

## INDEX

- 3C DVD patent pool, 666
- Abbott Labs v. Teva Pharmaceuticals*  
USA case, 739
- Absorption of foreign technology, 340, 346
- Abuse of dominant position. See also  
Market dominance
- EU, 762–764
  - India, 604
  - Treaty on the Functioning of the  
European Union (TFEU),  
272, 743, 753
- Abuse of rights, 266
- technology standards, 267
- Abusive denigration, 744
- Abusive litigation, 81–82, 92
- Chile, 83
  - Seychelles, 92
- ACCC v. Pfizer Australia Pty Ltd* case,  
561
- Administrative Council for Economic  
Defence (CADE)
- position on follow-up drugs, 749
- Administrative Council for Economic  
Defense (CADE), 586
- IPR compliance, 665
  - sham litigation, 83
- Advocacy, 55–59, 858–859
- China, 602–604
  - digital economies, 297
  - intellectual property (IP)
    - Australia, 567
    - Brazil, 592
    - Canada, 541
    - European Union, 553
    - India, 609
    - Japan, 577
    - Korea, 584
    - Russia, 617, 619–625
- International Competition Network  
(ICN) Working Group, 7
- South Africa, 625–627
  - United States, 532–534
- Advocacy Booklet on Intellectual  
Property Rights, 607,  
668–669
- Agenda for Sustainable Development  
2030, 352
- Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects  
of Intellectual Property  
Rights. See TRIPS  
Agreement
- Alcoholic beverages
- labelling, 247
- Alienation of chattels, 168, 317
- Alternativeness, 211
- copyright, 214, 242
  - distinctive signs, 212
- Anti-competitive conduct
- consultation between WTO  
members, 648
  - game machine industry, Japan, 659
  - patent pools, 666
  - reverse payment settlement  
agreements, 736
- TRIPS Agreement, 366

- Anti-competitive licensing practices,  
70, 80  
Tajikistan, 90
- Anti-competitive patent pools, 643
- Anti-competitive patent settlements,  
46–48, 629, 708  
Australia, 563  
Brazil, 591  
EU, 550  
India, 608  
Korea, 582  
South Africa, 624
- Antitrust injury, 740
- Antitrust law  
FRAND commitments, 270–271
- Apple v. Motorola* case, 268, 279
- Argentina  
WIPO Development Agenda,  
tabling, 103, 105
- Artificial extension of patent terms. See  
Reverse patent settlement  
agreements
- Aspen Skiing* case, 677
- Association Internationale pour la  
Protection de la Propriété  
Industrielle, 131
- AstraZeneca-Losec* case, 743, 768
- AT&T* case, 406
- Atoms to bits, 292, 294
- Australia, 564  
evergreening, 747  
intellectual property rights (IPRs)  
ACCC advocacy, 560  
advocacy, 567  
anti-competitive patent  
settlements, 563  
Competition and Consumer Act,  
exemptions, 559  
historical outline, 558–559  
licensing practices, 561–562  
patent assertion entities (PAEs), 564  
proposals under consideration,  
564–567  
refusals to licence, 562  
standard-essential patents (SEPs),  
563–564  
summary observations, 567  
patent pools, 662–664  
product switching, 747  
reverse patent settlement  
agreements, 566  
Australian Productivity  
Commission  
recommendations, 725  
no evidence for, 725  
pharmaceutical industry, 725  
safe harbours, 663  
*Avantis/Lucentis* case, 719
- Background to volume, 1–3  
international policy discussions, 3  
International Competition  
Network (ICN), 7  
Organization for Economic  
Co-operation and  
Development (OECD), 7  
UNCTAD Intergovernmental  
Group of Experts on  
Competition Law and  
Policy, 8  
WIPO Development Agenda,  
6–7  
WTO Working Group, 3–6  
research volumes, 1
- Basis of acquired distinctiveness, 473,  
501
- Big data and innovation report, 542
- Biotechnology  
restrictive technology, 387
- Black list, 544, 569
- Blanchard v. Hill* case, 194
- Blocking patents, 638, 640, 644
- BMW/Harley-Davidson v. Ace Group of  
Industries* case, 792
- Body of Liberties 1641, 183
- Boeing/McDonnell Douglas* case, 823

- Book of Bounty, 181
- Bowman v. Monsanto*, 371
- ‘make’ or ‘use’, 375–377
- ‘use’/‘make’ dichotomy, 381–386
- conditional sales doctrine, 374–375
- developing countries (DCs), and, 392–393
- European approach, 389–393
- facts of case, 372–374
- patents and plant breeder rights, 377
- Supreme Court opinion, 378–380
- technology dissemination and competition, implications for, 386–389
- Brazil
- Administrative Council for Economic Defence (CADE)
- position on follow-up drugs, 749
- Administrative Council for Economic Defense (CADE), 586
- IPR compliance, 665
- advocacy, 592
- anti-competitive patent settlements
- Brazil, 591
- evergreening, 748
- licensing practices, 590
- patent pools, 665–668
- pharmaceutical products
- labelling and packaging, 246
- sham litigation, 83
- WIPO Development Agenda, tabling, 103, 105
- Breast-milk substitutes
- labelling, 247
- BRICS economies (Brazil, China, India, Russia, South Africa). See Brazil; China; India; Russia; South Africa
- Broadcom v. Qualcomm* case, 270
- Brussels draft, 70
- Bundling of patents, 638
- Bundling of technology
- Microsoft* case, 51
- Bureau International de l'Union de Paris. See Paris Union
- Cadena v. Soga* case, 784
- Canada
- advocacy, 541
- Intellectual Property Enforcement Guidelines (IPEGs), 721
- licensing practices, 538
- National Intellectual Property (IP) Strategy, 657
- patent collective, 657
- patent pools, 656–658
- Candover/Cinven/Bertelsmann-Springer* case, 459
- Case of Monopolies, 178
- CD-R patent pool, 666
- Certification marks
- acronyms, 244
- trademarks, distinguishing, 245
- Challenges to validity, 79
- Chicago School of antitrust analysis, 36, 773
- Chile
- abusive litigation, 83
- Decree-Law No. 211 (DL 211) in 1973, 781
- unfair competition, 774
- competition law infringement, as, 783–784
- competition law, interaction with, 778–779
- damages, 777
- Decree-Law No. 211 (DL 211), 784–785
- definition, 774–777
- historical perspective, 781–783
- intellectual property, interaction with, 779–780
- interaction with other areas, 778

- jurisdiction of antitrust
  - authorities, 783
- misconduct, 777
- China
  - advocacy, 602–604
  - high-tech industry, patent pools, 646
  - licensing practices, 598–600
  - Ministry of Commerce (MOFCOM), 610, 824
  - National Development and Reform Commission (NDRC), 595, 848
  - patent pools, 664–665
  - State Administration for Market Regulation (SAMR), 595
  - State Intellectual Property Office (SIPO), 596
- ClearCorrect* case, 324–325
- Coercive package licensing, 79
- Collusion
  - downstream competitors, 646
  - licensee terms and conditions, 647–648
  - substitute patents, 646
  - Tetracycline* cases, 413
  - weak patents, 647
- Commercial essentiality, 256
  - SEPs, considered as, 256
- Common Brazilian Denominator (CBD), 246
- Compensatory liability systems
  - right holders and users, balancing interests, 389, 393
- Competition Appeal Tribunal (CAT) (UK), 719
- Competition and Markets Authority (CMA) (UK), 719
  - finances imposed on pharmaceutical manufacturers, 418
- Competition policy
  - advancing social and economic welfare, 137
- changing assumptions, values and objectives, 138
- EU electronic networks framework, 362–363
- fair chance rationale, 290
- intellectual property (IP) law,
  - conflict with, 163–168
- international policy
  - GATT Arrangements for Consultations on Restrictive Business Practices 1961, 142
  - Havana Charter, 141
  - industrial cartels, 141
  - post-World War 1, 140
  - UN Set of Multilaterally Agreed Equitable Principles and Rules for the Control of Restrictive Business Practices, 142
  - WTO Secretariat, 143
  - WTO Working Group on the Interaction between Trade and Competition Policy (WGTCIP), 143
- Maastricht Treaty and, 138
- market dominance and, 297
- normative essence, 146–148
- socially beneficial, 148–151
- underlying assumption, 290
- underlying policy objectives and values, 139
- United States
  - aggressive challenges to mergers, 406
  - AT&T compulsory licensing case, 406
  - compulsory licensing,
    - consequences of, 408–411
  - enforcement actions, 1940s and 1950s, 401
  - foreign corporations and, 401
  - Great Depression and, 401

