

## Index

- Abraham, 116–17, 118, 121–22  
 the binding of Isaac, 116
- action potentials, 279
- affect regulation, 293
- Agamemnon* (Aeschylus), 7–8, 114–16
- Agamemnon-minus, 115, 117–18, 121–22
- Agamemnon's dilemma, 1, 6–8, 80, 114–15, 122–23, 248, 271
- Ainslie, George, 140, 148
- akrasia*, 141, 143, 144, *See also* Socrates on *akrasia*; weakness of will  
 as error of measurement, 144  
 denial of, 142  
 principal translations, 141
- Altruist's Dilemma, 203, *See also* Prisoner's Dilemma
- amygdala, 125, 276, 277  
 and risk aversion/seeking, 292  
 as a fear module, 291  
 connection to ACC, 278  
 distinctive neural signature of framing effect, 292  
 effects of damage to, 278, 292  
 framing effect, and, 290  
 important for motivation, 278  
 key part of impulsive system, 291  
 learned valence, and, 291, 292  
 role in framing effect, 294, 298  
 tracks emotional valence of outcomes, 291
- anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), 278, 292, 296  
 impact on decision-making, 293
- Archimedean point, 240, 242  
 in standard models of rationality, 240
- Archimedean reasoning, 241, 269, *See also* non-Archimedean reasoning
- Archimedes, 240
- Ariely, Daniel, 9, 58, 295
- Aristotle, 13–14, 139  
 core-dependent homonyms, 13, *See also* focal meaning  
*isos*, 214  
 on *akrasia*, 142  
 on fairness, 205  
 on homonyms, 13  
 on self-control, 139  
 on synonyms, 13
- Arrow, Kenneth, 76, 78
- Arrow-Pratt risk-aversion coefficient, 55–57
- Asian disease paradigm, 1, 20–23, 26–28, 36–38, 93, 97, 288
- Assad, John, 281, 282, 283, 294
- Ayduk, Ozlem, 151–52, 246
- Bacharach, Michael, 167–68, 175–76, 179–80, 183, 193  
 game of Hi-Lo, 186  
 shortcomings, 188, 189  
 team reasoners, 190  
 team reasoning, 177  
 variable frame theory, 176
- Baker, N., 153
- Baldwin, James Mark, 258
- Baron-Cohen, Simon, 252
- Barton, Sidney, 24

- basal ganglia, 276, 286  
 Bayesian decision theory, 67, 70–74, 76, 82, 83, 88  
   and set theory, 78  
   as normative theory of rationality, 82, 83, 89  
   axiom of transitivity, 79, 80  
   committed to Juliet's Principle, 89  
   decision problems taken as given in, 84  
   expected utility, 89  
   representation theorems, of, 78  
   Savage's formulation, 76–78, 120  
   structure of decision problems in, 119  
   utility functions, 86  
   utility of an action, 83  
   what counts as rational decision-making in, 78  
 Bazerman, Max, 2  
 Bechara, Antoine, 284, 292  
 behavioral economics, 9, 11, 20, 44, *See also*  
   behavioral finance  
 behavioral finance, 8, 9, 10, 20, 23, 44, 45,  
   *See also* behavioral economics  
 Bellamy, Francis, 237–38  
 Benartzi, Shlomo, 45, 52, 57–61, 62, 63  
 Bentham, Jeremy, 241  
 Bernstein, Arnit, 247, 248, 250  
 between-subjects design, 22, 24, 39, 93  
 Binmore, Ken, 17, 199, 200  
 BOLD signal, 280, 285, 286  
 brain-scanning. *See* functional  
   neuroimaging  
 Brewer, Marilyn, 3, 4  
 Broca, Paul, 278  
 Brodmann, Korbinian, 275, 278  
 Broome, John, 30, 81, 124, 211, 230, 231,  
   282  
  
 Camerer, Colin, 153, 208  
 Camille, Natalie, 284  
 cerebral cortex, 274, 278  
   areas in, 275  
   basic functions of four lobes, 275  
   role of thalamus in, 277  
 cerebrum, 274  
   subcortical structures within the, 276  
 certain prospects, 27  
 certainty equivalent, 28–30, 32–34, 36–37,  
   39, 57  
   curvature of utility function, and, 55  
 Chater, Nick, 263  
  
 Chicken, game of, 169, 173, 174, 208, *See*  
   *also* game theory  
   asymmetric outcomes, 207  
   contrasting fairness and pure self-interest  
   in, 209  
   criteria of merit in, 207  
   framed in different ways, 209  
   in the *Iliad*, 189  
   Nash equilibria in, 173, 175, 194  
   Pareto-optimality in, 190  
 chosen value neurons, 283, 294  
 circumspect team reasoning, 180, 184, 208,  
   213, *See also* team reasoning  
   applying, 212  
   machinery of, 212  
 climate change, 255  
   challenge of communicating, 128  
   Climate Relief Fund statement on, 131  
   framing of, 128, 129, 131, 132, 133, 135  
   rational decision-maker on, 134  
   relevance for decisions, 128  
 Climate Relief Fund, 131–32  
   closure under conjunction, 232  
   coefficient of risk aversion. *See* Arrow-Pratt  
     risk-aversion coefficient  
 cognitive distancing, 246  
 cognitive-behavioral therapy, 246, 250  
 collective action, 17  
 common interests, 184  
   in Hi-Lo, 186  
   in Prisoner's Dilemma, 188  
   prime for "we"-frame, 194  
 common semantic thread. *See* focal meaning  
 commons dilemma, 4  
 Community Charge, 224–25, 272, *See also*  
   flat tax rate; Poll Tax  
 corpus callosum, 274, 278  
 cyclical preferences, 79–80, 99, *See also*  
   quasi-cyclical preferences  
   in Agamemnon's dilemma, 80–82  
 cytoarchitecture, 275  
  
 Damasio, Antonio, 284, 292  
 Dayan, Peter, 287  
 de Martino, Benedetto, 288, 290, 292, 293  
 decentering, 246–48, 250  
 disidentification from internal experience,  
   247  
   meta-awareness, 247  
   reduced reactivity to thought  
   content, 248

