

#### TRANSNATIONAL CORPORATIONS AND HUMAN RIGHTS

The number of transnational corporations – including parent companies and subsidiaries – has exploded over the last forty years, which has led to a correlating rise of corporate violations of international human rights and environmental laws, either directly or in conjunction with government security forces, local police, state-run businesses, or other businesses. In this work, Gwynne Skinner details the harms of business-related human rights violations on local communities and describes the barriers, both functional and institutional, that victims face in seeking remedies. She concludes by offering solutions to these barriers, with a focus on measures designed to improve judicial remedies, which are the heart of international human rights law but often fail to deliver justice to victims. This work should be read by anyone concerned with the role of corporations in our increasingly globalized society.

GWYNNE L. SKINNER was Professor of Law and Director of the Immigration Clinic at Willamette University. Along with teaching, Skinner also served as a civil rights and international human rights attorney in Seattle, a civil litigator at Dorsey and Whitney LLP, and a federal and state prosecutor. Her cutting-edge human rights litigation included the representation of individuals in immigrant detention, clients before the European Court of Human Rights, and the Palestinian families and parents of Rachel Corrie in the suit against Caterpillar, Inc. An advisor for the International Corporate Accountability Roundtable (ICAR), she was the author of many leading human rights reports.

SARAH MCGRATH is currently the Director of International Engagement, Business and Human Rights at the Australian Human Rights Commission.

RACHEL CHAMBERS teaches corporate responsibility and researches corporate accountability mechanisms at the University of Connecticut and, formerly, SOAS, University of London





# Transnational Corporations and Human Rights

OVERCOMING BARRIERS TO JUDICIAL REMEDY

**GWYNNE L. SKINNER** 

Willamette University College of Law

Assisted by

RACHEL CHAMBERS SARAH McGRATH





# UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia 314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India 79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

> www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107199316 DOI: 10.1017/9781108185547

> > © Gwynne L. Skinner 2020

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2020

Printed in the United Kingdom by TJ International Ltd, Padstow, Cornwall

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data NAMES: Skinner, Gwynne, author. | Chambers, Rachel E., contributor. | McGrath, Sarah (writer on human rights), contributor.

TITLE: Transnational corporations and human rights: overcoming barriers to judicial remedy / Gwynne L. Skinner, Willamette University College of Law; assisted by Rachel Chambers, Sarah McGrath. DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, United Kingdom; New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, [2020] | Includes bibliographical references and index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2020013258 (print) | LCCN 2020013259 (ebook) | ISBN 9781107199316 (hardback) | ISBN 9781316648803 (paperback) | ISBN 9781108185547 (epub) SUBJECTS: LCSH: Liability for human rights violations. | Tort liability of corporations. CLASSIFICATION: LCC K935 .S55 2020 (print) | LCC K935 (ebook) | DDC 342.08/5-dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2020013258 LC ebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2020013259

> ISBN 978-1-107-19931-6 Hardback ISBN 978-1-316-64880-3 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For Beth, Lucy, and Ella.

And for a more just world for all.





Thank you for the contributions made by Julia Jacovides, Ari Alvarez, Jennifer M. Green, Jennifer Hammitt, Heather Cohen and James Yap.





# Contents

Foreword		page xiii
List of Abbreviations		XV
Tab	Table of Cases	
Tab	Table of Statutes	
	Introduction	1
	PART I: RISE OF TRANSNATIONAL CORPORATIONS, IMPACT ON	
	HUMAN RIGHTS, AND VICTIMS' RIGHTS TO REMEDY	7
1	Growth and Structure of TNCs	9
	1.1 Financial Benefits From Operating in Developing Countries	11
2	Victims' Rights To Remedy for Business-Related Human	
	Rights Violations	14
	2.1 Vulnerable Communities Absorb Harm from TNCs' Activities	14
	2.2 The Right to Remedy under International Law	16
	2.3 Remediation for Extraterritorial Violations of International	
	Law under US Law	23
	2.4 Barriers to Judicial Remedy	26
3	Barriers to Judicial Remedies in Host Countries	28
	3.1 The Need for Legislative Reform	32
4	Limits on Subject Matter Jurisdiction over International	
	Human Rights Violations	34
	4.1 An Overview of Subject Matter Jurisdiction across Multiple	<i></i>
	Jurisdictions	34