- Competition policy (cont.)  
 historical overview, 400  
 patent-based antitrust actions,  
 402–403  
 patents, pharmaceutical industry,  
 413–421  
 patents, post-1970 policy changes,  
 411–413  
 reasonable royalties, 403  
 resale price maintenance, 405  
 tying arrangements, 404  
*Western Electric compulsory  
 licensing case*, 407  
*Xerox compulsory licensing case*,  
 407  
 valued element of regulatory  
 framework, 837
- Competition Policy Research Center  
 Competition and R&D Incentives in  
 the Pharmaceutical Market  
 report, 576, 725
- Complementary inputs, 24, 673–674
- Complementary patents, 642  
*Lexmark case*, 169
- Comprehensive and Progressive  
 Agreement for Trans-  
 Pacific Partnership  
 (CPTPP), 136, 175, 333  
 Article 18.37.2, 749  
 data centre non-localization rules,  
 359
- Conditional sales doctrine, 374–375
- Consent decrees, 402
- Consumer electronics industry  
 patent pools, 646
- Content aggregators. See Copyright,  
 market definition
- Content repositories. See Copyright,  
 market definition
- Contracting Parties to Berne  
 Convention, 237
- Copyright, 423, 440  
 aggregation services, 447  
 increased demand, 450  
 allocation of rights  
 external limitations, 444–445  
 rational determination, 426–427  
 calls for global management, 304  
 collective administration, 437  
 de facto education tax, 440  
 distribution of fees, 439  
 problems with, 437  
 setting correct price, 439  
 transaction costs reducing, 439  
 use in music, 438  
 cyclic inspiration system, 448  
 demand-side substitutability,  
 453–454  
 digital age, 442–444  
 dissemination of information, and,  
 241  
 EU modernization plans, 304–306  
 facts and ideas, 429–431  
 fair licensing terms, 309  
 fair use, 243, 432  
 factors to consider, 433  
 incentive-reducing potential, 433  
 objections to, 432  
 promoting competition, 435  
 transaction costs, and, 434–435  
 fifteenth and sixteenth centuries,  
 184–189  
 incentive sufficiency, 426, 443  
 limited term, 427–429  
 mandatory standards, 240  
 market, definition, 452  
 consumptive use, 452–455  
 productive reuse, 455–458  
 special responsibility of rights  
 holder, 461–462  
 merger doctrine, 431  
 Pakistan  
 exclusive rights, 803  
 moral rights, 804  
 private publishing without  
 government consent, 804

- periodic renewal, 428  
 perpetual, 188, 425  
 process of creation, 449  
 public entities and, 240  
 refusal to license  
   dominance analysis, 462–463  
   follow-on innovation, 468–470  
   market leveraging, 466–468  
   new product requirement,  
     463–465  
   no objective justification, 465–466  
 relevant market, 458–460  
 repository services, 447  
   increased demand, 450  
 standards, and, 235  
   technical standards, 235–238  
 Statute of Anne, 423–426  
 supply-side substitutability, 453  
 traditional creative industries  
   challenges for, 450  
   used to impede digital  
     competitors, 451  
 transaction costs, 426, 443  
 unauthorized uses, 435–436  
 utilizing capacity, 426, 443  
 voluntary standards, 240  
 Copyright, alternativeness and, 242  
 Core essentiality, 256  
*County of Suffolk, New York v. First  
 American Real Estate  
 Solutions* case, 241  
 Creative destruction, 38, 60  
   Xerox settlement 1975, 410  
 Cross-border data flows, 359  
 Cross-jurisdictional learning process,  
   522  
   international dialogue, 841  
 Cross-jurisdictional spillovers, 521, 631  
  
*Dalda Foods (Pvt) Ltd v. M/s Shield  
 Corporation Ltd* case, 799  
 Damages  
   Chile, 777  
  
*Darcy v. Allen* case, 178, 195  
 Dark grey list, 570  
*Data General* case, 241, 677  
 Deceptive marketing  
   Pakistan, 791–795  
 Defensive use of patents, 268  
 Delocalization, 356  
 Demand-side externalities, 673  
 Demand-side substitutability, 453–454  
 Department of Justice-Federal Trade  
   Commission Antitrust  
   Guidelines for the  
   Licensing of Intellectual  
   Property. See US DOJ-  
   FTC Guidelines  
 Developing countries (DCs)  
   *Bowman v. Monsanto*, and, 392–393  
   compulsory licences, 397  
   compulsory licensing, technology,  
     367–368  
   dealing with MNC abuses, 361  
   digital divide, 334  
   effective patent systems, and, 360  
   environmentally sound technologies  
     (ESTs), 351  
   R&D expenditure and, 360  
   redressing imbalance with MNCs,  
     362  
   rule of reason approach, 397  
   semiconductor technology, 363–364  
   standards technical assistance,  
     364–365  
   technology transfer, 348, 350  
   WTO Information Technology  
     Agreement (ITA), 353–354  
*DHL Pakistan* case, 793  
 Differentiation, 210  
   alternativeness and, 211  
   copyright and, 214  
   distinctive signs and, 212  
   economies of scale and, 484  
   free markets and, 216  
   originality and, 214

- Digital disruption, 284
- Digital divide
- achieving universal Internet use, 335
  - concentrations of customer
    - premises/information communications technology, 335
  - defining, 335–341
  - globalization, 333–334
  - innovation and, 346
  - technology transfer and, 347
  - telecommunications policy, 342–346
- Digital markets
- EU Final Report on the E-commerce Sector Inquiry, 554
  - exhaustion of IPRs, 319–327
  - Network effects, 299
  - potential benefits, 296
  - reduced trade costs, 296
  - scale without mass, 299
  - switching costs, 299
  - UNCTAD study, 295
- Digital products, 294
- Digital single market strategy, 305
- digital disruption and, 303
  - economic benefits, 302
  - EU and, 302
  - geo-blocking and, 304
  - United States, 306–309
- Direct payments
- settlement agreements, 713
- Dishonesty in trade, 101
- Distinctive marks, 189–196
- Distinctiveness
- inherent, 501, 503
  - primary colours and decorative patterns, 506–508
  - sign as badge of origin, 505
  - statutory level, 504
  - use of mark by competitors, 504
- Distinctive signs, 212, 784
- intellectual property rights (IPRs), 175
- Distribution rights, 309, 311–313, 315, 322
- Doha Declaration, 809–810
- overarching principles, 811
- Doha Development Trade Round, 811
- Doha Dome, 835
- Doha Ministerial Declaration, 351
- Dominance analysis, 462–463
- Dominican Republic
- licence contracts, registration, 80
- Donaldson v. Beckett* case, 188
- Double marginalization, 637, 642, 655
- DuPont* case, 403
- DVD patent pool, 651–652
- E. Bement & Sons v. National Harrow* case, 639
- Earthfactor (Pvt) Ltd v. Patent Office, IPO-Pakistan* case, 801
- eBay v. MercExchange* case, 253, 279
- e-commerce. See Digital markets
- Economic Committee of the League of Nations, 131
- Economic efficiency, 148
- static, 283
  - transfer of technology, 760
- Economic learning, 33
- Economic welfare
- competition policy, advancing, 137
  - economic efficiency and, 148
  - policies reducing, 37, 845
- Ecuador
- Organic Law on the Regulation and Control of Market Power, 90
- Enforcement policies, 43–44
- anti-competitive patent settlements, 46–48
  - bundling of technology, *Microsoft* case, 51
  - essential facilities doctrine, 50
  - exclusionary conduct, network industries, 50–53

- mergers, impact on innovation, 44–45
- patent thickets, 48
  - impact of, 49
- standard-setting organizations (SSOs)
  - role and purpose, 49
- Enhanced efficacy test, 747
- Entry-split reverse payment settlement, 539, 721
- Environmental Goods Agreement (EGA), 352
- Environmentally sound technologies (ESTs)
  - developing countries (DCs), 351
- Environmental Goods Agreement (EGA), 352
- least-developed countries (LDCs), 351
  - post-2015 agendas, 352
- ESS Tech. v. PC-TEL* case, 275
- Essential facilities doctrine
  - compulsory licensing
    - EU, 389, 857
    - refusals to practice, 549
  - mandatory standards, 228
  - network industries, 50
  - patent law, 234
  - telephone communications industry, United States, 678
  - undermining of, 679
- Essential facility situation, 228
- Essential patents, 643
- Essentiality
  - three primary types, 256
- EU
  - abuse of dominant position
    - Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. See EU (TFEU), 452
    - Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), 743, 753, 766
  - advocacy, 553
  - anti-competitive patent settlements, 550
  - digital single market and, 302
  - Final Report on the E-commerce Sector Inquiry, 554
  - FRAND commitments, 271–273
  - licensing practices, 548
  - minimum pricing, prohibited, 758
  - monitoring of patent settlements
    - report, 716
  - one-stop shop broadcast licences, 759
  - patent pools, 653–656
  - refusals to licence, 549
  - Technology Transfer Agreements
    - report, 716
  - Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU)
    - Article 10(1), 271
    - Article 101, 272, 363, 654–655, 720, 753–754, 756
    - Article 102, 232, 271–272, 452, 656, 715, 718, 743, 753, 766
  - Unfair Commercial Practices
    - Directive, 127
  - EU Technology Transfer Guidelines
    - licensing, goals of, 675
  - Eurasian Economic Commission (EEC), 612
  - Eurasian Economic Union Treaty (EAEU Treaty), 612
  - European Commission (EC)
    - Competition Policy in the Digital Era
      - report, 297
  - Electronic Communications Code, 363
  - FRAND commitment guidelines, 264
  - patent pools, assessing, 655
  - Technology Transfer Block Exemption (TTBE), 358, 362