- decision problems  
 and non-Archimedean reasoning, 242  
 complex and multi-faceted, 241  
 conceptual framework, 122  
 construction of, 121  
 exogenous parameters, 118  
 parametric, 165  
 strategic, 164  
 structure of, 119  
 decision theorists, 17  
 decision theory, 17, 27, 30, 67, 118, 147,  
*See also* Bayesian decision theory;  
 prospect theory  
 and attitudes towards risk, 35, *See also*  
 risk  
 and self-control, 18  
 preferences, 206  
 rank-ordering available actions, 264  
 utility function, 87, 197  
 decision-making, 17, 70, 219, 264, *See also*  
 decision theory  
 action selection, 68  
 constructing decision-problems, 113, 120  
 developing a framework, 68  
 dilemmas, 226  
 due diligence requirement, 123  
 feedback channels, 70  
 ideal for utilitarian ethical philosophers,  
 240  
 in monkeys, 281  
 neuroscientist study of, 279  
 process of, 281  
 role of emotions, 113  
 steps in the process, 69  
 under certainty, 71, 72  
 under risk, 72  
 values as bedrock in, 222  
 valuing an action, 68  
 Degomme, Olivier, 130  
 delay of gratification paradigm, 150, 152,  
 155  
 deontic propositions. *See* non-factual  
 propositions  
 Descartes, René  
*cogito ergo sum* as Archimedean point,  
 240  
 descriptively rational. *See* rationality:as  
 process  
 desires  
 are paradigmatically non-cognitive, 232  
 irrationality of, 232
- Dhar, Ravi, 51, 52  
 Disasters Emergency Committee, 129  
 discount curve, 150, 152, 153, 154, 286  
 frame-dependence of, 152  
 discount function, 148, 152, 285  
 and dual process theory, 152  
 exponential delay, 148  
 hyperbolic delay, 148, 149, 150  
 neurometric, 286  
 psychometric, 285, 286  
 stable, 285  
 discursive deadlock, 220, 221  
 and abstract values, 239  
 as exercise in intrapersonal negotiation,  
 262  
 as irreducible clash of values, 226  
 complexities of decision problem in, 262  
 complexity of, 223  
 differences that result in, 262  
 interpersonal, 243  
 involves clashes of frame-relative values,  
 223  
 often involves clashes of values, 222  
 over flat rate tax, 223  
 over pledge of allegiance, 236  
 productive ways of dealing with, 268  
 tackling, 262, 263  
 disidentification from internal experience,  
 247, 250  
 disposition effect, the, 44–46, 49–52, 65, 84,  
 295, *See also* framing effect  
 coefficient of loss, 295  
 distributive justice, 205  
 dominated portfolio, 40  
 dopamine, 286  
 dopaminergic neurons, 287  
 dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, 153  
 Druckman, James, 127  
 dual-process theory, 16–17, 152  
 cognitive affective systems, 151  
 dual-system theory. *See* dual-process theory  
 Duchon, Dennis, 24  
 due diligence requirement, 121–22, 134,  
 234, 235, 251  
 and frames, 122  
 as principle of rationality, 122, 123  
 doing justice to, 242  
 effects of applying the, 235  
 Humean instrumentalism, and, 270  
 in *Macbeth*/Orwell/Sartre, 123  
 model frame-sensitive reasoner, and, 271

- quasi-cyclical preferences, and, 124  
 Dunegan, Kenneth, 24  
 dynamic choice, 139
- electroencephalography (EEG), 273  
 emotional engagement, 125, 135, 160  
   can be frame-dependent, 218  
   framing and, 114, 124, 125, 128  
   valuation a function of one's, 218  
 endocrine (hormone) system, 277  
 equilibrium selection problem, 166, 167,  
   168, 169, 190, 193, *See also* game  
   theory; Nash equilibrium  
   framing has potential to solve, 188  
 equity risk premium, 52–54, 57, 58, 63  
   in a range of economies, 54  
   puzzle, 45, 52–54  
   risk-aversion coefficient, and, 56  
   understanding the, 59, 61  
   utility curve, and, 56  
 equity risk premium puzzle, 52  
 Eriksen, Kristoffer, 61  
 event-related potentials, 279  
 expected utility, 73, 83, *See also* expected  
   utility theory  
   Bayesian decision-makers maximize, 88  
   exercising self-control, and, 158, 163  
   in decision theory, 264  
   maximizing, 74, 75, 78, 282  
   of an action, 89  
   parametric decision problems, and, 165  
   expected utility theory, 67, 70, 73, 75, 90,  
   165, *See also* expected utility  
   exercising self-control, and, 156  
   quasi-cyclical preferences, and, 241  
   representation theorem, and, 74  
   Savage's formulation, 76  
   utility function as Archimedean point in,  
   240  
 expected value, 27, 28, 29, 31, 36, 37, 38  
   in *Iliad* chariot race, 183  
   Pareto-optimality, and, 181  
   risk-aversion, and, 32, 33  
   risk-seeking agent, and, 34  
   utility curve, and, 55
- factual propositions, 227–29, 233,  
   255  
   are frame-neutral, 253  
   frames not to be grounded in false, 233  
   in requirements of rationality, 234, 242
- No Contradictory Belief principle, and,  
   231  
 No Contradictory Beliefs (factual)  
   principle, 231  
 No False Beliefs (Factual) requirement,  
   233  
   truth-values of, 229  
 fairness, 194, 205, 207  
   and asymmetric outcomes, 207, 211  
   and Pareto-optimality, 194  
   and rationality, 212  
   as equality, 225, 272  
   as equity, 225, 272  
   as frame-neutral value, 206  
   as justice, 205  
   as motivation, 207, 210  
   definition of, 205, 206  
   in assignment of utilities, 206  
   in the *Odyssey*, 213  
   is a property of distributions, 205  
   narrower than justice, 205  
   of flat rate tax, 225  
   ranking, 207  
   salient in “we”-frame, 211  
   value of, 244, 272  
 false-belief test, 252, 254  
 Fisher, Roger, 197, 261, 262  
 flat rate tax, 223, 224, *See also* Community  
   Charge; Poll Tax  
   framing the, 224, 225  
 Flavell, John H., 254  
 focal meaning, 13, 14  
 forebrain, 274  
   complex structure of, 274  
 formal logic, 17  
 Fox, Craig, 296  
 frame decomposition, 265, 266  
 frame deconstruction. *See* frame  
   decomposition  
 frame-dependence  
   of discount curves, 152  
   preference reversals, 94  
 frame-dependent reasoner. *See* model  
   frame-sensitive reasoner  
 frame-dependent reasoning, 100, 217  
   obstacles to, 216  
 frame-neutrality  
   illusion of, 12, 243, 249  
   limits of, 220  
   not a requirement of rationality, 217  
 frame-relative reasons, 163, 265