x Contents

	4.1.1 United States	35
	4.1.2 Canada	41
	4.1.3 Europe	42
5	Limited Liability of Parent Corporations	43
	5.1 An Overview of Parent Company Liability across Multiple Jurisdictions	44
	5.1.1 United States	45
	5.1.2 Canada	47
	5.1.3 Europe	48
	5.1.3.1 France	48
	5.1.3.2 United Kingdom	49
	5.1.3.3 Switzerland	50
6	Lack of In Personam Jurisdiction over TNCs and their Affiliates	52
	6.1 An Overview of In Personam (Adjudicatory) Jurisdiction	54
	6.1.1 The United States: Historical and Current Theories of	
	Personal Jurisdiction	54
	6.1.2 Europe	57
	6.1.3 Canada	59
	PART II LEGAL BARRIERS TO REMEDY AND HOW TO	
	OVERCOME THEM	63
7	Overcoming Legal Barriers to Remedy	65
	7.1 Proposals to Overcome Limited Liability of Parent	
	Corporations	65
	7.1.1 Enterprise Liability	66
	7.1.2 Due Diligence Approach	71
	7.1.3 Parent Corporation Duty of Care for Its Own Assumptions	
	of Obligations	74
	7.1.4 Expanded Tort Law Approach Toward Parental Duty of	
	Care to Foreseeable Victims	81
	7.2 Ensuring Parent Corporation Liability through Legislation	86
	7.3 Proposals for Addressing Limits to Subject Matter Jurisdiction	89
	7.3.1 Expand Subject Matter Jurisdiction By Statute	89
	7.3.1.1 United States	90
	7.3.1.2 Canada	92
	7.3.1.3 Europe	92
	7.4 Adoption of Jurisdiction/Forum by Necessity	93
	7.5 More Expansive Personal Jurisdiction over TNCs 7.5.1 Current Jurisdictional Rules do not Reflect How TNCs Are	95
	Structured and Operate	O.F
	Sudetured and Operate	95



Contents хi 7.6 Legislation Needed to Expand Personal Jurisdiction 96 7.6.1 The United States Should Require TNCs doing Business in the United States to Consent to General Personal Jurisdiction for Federal Causes of Action 96 7.6.2 A Statutory Enactment Would Provide for an Even Playing Field for US Corporations 104 7.6.3 Comity and Foreign Relations Are Not Substantial **Impediments** 105 7.6.4 The EU and European Countries Should Similarly Expand Jurisdiction over TNCs 106 8 Overcoming Other Barriers to Remedy 100 8.1 Unsettled Legal Standards for Corporate Liability 100 8.1.1 Corporate Liability for CIL Violations is Unsettled 110 8.1.2 Unsettled Legal Standard for Vicarious Liability 113 8.1.3 Overcoming the Barrier of Unsettled Legal Standards for Corporate Liability 116 8.2 Dismissals Based on Forum Non Conveniens 117 8.2.1 US Federal Law 119 8.2.2 US State Law 120 8.2.3 Canada 121 8.2.4 Overcoming the Barrier of Forum Non Conveniens 124 8.2.4.1 United States 124 8.2.4.2 Canada 125 8.3 Barriers Presented by "Choice of Law" Doctrine 125 8.3.1 United States 125 8.3.2 Europe 127 8.3.3 Canada 129 8.3.4 Overcoming the Barrier of Choice of Law Statutes 130 8.3.4.1 United States 130 8.3.4.2 Europe 130 8.4 Barriers Presented by Statutes of Limitations 131 8.4.1 US Federal Law 131 8.4.2 US State Law 131 8.4.3 Europe 132 8.4.4 Overcoming Barriers Created by Statutes of Limitations 133 8.5 Barriers Created by Restrictive Discovery Rules 133 8.5.1 United States 134 8.5.2 Canada 135 8.5.3 Europe 135 8.5.4 Overcoming Barriers Created by Discovery Rules 136

8.5.5 Reform Access to Evidence

137



xii Contents

8.6 Barriers Created by Costs of Litigation	137
8.6.1 United States	138
8.6.2 Canada	139
8.6.3 Europe	140
8.6.4 Overcoming Barriers of Costs of Litigation	142
8.6.4.1 United States	142
8.6.4.2 Canada	143
8.6.4.3 Europe	143
8.7 Barriers Created by Collective Redress and Class Action	
Mechanisms	144
8.7.1 United States	144
8.7.2 Canada and Europe	145
8.7.3 Overcoming Barriers Presented by Limits on Collective	
Actions	147
8.7.3.1 United States	147
8.7.3.2 Europe	147
8.8 Retaliatory Lawsuits	148
8.8.1 Addressing Retaliatory Lawsuits	150
8.9 Lack of Criminal Prosecutions and Restitution	150
8.9.1 United States	151
8.9.2 Europe	152
8.9.3 Overcoming Barriers Created by Criminal Prosecution	154
8.9.3.1 United States	155
8.9.3.2 Europe	155
8.9.3.3 Training and Awareness-Raising for Public	
Prosecutors and Judges	156
Conclusion	158
Select Bibliography	
ndex	



# Foreword

Sadly we live in a world where victims of corporate-related human rights abuses are often unable to obtain justice. While dam collapses, factory fires, oil spills, and child labor and exploitation regularly make global headlines, legal systems are commonly unable or unwilling to respond effectively. In today's global economy, multinational corporations operate across borders and legal systems with ease, allowing them to evade liability and ultimately profit from human rights harms.

