 KB 325, 258n162, 259n167, 259n169, 263n192
- Century Communications v Mayfair Entertainment [1993] EMLR 335, 177n124
- Chapman v Smith (1754) 2 Ves Sen 506, 28 ER 324 (Ch), 244n84 Chappell v Redwood Music [1980] 2 All ER 817, [1981] RPC 337 (HL), 184n172
- Coffey v Warner/Chappell Music [2005] EWHC 449 (Ch), [2005] FSR 34, 57n248, 84n65, 176n111, 252n127
- Confetti Records v Warner Music UK Ltd [2003] EWHC 1274 (Ch), 262n183
- Creation Records v News Group Newspapers [1997] EMLR 444 (Ch), 109n38, 172, 173n93
- Cummins v Bond (1926) 1 Ch 167, 20, 248n104
- Designers Guild Ltd v Russell Williams (Textiles) Ltd [2001] 1 WLR 2416, [2001] FSR 11, 36n126
- Donoghue v Allied Newspapers [1938] 1 Ch 106 (Ch), 26n61, 32n99, 41n153, 41n158, 48n196, 86n77, 117n82, 148n96, 148n98, 157n135, 184n175
- Dramatico Entertainment v British Sky Broadcasting [2012] EWHC 268, [2012] RPC 27, 180n150
- Elanco Products v Mandops (Agrochemical Specialists) [1980] RPC 213 (CA), 22n33, 80n49
- Electronic Techniques v Critchley [1997] FSR 401 (Ch), 175n109



xiv Table of Cases

Express Newspapers Plc v News (UK) Ltd [1991] FSR 36 (Ch), 261n175

 $Exxon\ v\ Exxon\ Insurance\ [1982]\ Ch\ 119\ (CA),\ 22n34,\ 52n220,\ 78-79n37$ $Fisher\ v\ Brooker\ and\ Onward\ Music\ [2006]\ EWHC\ 3239,\ [2007]\ FSR\ (12)$ $255,\ 32n102,\ 35,\ 37n133,\ 56,\ 56n244,\ 87,\ 209n25$

Fisher v Brooker [2009] UKHL 41, [2009] 1 WLR 1764, 44n172, 138n49, 183n166, 258n158, 260n173

Football Association Premier League v Panini UK [2004] FSR 1 (CA), 173n93 Football Association Premier League v QC Leisure (No 2) [2008] EWHC 1411 (Ch), 177n120

Football League v Littlewoods Pools [1959] Ch 637, 22n33

Francis Day and Hunter v 20th Century Fox [1940] AC 112 (PC), 78–79n37 Fylde Microsystems v Key Radio Systems [1998] FSR 449 (Ch), 26n64, 32n99, 35n119, 39n145, 40n149, 46-47n185, 52n221, 86, 113n61, 148n96, 157n137, 185

George Hensher v Restawile Upholstery [1976] AC 64 (HL), 51n210, 51n211

Godfrey v Lees [1995] EMLR 307 (Ch), 29n89, 35n116, 35n118, 46n184, 50n205, 81n53, 86n76, 87n85, 138n48, 260n173, 262n181 Green v Broadcasting Corp New Zealand [1989] 2 All ER 1056, 80n46, 180n146

Griggs v Evans [2003] EWHC 2914 (Ch), [2004] FSR 31, 192n222, 261n178

Hadley v Kemp [1999] EMLR 589 (Ch), 26n63, 29n89, 30n90, 32n99, 32n100, 32n101, 36n127, 37, 37n132, 38n140, 39n143, 39n148, 40, 45, 45n179, 46n184, 46–47n185, 47, 47n186, 48n191, 48n192, 52n223, 56n243, 86n76, 86n79, 121n98, 156n130, 157n136, 158n138, 182n163, 185n176, 235n22

Hatton v Kean (1859) 7 CB NS 268, 141 ER 819, 40n149, 40n151, 45n178, 248n104

Hayes v Phonogram [2002] EWHC 2062 (Ch), [2003] ECDR 11, 35n118, 42n161, 47n187

Hollinrake v Truswell [1894] 3 Ch 420 (CA), 52, 78–79n37

Hughes v Paxman [2007] RPC 2, 267n214

Interlego v Tyco Industries [1989] AC 217 (PC), 26n67, 79n39, 80n46, 81n51, 118n85, 217n52, 235n21

IPC Media v Highbury-Leisure Publishing [2004] EWHC 2985, [2005] FSR 20, 57n248, 80n46, 81n53, 84n65, 176n111