- frame-relative values, 223, 226
  - clash of, 223
- frame-relativity, 243, 249
- frames, 11
  - and communicative contexts, 12
  - and emotional responses to immigration, 255
  - and emotions, 129
  - and the springs of action, 112
  - as emotions, 126–27
  - as hermeneutic tools, 266
  - as tools for communication, 266
  - can function as Archimedean points, 241
  - consistency amidst incompatibility, 220
  - defined as schemata of interpretation, 265
  - definition difficulties, 11–14
  - Delayed LL* frame, 162
  - disaster frame, 128, 130, 136
  - embedded in narratives, 267
  - emerge as function of culture, 266
  - emotional dimension of, 256
  - future generations frame, 128
  - gain frame, 26, 41
  - Having Successfully Resisted SS* frame, 162
  - in communication, 126–27
  - incompatibility of, 219
  - legitimacy of, 133, 134
  - loss frame, 26, 41
  - more fundamental than beliefs and desires, 253
  - more malleable than values, 227
  - mortality frame, 22, 23, 93
  - natural disaster frame, 132, 255
  - power of, 2, 8
  - reconceptualizing game theory, 192
  - role in reasoning, 10
  - survival frame, 22, 23
  - tied to ways of interpreting statistics, 257
  - treated as fixed features of the world, 261
- frame-sensitive reasoner, model, 216, 220
  - ability to switch between frames, 263
  - and frame simulation, 254
  - and malleability of frames, 227
  - application of Due Diligence Requirement, 251
  - as psychological decentering, 248
  - capable of high level of meta-awareness, 248
  - conflicting beliefs, 242
  - criteria for a, 217
  - disengages from affective reactions, 251
  - eliminating clash of frames, 269
  - engaged in decoding, 267
  - exploit how frames are primed, 257
  - extracting reasons from frames, 265
  - framing techniques, 243, 245
  - hold multiple frames in mind, 242
  - imaginative simulation, 256
  - intrapersonal point of view, 232
  - juxtaposition of reasons, 269
  - might not escape quasi-cyclical preferences, 272
  - must move away from Archimedean points, 241
  - need to distinguish factual and non-factual propositions, 249
  - no false beliefs (factual) requirement, 233
  - reasoning across frames, 244
  - requirement of due diligence, 234
  - requirements of rationality on a, 229
  - starting point for. *See also* factual propositions; strict inconsistency working in simultaneous frames, 262
  - works across frames, 257
- frame-sensitive reasoning, 216, 217, 225, 227, 243, 268
  - and complex decision problems, 251
  - and veil of ignorance, 270
  - as opposed to normal decision theory, 264
  - constructive, 239
  - disidentification in, 250
  - falling short is not irrational, 271
  - falling short of rationality, 271
  - final stage of, 269
  - framing techniques, 244, 269
  - is non-Archimedean, 241
  - limits to, 271
  - need for, 241
  - No Contradictory Belief principle, and, 230
  - no false belief requirement for, 233
  - no fixed frame of reference in, 241
  - non-Archimedean nature of, 269
  - rationality of, 272
  - reason construction and juxtaposition, 264
  - reflexive decentering, 243
  - requirements of, 261
  - requires understanding frame-dependent information, 255

- simulation theory not helpful, 253  
 skilled perspective-taking, 259  
 three basic rationality requirements on,  
   234  
 working simultaneously in multiple  
   frames, 263
- frame-sensitivity, 94, 157, 215, 235
- framing  
 a complicated situation, 235  
 actions and decisions, 233  
 an outcome, 126, 134, 218, 244, 270  
 and emotional engagement, 125, 129, 135  
 and self-control, 159  
 Archimedean points, and, 241  
 as tool of manipulation in politics, 215  
 benefits and virtues of, 160  
 climate change, 128–34, 136  
   human-caused frame, 131, 132  
 devices in news media, 266  
 effect on self-control, 154–55  
 emotional engagement and valuation, 160  
 flat rate tax, 224–25  
 game of Chicken, the, 175, *See also* game  
   theory; Nash equilibrium; *The Iliad*  
 gun control, 257  
 gun ownership, 219  
 immigration, 256  
 in the context of media communication, 266  
 in game theory, 188, *See also* Bacharach,  
   Michael  
 narratives, 267  
 neural narrative of, 298  
 neurobiology of, 274, 290  
 neuroscience of, 275  
 pledge of allegiance, the, 235–39, 241, 243  
 rationality constraints on, 160  
 requirement of consistency, 133, 134  
 requirement of true beliefs, 133  
 role in marketing and propaganda, 227  
 role in public discourse, 215  
 strategic interactions, 192  
 strategic interactions from a group  
   perspective, 167  
 the world, 255  
 values apply to, 222
- framing effects, 1, 2, 10, 22  
 Agamemnon at Aulis, 6–8, 80–82,  
   115–16, 134, *See also* Agamemnon's  
   dilemma  
 and Bayesian decision theory. *See*  
   Bayesian decision theory
- and starting-points, 48  
 anterior cingulate cortex, and, 293  
 can result from interaction between hot/  
   cold psychological mechanisms, 125  
 causes of, 10  
 classical, 290, 293, 294  
 definition, 295  
 delay of gratification, 153  
 driven by emotional responses to frames,  
   291  
 experiments, 20, 100, 217  
   de Martino, 291  
   lean meat percentages, 24, 92  
   negotiating contracts, 2–3, 25  
   problems with, 91–94  
   rating basketball players, 2, 23  
   sacrificing for common good, 3–5  
   success rates, 26  
   with risk, 39, 40–42  
 hidden zero effect. *See* hidden zero effect  
 impact on self-control, 5–6  
 in disposition effect, 44–52, *See also*  
   disposition effect  
 in equity risk premium puzzle. *See* equity  
   risk premium puzzle  
 in myopic loss aversion, 62, 65  
 loss aversion, and, 298  
 narrow framing, 49, 64  
 neural signature in amygdala, 292  
 neural signature of, 290  
 rational, 8  
 rationality index, and, 293  
 role of amygdala in, 294  
 role of emotions, 127  
 shifting attitudes to risk, 37  
 susceptibility to, 98  
 team reasoning, 213, *See also* circumspect  
   team reasoning; team reasoning  
 tied to particular brain regions, 273  
 valence-consistent shifts, 23, 24, 25  
 what drives, 273
- framing phenomenon, 7, 102
- framing techniques, 243, 244, 245, 251,  
   264
- Franken-foods, 268
- free rider, 203, 211, *See also* game theory;  
   Prisoner's Dilemma; Snowdrift game
- Frege, Gottlob, 12, 13
- Frith, Uta, 252
- frontal lobe, 275, 278
- functional neuroimaging, 273, 280