Gwynne Skinner had a vision for a better world, one where victims have access to effective remedy and where corporate actors can no longer act with impunity.

In December 2017, Gwynne Skinner passed away in her home in Portland, Oregon, surrounded by her loved ones. Throughout her career, Gwynne provided a leading voice in the business and human rights arena, particularly on areas of access to remedy and legal standards of accountability. Her work litigating on the front lines of corporate accountability, producing scholarship and thought-leadership on issues related to access to remedy was ground-breaking. We were fortunate to have had the opportunity to work closely with Gwynne. She was a mentor, advisor, and dear friend to both of us and to many others in the business and human rights community.

This publication, *Transnational Corporations and Human Rights*: Overcoming Barriers to Judicial Remedy, is both a culmination and a celebration. It is a culmination of years of incredible work: research, reasoning, and writing conducted by Gwynne. It represents perhaps the most comprehensive exploration of the legal barriers which victims face in seeking remedy for business-related human rights harms, and offers the business and human rights field with strong, legal argumentation and practice solutions to these barriers. But it is also a celebration. It is a celebration of Gwynne's mind and intellect, as well as her dedication to shaping the world for the better. It is a celebration of community, including the incredible lawyers, advocates, and activists that have and continue to work every day to ensure



xiv Foreword

that human rights are protected and that corporations are held accountable for harms caused by their operations.

In reading this book, it is our hope that you will be challenged, educated, and inspired. These are the hallmarks by which Gwynne engaged in her teaching practice, and we believe they are captured here as well. By engaging with this work, you will also be helping to chart a critical path forward for building meaningful accountability for human rights harms – one where corporations are not exempt from the harms' cause, including by their subsidiaries, and where legal loopholes that eviscerate opportunities for redress from communities and individuals harmed are ultimately closed.

We want to also note that this work could not have been completed without the support and dedication of Dr. Rachel Chambers. Rachel jumped into this process in 2018, and has held the pen since that time. Her commitment to Gwynne and to these issues has resulted in a text that is timely, compelling, clear, and directed at action.

The ability to obtain justice through the courts is fundamental to the realization of human rights globally. While judicial remedy can sound technical and esoteric, the principles behind it are fundamentally about justice, about what is right and what is fair. These principles imbue the legacy of Gwynne Skinner. Every day she is missed, but through these pages, she is not forgotten.

Amol Mehra Sarah McGrath



# **Abbreviations**

ATS

Alien Torts Statute United Self-Defense Forces of Colombia **AUC** CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act CERD Committee to End Racial Discrimination CESCR Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights CIL Customary international law Uniform Court Jurisdiction and Proceedings Transfer Act CJPTA Employee Retirement Income Security Act **ERISA** EUEuropean Union **FCPA** Foreign Corrupt Practices Act FDI Foreign direct investment Foreign direct investment in the United States **FDIUS** FIDH Fédération Internationale des Ligues des Droits de l'Homme European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights FRA United States Department of Justice Human Rights and Special HRSP Prosecutions Section **ICC** International Criminal Court **ICCPR** International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights **ICTY** The International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia IMT International Military Tribunal of Nuremberg LASPO Legal Aid, Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act Members of the European Parliament **MEPs** OECD National Contact Points **NCPs** Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development **OECD** OTP Office of the Prosecutor RICO Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act