- European Commission (EC) (cont.)
  - Technology Transfer Block
    - Exemption Regulation (TTBER)
      - Article 4, 655, 760
      - Article 5, 761
      - patent pools, exempt from, 653
      - restrictions not exempted, 760
      - technology, meaning, 760
    - Technology Transfer Block
      - Exemption Regulation
        - 2014 (TTBER), 545–546, 548
- European Patent Office, 543
- European Telecommunications
  - Standards Institute (ETSI), 263
  - FRAND commitments, 263, 276–277
- European Union. See EU
- evergreening, 732, 742, 750
  - Australia, 747
  - Brazil, 748
  - India, 74, 747
  - South Africa, 748
- Excessive pricing, 770
- Exclusion orders
  - International Trade Commission (ITC) and, 280
- Exclusionary conduct
  - network industries, 50, 54
    - essential facilities doctrine, use of, 50
  - Google Corporation fine, 53
  - Google/Android* case, 54
  - Intel* case, 52
  - Microsoft* case, 51
- Exclusive grant-backs, 67, 79, 367
- Exhaustion of IPRs, 289
  - analogue products, 310–319
  - definition, 309
  - digital marketplace, 319–327
  - jurisdictional boundaries and, 310
- Export cartels
  - national law and, 817
- Export exemption, 818
- Expropriation
  - compensation, 239
  - implicit waiver of rights, 239
- fair return, 175
- fair use, 243, 432
  - factors to consider, 433
  - incentive-reducing potential, 433
  - objections to, 432
  - promoting competition, 435
  - transaction costs and, 434–435
- Fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory (FRAND) terms. See FRAND commitments
- Federal Trade Commission (FTC)
  - good faith and, 232
  - powers, 693
  - product switching, assessing, 741
  - reverse patent settlement
    - agreements, 529
- Fentanyl* case, 718
- Final Act of the United Nations
  - Conference on Trade and Employment. See Havana Charter
- First mover advantage, 387, 409
- First sale doctrine, 323, 440–442
- Flat panel display devices ('FPDs'), 354
- Foreclosed foreign-market problem, 819
  - positive comity agreements, 819
- Foreign Direct Investment (FDI)
  - horizontal, 356
  - innovation, and, 355
  - internalized transfers, 355
  - reverse engineering, and, 356
  - vertical, 356–357
- FRAND commitments, 276–277
  - abuse of rights, 266

- antitrust law, 270–271
- contracts
  - European Union, 271–273
- defensive use of patents, 268
- EU Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), 272
- European Commission guidelines, 264
- European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), 263
- hypothetical proposition, 257–261
- international approach, 265
  - common law and civil law, differences, 266
  - Japan, 265–266
- issues relating to, 254
- legal effect, 252
- patent law, 267–270
- rarely defined in advance of conflict, 253
- real property law, 277
- remedies
  - Article III courts, 279–280
  - effect of, 278–279
  - International Trade Commission (ITC), 280–282
- royalties, determining, 264–265
- standard-essential patents (SEPs), 262
- standard-setting organizations (SSOs), 263–264, 273
- transferability, 262–264
- United States
  - competition law, 273–275
  - contracts, 275–276
- Free-riding, 387, 481
- Freedom to compete
  - EU competition law, 146
- Free-riding, 643, 805
- FTC v. Actavis* case, 47, 699, 714
- Game machine industry
  - patent pools, Japan, 659
- GATT
  - Arrangements for Consultations on Restrictive Business Practices 1961, 142
  - Contracting Parties, 81
  - national treatment principle, 291
- Gaviscon* case, 743
- General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. See GATT
- Genericism, 240
- Genetic use restriction technologies (GURTs), 387
- Geo-blocking, 289
  - digital single market strategy and, 304
  - EU inquiry, 329
- Georgia-Pacific* case, 265
- Getz Pharma (Pvt) Ltd v. Federation of Pakistan* case, 802
- Glaxo v. Evron* case, 800, 805
- GlaxoSmithKline (GSK)* case, 719, 722–723
- Global antitrust framework, 826
  - aid in discovery, 831
  - complete world regime, 827–828
  - dispute resolution, 832
  - essential tasks, 833
  - minimalist model, 827–828, 832
  - opposition to, 832
  - principles incorporated into binding agreement, 831
  - relationship with horizontal networks, 831
  - sensitive to trade and competition, 827–829
  - technical assistance and peer review, 832
- Global competition problems, 820
- intellectual property rights, 825
- mergers, 821, 824

- Global competition problems (cont.)  
   perceived illegitimacy of  
     enforcement, 822–824  
   pre-merger notification process, 824  
   world cartels, 821
- Global framework, 812
- Global patent, 104
- Globalization  
   antitrust agencies, creation of,  
     834  
   digital divide, 333  
   international digital divide (IDD),  
     334
- Good faith  
   EU Treaty on the Functioning of the  
     European Union (TFEU),  
       232  
   Federal Trade Commission, 232  
   voluntary standards essential patent  
     holder  
     breaches, 231–232  
     elements, 230
- Goodwill, 124, 195, 805
- Google Corporation  
   exclusionary conduct, and, 53  
   *Google Shopping* case, 768  
   *Google/Android* case, 54  
   Grey list, 544, 570
- Guilds  
   trademarks and, 190
- Hague Act 1925  
   unfair competition, 130
- Hague Conference  
   unfair competition, 130–131
- hard product switching, 749
- hard-core cartels  
   WTO prohibition, 827
- Harmonization  
   EAEU Treaty, 613  
   substantive patentability  
     requirements, 104
- Hartford-Empire Company* case, 402
- Hatch-Waxman Act 1984, 46, 714  
   patent settlements, 712
- Hauwei v. ZTE* case, 272
- Havana Charter, 65, 141, 809
- Heater v. FTC* case, 274
- high-tech industry  
   patent pools, China, 646
- Hobbie v. Jennison* case, 318
- Hold-ups, 49, 687–690
- Honest practices, 133
- Horizontal cooperation agreements,  
   756
- Horizontal Foreign Direct Investment  
   (FDI), 356
- horizontal framework, 812
- horizontalism, 26, 826  
   problems with, 828
- Huawei v. ZTE* case, 692, 772
- humanware, 347
- Implicit property rights, 171
- Implicit waiver of rights, 239
- Import cartels, 820
- Importation rights, 312
- Impression Products* case, 168, 170, 316,  
   318
- IMS* case, 682, 765–766
- Inbound restraints, 813  
   four principal gaps  
     conflicting industrial policy, 814,  
       816  
     input cartel problem, 814, 816  
     lack of antitrust law, 814–815  
     lack of evidence, 814, 816
- Incumbents  
   advertising and loyalty, 497  
   barriers to entry, 497  
   brand loyalty, 497  
   definition, 482  
   limitation of rights, 500  
   trademarks, 507
- India  
   advocacy, 609

- Advocacy Booklet on Intellectual Property Rights, 607, 668–669
- anti-competitive patent settlements, 608
- evergreening, 747
- licensing practices, 607
- patent pools, 668–669
- Indigenous and traditional knowledge (ITK)
- misappropriation of, 156–158
  - protections of, 155
- Industrial cartels, 141
- Information asymmetry, 478
- Information-free screens, 644
- Information literacy, 338, 340
- Infosoc Directive, 320–321
- Infoware, 348
- Infringement litigation, 58, 532
- Inherent distinctiveness, 501
- Innocent patent infringement, 384
- Innovation
- closed model, 673
  - digital divide, and, 346
  - mergers and, 44–45
- Innovation markets. *See* Research and development markets
- US DOJ-FTC Guidelines 1995, 39–40
- Innoweb v. Wegener* case, 465
- Input cartels, 815–816
- Institut Merieux/Connaught* case, 824
- Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Standards Association (IEEE-SA), 256
- by-laws, 257
  - Standard 754, 243
- Intangible content, 135, 171, 310
- ideas, 172–173
  - Millar v. Taylor* case, 195
- Intangible products
- treatment of, 316
- Intel* case, 52, 555
- Intellectual property (IP)
- conceptual misunderstandings, 200
  - differentiation as unified theory, 204
  - all products differentiated, 206
  - alternativeness and, 211
  - competition policy, confluence with, 216–221
  - consumer choice, 207
  - copyright and, 213
  - core function, 210
  - distinctive signs and, 212
  - intangible elements covered, 207
  - monopolistic competition, 204–205
  - originality and, 214–215
  - piece of knowledge, 208
  - plagiarism and, 209
  - product augmentation, 206
  - servicing economic progress, 207
  - some intangible assets excluded, 209
  - sui generis* regime, 215
  - purpose of, 199
  - standards, irreconcilability with, 225
  - trademarks, and, 481–487
  - traditional definitions, 201
    - ideas, association with, 201–203
    - innovation and creation, associating with, 203–204
- Intellectual property (IP) law, 162
- competition policy, conflict with, 163–168
  - copyright, fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, 184–189
  - roots of, 163
- Intellectual Property Enforcement Guidelines (IPEGs), 721
- Intellectual property rights (IPRs)
- abuse of dominant position, 300
  - alienation of chattels, 168
  - Australia
    - ACCC advocacy, 560