- Gaeth, Gary, 2, 23, 91, 92
- game theory, 3, 17, 165, 166  
 accommodation of “we”-frame, 193  
 basic solution concept, 166  
 best response strategies, 192  
 cannot explain cooperation, 18  
 clash between “I”-frame and  
 “we”-frame, 238  
 definition, 165  
 equilibrium selection problem, 166, 193  
 equilibrium solution, 166  
 equilibrium state, 166  
 game of Hi-Lo, 186  
 “I”-frame implicit in, 167, 179  
 is a normative theory, 166  
 Nash equilibria in, 185  
 Nash equilibria, and, 192  
 non-cooperative, 178, 180  
 normal/strategic form games, 169  
 popularity of Paretian efficiency in, 196  
 subject matter, 168  
 works with simple examples, 145
- games  
 2 x 2, 168  
*Iliad* chariot race, 170  
 2 x 2 symmetrical, 179  
 definition of, 175  
 framing of, 167  
 “I”-frame in, 176  
 many do not have pure strategy equilibria,  
 172  
 non-cooperative, 169  
 normal form, 169  
 pay-off tables, 169  
 problems with definition, 13  
 symmetrical, 168, 209  
 varieties of, 168  
 with multiple Nash equilibria, 166, 185,  
 193
- get-eventitis. *See* disposition effect, the
- Glimcher, Paul, 279, 285, 286, 294
- GM-foods, 268, 269
- Goffman, Erving, 14, 265
- Gold, Natalie, 192
- grey matter. *See* cerebral cortex
- Gross, Leroy, 48
- groupthink, 213, *See also* team reasoning
- Guha, Debarati, 130
- Haigh, Michael, 17, 61, 62
- Harsanyi, John, 167, 199
- hedonic cost-benefit analysis, 145, *See also*  
 Socrates
- hedonic editing, 42, 43, 47, 64, 84–85, *See*  
*also* mental accounting  
 aggregation, 42, 43
- hedonistic calculus, 241
- heuristics, fast and frugal, 16
- hidden zero effect, 154–55  
 explicit zero format, 154  
 hidden zero format, 154
- Hi-Lo (game), 186, 188
- hindbrain, 274  
 principal structures of, 274
- hippocampus, 276, 277
- human encephalography (EEG), 279  
 limitations of, 280
- Hume, David, 114, 117, 125, 133, 199, 203  
 being a slave to passions, 147  
 model of practical reasoning, 221  
 no false belief requirement, 232
- Humean instrumentalism, 270
- hypothalamus, 276, 277
- I-frame, 175, 192, 204, *See also* we-frame  
 implicit to orthodox game theory, 167  
 perspective on Snowdrift game, 210  
 reasoning in the *Iliad*, 207  
 reasoning into the “we”-frame, 208
- Iliad, the*, 170, 173–74, 178  
 frame-relativity in, 249
- imaginative simulation, 244, 251, 253, 254,  
 256, 262, 270  
 frame-sensitive reasoners are skilled in,  
 264  
 techniques for, 257
- inattentional blindness, 262
- incommensurability  
 of “I”-frame and “we”-frame, 195, 201,  
 203, 204  
 of utility functions, 194, 198  
 of values, 226  
 of values across frames, 194
- informational equivalence, 92, 93, 94
- instinctive valence, 292
- intensional contexts, 96, 97, 99, 102, *See*  
*also* principle of substitutivity; ultra-  
 intensional contexts  
 definition, 96  
 in Orwell’s dilemma, 103  
 valuation and preference are, 100
- intransitive choices, 285