Kelly v Cinema Houses Ltd [1928-35] MCC 362, 172n83

Kenrick v Lawrence (1890) 25 QBD 99, 113n61, 119n86

King Features Syndicate v O and M Kleeman [1941] AC 417 (HL), 172n84 LA Gear v Hi-Tec Sports [1992] FSR 121 (CA), 79



Table of Cases xv

Ladbroke v William Hill [1964] 1 WLR 273, [1964] 1 All ER 465 (HL), 22n33, 24n48, 78n36

Lauri v Renad [1892] 3 Ch 402, 44n170, 257, 257n154, 258n156, 258n161 Levy v Rutley (1871) LR 6 CP 523, (1871) 24 LT 621, 31, 31n95, 32, 32n103, 45, 45n178, 80n47, 88n86, 149n100

L'Oréal v Bellure [2007] EWCA Civ 968, 22n34, 25n58

Lucasfilm v Ainsworth [2008] EWHC 1878, [2009] FSR 103, 26n67, 51, 51n218, 171n82, 172, 173, 173n92, 174, 174n99, 175n105, 182n159, 184, 190n209

Lucasfilm v Ainsworth [2009] EWCA Civ 1328, [2010] Ch 503, 173n92, 173n96, 253n130, 261n177

Lucasfilm v Ainsworth [2011] UKSC 39, [2012] 1 AC 208, 173, 173n96, 253n130

MacMillan v Cooper (1924) 40 TLR 186 (PC), 81, 81n52

MacMillan Publishers v Thomas Reed Publications [1993] FSR 455 (Ch), 82, 89, 97n132, 243n75

Mail Newspapers v Express Newspapers [1987] FSR 90 (Ch), 44n170, 257n155

Martin v Kogan [2017] EWHC 2927 (IPEC), 25n52, 31n96, 32n99, 35n117, 36, 37n136, 38n139, 39, 39n147, 40, 42, 42n161, 47n186, 48n193, 56, 67n299, 87n81, 88, 150n103, 157n133, 182n164, 183n168

Massine v de Basil [1936-45] MCC 223 (CA), 261n177

Mei Fields Designs v Saffron Cards and Gifts [2018] EWHC 1332, 25n53 Merchandising Corporation of America v Harpbond [1983] FSR 32 (CA), 109n38, 172n85

Millar v Taylor (1769) 4 Burr 2303, 98 ER 201 (Ct of KB), 244n82 Minder Music v Sharples [2015] EWHC 1454, 35n119, 42n161 Mirage Studios v Counter-Feat Clothing [1991] FSR 145 (Ch), 172n84 Moyna v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions [2003] UKHL 44, [2003] 1 WLR 1929, 57n247

Newspaper Licensing Agency v Meltwater [2011] EWCA 890, 23n43, 24n48, 78–79n37

Noah v Shuba [1991] FSR 14 (Ch), 150n105, 151n107, 155n127, 222n86, 250n118

Norowzian v Arks (No 1) [1998] FSR 394 (Ch), 51, 51n217, 176n114, 177n120, 181, 184

Norowzian v Arks (No 2) [2000] FSR 363 (CA), 160n7, 175n105, 180, 180n145, 181, 181n152, 198

Nova Productions Limited v Mazooma Games [2006] EWHC24, 180n147 Nova Productions Limited v Mazooma Games [2007] EWCA Civ 219, [2007] RPC 25, 180n147