Strategic lawsuit against public participation

SLAPP



xvi List of Abbreviations

TNCs Transnational corporations

TVPA The Trafficking Victims Protection Act

UN United Nations

UNGPs United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights



# Table of Cases

#### UNITED STATES

The Rapid, 8 Cranch 155 (1814) The Joseph, 12 U.S. 451 (1814) McQueen v. Middletown Mfg. Co., 16 Johns. R. 5 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1819) The Apollon, 9 Wheat. 362 (1824) Foster v. Neilson, 27 U.S. 253, 314 (1829) United States v. Percheman, 32 U.S. 51 (1832) Jecker v. Montgomery, 59 U.S. (18 How) 110 (1855) The Prize Cases, 67 U.S. 635 (1862) Welton v. Missouri, 91 U.S. 275 (1875) Pennoyer v. Neff, 95 U.S. 714 (1878) Santa Clara County v. Southern Pacific RR, 118 U.S. 394 (1886) Texas & Pac. Ry. Co. v. Behymer, 189 U.S. 468 (1903) Shandrew v. Chi. St. P., M. & O. Ry. Co., 142 F. 320 (8th Cir. 1905) International Textbook Co. v. Pigg, 217 U.S. 91 (1910) St. Louis S.W. Co. v. Alexander, 227 U.S. 218 (1913) McDonald v. Mabee, 243 U.S. 90 (1917) Tauza v. Susquehanna Coal Co., 220 N.Y. 259 (1917) Missouri, K. & T.R. Co. v. Reynolds, 255 U.S. 565 (1921) Osage Oil & Ref. Co. v. Interstate Pipe Co., 253 P. 66 (Okla. 1926) Staley-Wynne Oil Corp. v. Loring Oil Co., 162 So. 756 (La. 1935) Milliken v. Meyer, 311 U.S. 457 (1940) Klaxon Co. v. Stentor Electric Mfg. Co., 313 U.S. 487 (1941) Wickard v. Filburn, 317 U.S. 111 (1942) Int'l Shoe Co. v. Wash., 326 U.S. 310 (1945) Gulf Oil Corp. v. Gilbert, 330 U.S. 501 (1947) Eure v. Morgan Jones & Co., 79 S.E.2d 862 (Va. 1954) Pennsylvania v. Nelson, 350 U.S. 497 (1956) Green Mountain Coll. v. Levine, 139 A.2d 822 (Vt. 1958) Confederation of Can. Life Ins. Co. v. Vega y Arminan, 144 So. 2d 805 (Fla. 1962) Deveny v. Rheem Mfg. Co., 319 F.2d 124 (2d Cir. 1963) Byham v. Nat'l Cibo House Corp., 143 S.E.2d 225 (N.C. 1965)

xvii



Zschernig v. Miller, 389 U.S. 429 (1968)

xviii

More Information

#### Table of Cases

Ratliff v. Cooper Labs. Inc., 444 F.2d 745, 748 (4th Cir. 1971) Shaffer v. Heitner 433 U.S. 186 (1977) Springle v. Cottrell Eng'g Corp., 391 A.2d 456 (Md. Ct. Spec. App. 1978) Mannington Mills, Inc. v. Congoleum Corp., 595 F.2d 1287 (3d Cir. 1979) Gartner v. Snyder, 607 F.2d 582 (2d Cir. 1979) Filartiga v. Pena-Irala, 630 F.2d 876 (2d Cir. 1980) Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno, 454 U.S. 235 (1981) Mittelstadt v. Rouzer, 328 N.W.2d 467 (Neb. 1982) In re Mid-Atl. Toyota Antitrust Litig., 525 F. Supp. 1265 (D. Md. 1981), aff d, 704 F.2d 125 (4th Cir. 1983) Augsbury Corp. v. Petrokey Corp., 470 N.Y.S.2d 787 (N.Y. App. Div. 1983) Pension Benefit Guar. Corp. v. Ouimet Corp., 711 F.2d 1085 (1st Cir. 1983) Halberstam v. Welch, 705 F.2d 472 (D.C. Cir. 1983) Ruckelshaus v. Sierra Club, 463 U.S. 680 (1983) Johnson Creative Arts & Wool v. Masters, Inc. 743 F. 2d 947 (1st Cir. 1984) Helicopteros Nacionales de Colom., S.A. v. Hall 466 U.S. 408 (1984) Holloway v. Wright & Morrissey, Inc., 739 F.2d 695 (1st Cir. 1984) Marek v. Chesny, 473 U.S. 1 (1984) Securities Investor Protection Corporation v. Vigman, 764 F.2d 1309 (9th Cir. 1985) Daetwyler Corp. v. R. Meyer, 762 F.2d 290 (3d Cir. 1985) Fassett v. Delta Kappa Epsilon (New York), 807 F.2d 1150 (3d Cir. 1986) Coulter v. Tennessee, 805 F.2d 146 (6th Cir. 1986) In re Union Carbide Corp. Gas Plant Disaster at Bhopal, India in Dec., 1984, 809 F.2d 195 (2d Cir. 1987) Grey Line Tours v. Reynolds Elec. & Eng'g Co., 238 Cal. Rptr. 419, 421–22 (Cal. Ct. App. 1987) Read v. Sonat Offshore Drilling, Inc., 515 So. 2d 1229, 1230–31 (Miss. 1987) Sternberg v. O'Neil, 550 A.2d 1105, 1109–16 (Del. 1988) Burnham v. Superior Court of California, 495 U.S. 604 (1990) Dow Chem. Co. v. Castro Alfaro, 786 S.W.2d 674 (Tex. 1990) Simmers v. Am. Cyanamid Corp., 576 A.2d 376, 382 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1990) Knowlton v. Allied Van Lines, Inc., 900 F.2d 1196, 1199–2000 (8th Cir. 1990) Allied-Signal Inc. v. Purex Indus., Inc., 576 A.2d 942, 943-45 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. 1990) Wilson v. Humphreys (Cayman) Ltd., 916 F.2d 1239, 1245 (7th Cir. 1990) Rykoff-Sexton, Inc. v. American Appraisal Associates, 469 N.W.2d 88, 89–91 (Minn. 1991) Werner v. Prins, 861 P.2d 271,272–74 (Ariz. Ct. App. 1991) Bane v. Netlink, Inc., 925 F.2d 637, 640 (3d Cir. 1991)