- Iowa Gambling Task, 278, 284, 292  
 irrationality, 6, 8, 39, 136, 216, *See also*  
   litany of human irrationality  
   and risk, 36, 38  
   as cognitive short-cuts, 16  
   case for human, 10  
   framing effects and, 10, 90, 94, 135  
   has its own rationale, 15  
   not a random phenomenon, 16  
   of desires, 232  
   of frame-dependence, 94  
   of group identification, 195  
   quasi-cyclical preferences and, 214
- Johnson, Eric J., 40, 42, 43  
 joint strategy profile, 182  
 Juliet's Principle, 67, 75, 76, 78, 95  
   as extensionality/invariance, 217  
   contravening, 80  
   holds for extensional contexts, 96  
   holds much of the time, 217  
   in Bayesian decision theory, 75, 76, 89,  
     *See also* Bayesian decision theory  
   justification for, 91
- Kable, Joseph, 279, 285, 286, 294  
 Kahneman, Daniel, 9, 16, 20, 22, 23, 39, 40,  
   47, 58, 59, 67, 75, 83, 84, 85, 86, 90,  
   151, 281  
 Kosicki, Gerald, 266  
 Kramer, Roderick, 3, 4  
 Kross, Ethan, 246  
 Kvaløy, Ola, 61
- Lakoff, George, 11  
 Larger Later (LL), 146, 149, 150, 152, 154,  
   156, 156, 158, 158, 161, 162–63  
 laws of logic, 17  
   rational thinkers bound by, 15  
 learned valence, 291  
   in social cognition, 292  
   winning and losing as, 292
- Lee, Boram, 62  
 Leibniz, Gottfried Wilhelm von, 94  
 Leslie, Alan, 252  
 Levin, Irwin, 2, 23, 91, 92  
 Likert scale, 25  
 limbic lobe, 278  
 limbic system, 276, 277, 278  
   not involved in loss aversion, 299  
 List, John, 61
- litany of human irrationality, 8–11, 15–17,  
   18, 20, 40, 66, 90, 295  
 Logical Positivism, 229  
 logician, 17  
 loss aversion, 45, 49, 57, 295  
   as hot psychological mechanism, 125  
   coefficient, 296  
   definition of, 297  
   experiment with, 296  
   in explanation of equity risk premium, 61  
   limbic system not involved in, 299  
   neural, 297  
   neural underpinnings of, 296  
   not a framing effect, 295, 298  
   nothing *per se* frame-dependent about, 62  
   representation in prospect theory, 87, 88  
   representing, 298  
   role in driving framing effects, 296  
   subjective value, and, 299
- Macbeth* (Shakespeare), 108–11  
   frame-sensitive reasoning, 264  
   Macbeth's dilemma, 109, 164, 253, 265  
   quasi-cyclical preferences, 264  
 McDermott, Rose, 127  
 McKenzie, Craig, 91–93, 94  
 McRaney, David, 9  
 Magen, Eran, 155  
 Mandel, David, 91, 93, 98  
 Mankiw, Gregory, 56–57  
 Matching Pennies (game), 165  
 Mead, George Herbert, 258  
 media frame analysis, 267, *See also* news  
   media frame analysis  
 Mehra, Rajnish, 53, 54–56  
 mental accounting, 42, 47, 48, 64  
 merit, 206  
   criteria of, 207  
 meta-awareness, 247  
   frame-sensitive reasoners require, 248  
 midbrain, 274, 275, 287  
 mindreading, 201, 252  
   false-belief test, and, 252, 254  
   simulationist approaches to, 253  
   visual perspective taking, 254  
 Mischel, Walter, 150–53  
   cognitive affective systems, 151  
   mode of presentation/sense, 12, 13  
   mode-P reasoning, 177, 180  
 money-pump argument, 79  
 Montague, Read, 287



- Montier, James, 10  
 Moore, B., 152  
 moral dilemmas, 222, 226  
 Morgenstern, Oskar, 74  
 Myerson, Roger, 198–99  
 myopic loss aversion, 45, 57, 58, 61, 62, 65, 84
- Nabi, Robin, 126–27  
 definition of frame, 126
- Nash equilibrium, 166, 167, 172, 192, 193,  
*See also* game theory  
 asymmetry, 190  
 fairness, and, 209  
 in game of Hi-Lo, 186  
 in *Iliad* chariot race, 171–75, 189–90, 207  
 in Prisoner's Dilemma, 187  
 in Stag Hunt game, 187  
 mixed strategy, 172, 173, 190  
 Pareto-optimality, and, 190  
 pure strategy, 172, 173, 179, 189
- Nash, John, 166–67
- National Institute for Civil Discourse, 221
- Neale, Margaret, 2
- Neisser, Ulric, 263
- Neumann, Johann von, 74, 197
- neurometric discount functions, 286
- neurons, 279  
 limitations of studying individuals, 279  
 measuring activity in populations of, 280  
 populations of, 279  
 responsible for measuring value/utility, 284  
 value-computing, 294
- news media frame analysis, 266, 267, *See also* media frames analysis
- Nisbett, Richard, 9
- No Contradictory Belief principle, 230, 242  
 is not a requirement of rationality, 231  
 restriction to factual propositions, 231
- No Contradictory Beliefs (factual) principle, 231
- No False Belief requirement, 232, 233, 236, 238, 242
- No False Beliefs (factual) requirement, 233, 234
- non-Archimedean reasoning, 239, 242, 245, 248  
 basic structure of, 245  
 founded on reflexive decentering, 251  
 general skills of, 242
- non-expected utility theory, 82
- non-factual beliefs, 253  
 role in frame-sensitive reasoning, 255
- non-factual propositions, 229, 231, 233, 255, 269  
 confusion with factual propositions, 268  
 influence of frames on, 249  
 objective criteria, and, 262  
 truth values are not easily accessible, 229  
 with determinate truth-values, 229
- non-positional bargaining. *See* principled negotiation
- normative rationality. *See* rationality: normative
- Nozick, Robert, 162, 205
- Odean, Terrance, 49–52
- Odyssey*, the, 213
- offer value neurons, 283, 294
- orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), 281, 283, 284, 292, 296  
 rationality index, and, 294
- Orwell, George, 91, 101–5  
 Orwell's dilemma, 102, 104
- Padoa-Schioppa, Camillo, 281, 282, 283, 294
- Pan, Zhongdang, 266
- parametric choice problems, 165
- parametric decision-making, 164, 165
- Paretian efficiency. *See* Pareto-optimality
- Pareto frontier, 177
- Pareto-optimality, 176–77, 180, 181, 182, 187, 193, *See also* team reasoning  
 fairness, and, 194, 209  
 going beyond, 191  
 in a zero-sum situation, 189  
 in game of Chicken, 190  
 in Prisoner's Dilemma, 188  
 in zero-sum game, 194  
 in zero-sum interactions, 189
- Nash equilibrium, and, 190
- popularity of concept, 196
- reasoning about alternatives to, 195
- strategy profile, 181
- Pareto-superiority, 176, 189, 190, 193, 196,  
*See also* Bacharach, Michael; Pareto-optimality; team reasoning
- pay-off function, 203