xvi Table of Cases

O'Neill v Paramount [1983] CAT 235, 172n83 Powell v Head (1879) 12 Ch D 686, 44n173, 257n154, 258n161, 258n162, 259n168, 263n191 R v Higgs [2008] EWCA 1324, [2009] 1 WLR 73, 177n120 Redwood Music v Chappell (1982) RPC 109 (QB), 30-31n94, 80n48 Rexnold v Ancon [1983] FSR 245 (HC), 80n46 Robin Ray v Classic FM [1998] FSR 622 (Ch), 20n22, 29n89, 39n147, 41, 41n156, 48n196, 79n40, 86n76, 86n78, 117n82, 119n89, 148, 156n131, 157n132, 157n133, 192n222, 261n174, 261n178 Samuelson v Producers Distributing [1932] 1 Ch 201, (1932) 48 RPC 580, 55n240, 221n79 SAS Institute Inc v World Programming Ltd [2013] EWHC 69 (Ch), 26n70 SAS Institute v World Programming [2013] EWCA Civ 1482, [2014] RPC 8, 17n5, 24, 24n50, 36n126 Sandman v Panasonic [1998] FSR 651 (Ch), 175n109 Sawkins v Hyperion Records [2005] EWCA 565, [2005] 1 WLR 3281, 26n66, 50n207, 51, 81n51, 81n54, 117n80, 118n85, 121n98, 217n52, 235n21 Shelley Films v Rex Features [1994] EMLR 134, 172 Slater v Wimmer [2012] EWPCC 7, 177n125, 177n126, 178, 179n135, 198, 198n262, 198n264, 199n265, 254n138, 258 Spelling Goldberg Productions v BPC Publishing [1981] RPC 283 (CA), 176, 177n120 Springfield v Thame (1903) 89 LT 242 (Ch), 31 Stuart v Barrett [1994] EMLR 448 (Ch), 35n118, 37n135, 42n161, 44n171, 48n191, 50n205, 86n79, 87n83, 87n85, 257n154, 258n157 Sweeney v Macmillan Publishers [2002] RPC 35 (Ch), 80n43, 80n44 Tate v Fullbrook [1908] 1 KB 821 (CA), 41n159, 184n175, 185n178 Tate v Thomas [1921] 1 Ch 503, 41n159, 185n178 Taylor v Maguire [2013] EWHC 3804, [2014] ECDR 4, 24n49, 25n51 Taylor v Rive Droite Music [2004] EWHC 1605, [2004] All ER 88, 79n41 Temple Island Collections v New English Teas [2012] EWPCC 1, [2012] All ER 49, 24n49, 25n51, 185n181 The Reject Shop v Manners [1995] FSR 870 (DC), 79n39 Ultra Marketing v Universal Components [2004] EWHC 468, [2004] All ER 229, 80n45 University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601 (Ch), 20, 22n33, 24n48, 78n36 Walter v Lane [1900] AC 539 (HL), 20n23, 20n24, 26n62, 62n271 Waterlow Directories v Reed Information Services [1992] FSR 409 (Ch),

Wiseman v George Weidenfeld & Nicolson Ltd [1985] FSR 525 (Ch),

46-47n185, 52n222, 55n240, 149n100, 221n79

22n33



Table of Cases xvii

European Union

- C-203/02 British Horseracing Board v William Hill [2004] ECR I-10415, [2005] RPC 260, 85, 85n73
- C-444/02 Fixtures Marketing Ltd v Organismos Prognostikon Agonon Podosfairou AE [2004] ECR I-10549, 84n68, 84n69, 85n72
- C-545/07 Apis-Hirstovich EOOD v Ladorka AD [2009] ECR I-1627, 84n68
- C-5/08 Infopaq International v Danske Dagblades Forening [2009] ECR I-6569, 5n25, 17n5, 19, 22-25, 22n35, 22n36, 23n42, 24n47, 26n68, 36, 36n126, 39n142, 57, 57n249, 78n36, 78-79n37, 81, 81n56, 83, 83n63, 144n80, 173n93, 175, 217n52
- C-403/08 and C-429/08 Football Association Premier League v QC Leisure [2012] 1 CMLR 29, [2012] ECDR 8, 23n40, 24n47, 26, 26n65, 177n120, 180n149
- C-393/09 Bezpecnostn'i softwarová asociace v Ministerstvo Kultury (BSA) [2011] ECDR 3, [2011] FSR 18, 23n39, 23n42, 24n47, 25n56, 26, 26n65
- C-145/10 Painer v Standard Verlags [2012] ECDR 6, 23n42, 25n51, 25n56, 27n71, 63n274, 81n56, 185n181
- C-277/10 Luksan v Van der Let [2013] ECDR 5, 19n15
- C-604/10 Football Dataco v Yahoo! UK [2012] ECDR 10, 23, 23n41, 23n42, 23n43, 24n50, 85n74
- C-310/17 Levola Hengelo BV v Smilde Foods BV EU:C:2018:899, 5n25, 24n47, 176n110