## Intellectual property rights (IPRs)

(cont.)

advocacy, 567

anti-competitive patent  
settlements, 563Competition and Consumer Act,  
exemptions, 559

historical outline, 558–559

licensing practices, 561–562

patent assertion entities (PAEs),  
564proposals under consideration,  
564–567

refusals to license, 562

standard-essential patents (SEPs),  
563–564

summary observations, 567

## Brazil

advocacy, 592

anti-competitive patent  
settlements, 591

historical outline, 586–587

licensing practices, 590

patent assertion entities (PAEs),  
592

refusals to license, 591

rule of reason approach, 589

scope of relevant provisions,  
587–589standard-essential patents (SEPs),  
592

## Canada

advocacy, 541

anti-competitive patent  
settlements, 539–540

historical outline, 534–536

IPEG Guidelines, 537–538

licensing practices, 538

Patent assertion entities (PAEs),  
541

refusals to license, 539

standard-essential patents (SEPs),  
540–541

## China

advocacy, 602–604

historical outline, 593–596

licensing practices, 598–600

patent assertion entities (PAEs),  
601

refusals to license, 600

SAIC provisions, 596–598

standard-essential patents (SEPs),  
601competition policy, application of,  
31–35

licensing arrangements, 35–43

competition policy, balancing, 520

cross-jurisdictional learning process,  
521–522

distinctive marks, 189–196

distinctive signs, 175

## EU

abuse of dominant position,  
762–764

advocacy, 553

anti-competitive patent  
settlements, 550

excessive pricing, 770

exemptions, 758–760

*Google Shopping* case, 768

historical outline, 542–546

*IMS* case, 765–766

licensing practices, 548

*Magill* case, 764–765*Microsoft* case, 766–768

misuse of patent clusters, 768

misuse of patent system, 768

patent ambush, 770–773

Patent Assertion Entities (PAEs),  
552–553prohibited horizontal agreements,  
754–756recent enforcement cases,  
554–558

refusals to license, 549

relevant legal instruments, 753

- scope of relevant instruments, 546–547
- standard-essential patents (SEPs), 551–552
- standardization agreements, 756–758
- technology transfer agreements, 757–760
- unfair trading conditions, 770
- vertical agreements, 757–762
- exhaustion. *See* Exhaustion of IPRs
- granting and exercising, 175
- guidelines and policy statements
  - comparative assessment, 518
  - potential for conflicts and failures, 521
  - role of, 518
- Impression Products case, 169
- India
  - advocacy, 609
  - anti-competitive patent settlements, 608
  - historical outline, 604–605
  - licensing practices, 607
  - patent assertion entities (PAEs), 609
  - refusals to license, 607
  - rule of reason approach, 606
  - scope of relevant positions, 605
  - standard-essential patents (SEPs), 608–609
- interoperability information, 301
- Japan
  - advocacy, 577
  - historical outline, 569–571
  - licensing practices, 573–574
  - refusals to license, 574
  - rule of reason approach, 572
  - scope of IP-related competition instruments, 571–572
  - standard-essential patents (SEPs)
    - IP Guidelines, 575–576
    - summary observations, 585
  - Kirtsaeng* case, 169–170
- Korea
  - advocacy, 584
  - anti-competitive patent settlements, 582
  - historical outline, 578–579
  - IPR Guidelines, 579–581
  - licensing practices, 581
  - patent assertion entities (PAEs), 584
  - refusals to license, 582
  - standard-essential patents (SEPs), 583–584
  - summary observations, 585
- overprotection and under-protection, 284
- right to exclude, 283
- Russia
  - advocacy, 617–619
  - historical outline, 610–613
  - licensing practices, 614–616
  - patent assertion entities (PAEs), 616
  - refusals to license, 616
  - rule of reason approach, 614
  - scope of relevant provisions, 613
  - standard-essential patents (SEPs), 617
- safe haven thresholds, 545
- single-firm conduct, 546
- South Africa
  - advocacy, 625–627
  - anti-competitive patent settlements, 624
  - Competition Act, exemptions, 621
  - Competition Commission
    - principles, 621
  - historical outline, 619–621
  - licensing practices, 622

- Intellectual property rights (IPRs)  
(cont.)
- patent assertion entities (PAEs), 624
  - refusals to license, 623
  - standard-essential patents (SEPs), 624
  - summary observations, 627
- United States
- advocacy, 532
  - current guidelines, 526–527
  - historical outline, 523–526
  - licensing practices, 527–528
  - patent assertion entities (PAEs), 532
  - refusal to license, 528
  - reverse patent settlement agreements, 529
  - standard-essential patents (SEPs), 529–531
- Internalised transfer of technology, 355
- disadvantage of, 355
  - foreign direct investment, 355
- International Chamber of Commerce, 131
- International Common Denominator (ICD), 246
- International Competition Network (ICN), 533, 632
- convergence of competition law, 812
  - Working Group, 7
- International dialogue
- building on, 837–840
  - cross-jurisdictional learning process, 841
  - effects-based approach, 845–847
  - emerging rationales, 842
  - diverse and divergent regulatory activity, 843
  - interaction of different jurisdictions, 843–844
  - negative spillovers, 847–849
  - policy legitimacy, ensuring, 845
- possible themes, 849
    - advocacy, 858–859
    - benefits of, 849
    - derived from guidelines, 849
    - IPR protection, 850
    - patent settlements, 854–857
    - patent thickets and pools, 853–854
    - refusals to license, 857–858
    - treatment of licensing, 851–853
    - scope for further learning, 844
- International digital divide (IDD), 332, 334
- International exhaustion, 316
- International exhaustion of patent rights, 316
- International Non-proprietary Name (INN), 491
- International Standards Certifications (ISC), 244
- International Telecommunication Union (ITU), 263
- State of Broadband Report, 344
- International Trade Commission (ITC)
- authority of, 324
  - exclusion orders, 280
  - FRAND commitments, 280–282
- Internet protocol suite, 293
- Internet Service Provider (ISP) costs, 343
- Internet use
- 1990–2018, 285
  - major role in global commerce, 288
  - micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs), 294
  - national boundaries and, 286
  - OECD countries, 2010–2014, 345
  - open trade, and, 293
  - policy and legal issues raised by, 285
- Interoperability information, 357, 683, 766
- Interoperable products, 231, 234

- IP Guidelines, 575–576  
*IP Translator* case, 508  
 IPEG Guidelines, 537–538  
 IPR Guidelines, 579–581  
 Ipswich Cloth Makers, 179  
*Israeli Teva v. Russian Biotech* case, 615
- Japan  
 advocacy, 577  
 Fair Trade Commission (JFTC), 569  
 anti-competitive conduct, 659  
 Data and Competition Policy study, 577  
 injunctions, 659  
 patent pools, 574  
 range of guidelines, 577  
 FRAND commitments, 265–266  
 intellectual property rights (IPRs)  
 advocacy, 577  
 historical outline, 569–571  
 Japan  
 standard-essential patents (SEPs), 575–576  
 licensing practices, 573–574  
 patent assertion entities (PAEs)  
 Japan, 576  
 refusals to license, 574  
 rule of reason approach, 572  
 scope of IP-related competition instruments, 571–572  
 summary observations, 585  
 patent pools, 658–659  
 game machine industry, 659  
 pharmaceutical industry, reverse patent settlement agreements  
 Japan, 725  
 private monopolization, 571  
 safe harbours, 573  
 unfair trade practices, 571  
 unreasonable restraint of trade, 571  
 Joint ticket packages, 678
- K&N v. Dawn* case, 793–794  
*Keeler v. Standard Folding Bed Co.* case, 170  
*King Drug Company of Florence v. Smithkline Beecham Corp.* case, 712  
*Kirtsaeng* case, 168–170, 313–314  
 Korea  
 Fair Trade Commission (KFTC)  
 advocacy, 584  
 anti-competitive licensing agreements, 581  
 IPR Guidelines, 578–579, 660  
*Microsoft Media Player* case, 579  
*GlaxoSmithKline (GSK)* case, 722–723  
 intellectual property rights (IPRs)  
 advocacy, 584  
 anti-competitive patent settlements, 582  
 historical outline, 578–579  
 IPR Guidelines, 579–581  
 licensing practices, 581  
 patent assertion entities (PAEs), 584  
 refusals to license, 582  
 standardessential patents (SEPs), 583–584  
 summary observations, 585  
 non-practising entities, 584  
 patent pools, 660–662  
 rule of reason approach, 580  
 safe harbours, 581  
 Korea United States Free Trade Agreement (KORUS)  
 Article 1, 359  
 Article 13.5, 359  
 Article 13.7, 359  
 Article 15, 359  
 Article 18, 359
- Labelling  
 alcoholic beverages, 247