- pay-off table, 169, 176, 177, 180, 198, 205, 206  
 for Altruist's Dilemma, 203  
 for *Iliad* Prisoner's Dilemma, 179, 181  
 Hi-Lo, 186  
 Snowdrift game, 209  
 Stag Hunt game, 186  
 viewed through "we"-frame, 192
- Perner, Josef, 252
- personal utility function, 36, 200, 201
- perspectival flexibility, 244, 258, 263, 269  
 role-taking, and, 261
- perspectival sensitivity, 270, 272
- Piaget, Jean, 258
- Plato, 113  
 denial of *akrasia*, 142  
*Protagoras*, 140, 141–45, 159  
 views on *akrasia*, 141
- Poldrack, Russell, 296
- Poll Tax, 224–25. *See also* flat rate tax
- positron emission tomography (PET), 280
- practical reasoning, 90, 91, 122, 125  
 and value-incommensurability, 226  
 framing effect, and, 216  
 Humean model of, 221  
 in comparing frames, 202  
 instrumental nature of, 195  
 relevance of self-control, 139
- preference ordering, 226
- preference reversal, 5, 6, 37, 42, 92, 93, 110, 140, 148  
 and discount rate, 5  
 frame-dependence, 94
- preferences, 124  
 rationality of, 218  
 simply measure choice, 197
- Prescott, Edward, 54, 56
- principle of extensionality, 67. *See also*  
 Juliet's Principle
- principle of invariance. *See* Juliet's Principle
- principle of substitutivity, 94  
 extensional context, 96  
 failure, 102  
 intensional context, 96  
 names, 94  
 predicates, 95
- principled negotiation, 261
- Prisoner's Dilemma, 178, 183, 184, 204  
 clash of frames, 188  
 common interests, 184  
 individualist perspective, 203  
 non-equilibrium outcomes, 187, 193  
 strong interdependence, 185
- probability function, 75, 206  
 personal, 77
- problem of non-equilibrium solutions, the,  
 166, 168, 188, 193. *See also* game  
 theory; Nash equilibrium
- proportion of gains realized (PGR), 50, 51
- proportion of losses realized (PLR), 50, 51
- prospect theory, 67, 83, 89, 90, 281  
 action selection phase, 85  
 and loss aversion, 87  
 common currency is value, 281  
 concept of value, 86  
 decision function, 85  
 disvalue, 87–88  
 editing operations, 84  
 cancellation, 85  
 coding, 84  
 segregation/aggregation, 84–85  
 editing stage, 83–85  
 value curve, 86–89  
 diminishing marginal value/disvalue,  
 88
- psychological hedonism, 143, 144
- psychometric discount function, 285, 286
- public discourse, 215, 216  
 displays unprecedented levels of  
 incivility, 221
- public good dilemma, 4
- quasi-cyclical preferences, 82, 104, 108,  
 112, 136, 158, 217. *See also* cyclical  
 preferences  
 and sequential choice problems, 157  
 as a result of framing, 108, 217  
 cannot always be eliminated, 272  
 different valuations give rise to, 128  
 due diligence can give rise to, 113  
 extended over time, 263  
 frame-sensitive pattern of, 244  
 framing and the creation of, 161–62  
 "I"-frame and "we"-frame, 188  
 in Agamemnon's dilemma, 82, 115,  
 218  
 in Macbeth's dilemma, 110, 111  
 in Sartre's dilemma, 106  
 in Snowdrift game, 211  
 in the pledge of allegiance, 236  
 in thinking about climate change, 129  
 irrational not to have, 214

- quasi-cyclical preferences (cont.)  
 rationality of, 113, 123, 124, 132, 133,  
 137, 160  
 regret as sign of, 112  
 ultra-intensional contexts and rationality  
 of, 112  
 utility rules out, 125
- Rachlin, Howard, 140, 148
- rational agent, 46, 121  
 converging on a Nash equilibrium, 173  
 due diligence requirement, and, 124  
 exercises of self-control, 163  
 exercises self-control, 162  
 operating within the “I”-frame, 187  
 preferring one thing over another, 211  
 psychological struggle, and, 163  
 reasoning from “I”-frame to “we”-frame,  
 208  
 solves a parametric decision problem, 165  
 ultra-intensional context, and, 99  
 will maximize utility, 72, 73  
 working within the “we”-frame, 193, 194
- rational animal, 14, 15
- rational choice, 17, 29, 265  
 Bayesian theory definition of a, 74  
 exercise of self-control, and, 139  
 orthodox theories of, 138–39, 140  
 sequential choice problems, and, 148  
 susceptibility to framing, and, 90  
 transitivity, and, 79
- rational choice theory, 67, 70, 138, *See also*  
 expected utility theory  
 Hume the spiritual father of, 117  
 model of action, 141
- rational decision-maker, 72, 117, 133  
 Archimedean reasoning, and, 240  
 Bayesian decision theory definition of a,  
 88  
 can have quasi-cyclical preferences, 104,  
 115  
 due diligence requirement, and, 121  
 framing can be powerful tool for a, 215  
 intensional contexts, and, 99  
 utility theory, and, 282
- rational decision-making  
 Bayesian decision theory, and, 78, 82  
 dominant ways of thinking about, 66  
 frame-sensitive reasoning, and, 265  
 framing effect, and, 216  
 fundamental dimension of, 212  
 has a basic currency, 121  
 in *Iliad* chariot race, 190  
 in Orwell’s dilemma, 103  
 self-control, and, 139  
 transitivity, and, 79
- rational equity premium, 45
- rational preference, 244, 265  
 incommensurability, and, 226
- rational regret, 264, 265
- rational requirement of indifference, 211
- rationality, 14, 36, 98  
 and extensionality, 76  
 and fairness, 212  
 and framing effects, 113  
 and informational requirements, 118, 120  
 and intensional contexts, 96–98, 100  
 and multiple frames, 136  
 and preferences, 136  
 and quasi-cyclical preferences, 113  
 and risk, 36  
 and ultra-intensional contexts, 112  
 as dispassionate, 113  
 as ideal, 15  
 as normative, 14–17, 18, *See also*  
 Bayesian decision theory  
 as process, 15  
 attitudes to risk, and, 36, 38  
 basic requirement of, 229  
 complexity of decision-problem, and, 117  
 descriptive conception of, 14  
 due diligence requirement, 234  
 evolutionary psychology, and, 16  
 fairness, and. *See* fairness  
 imposes requirements of consistency, 133  
 in strategic decision problems, 165  
 instrumental approach to, 133  
 is a scalar notion, 271  
 No False Belief requirement, 233, *See also*  
 No False Belief requirement  
 normative conception of, 15  
 normative ideals of, 17  
 of adopting “we”-frame, 188, 193  
 of debating values, 221  
 of emotional responses to different  
 frames, 136  
 of frame-sensitive reasoning, 272  
 of preferences, 211  
 of team reasoning, 208, *See also* team  
 reasoning  
 of “we”-frame over “I”-frame, 202  
 principles of, 78, 79