Wenche Siemer v. Learjet Acquisition Corp., 966 F.2d 179, 182–83 (5th Cir. 1992)

In re Temporomandibular Joint (TMJ) Implants Prods. Liab. Litig. Eyeglasses, 113

Allstate Ins. Co. v. Klein, 422 S.E.2d 863, 864–65 (Ga. 1992)

Cipollone v. Liggett Grp., Inc., 505 U.S. 504, 532 (1992)

Wyoming v. Oklahoma, 502 U.S. 437 (1992)

Paul v. Avril, 812 F. Supp. 207 (S.D. Fla. 1993) Xuncax v. Gramajo, 886 F. Supp. 162 (D. Mass. 1995) Kadic v. Karadzic, 70 F.3d 232, 241 (2d Cir. 1995)

F.3d 1484 (8th Cir. 1995)



# Table of Cases

xix

Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc. v. Recovery Credit Servs., Inc., 98 F.3d 13, 18 (2d Cir. 1996) Hilao v. Marcos, 103 F.3d 767 (9th Cir. 1996) Ciba-Geigy Ltd. v. Fish Peddler, Inc., 691 So. 2d 1111, 1118 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1997) Wash. Equip. Mfg. Co., Inc. v. Concrete Placing Co., Inc., 931 P.2d 170 (Wash. Ct. App. 1997) Doe v. Karadzic, 176 F.R.D. 458 (S.D.N.Y. 1997) United States v. Bestfoods, 524 U.S. 51, 62 (1998) First Am. Corp. v. Price Waterhouse LLP, 154 F.3d 16, 23 (2d Cir. 1998) United States ex rel. Newsham v. Lockheed Missiles & Space Co., 190 F.3d 963 (9th Cir. 1999) Wiwa v. Royal Dutch Petrol. Co., 226 F.3d 88, 95 (2d Cir. 2000) Consol. Dev. Corp. v. Sherritt, Inc., 216 F.3d 1286, 1293 (11th Cir. 2000) Freeman v. Second Jud. Dist. Court ex rel. Cnty. of Washoe, 1 P.3d 963 (Nev. 2000) Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co. v. Leahey Const. Co., 219 F.3d 519 (6th Cir. 2000) Northern Light Tech., Inc. v. Northern Lights Club, 236 F.3d 57 (1st Cir. 2001) DP Aviation v. Smiths Indus. Aerospace and Def. Sys. Ltd., 268 F.3d 829 (9th Cir. 2001) Aguinda v. Texaco, Inc., 303 F.3d 470 (2d Cir. 2002) Papa v. United States, 281 F.3d 1004 (9th Cir. 2002) Sinaltrainal v. Coca-Cola (Sinaltrainal I) 256 F. Supp. 2d 1345, 1354–55 (S.D. Fl. 2003) Sosa v. Alvarez-Machain, 542 U.S. 692 (2004) Presbyterian Church of Sudan v. Talisman Energy, Inc., 244 F. Supp. 2d 289 (S.D.N.Y. 2003); No. 01 Civ. 9882(DLC) (S.D.N.Y. 2004) Bowoto v. Chevron Texaco Co., 312 F. Supp. 2d 1229, 1235–40 (N.D. Cal. 2004) Van Tu v. Koster, 364 F.3d 1196, 1199 (10th Cir. 2004) Mendez-Laboy v. Abbott Labs., Inc., 424 F.3d 35, 37 (1st Cir. 2005) Estate of Unger v. Palestinian Authority, 400 F. Supp. 2d 541, 553 (2005) In re Agent Örange Prod. Liab. Litig., 373 F. Supp. 2d 7, 58 (E.D.N.Y. 2005) Jean v. Dorelien, 431 F.3d 776 (11th Cir. 2005) Merriman v. Crompton Corp., 146 P.3d 162,170–77 (Kan. 2006) Bohreer v. Erie Ins. Exch., 165 P.3d 186, 191–94 (Ariz. Ct. App. 2007) Roe I v. Bridgestone Corp., 492 F. Supp. 2d 988, 1024 (S.D. Ind. 2007) Romero v. Drummond Co., 552 F.3d 1303, 1318 (11th Cir. 2008) Scotts Co. v. Hacienda Loma Linda, 2 So. 3d 1013 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2008) S. Middlesex Opportunity Council, Inc. v. Town of Framingham, Civil Action No. 07-12018-DPW, 2008 WL 4595369 (D. Mass. Sept. 30, 2008) In re South African Apartheid Litigation, 633 F. Supp. 2d 117 (S.D.N.Y. 2009) Sinaltrainal v. Coca-Cola (Sinaltrainal II), 578 F.3d 1252, 1259 (11th Cir. 2009) Sadler v. Hallsmith SYSCO Food Servs., Civil No. 08-4423 (RBK/JS), 2009 WL 1096309 (D.N.J. Apr. 21, 2009) Aldana v. Del Monte Fresh Produce N.A., Inc., 578 F.3d 1283 (11th Cir. 2009) In re Xe Servs. Alien Tort Litig., 665 F. Supp. 2d 569 (E.D. Va. 2009) Chavez v. Carranza, 559 F.3d 486 (6th Cir. 2009) Henry v. Lake Charles Am. Press, L.L.C., 566 F.3d 164 (5th Cir. 2009) Cossaboon v. Me. Med. Ctr., 600 F.3d 25 (1st Cir. 2010)