- Labelling (cont.)  
  breast-milk substitutes, 247  
  plain packaging schemes, 249
- Least-developed countries (LDCs)  
  compulsory licensing, technology,  
   367–368  
  digital divide, 334  
  environmentally sound technologies  
   (ESTs), 351  
  not bound by TRIPS Agreement, 361  
  redressing imbalance with MNCs,  
   362  
  semiconductor technology, 363–364  
  standards technical assistance,  
   364–365  
  technology transfer, 349  
  WTO Information Technology  
   Agreement (ITA),  
   353–354
- Leegin Creative Leather Products, Inc.*  
  v. *PSKS, Inc.* case, 170
- Legitimate trade, 151  
  digital content, 327  
  TRIPS Agreement, 284, 289
- Liability rules, 278
- Licensing arrangements  
  early schemes, 35–43  
  structural safety zones, 527
- Licensing practices  
  Australia, 561–562  
  Brazil, 590  
  Canada, 538  
  China, 598–600  
  EU, 548  
  India, 607  
  Japan, 573–574  
  Korea, 581  
  Russia, 614–616  
  South Africa, 622  
  United States, 527–528
- Life cycle management (LCM)  
  strategies, 734–735
- linkLine* case, 680
- Lisbon review conference 1958  
  unfair competition, 132
- Literacy, 338, 340
- Litigation  
  abusive, 81–82, 92  
  nuisance infringement, 58, 532  
  remedies in, 278  
  sham, 82, 780
- Lock in, 233
- Locked-in technology, 255
- Lundbeck* case, 717, 755
- Maastricht Treaty, 138
- Madrid Indications of Source  
  Agreement  
  unfair competition, 129
- Magill* case, 682, 764–765
- Malaysia  
  competition laws, 74  
  Multinational Enterprises (MNEs),  
  impact of, 358
- Mandatory standards, 226–227  
  competitive advantage, 228  
  copyright and, 240  
  elaboration, 229  
  essential facilities doctrine, 228  
  patent rights, 228
- Mannesmann/Italmipianti* case, 821
- Manufacturers' Aircraft Association,  
  640
- Marine Stewardship Council (MSC),  
  244
- Market dominance. See also Abuse of  
  dominant position  
  *Google Shopping* case, 556, 768  
  *Monsanto* case, 395  
  *Qualcomm* case, 555  
  technology industry, 297, 300  
  TFEU definition, 452
- Market leveraging, 466–468
- Massachusetts Bay Colony, 183
- MCI Communications v. AT&T Corp*  
  case, 678

- Merger doctrine, 431  
 Mergers, impact on innovation,  
     44–45  
*Metro Cash & Carry and Thal Ltd* case,  
     790  
 Micro, small and medium-sized  
     enterprises (MSMEs), 294  
*Microsoft* case, 51, 300, 685–687,  
     766–768  
*Microsoft Media Player* case, 52, 555,  
     579, 683  
*Microsoft v. Motorola* case, 252, 254,  
     265, 275–276  
*Millar v. Taylor* case, 187, 195  
 Ministry of Commerce (MOFCOM),  
     595, 824  
 Misconduct  
     Chile, 777  
 Misleading and deceptive commercial  
     practices, 121–125  
 Monopolies  
     England, sixteenth century, 176–182  
     lawful and permitted, 270  
     obligation to share dominance with  
         competitors, 233  
     sixteenth century, 179  
 Monopolistic brand markets, 497–498  
 Monopolistic competition, 204–205  
 Moral rights, 804, 806  
*Motion Picture Patents Co. v.*  
     *Universal Film Mfg Co.*  
     case, 319  
 MPEG-2 patent pool, 651–652  
 Multifunctional digital machines  
     ('MFMs'), 354  
 Multilateral Framework on Procedures  
     in Competition Law  
     Investigation and  
     Enforcement (MFP), 533  
 Multilateral trade agreements  
     pre-Internet era, 287  
 Multinational corporations (MNCs)  
     abusive practices, 361  
     Foreign Direct Investment (FDI)  
         and, 357–358  
     imbalance with DC producers, 362  
 Multinational Enterprises (MNEs)  
     Malaysia, impact of, 358  
*Mylan Pharmaceuticals v. Warner*  
     *Chilcott (Doryx)* case,  
     741  
 Myth of monopolization, 192  
*Namenda* case, 739  
 National Development and Reform  
     Commission (NDRC),  
     595, 848  
 National Economic Prosecutor's  
     Bureau (FNE), 785  
 National Plan on Competition Policy  
     Development in the  
     Russian Federation,  
     2018–2020, 613  
 National treatment principle, 129, 291,  
     848  
     GATT, 291  
 Natural rights, 173  
*NEC Corporation v. Intel Corporation*  
     case, 357  
 Network effects, 299  
 Network industries  
     duties to deal, 676–677  
     Europe, 681–686  
     United States, 677–681, 685, 687  
 exclusionary conduct, 50  
     essential facilities doctrine, use of,  
     50  
     *Google Android* case, 54  
     Google Corporation fine, 53  
     *Intel* case, 52  
     *Microsoft* case, 51  
 hold-ups, 687–690  
 injunctions  
     FRAND-encumbered SEPs,  
     690–693  
 New Madison approach, 531

- New York Mercantile Exchange v. Intercontinental Exchange, Inc.* case, 431
- Nice Classification, 243
- Nine no-nos, licensing of patents, 411, 524  
 repudiation of, 524
- Noerr-Pennington doctrine, 270, 274
- Non-core essentiality, 256
- Non-discrimination. See National treatment principle
- Non-essential patents, 644
- Non-obviousness, 57
- Non-practising entities (NPEs)  
 Korea, 584
- Non-price-disconnected prescription  
 drug market, 737
- Non-valid patents, 780
- Northwest Wholesale Stationers *v.* Pacific Stationery & Printing case, 650
- Novel and original designs, 803
- Nuisance infringement litigation, 58, 532
- Nuisance value settlements, 82
- OECD  
 internet use, 2010–2014, 345
- Off-shore input cartels, 829
- On State Competition Policy  
 Guidelines, 613
- One Blue patent pool, 665
- One-stop shop broadcast licences, 759
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)  
 Competition Committee, 7
- Orgaware, 348
- Originality  
 mechanism of differentiation, 214  
 technical works, 215
- Outbound commerce  
 export exemption, 818  
 national law ineffective, 817
- Outbound restraints, 813
- Outsourcing, 356
- Overarching brands, 489
- Overview of volume  
 Part I, 15–18  
 Part II, 18–22  
 Part III, 22–25  
 Part IV, 25–26
- Paice v. Toyota Motor* case, 268
- Pakistan  
 Competition Act 2010  
 abuse of dominance, 789  
 deceptive marketing, 791–795  
 objectives, 788  
 prohibited anti-competitive agreements, 790  
 property rights and, 789  
 substantive provisions, 789
- copyright  
 moral rights, 804  
 private publishing without government consent, 804
- copyright law  
 exclusive rights, 803
- designs law, 803
- intellectual property (IP)  
 legislative history, 787  
 twenty-first-century overhaul, 788
- Intellectual Property Organization (IPO)  
 advocacy role, 797  
 establishment, 796  
 tribunals, 797
- patent law, 805  
 exploiting without owner's consent, 802  
 invention, determining, 801  
 nexus between IPRs and competition, 800
- pre-merger clearances, 795–796
- trademark law, 797  
 abuse by registered owner, 799

- protection beyond registered goods, 798
- TRIPS Agreement, compliance with, 796
- Parallel importation, 64
- Parasitic conduct, 154
- Parasitic copying, 794
- Paris Agreement, 352
- Paris Contracting Parties, 101
- Paris Convention
  - Article 10*bis*, 83, 776, 779
  - Article 5(2), 65
  - Article 5(A)(2), 100–101
  - Article 5A, 780
  - patent protection linked to anti-monopoly provisions, 100
  - unfair competition, 129–130, 133
- Paris Union, 99
  - Hague Convention 1925, 99
  - unfair competition, 101
- Passing off, 124, 155, 487
- Patent ambush, 230, 269, 601
- Patent applications
  - WIPO data, 2010–2012, 398–399
- Patent assertion entities (PAEs)
  - Australia, 564
  - Brazil, 592
  - Canada, 541
  - China, 601
  - definition, 58, 532
  - European Commission study 2016, 552
  - Federal Trade Commission study 2016, 532
  - India, 609
  - Japan, 576
  - Korea, 584
  - Russia, 616
  - South Africa, 624
- Patent cliff, 731
- Patent clusters, 768
- Patent collective, 657
- Patent Convention
  - Paris Convention, 100
- Patent exhaustion, 371
  - Bowman v. Monsanto*. See *Bowman v. Monsanto*
- Patent law
  - FRAND commitments, 267–270
  - monopolies
    - England, sixteenth century, 176–182
- Patent Law Treaty (PLT), 104
- Patent litigation
  - Internet-enabled devices, 253
  - standard-setting organizations (SSOs), 269, 279
- Patent misuse, 267
- Patent pools, 853–854
  - anti-competitive, 642–643
  - Australia, 662–663
  - blocking patents, 638
  - Brazil, 665–668
  - Canada, 656–658
  - China, 664–665
  - collusion
    - downstream competitors, 646
    - licensee terms and conditions, 647–648
    - substitute patents, 646
    - weak patents, 647
  - consumer electronics, 646
  - essential patents, 638, 643
  - EU, 653–656
  - European Commission, assessing, 655
  - exempt from Technology Transfer Block Exemption Regulation (TTBER), 653
  - historical examples, 639–640
  - India, 668–669
  - IT sector, 639
  - Japan, 658–660
  - Korea, 660–662
  - non-essential patents, 638, 644
  - patent thickets and, 638