- relative to the “we”-frame, 184  
 requirement of No False Beliefs (factual), 233  
 requirement of not having contradictory beliefs, 134  
 requirements place constraints on frame-switching, 236  
 requires no contradictions, 230  
 restraint upon frames, 136  
 susceptibility to framing effects, and, 25  
 rationality index, 293, 295  
   patterns of neural activation in, 294  
 rationality wars, 9–11  
 Rawls, John, 205, 270  
 reason as slave of the passions, 270  
 reason construction and juxtaposition, 245, 264, 269, 272  
   juxtaposition of reasons, 265  
 reduced reactivity to thought content, 248  
 reference point hypothesis, 92  
 reflexive decentering, 243, 244, 246, 251, 253, 257, 262, 264, 270  
 representation theorem, 74–75, 77–78, 82, 197, 206  
 representing value in the brain, 278, 280  
 reptilian brain. *See* hindbrain  
 return distribution, 59  
 reward prediction error, 287  
   importance for learning, 287  
 rhetorical structure, 266  
 risk premium, 33, 34, 56  
   demand for a high, 57  
 risk, attitudes to, 23, 29, 31, 35, 37, 38, 55  
   representing, 29  
   risk-averse, 28, 29, 30, 35, 37, 54, 295  
   risk-neutral, 28, 29, 35  
   risk-seeking, 28, 29, 30, 35, 36, 37, 38, 127  
   variable, 38, 44  
 risk-averse agent, 30, 32, 33, 35  
 risk-averse utility curve, 34, 55, 86  
 risk-aversion, 28, 55, 295  
   does not explain equity risk premium, 57  
   measuring, 55, 57  
 risk-aversion coefficient. *See* Arrow-Pratt risk-aversion coefficient  
 risk-neutral agent, 31, 32, 34, 35  
 risk-seeking agent, 30, 33, 34  
 risk-seeking utility curve, 35  
 risky prospects, 26, 27, 28, 36, 43  
 role-taking theory. *See* theory of role-taking
- Sally, David, 187  
 Sally-Anne test. *See* false-belief test  
 Sartre, Jean-Paul, 91, 105–8  
   existential freedom, 106  
   Sartre’s dilemma, 106  
 Savage, Leonard, 74, 76, 77, 78, 82, 118–20  
   small worlds, 120  
   structure of decision problem, 119  
 schemata of interpretation, 14, 265  
 Schick, Frederic, 91, 101–8, 110  
   conceptual understanding/seeing, 103, 107  
   on Macbeth’s dilemma, 110  
 Schultz, Wolfman, 287  
 script structure, 266  
 self-control, 1, 5, 137  
   and delayed gratification, 151  
   and dual-process theory, 152  
   and framing, 6, 141, 163  
   as an art of measurement, 145  
   decision theorist’s perspective on, 139, 141  
   dimension of mental conflict and struggle, 162  
   discount curve and exercise of, 154  
   exercise of, 139, 147  
   exerting willpower in, 153  
   framing and exercise of, 155, 158, 161  
   importance of coordination, 138  
   in early Platonic dialogues, 140  
   in rational decision-making, 139  
   in sequential choice problems, 161  
   phenomenon of, 139, 145  
   philosophical perspective on, 139  
   problem of, 155  
   psychological perspective on, 140  
   rationality of exercising, 155  
   rationality of quasi-cyclical preferences, 163  
 self-distancing, 246  
 Selman, Robert, 258, 261  
   stages of social perspective-taking, 259  
 sequential choice problems, 140, 141, 156  
   and preference reversal, 148  
   and reframing choices, 157  
   and the art of measurement, 158  
   definition, 146–47  
   exercising self-control in, 147, 155, 161,  
   *See also* self-control  
   role of hyperbolic discounting, 149  
   two problems with, 147