Morrison v. Nat'l Austl. Bank Ltd., 561 U.S. 247, 265 (2010)

Spiegel v. Schulmann, 604 F.3d 72 (2d Cir. 2010)



#### Table of Cases

Wissam Abdullateff Sa'eed Al-Quraishi v. Adel Nakhla, 728 F. Supp. 2d 702 (D.

Chevron v. Bonifaz, No. 09-05371 CW, 2010 WL 1948681 (N.D. Cal. May 12, 2010)

Abecassis v. Wyatt, 704 F. Supp. 2d 623, 654–55 (S.D. Tex. 2010)

Godin v. Schencks, 629 F.3d 79 (1st Cir. 2010)

Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown 131 S. Ct. 2846 (2011)

Doe VIII v. Exxon Mobil Corp., 654 F.3d 11, 57 (D.C. Cir. 2011)

re Chiquita Brands Int'l, Inc., Alien Tort Statute and S'holder Derivative Litig., 792 F. Supp. 2d 1301, 1355–56 (S.D. Fl. 2011)

King v. Am. Family Mut. Ins. Co., 632 F.3d 570 (9th Cir. 2011)

Holocaust Victims of Bank Theft v. Bank, 807 F. Supp. 2d 689 (N.D. Ill. 2011)

Flomo v. Firestone Nat. Rubber Co., L.L.C., 643 F.3d 1013 (7th Cir. 2011)

Baloco ex rel. Tapia v. Drummond Co., 640 F.3d 1338 (11th Cir. 2011)

Aziz v. Alcolac, Inc., 658 F.3d 388 (4th Cir. 2011)

Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes, 131 S. Ct. 2541 (2011)

Drummond Co. v. Collingsworth, No. 2:11-CV-3695-RDP (N.D. Ala. filed Oct. 21,

Abelesz v. Magyar Nemzeti Bank, 692 F.3d 661 (7th Cir. 2012)

3M Co. v. Boulter, 842 F. Supp. 2d 85 (D.D.C. 2012)

Kiobel v. Royal Dutch Shell 133 S. Ct. 1659 (2013)

Sarei v. Rio Tinto, PLC, 671 F.3d 736, 747 (9th Cir. 2011) 133 S. Ct. 1995 (2013) Chevron v. Donziger, 296 F.R.D. 168 (S.D.N.Y. 2013)

Giraldo v. Drummond Co., No. 2:09-CV-1041-RDP, 2013 WL 3873960 (N.D. Ala. July 25, 2013)

Intercon Solutions, Inc. v. Basel Action Network, 969 F. Supp. 2d 1026 (N.D. Ill. 2013)

Martinez v. Aero Corporation, 764 F.3d 1062, 1067 (9th Cir. 2014)

Daimler AG v. Bauman 34 S. Ct. 746 (2014)

AstraZeneca AB v. Mylan Pharm., Inc., 72 F. Supp. 3d 549, 556 (D.Del. 2014)

Vera v. Republic of Cuba, 91 F. Supp. 3d 561, 566–67, 571 (S.D.N.Y. 2015)

Al Shimari v. CACI Premier Technology, Inc., 758 F.3d at 516

Forest Labs., Inc. v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals L.L.C., No. 14-508-LPS, 2015 WL 880599, \*9 (D.Del. Feb. 26, 2015)