- Patent pools (cont.)
- perfect complements or substitutes, 642
  - potentially anti-competitive conduct, 640
  - pro-competitive, 641
  - purpose, 637
  - Russia, 669–670
  - standardization, 644
    - scrutiny of, 645
  - structure, 638
  - United States, 648–653
  - widespread adoption of, 641
- Patent rights
- exhaustion, 318
  - infringement litigation, 532
  - international exhaustion, 316
  - mandatory standards, 228
  - right to exclude, 531
  - voluntary standards, 229
- Patent settlements, 854–857
- Patent thickets, 48, 637, 853–854
- impact of, 49, 853
- Patentable subject matter
- expansion of, 56
- Patents
- bundling, 638
  - misuse doctrine, 404
  - uncertainty, 41
- Patents of invention, 181
- Pay-for-delay agreements. *See* Reverse payment patent agreements
- Per se approach
- Russia, 614
  - United States, 649
- per se rules, 397, 405
- Perfect competition, 204
- Perpetual copyright, 188, 425
- Peru
- restrictive licensing standards proposal, 69
  - sham litigation, 83
- Peter Veeck v. Southern Building Code Congress International Inc.* case, 238
- Pharmaceutical industry
- differences from other markets, 700
  - evergreening, 732
  - generic drugs, approval mechanisms, 702–708
  - heavily regulated, 702
  - high prices and, 702
  - importance of, 700
  - patent cliff, 731
  - price-inelasticity, 701
  - product commercial strategies, 733
  - product switching
    - Australia, 747
    - Brazil, 748
    - Canada, 745–747
    - enabling provisions, 736–737
    - EU, 742–745
    - implementation, 732
    - India, 747
    - patent system, role of, 737
    - price-disconnects, 737
    - process, 732
    - restricting generic competition, 734–736
    - scrutiny of, 738
    - South Africa, 748
    - US case law, 738–742
- reverse patent settlement agreements
- Australia, 725
  - Brazil, 724
  - Canada, 720–721
  - case-by-case monitoring, 727
  - checks and balances, 728
  - crucial importance, 696
  - EU, 713–720, 727
  - FTC v. Actavis* case, 714
  - India, 726
  - international regulatory and trade measures, 729
  - Japan, 725

- Korea, 722–724
- litigation costs, 728
- TRIPS Agreement, 697
- TRIPS Paragraph 6 system, 729
- United States, 709–714
  - varying approaches, 727
- test data protection, 151–154
- Piece of knowledge, 208
- Piracy, 436
- Plagiarism
  - elimination of differentiation, 209
- Positive comity agreements, 819
- Pre-merger clearances
  - Pakistan, 795–796
- Price squeezes, 680
- Price-disconnected prescription drug
  - market, 737
- Private monopolization
  - Japan, 571
- Private restraints, 813, 833
- ProBio* case, 510
- Pro-competitive patent pools, 642
- Product augmentation, 206
- Product differentiation. *See*
  - Differentiation
- Product switching, 732
  - pharmaceutical industry. *See*
    - Pharmaceutical industry
  - US case law, 738–742
- Product = (product + brand) theory, 495–497
- Production fragmentation, 356
- Productive reuse, 455–458
- Prohibited horizontal agreements, 754–772
- Property rules, 278
- Provisional Committee on Proposals
  - Related to a WIPO
    - Development Agenda
      - (PCDA), 106–107
- Public entities
  - copyright and, 240
- Public interest harms, 280
- Public restraints, 813, 833
- Purpose of volume, 8–10
  - analytical framework, 12–14
  - changing policy environment, 14
  - overarching themes, 10–12
- Qualcomm* case, 599
- Questionable patents, 57, 780
- Rambus v. FTC* case, 273, 771
- Reading, 338
- Real property law, 41, 277
- ReaLemon* case, 84, 505
- Realtek Semiconductor Corp. v. LSI Corp.* case, 281
- Reasonable harm to competition, 219
- Reasonable royalties, 403, 409
- ReDigi* case, 323
- Refusals to license
  - Australia, 562
  - Brazil, 591
  - copyright
    - dominance analysis, 462–463
    - new product requirement, 463–465
    - no objective justification, 465–466
  - EU, 549
  - India, 607
  - Japan, 574
  - Korea, 582
  - Russia, 616
- Refusals to license, 857–858
  - Canada, 539
  - China, 600
  - copyright
    - follow-on innovation, 468–470
    - market leveraging, 466–468
  - South Africa, 623
  - United States, 528
- Regional exhaustion, 316
- Regional trade agreements (RTAs), 333, 359
- Regulatory spillovers, 842–843

- Relevant market, 458–460
- Remedies in litigation, 278
- Resale price maintenance, 405, 773
- Research and development markets, 527
- Research volumes, 1
- Restraint of trade
  - right to do business, 173
  - unfair competition, interaction between, 150
  - unreasonable
    - cross-licensing, 574
    - Japan, 571
- Restrictive licensing practices
  - Peruvian proposal 1989, 69
- Reverse engineering, 356
- Reverse patent payment settlements, 539
  - decline of, 712
  - entry-split, 539, 721
  - EU General Court ruling, 421
  - Lundbeck* case, 47, 420, 755
  - remitted to European Court, 719
- Reverse patent payment settlements, 854
- Reverse patent settlement agreements
  - Australian experience, 566, 725
  - Australian Productivity Commission recommendations, 725
  - FTC v. Actavis* case, 699
  - pharmaceutical industry. See Pharmaceutical industry
  - problems with, 699
  - purpose, 697
  - United States, 709–714
  - US Federal Trade Commission
    - position, 529
- Reverse payment settlement agreements
  - flexibility for anti-competitive conduct, 736
- Review Guidelines on Unfair Exercise of Intellectual Property Rights. See IPR Guidelines
- Riffat Saraj v. Eye Television Network (Pvt) Ltd* case, 804
- Right to exclude, 267, 283, 528, 531, 857
- Rival patents, 638
- Royalties
  - division, 640
  - FRAND commitments, 264–265
  - joint setting, 640
  - prohibited arrangements, 574
  - reasonable, 403, 409
- Royalty stacking, 265, 637, 642, 647
- Rule of reason approach, 397, 444, 570
  - Brazil, 589
  - India, 606
  - Japan, 572
  - Korea, 580
  - Russia, 614
  - South Africa, 598
  - United States, 526, 534
- Russia
  - intellectual property rights (IPRs)
    - advocacy, 617–619
    - historical outline, 610–613
    - licensing practices, 614–616
    - patent assertion entities (PAEs), 616
    - refusals to license, 616
    - rule of reason approach, 614
    - scope of relevant provisions, 613
    - standard-essential patents (SEPs), 617
  - patent pools, 669–670
  - per se approach, cartels, 614
- Safe harbours
  - Australia, 663
  - China, 597
  - EU, 548
  - European Union, 546
  - Japan, 573
  - Korea, 581
  - United States, 741

- Sanofi-Aventis* case, 744  
 Scale without mass, 299  
 scènes-à-faire doctrine, 214, 235  
 Schumpeterian competition, 38, 60  
 Search cost reduction theory, 472  
   consistent quality, 488  
   limited identification role, 490  
   little difference between products, 489  
   new entrants into market, 493  
   no signalling role, 488  
   not required for efficiency, 491  
   overarching or umbrella bands, 489  
   qualities of goods not apparent, 487  
   rational consumers and, 493  
   superficial attractiveness, 495  
 Self-replicating technology, 372, 378,  
   381–382  
   incidental use, 384  
 Semiconductor technology, 363–364  
 Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk  
   Reduction, 352  
 Service marks, 244  
*Servier* case, 717, 756  
 Set-top boxes which have a  
   communication function  
   ('STBCs'), 354  
*Seven-Up Company v. Kohinoor  
 Thread Ball Factory*  
   case, 798  
 Sewing Machine Trust, 640  
 Seychelles  
   abusive litigation, 92  
 Sham litigation, 82, 780  
 Soft product switching, 749  
 Soft-law guidance, 134  
 Software industry  
   TRIPS Agreement and, 215  
 South Africa  
   evergreening, 748  
   intellectual property rights (IPRs)  
     advocacy, 625–627  
     anti-competitive patent  
     settlements, 624  
   Competition Act, exemptions, 621  
   Competition Commission  
     principles, 621  
   historical outline, 619–621  
   licensing practices, 622  
   patent assertion entities (PAEs),  
     624  
   refusals to license, 623  
   standard-essential patents (SEPs),  
     624  
   summary observations, 627  
   product switching, 748  
   rule of reason approach, 598  
 Soybeans. See *Bowman v. Monsanto*  
*Spansion v. ITC* case, 280  
 Spillovers  
   cross-jurisdictional, 521  
   foreign technology, 340  
*St. Lawrence Communications  
 v. Vodaphone* case, 692  
*Standard Oil Company (Indiana) et al.  
 v. US* case, 640  
*Standard Sanitary Manufacturing v. US*  
   case, 639–640  
 Standard-essential patents (SEPs), 262  
   Australia, 563–564  
   Brazil, 592  
   China, 601  
   commercially essential patents, 256  
   hypothetical proposition, 257–261  
   India, 608–609  
   injunctions  
     FRAND-encumbered, 690–693  
     hold-ups, 687–690  
   IP Guidelines, 575–576  
   IPEGs, 540–541  
   IPR Guidelines, 583–584  
   Russia, 617  
   South Africa, 624  
   technologically essential, 255  
   Technology Transfer Guidelines,  
     551–552  
   United States, 529–531