Australia

- Aboriginal Sacred Sites Protection Authority v Maurice; Re the Warumbingu Land Claim [1986] FCA 90, (1986) 10 FCR 104 (Fed. Ct. of Australia), 122n104
- Acohs v Ucorp [2010] FCA 57(Fed. Ct. of Australia); [2012] FCAFC 16 (Full Fed. Ct. of Australia), 30n90, 34n114, 100–101n1
- Aristocrat Leisure Industries v Pacific Gaming [2000] FCA 1273 (Fed. Ct. of Australia), 121n97
- Australian Competition and Consumer Commission v Australian Dreamtime Creations [2009] FCA 1545 (Fed. Ct. of Australia), 122n108
- Australian Competition and Consumer Commission v Nooravi [2008] FCA 2021 (Fed. Ct. of Australia), 122n108
- BP Refinery (Westernport) Pty Ltd v Hastings Shire Council (1977) 180 CLR 266 (PC), 114n66, 261n174
- Bulun Bulun v Nejlam Investments (unreported, Fed. Ct. of Australia, Darwin 1989), 103n12, 110n42



xviii Table of Cases

Bulun Bulun v R & T Textiles (1998) 86 FCR 244 (Fed. Ct. of Australia), 43n165, 101, 109, 112-122, 128-130, 207, 207n19, 228, 255, 255n144 Burge v Swarbrick [2007] HCA 17 (High Court of Australia), 50n208 Foster v Mountford [1976] 29 FLR 233 (NT SC), 122n100, 122n104 IceTV Pty Ltd v Nine Network Australia Pty Ltd [2009] HCA 14, (2009) 239 CLR 458 (High Court of Australia), 5n23, 100-101n1, 117n83 Kalamazoo v Compact Business Systems (1983) 5 IPR 213 (Qld SC), 120n96

- Milpurrurru v Indofurn Pty Ltd (1994) 54 FCR 240 (Fed. Ct. of Australia), 104n14, 109n35, 110–112, 111n50, 113, 116n78, 122n102, 128, 202n4
- Milwell v Olympic Amusement (1999) 85 FCR 436 (Full Fed. Ct. of Australia), 34n114, 119n86, 121n97
- Neowarra v Western Australia [2003] FCA 1402 (Fed. Ct. of Australia), 114n63
- Primary Healthcare v Federal Commissioner of Taxation [2010] FCA 419 (Fed. Ct. of Australia), 30n90, 100–101n1
- Prior v Lansdowne Press [1977] RPC 511, [1977] FLR 59 (Vic SC), 44n171, 149n100, 258n157, 258n161
- Sega Enterprises v Galaxy Electronics (1996) 35 IPR 161, (1997) 37 IPR 462 (Fed. Ct. of Australia), 120–121, 120n96, 121n97
- Stevens v Kabushiki Kaisha Sony Computer Entertainment [2005] HCA 54, 224 CLR 193 (High Court of Australia), 121n97
- Telstra v Phone Directories [2010] FCA 44 (Fed. Ct. of Australia), [2010] FCAFC 149 (Full Fed. Ct. of Australia), 2n12, 5n23, 34n114, 43n165, 82n61, 100–101n1, 117n83, 122n100
- Western Australia v Ward [2002] HCA 28, (2002) 213 CLR 1 (High Court of Australia), 114n63
- Victoria Park Racing & Recreation Grounds Co Ltd v Taylor [1937] HCA 45, (1937) 58 CLR 479 (High Court of Australia), 22n34
- Yumbulul v Reserve Bank of Australia [1991] FCA 332, 21 IPR 481 (Fed. Ct. of Australia), 109n35, 110, 110n43, 112, 126n132, 129n142, 220n70, 249n111

United States

16 Casa Duse v Merkin 791 F3d 247 (2d Cir, 2015), 64n282, 66, 66n294, 190–191, 191n215

Aalmuhammed v Lee 202 F3d 1227 (9th Cir, 2000), 5n23, 34n111, 45n175, 64n280, 64n282, 65, 65n290, 187–191, 198, 199, 199n265, 262n189 Bridgeman Art Library v Corel 36 F Supp 2d 191 (SDNY, 1999), 117n80



Table of Cases xix

Carter v Bailey 64 Me 458 (SC of Maine, 1874), 34n111, 262n184, 262n188, 263n190

Childress v Taylor 945 F2d 500 (US CA 2nd Cir, 1991), 32–33n104, 33n109, 38n138, 64n282

Chou v University of Chicago 254 F3d 1347 (Fed Cir, 2001), 143n77, 150n105

Erickson v Trinity Theatre 13 F3d 1061 (7th Cir, 1994), 38n138, 64n282 Feist Publications Inc v Rural Telephone Service (1991) 499 US 340 (USSC), 144n80