- set theory  
 axiom of extensionality, 78  
 Shaffer, David R., 259  
 Shefrin, Hershey, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 84  
 Sher, Shlomi, 91, 92, 93, 94  
 Siculus, Diodorus, 240  
 single cell recording, 283  
 Smaller Sooner (SS), 146, 147, 149, 150,  
 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 159,  
 160, 161, 162, 163  
 Smith, Adam, 199  
 Snowdrift game, 209  
 social dilemma, 3, 4, 187, *See also* commons  
 dilemma; public good dilemma  
 social role-taking, 258  
 Socrates, 140–45  
 denial of self-control, 145  
 on *akrasia*, 141, 142, 143, 158  
 on apparently being overcome by  
 pleasure, 143  
 on human motivation and action, 142  
 on psychological hedonism, 143–44  
 on succumbing to temptation, 141  
 self-control as art of measurement, 158  
 Socratic paradoxes, 141  
 unity of the virtues, 142  
 virtue as an art of measurement, 144  
 springs of action, the, 232  
 Stag Hunt (game), 145, 186, 187, 188  
 statistician, 17  
 statistics, 17  
 Statman, Meir, 44, 46, 47, 48, 84  
 strategic decision-making, 164, 165, 166  
 strict contradiction, 228, 229, *See also* strict  
 inconsistency  
 strict inconsistency, 220, 227, 228, 229  
 in abortion debate, 229  
 strong interdependence, 184, 186  
 subcortical brain structures, 276, 290, 295  
 framing effect, and, 298  
 subjective value, 282  
 as common currency, 283  
 brain regions measuring, 285  
 decreases with delay, 286  
 function of expected reward, 287  
 in ventromedial prefrontal cortex,  
 284  
 Sugden, Robert, 82, 167, 192  
 symbolic utility, 162  
 synapses, 279  
 syntactic structure, 266  
 taste neurons, 283, 294  
 tax loss harvesting, 46, 47  
 tax swaps, 47  
 team reasoning, 167, 176–78, 188, 192,  
 193, 203  
 and altruism, 204  
 and pay-off profile, 205  
 component of groupthink, 213  
 dangers of unqualified, 212  
 fairness as motivation, 208  
 features of decision problems that prime  
 for, 188  
 in *Iliad* Prisoner's Dilemma, 181  
 temptation, 1, 5, 6, 140, 164  
 agent who succumbs to, 156, 158  
 as Smaller Sooner reward, 146,  
 147  
 as weakness of will, 147  
 basic phenomenon of, 5  
 how it strikes, 6  
 in sequential choice problems, 147  
 power of, 141  
 power to resist, 145  
 resisting and conquering, 161, *See also*  
 self-control  
 opportunity for symbolism in, 162  
 thalamus, 276, 277  
 Thaler, Richard, 40, 42, 43, 45, 52, 57,  
 58–61, 62, 63, 208, 209, 295  
 thematic structure, 266  
 theory of mind, 252  
 simulation theory, 253  
 “theory theory,” 253  
 theory of role-taking, 258, 261  
 thick ethical concept, 249, 251  
 application depends on framing, 250  
 time-consistent discounting, 148, *See also*  
 discount function  
 Tom, Sabrina, 296, 297, 298  
 tragedy of the commons. *See* commons  
 dilemma  
 transitivity of preferences, 79, 81, 211  
 Trepel, Christopher, 296  
 Tversky, Amos, 9, 13, 20, 22, 23, 39, 47, 59,  
 67, 75, 83, 84, 85, 86, 90, 281, 282  
 ultra-intensional contexts, 99, 100, 101,  
 104, *See also* principle of  
 substitutivity  
 in Orwell's dilemma, 104  
 preferences as, 104, 112, 115

- Ury, William, 261, 262
- utilitarianism, 240  
 refuses to acknowledge clash of values, 241  
 team reasoner, 176, *See also* Bacharach, Michael
- utility, 30, 31, *See also* expected utility theory; utility curve; utility function; utility theory  
 and preferences, 124  
 as abstract quantity, 197  
 concept of, 30, 86, *See also* Bayesian decision theory  
 function, 75  
 interpersonal comparison of, 196, 199
- utility curve, 30, 31, 55  
 and risk-aversion, 55, 57  
 concave, 35, 86  
 convex, 35, 86  
 for different attitudes to risk, 35  
 for risk-averse agent, 34  
 for risk-seeking agent, 34  
 linear, 32, 35
- utility function, 55, 74, 146, 163, 199  
 and Paretian reasoning, 196  
 as Archimedean point, 240  
 constructed from preferences, 197  
 empathetic, 199, 200, 201  
 in sack of Ismarus, 214  
 incommensurability of, 198  
 of classical decision theory and economics, 87  
 represent choices with, 197  
 tool for representing consistent choices, 197  
 unique to positive affine transformation, 200
- utility theory, 281, *See also* expected utility theory  
 as a representation of choice, 281  
 common currency is utility, 281  
 framework for describing ideal rationality, 282
- valence-consistent shifts. *See* framing effect: valence-consistent shifts
- valence-driven framing effect, 23, 24, 25
- valuations, 125  
 preferences are grounded in, 136
- value function, 86, 87, 282
- values  
 and emotions, 218  
 apply to different framings, 222  
 are importantly frame-relative, 223  
 are not basic, 226  
 are refracted through frames, 239  
 as decision-making bedrock, 222  
 as intensional context, 97  
 common across multiple frames, 202  
 dilemmas and deadlocks are conflicts of, 226  
 frame-relative, 269  
 framing and clashes in, 220  
 in consistent choices, 206  
 in contemporary politics, 221  
 in empathetic utility function, 200  
 in personal utility function, 201, *See also* utility function  
 in team reasoning, 213  
 in utility curve, 34, *See also* utility curve malleability of, 227  
 possibility of reasoning about, 222, 227  
 rationality of debating, 221  
 rationality of frame-sensitive variation, 100  
 role in instrumental reasoning, 195  
 underlying a frame, 269  
 vary in frame-sensitive ways, 100
- values issues, 221
- veil of ignorance, 199, 270
- Veld-Merkoulova, Yulia, 62
- ventral prefrontal cortex, 284
- ventral striatum (VS), 286, 296  
 and reward prediction error, 287  
 calculates and predicts rewards, 286  
 implicated in gain/loss framing effects, 298  
 measures subjective value, 286
- ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), 153, 275, 278, 284, 292, 296  
 distinctive contribution of, 285  
 implicated in gain/loss framing effects, 298  
 measures subjective value, 286  
 rationality index, and, 294  
 role in evaluating risk, 284
- verificationism, 229
- visual perspective-taking, 254, 258  
 first level, 254

- visual perspective-taking (cont.)  
 second level, 254  
 von Neumann/Morgenstern utility theorem,  
 74, *See also* representation theorem
- wash sale rule, 46
- weakness of will, 139, 144  
 and sequential choice problems, 146  
 as a form of ignorance, 144  
 as *akrasia*, 141  
 function of delay, 150  
 in temptation, 147  
 in the ancient Greeks, 140
- we-frame, 168, 175, 179, 180, 184, 192,  
 193, 204  
 and fairness, 205  
 fairness and switching frames, 207  
 from “I”-frame reasoning to the, 208  
 perspective on Snowdrift game, 210  
 reason way out of the, 214  
 reasoning into the, 206, 210
- Williams, Bernard, 125, 206, 226, 240,  
 249
- Wimmer, Heinz, 252
- within-subjects design, 22, 39, 293
- Wittgenstein, Ludwig, 13
- Yee-Chen, Wai, 291
- Zagefka, Hanna, 130, 131
- Zeldes, Stephen, 56, 57
- Zeno of Elea, 141  
 paradoxes, 141
- zero-sum game, 3  
 and Pareto-optimality, 189,  
 194
- Zhu, Ning, 51, 52
- Zweig, Jason, 291