- Standardization, 226  
 agreements, 758–772  
 patent pools, 644  
 scrutiny of, 645
- Standards  
 copyright, and, 235  
 technical standards, 235–238  
 expropriation, 239  
 intellectual property, irreconcilability  
 with, 225  
 interoperable products, 231, 234  
 labelling and packaging  
 nutrition-related products,  
 246–248  
 pharmaceutical products, 246  
 tobacco products, 248, 250  
 mandatory, 226–227  
 operation, 226  
 voluntary, 226  
 essential patent holder, 230–231,  
 233
- Standard-setting organizations  
 (SSOs)  
 abuse of dominant position, 272  
 commercially essential patents, 256  
 copyright, asserting, 237  
 exclusion orders, 281  
 FRAND commitments, 264, 273  
 hypothetical proposition, 257–261  
 injunctive relief, 268  
 IPR policies, 255  
 licensing disputes, 275  
 manufacturer compliance, 244  
 patent ambush, 268  
 patent hold-up, 269  
 patent litigation, 269, 279  
 role and purpose, 49  
 service marks, 244  
 standards, copyright and, 240  
 transferability, 263  
 voluntary standards, 227, 233
- State Administration for Market  
 Regulation (SAMR), 595
- State Intellectual Property Office  
 (SIPO), 596
- Static economic efficiency, 283
- Stationers' Company, 424
- Statute of Anne 1709, 187, 423–426
- Statute of Monopolies 1624, 179  
 invention, definition, 183–184
- Straus v. Victor Talking Machine Co.*  
 case, 170
- Substantive Patent Law Treaty (SPLT),  
 103–104
- Substantive patentability requirements,  
 103
- Substitute patents, 642, 646  
 market power and, 853  
 non-infringing alternative  
 technologies, 652
- sui generis*  
 traditional knowledge protection,  
 157, 215
- Supply-side externalities, 673
- Supply-side substitutability, 453
- Switching costs, 299
- Switzerland  
 patent law, 393
- Tajikistan  
 anti-competitive licensing practices,  
 90
- Tanzania  
 intellectual property rights (IPRs), 91
- TCL Communications v. Ericsson* case,  
 265, 276
- Technical standards, 235–238  
 instructions for production, 243  
 names given to, 242–243
- Technological protection. Measures  
 (TPMs), 441
- Technology bundling  
*Microsoft* case, 51
- Technology industry  
 market dominance, gaining, 297, 300
- Technology lock-in, 255

- Technology pools. See Patent pools
- Technology standards  
 abuse of rights, 267  
 benefits, 254  
 high value of, 254  
 locking-in, 255  
 patents and, 255
- Technology transfer  
 agreements, 757–760  
 developing countries (DCs), 349–350  
 digital divide and, 347  
 internalized, 355  
   disadvantage of, 355  
   foreign direct investment, 355  
 least developed countries (DCs), 349  
 UN definition, 347
- Technoware, 347
- Telecommunications policy  
 WTO reference paper, 342–346
- Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson *v.*  
 Competition Commission  
 of India case, 609
- Telephone communications industry  
 essential facilities doctrine, United  
 States, 678
- Temporary National Economic  
 Committee (TNEC), 401
- Test data protection, 151–154
- Tetracycline* cases, 413
- Thematic projects, 112  
 eight components, 113  
 mainstreaming, 114–115  
 WIPO, 113
- Therapeutically equivalent generics,  
 739
- Third party licences, 551, 653, 671, 854
- Tobacco products  
 plain packaging schemes, 249
- Tom Kabinet* case, 320, 322
- Trade in Services Agreement (TiSA),  
 333  
 Annex on E-Commerce, 359  
 X3-X6, 359
- Trade policy  
 fair chance of competition, 290  
 national treatment principle, 291  
 physical goods, and, 292–293  
 underlying assumption, 290
- Trade secrets  
 interoperability information, 301  
 sharing, 232
- Trademarks  
 anti-competitive potential, 476–481  
 certification marks, distinguishing,  
 245  
 Chicago school account, 500  
 compulsory licencing prohibited, 84  
 distinctiveness  
   inherent, 501, 503  
   primary colours and decorative  
   patterns, 506–508  
   sign as badge of origin, 505  
   statutory level, 504  
   use of mark by competitors, 504  
 exceptions and non-infringement,  
 502–503  
 genericism, 240  
 goods and services used with, 508  
 information asymmetry, 478  
 infringements  
   deceptive similarity, 511  
   determining, 508  
   generic or descriptive  
   components, 509  
   identity of mark, determining,  
   510–511  
 intellectual property, and, 481–487  
 labelling and packaging standards  
   nutrition-related products,  
   246–248  
   pharmaceutical products, 246  
   tobacco products, 248, 250  
 language, controlling, 498–500  
 monopolistic brand markets,  
 497–498  
 nature of mark, 507

- Trademarks (cont.)  
 Nice Classification, 243  
 Pakistan, 797  
   abuse by registered owner, 799  
   protection beyond registered goods, 798  
 Posnerian account, 501  
 product = (product + brand) theory, 495–497  
 search cost reduction theory, 472  
   consistent quality, 488  
   limited identification role, 490  
   little difference between products, 489  
   new entrants into market, 493  
   no signalling role, 488  
   not required for efficiency, 491  
   overarching or umbrella brands, 489  
   qualities of goods not apparent, 487  
   rational consumers and, 493  
   superficial attractiveness, 495  
 unregistered, 124  
 use of, 245  
 visual cues, 507
- Traditional cultural expressions (TCEs), 154–155
- Traditional knowledge. See Indigenous and traditional knowledge (ITK)
- Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol (TCP/IP), 293, 345
- Transnational corporations (TNCs), 346
- Treaty of Rome 1957, 543
- Treaty of Versailles, 129
- Trinko* case, 679
- Triple therapy* case, 417
- TRIPS Agreement  
   abusive and anti-competitive practices, 79  
   abusive litigation, 81–82  
   acknowledgement of competition policy, 68  
   ambiguous language, 397  
   anti-competitive conduct, 860  
   anti-competitive licensing practices, 70  
   Article 7, 175, 203, 349, 366  
   Article 8, 79, 92, 421, 626  
   Article 8.2, 350, 366, 369, 397  
   Article 8.2, 76  
   Article 17, 244  
   Article 20, 245  
   Article 21, 84, 245  
   Article 27, 394  
   Article 28, 371  
   Article 29, 349  
   Article 31, 67, 77, 87, 367, 397–398, 421  
   Article 33, 361  
   Article 39.3, 152  
   Article 40, 67, 70, 86–88, 90, 350, 366, 421, 632, 840  
   Article 40.1, 78, 397, 648  
   Article 40.2, 72, 78–79, 88, 350, 367, 397, 648  
   Article 40.3, 80, 648, 847  
   Article 40.4, 80  
   Article 41.1, 81  
   Article 48, 82  
   Article 50, 82  
   Article 53, 82  
   Article 56, 82  
   Article 66.2, 352, 365  
   Article 67, 368  
   Article 69, 840  
   Article 71, 86  
   broad discretion given to WTO members, 85  
   building on, 840–841  
   challenges for effective implementation, 74  
   challenges to validity, 67