Gaiman v McFarlane 360 F3d 644 (7th Cir, 2004), 38n138, 65n292
Garcia v Google 743 F3d 1258 (9th Cir, 2014), 786 F3d 733 (9th Cir, 2015), 5n23, 117n82, 189-191, 189n198, 191n214, 192n220, 198
Jacobsen v Katzer 535 F 3d 1373 (US CA Fed Cir, 2008), 91-92
Johnson v Schmitz 119 FSupp 2d 90 (D Conn, 2000), 143n77, 150n105
Nichols v Universal Pictures 45 F2d 119 (2nd Cir, 1930), 172n83
Pullman-Standard v Swint (1982) 456 US 273 (USSC), 53n229
Thomson v Larson 147 F3d 195 (2d Cir, 1998), 33n110, 34n111, 38n138, 65

Weissmann v Freeman 684 FSupp 1248 (SDNY, 1988), 868 F2d 1313 (2nd Cir, 1989), 151–153, 154n126, 155, 155n127, 209, 222n86

Other Jurisdictions

Canada), 151n107

Carlos RL v Javier AA and Maria Cruz DA [2002] ECDR 23 (Madrid CA 12th Section, Spain), 149n101

Case II CSK 527/10, 22 June 2010 (Polish SC), 255n141 Elisha Qimron v Hershel Shanks [1993] 7 EIPR D-157 (Israeli SC), 81n54 Fabrikant c. Swamy (2011) QCCS 1385 (Superior Court of Quebec,

Heptulla v Orient Longman [1989] 1 FSR 598 (Indian High Court), 40n151, 41n158, 50n205, 157n135

Land Transport Safety Authority of New Zealand v Glogau [1999] 1 NZLR 261 (Wellington CA, New Zealand), 34n114

Neudorf (Darryl) v Nettwerk Productions [2000] RPC 935 (British Columbia SC, Canada), 32–33n104



Table of Statutes

UK

Copyright Act 1911, 100, 170, 170n71, 185
Copyright Act 1956, 27, 30, 30n92, 30–31n94, 100–101n1, 170, 170n73, 170n75, 171n80, 180n143, 183n167
Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988, 2n11, 9, 13, 14, 15–19, 24n47, 25n57, 26, 26n70, 27–29, 42, 44, 48, 49n197, 49n202, 51, 51n216, 52, 54, 55, 61n261, 62, 63n276, 70, 73, 78, 84, 84n68, 85n70, 86, 86n76, 89, 92, 96, 100, 100–101n1, 148, 159, 160, 164, 169, 170, 172n87, 173, 173n93, 175, 175n109, 179, 181, 185n176, 186, 197, 204n12, 207n20, 208, 211, 217, 218, 221, 223, 228, 238n43, 240, 247, 248, 251, 254, 257–259, 259n167, 266, 267n214, 268n221, 269,

Dramatic Copyright Act 1833, 41n159, 170n71, 185n178
Fine Arts Copyright Act 1862, 170n71
Interpretation Act 1978, 259n167
Law of Property Act 1925, 264n202, 265n203, 265n204
Patents Act 1977, 259n165, 267n214
Trustee Act 1925, 264n202, 265n205
Trustee Act 2000, 265n205
Trusts of Land and Trustees Appointment Act 1997, 265n205

Europe

271, 272n231

92/100/EEC Rental and Related Rights Directive 1992, 19n15, 27n76, 176n113
93/98/EEC Term Directive 1993, 19n15, 23n38, 27n76, 170n76, 176n113, 179n141
96/9/EC Database Directive 1996, 19n15, 23n38, 25n57, 84, 84n66, 85n70
2001/29/EC Information Society Directive 2001, 22, 24n50
2009/24/EC Computer Programs Directive 2009, 19n15

XX



Table of Statutes xxi

Australia

Competition and Consumer Amendment (Exploitation of Indigenous Culture) Bill 2017 (Cth), 123
Copyright Amendment (Indigenous Communal Moral Rights) Bill (Draft) 2003 (Cth), 108n30, 123, 123n110
Copyright Act 1968 (Cth), 100, 111n51, 113, 117n83, 119
Native Title Act 1993 (Cth), 112n57, 113n62
Resale Royalty Right for Visual Artists Act 2009 (Cth), 123n109

Other Jurisdictions

Trade Marks Act 1995 (Cth), 124n117

Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights 1994, 18n13

Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works of 9 September 1886, 18, 18n11, 18n12, 23, 26n70, 28n81, 181, 272 Copyright Act 1976 (US) (United States Code, Title 17), 28n82, 30n93, 33, 63n276, 65n283, 88n91, 189n199, 190n206, 262n185, 263n192 Pacific Model Law (Model for the Protection of Traditional Knowledge and Expressions of Culture 2002), 127n139