Lanham v. Pilot Travel Centers, No. 03:14-CV-01923-HZ, 2015 WL 5167268, \*11 (D. Or. Sept. 2, 2015)

Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. v. Mylan Inc., 106 F. Supp. 3d 456, 468 (D.N.J. 2015)

Keeley v. Pfizer, Inc., No. 415-CV-00583 ERW, 2015 WL 3999488, \*4 (E.D. Mo. July 1, 2015)

Fiduciary Network, L.L.C. v. Buehler, No. 3:15-CV-0808, 2015 WL 2165953 (N.D. Tex. May 8, 2015)

Doe I v. Nestle USA, Inc., 766 F.3d 1013, 1021–22 (9th Cir. 2014), reh'g en banc denied, 788 F.3d 946 (2015), cert. denied, 136 S. Ct. 798 (2016)

Brown v. Lockheed Martin Corp., No. 14-4083, 2016 WL 641392 (2d Cir. Feb. 18, 2016)

Acorda Therapeutics Inc. v. Mylan Pharm. Inc., No. 2015-1456, 2016 WL 1077048 (Fed. Cir. Mar. 18, 2016)

Leibovitch v. Republic of Iran, No. 08-C-1939, 2016 WL 2977273, \*9 (N.D. Ill. May 19, 2016)



# Table of Cases

xxi

Mitchell v. Eli Lilly and Company, 159 F. Supp. 3d 967, 977–79 (E.D. Mo. 2016) Display Works, L.L.C. v. Bartley, No. 16-583, 2016 WL 1644451, \*7 (D.N.J. April 25, 2016)

Adhikari v. Kellogg Brown & Root, Inc., 845 F.3d 184, 195 (5th Cir. 2017), cert. denied, 138 S. Ct. 134 (2017)

Jesner v. Arab Bank, 138 S. Ct. 1386 (2018)

Yem Ban, Sophea Bun, Sem Kosal, Nol Nakry, Keo Ratha, Sok Sang and Phan Sophea v. Doe Corporations, Phatthana Seafood Co., Ltd., Rubicon Resources, L.L.C., S.S. Frozen Food Co., Ltd. and Wales and Co. Universe Ltd. No 2:16-CV-04271 (C.D. Cal, 15 June 2016)

#### ENGLAND AND WALES

Multinational Gas and Petrochemical Co v. Multinational Gas and Petrochemical Services Ltd [1983] Ch 258, [1983] 2 All ER 563 (C.A.)

Regina v. Bow Street Metropolitan Stipendiary Magistrate, ex parte Pinochet, [1999] 2 W.L.R. 827 (H.L.)

Kuwait Airways Corp. v. Iraq Airways Co. [2002] 2 A.C. 883 (Eng.)

Guerrero v. Monterrico Metals plc [2009] EWHC 2475

Arroyo v. BP Exploration Co. (Colo.) [2010] EWHC (QB) 1643

Chandler v. Cape plc [2012] EWCA Civ 525

Fish & Fish Ltd v. Sea Shepherd UK [2015] UKSC 10

His Royal Highness Emere Godwin Bebe Okpabi and Others v. Royal Dutch Shell Plc and Another [2018] EWCA Civ 191

#### CANADA

Moran v. Pyle National (Canada) Ltd. [1975] 1 SCR 393

Pettkus v. Becker [1980] 2 S.C.R. 834

Morguard Investments Ltd. v. De Savoye [1990] 3 S.C.R. 1077

Hunt v. T & N plc [1993] 4 S.C.R. 289

Recherches Internationales Québec v. Cambior, Inc., 1998 CarswellQue 4511 (Can. R.J.Q.) (WL)

Somers v. Fournier (2002) 60 O.R. (3d) 225 (C.A.)

Muscutt v. Courcells [2002] 60 OR (3d) 20 (Can. Ont.)

McIntyre Estate v. Ontario (Attorney General) (2002) 218 D.L.R. 4th 193 (Can. Ont. C.A.)

Teck Cominco Metals Ltd. v. Lloyd's Underwriters [2009] 1 S.C.R. 321 (Can.)

Bil'in (Vill. Council) v. Green Park Int'l, 2009 QCSC 4151 (Can. Que. Sup. Ct.)

Club Resorts, Ltd. v. Van Breda [2012] 1 S.C.R. 572

Ass'n Canadienne Contre L'Impunité (A.C.C.I.) v. Anvil Mining Ltd., 2011 QCSC 1966 (Can. Que.)