- codification of relationship between IP system and competition policy, 62
- coercive package licensing, 79
- coherent framework for IP system/IP policy relationship, 66
- compulsory licensing, 797
- confidentiality provisions, 13
- environmentally sound technologies (ESTs), and, 352
- exclusive greenbacks, 67
- facilitating generic pharmaceuticals, 856
- fourteen practices subject to remedies, 70
- fundamental premise, 10
- grant back conditions, 79
- implementation measures size of, 92
- legitimate trade, 284, 289
- members implementation of basic standards, 796
- objective of, 284
- Paragraph 6 system, 729
- Paris Article 10*bis*, incorporation into, 134
- Paris Convention Article 10*bis*, 779
- physical and digital transactions not distinguished, 310
- positive comity, 63
- precipitating introduction of competition laws, 74
- prevention of abuses, 698
- promoting broader well-being of society, 136
- providing assistance to other members, 80
- restrictive licensing practices, 69–70
- rule of reason approach, 72
- sham litigation, 83
- software industry lobbying, and, 215
- structure, 197
- trademarks, compulsory licencing prohibited, 84
- transparency mechanisms, 288
- uniform assessment criteria, 728
- TRIPS Council
  - annual reports to, 91
  - implementation measures
    - compulsory licensing of patents, 87
    - potential contributions of, 86
    - size of, 85
    - systematic review, 86
  - policy discussions, 86
- Trolls. See Patent assertion entities (PAEs)
- Tying arrangements, 404–405
- Umbrella brands, 489
- UN
  - Conference on Restrictive Business Practices, 142
  - Conference on Trade and Development. See UNCTAD
  - Guidelines for Consumer Protection, 150
  - Universal Declaration of Human Rights Article 27.2, 199
- UN Set of Multilaterally Agreed Equitable Principles and Rules for the Control of Restrictive Business Practices, 142
- Unazuki onsen* case, 266
- Uncertainty of patents, 41
- UNCTAD
  - Draft Code of Conduct for the Transfer of Technology, 397
- e-commerce study, 295
- Havana Charter, 141

- UNCTAD (cont.)  
 Intergovernmental Group of Experts  
 on Competition Law and  
 Policy, 8, 150
- Undisclosed information. See Trade  
 secrets
- Unfair commercial practices  
 EU definition, 127
- Unfair competition  
 Chile  
 competition law infringement, as,  
 783–784  
 competition law, interaction with,  
 778–779  
 damages, 777  
 Decree-Law No. 211 (DL 211),  
 784–785  
 definition, 774–777  
 historical perspective, 781–783  
 intellectual property, interaction  
 with, 779–781  
 interaction with other areas, 778  
 jurisdiction of antitrust  
 authorities, 783  
 misconduct, 777  
 Hague Act 1925, 130  
 Hague Conference, 130–131  
 IP, and  
 domestic law origin, 123  
 misleading and deceptive  
 commercial practices,  
 roots in, 121–125  
 misleading and deceptive  
 practices, roots in, 123  
 Lisbon review conference 1958,  
 132  
 Paris Convention, 129–130, 133  
 Paris Union, 101  
 problems defining, 126  
 determining specific IP-related  
 dimension, 128  
 EU, 127  
 United States, 126  
 relationship with both IP and  
 competition policy, 121  
 restraint of trade, interaction  
 between, 150  
 test data protection, 151–154  
 traditional view of, 144–146  
 Washington Act 1911, 129  
 WIPO Convention, 128
- Unfair trade practices  
 Japan, 571
- Unfair trading conditions, 770
- United States  
 competition policy  
*AT&T compulsory licensing* case,  
 406  
 enforcement actions, 1940s and  
 1950s, 401  
 foreign corporations and, 401  
 Great Depression and, 401  
 historical overview, 400  
 patent-based antitrust actions, 402  
 patents, pharmaceutical industry,  
 413–421  
 patents, post-1970 policy changes,  
 411–413  
 reasonable royalties, 403  
 resale price maintenance, 405  
 tying arrangements, 404  
 United States  
 aggressive challenges to  
 mergers, 406  
 patent-based antitrust actions,  
 403  
 Western Electric compulsory  
 licensing case, 407  
 Xerox compulsory licensing  
 case, 407  
 compulsory licensing  
 United States  
 consequences of, 408–411  
 digital single market strategy,  
 306–309  
 duties to deal, 677–681, 685, 687

- Federal Trade Commission (FTC). US  
 See Federal Trade Commission (FTC)
- FRAND commitments, 275  
 competition law, 273–275  
 contracts, 275–276
- intellectual property rights (IPRs)  
 advocacy, 532  
 current guidelines, 526–527  
 historical outline, 523–526  
 licensing practices, 527–528  
 patent assertion entities (PAEs), 532  
 refusal to license, 528  
 reverse patent settlement agreements, 529–608  
 standard-essential patents (SEPs), 529–531  
 patent pools, 648–653  
 per se approach, 649  
 reverse patent settlement agreements, 709–714  
 rule of reason approach, 526, 534  
 safe harbours, 741  
 telephone communications  
 industry, essential facilities doctrine, 678  
*Tetracycline* cases, 413  
 unfair competition, defining, 126  
*United States v. Line Material* case, 640  
*United States v. Microsoft Corp.* case, 740  
*United States v. Terminal Railroad Association* case, 678  
 United States–Mexico–Canada Agreement (USMCA), 333  
 Unreasonable harm to competition, 218  
 Unreasonable restraint of trade  
 cross-licensing, 574  
 Japan, 571  
 Unregistered trademarks, 124  
*Unwired Planet v. Huawei* case, 276, 843–844
- US  
 Federal Trade Commission  
 To Promote Innovation  
 The Proper Balance of Competition and Patent Law and Policy report, 56  
 US DOJ-FTC Guidelines  
 1995  
 innovation markets, 40  
 licensing arrangements, 36  
 limitations on intellectual property licences, 37  
 market power, 38  
 2017  
 competition, promoting, 38  
 licensing arrangements, 37  
 licensing, goals of, 674–675  
 limitations on intellectual property licences, 37  
 market power, 38  
*UsedSoft* case, 321  
 US–EU Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), 333  
 Article 48, 359  
 Article 56, 359  
 Article 63, 359  
 Utility model patents, 616  
*Valium/Librium* case, 415  
 Vertical agreements, 757–762, 789  
 Vertical Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), 356–357  
*Videolar* case, 666  
*Vizio v. Funai* case, 274  
 Voluntary standards, 226  
 copyright and, 238–240  
 essential patent holder, 230  
 good faith principle, 230–232  
 inoperable products, 231  
 lock-in, 233  
 patent rights, 229

- Voluntary standards (cont.)  
 standard-setting organizations  
 (SSOs), 233
- Walford v. Miles* case, 276
- Washington Act 1911  
 unfair competition, 129
- Weak patents, 647
- Western Electric case, 407
- White list, 544, 569
- WHO  
 Framework Convention on Tobacco  
 Control (FCTC)  
 plain packaging schemes, 248–249
- WIPO  
 Committee on Development and  
 Intellectual Property  
 (CDIP), 106, 112
- Copyright Treaty (WCT), 311  
 Article 6, 313  
 Article 8, 319
- Development Agenda, 6–7, 99
- Diplomatic Conference on Certain  
 Copyright and  
 Neighboring Rights  
 Questions 1996  
 Basic Proposal, 311
- Industry Advisory Commission, 104
- Performances and Phonograms  
 Treaty (WPPT), 311  
 Article 10, 320  
 Article 14, 320  
 soft-law guidance, 134
- WIPO Development Agenda  
 competition policy recommendations,  
 108–110
- factors impairing  
 inexperienced use of intellectual  
 property, 110
- irreversible concessions on  
 intellectual property, 110
- introduction of antitrust law, 111
- tabling by Argentina, 103, 105
- WIPO Development Agenda Inter-  
 Sessional  
 Intergovernmental  
 Meeting, 106
- WIPO Development Agenda  
 Provisional Committee  
 (PCDA), 106–107
- WIPO Secretariat  
 antitrust law, 111  
 fundamental principles, 108,  
 116–117  
 patent licences, anti-competitive  
 clauses, 80  
 program and budget, 2016/2017,  
 118  
 risk assessment, 118  
 thematic projects, 112–113  
 eight components, 113  
 mainstreaming, 114–115  
 working documents, 108
- World Association of Investment  
 Promotion Agencies, 350
- World Bank  
 Digital Dividends report, 332, 335,  
 337
- World cartels, 821
- World Economic Conference 1927,  
 140
- World Health Organization. See WHO
- World Intellectual Property  
 Organization. See WIPO
- World restraints, 813
- World Summit on Sustainable  
 Development  
 Action Plan, 351
- World Summit on the Information  
 Society (WSIS)  
 Declaration and Action Plan, 348
- WTO  
 Agreement on Trade-Related  
 Aspects of Intellectual  
 Property Rights. See  
 TRIPS Agreement

## INDEX

893

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>Competition Policy Committee,<br/>828</p> <p>Environmental Goods Agreement<br/>(EGA), 352</p> <p>hardcore cartels, prohibiting, 827</p> <p>Information Technology Agreement<br/>(ITA), 333, 353–354</p> <p>Negotiating Group on Basic<br/>Telecommunications, 342</p> <p>Technical Barriers to Trade<br/>Agreement (TBT), 227,<br/>364</p> <p>Trade Policy Review Mechanism<br/>(TPRM), 95<br/>recent discussions, 96</p> <p>Working Group, 3–6</p> | <p>Working Group on the Interaction<br/>between Trade and<br/>Competition Policy<br/>(WGTCP), 92, 143, 366</p> <p>documentation relating to<br/>IP-competition interface,<br/>93</p> <p>early work, 93</p> <p>Working Group on Trade and<br/>Investment (WGTI), 355,<br/>358</p> <p>WTO Secretariat<br/>competition policy, policy goals,<br/>143</p> <p>Xerox case, 165–166, 407, 410</p> |
|--|--|