Choc v. Hudbay Minerals, Inc. [2013] ONSC 1414

Araya v. Nevsun Resources Ltd [2017] BCCA 401

Das v. George Weston Limited [2017] ONSC 4129 (Can. Ont. Super. Ct.)

Lee v. Transamerica Life Canada [2017] BCSC 843

Yaiguaje v. Chevron Corporation [2018] ONCA 472 (Can. Ont. App. Ct.)



xxii

# Table of Cases

#### THE NETHERLANDS

Cass. Civ. lère, 19 November 1985, Cognacs and Brandies, JDI 1986

Cass. Civ. lère, 23 May 2013, Prieur

Ktg. Amsterdam 27 April 2000, 18 Nederlands Internationaal Privaatrecht No. 315, at 472 (2000) (Saloum/Kuwait Airways Corp.) (Neth.)

Ktg. Amsterdam 5 January 1996, 14 Nederlands Internationaal Privaatrecht No. 145, at 222 (1996) (Abood/Kuwait Airways Corp.) (Neth.)

Akpan v. Royal Dutch Shell, No. 337050/HA ZA 09-1580 (District Court of the Hague, Jan. 30, 2013)

#### FRANCE

The ERIKA, Tribunal correctionnel de Paris, January 16, 2008; Cour d'appel de Paris, Pôle 4, 11e ch., March 30, 2010, RG n° 08/02278; Cour de cassation, No. 3439, September 25, 2012

Veolia & Alstom Lawsuit, Cour d'appel [C.A.] [regional court of appeal] Versailles, 3ème ch., Mar. 22, 2013, 11/05331 (Fr.)

Amesys Case (2011)

#### INTERNATIONAL

# PICJ/ICJ

Factory at Chorzów (Ger. v. Pol.), Judgment, 1927 P.C.I.J. (ser. A) No. 8, at 21 (July 26)

Trail Smelter Arbitration (U.S. v. Can.), 3 R.I.A.A. 1905 (1949)

Case Concerning the Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Co (Belg. v. Spain), 1970 I.C.J. 3, 35–36, 42 (Feb. 5)

#### ICC

Prosecutor v. Perišić, Case No. IT-04-81-A (Int'l Crim. Trib. for the Former Yugoslavia Feb. 28, 2013)

Prosecutor v. Taylor, No SCSL-03-01-A, 10766, 10949-50 (Special Ct. for Sierra Leone Sept. 26, 2013)

# COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

Case C-412/98, Group Josi Reinsurance Co. S.A. v. Universal Gen. Ins. Co. (UGIC), 2000 E.C.R. I-05925

Marangopoulos Foundation for Human Rights (MFHR) v. Greece, Eur. Comm. Soc. Rights, Complaint No. 30/2005

Case C-281/02, Owusu v. Jackson, 2005 E.C.R. I-1383



Table of Cases

xxiii

# INTER-AMERICAN

Velásquez-Rodríguez v. Honduras, Inter-Am.Ct.H.R. (ser. C) No. 4

# AFRICAN COMMITTEE ON HUMAN AND PEOPLES' RIGHTS

SERAC & CESR v. Nigeria, Afr. Committee on Hum. and Peoples' Rts., Comm. No. 155/96, ACHPR/COMM/A004/1, ¶ 46 (2002)





Table of Statutes

#### UNITED STATES

Alien Tort Statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1350 (1789)

Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1605(a)

Torture Victim Protection Act of 1991, Pub.L. No. 102-256, 106 Stat. 73, 28 U.S.C. § 1350 (1992)

Sudan Accountability and Divestment Act of December 31, 2007 ("SADA"), Pub. L. No. 110-174, 21 Stat. 2516 (2007)

Anti-Terrorism Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2332–33 (1996)

The Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), 29 U.S.C. §§ 1101–1461 (2012)

Trafficking Victims Protection Act, 22 U.S.C. § 78 (2012)

Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1–7 (2012)

Taft-Hartley Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 141–531 (2012) (labor) Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101–810 (2012)

#### TEXAS

Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code Ann. § 71.051 (West 2009)

# CANADA

Court Jurisdiction and Proceedings Transfer Act, S.B.C., c. 28 Civil Code of Québec, S.Q. 1991 c. 1 An Act Respecting the Class Action, R.S.Q., c. R-2.1 (Can. Que.)

#### EUROPEAN UNION

Regulation 1215/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2012 on Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters, 2012 O.J. (L 351) Council Regulation 864/2007 2007 O.J. (L 199) 40 (EC)

XXV



xxvi

Table of Statutes

#### SWITZERLAND

Swiss Law on Private International Law, Loi federate sur le droit international privé [LDIP] Dec. 18, 1987, RS 291 (Switz.)

U.K.

Legal Aid, Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act, 2012, c. 10 (Eng.)