

Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>page</i> xii
<b>Introduction</b>	1
Overview	3
Methodology	9
Scope	12
 <b>PART I THEORY</b>	 17
<b>1 How Reputation Works</b>	19
1.1 Introduction	19
1.2 How Reputational Sanctions Work	21
1.3 Why Reputational Sanctions Are Noisy	24
1.3.1 Players Who Dispense Sanctions (Stakeholders)	24
1.3.2 Players Who Facilitate Sanctions (Information Intermediaries)	26
1.3.3 Players Who Suffer Sanctions (Companies)	28
1.4 The Implications of Noisy Reputational Sanctions	31
 <b>2 How the Legal System Affects Reputation</b>	 35
2.1 Introduction	35
2.2 The Basic Story	36
2.2.1 Where Information Comes From	37
2.2.2 How Information Affects Reputations	39
2.2.3 First-Opinion and Second-Opinion Effects	42
2.2.4 Motivating Examples	43
2.2.5 <i>Ex ante</i> Effects	45

2.3	Evidence	47
2.3.1	Findings from Tip Sheets, Course Syllabi, and Interviews	48
2.3.2	Findings from Content Analysis of Prizewinning Investigative Reports	52
2.4	Refining the Basic Story: Limitations and Multiple Layers	57
2.4.1	Limitations	57
2.4.2	Multiple Layers of Reputation Information	59
2.5	Variation: What Explains the Magnitude and Direction of Reputational Effects	60
2.5.1	Litigation Affects the Reputation of Individuals Differently than It Affects Companies	60
2.5.2	The Process of Litigation Matters More than the Outcomes	63
2.5.3	The Information Flow from the Courtroom Gets Distorted	66
2.5.4	The Reputational Impact Depends on the Market Activity and Legal Field in Question	68
2.5.5	Evidence: Where Is Legal Sourcing More/Less Likely?	70
	<b>PART II APPLICATIONS</b>	75
3	<b>Private Litigation: Corporate Law's Puzzle</b>	77
3.1	Introduction	77
3.2	How Fiduciary Duty Litigation Affects Nonlegal Sanctions: "Saints and Sinners" Revisited	78
3.2.1	The Existing Approach: Saints and Sinners	78
3.2.2	The Reputational Effects of Judicial Scolding	81
3.3	The <i>Disney</i> Litigation: a Case Study	82
3.3.1	Information Produced before Litigation Started	84
3.3.2	Information Produced during the Earlier Stages in Litigation	86
3.3.3	The Real Impact of the Verdict	88
3.3.4	How Generalizable Are the Lessons from <i>Disney</i> ?	94
3.4	Implications	96
3.4.1	The Good Faith Doctrine Leads to More Information and Richer Interpretations	97
3.4.2	Judicial Monitoring of Settlements in Class/Derivative Actions Is Key	98

	<i>Contents</i>	ix
3.4.3	Should Director Liability Be Assessed Individually or Collectively?	99
3.5	Regulatory Competition: Delaware’s Information-Production and Ringmaster Abilities	100
3.5.1	Delaware’s Information-Production Advantages	101
3.5.2	Make It Look Like a Struggle: the Difference between Perceived and Real Enforcement	103
<b>4</b>	<b>Public Enforcement: The SEC’s Settlement Practices</b>	<b>107</b>
4.1	Introduction	107
4.2	Neither-Admit-Nor-Deny Settlements: the Existing Debate	108
4.3	Identifying the Problem: How SEC Settlements Underproduce Information	110
4.4	Explaining the Problem: Why the SEC Trades Information for Fines	112
4.5	Recent Changes in SEC Practices Did Not Alleviate the Problem	114
4.5.1	More Admissions	114
4.5.2	Filing Administratively	115
4.6	Solving the Problem	116
4.6.1	Changes to Judicial Scrutiny	116
4.6.2	Changes to Enforcement Policy	117
4.7	Private Litigation vs. Public Enforcement: the Information-Production Perspective	118
<b>5</b>	<b>Corporate Philanthropy as Signaling and Co-optation</b>	<b>122</b>
5.1	Introduction	122
5.2	The Extant CP Literature: Data and Theory	125
5.2.1	Existing Data	125
5.2.2	Existing Theory	127
5.3	CP as a Costly Signaling Mechanism	129
5.3.1	The Basic Story: Signaling Financial Strength	130
5.3.2	Refining the Basic Story: Limitations	132
5.3.3	Other Possible Stories: Signaling Product Quality or Workplace Attractiveness	135
5.3.4	Adding Complexity	137
5.3.5	Fit with Evidence	138

5.4	CP as a Co-optation Mechanism	140
5.4.1	Motivating Examples	140
5.4.2	Mechanisms of Co-optation	141
5.5	How the Law Affects CP: the Case for Disclosure	145
5.5.1	The Existing Regime: a Regulatory Vacuum	145
5.5.2	The Case against Banning CP	147
5.5.3	The Case for Disclosure	147
5.5.4	How Come Disclosure Proposals Never Made It into Law?	151
5.6	Final Thoughts: Corporate Philanthropy as a Reputational Buffer	152
<b>6</b>	<b>Regulators' Reputation</b>	<b>155</b>
6.1	Introduction	155
6.2	Regulators' Reputation Concerns	157
6.2.1	Regulators Care about Reputation	157
6.2.2	Regulators' Reputations Are Noisy	159
6.3	How Judicial Review Impacts Regulators' Reputation	164
6.3.1	The Basic Story	164
6.3.2	Refining the Basic Story: Limitations	167
6.3.3	Torts against the Government	171
6.4	How Private Litigation Impacts Regulators' Reputation	172
6.4.1	The Basic Story	173
6.4.2	Refining the Basic Story: Information Production vs. Reputation-Shaping	175
6.5	Implications	178
6.5.1	Standards of Review	178
6.5.2	Standing and Redressability	180
6.5.3	Confidential Settlements	181
6.5.4	The Role of Judicial Review in Mitigating Regulatory Capture	182
6.6	Final Thoughts: Public Litigation's Relative Impact on Reputation	183
	<b>PART III IMPLICATIONS</b>	<b>187</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>The Case for Openness</b>	<b>189</b>
7.1	Introduction	189
7.2	Real-Life Implications of Secrecy	190

<i>Contents</i>		<i>xi</i>
7.3	Disentangling the Issues	192
7.3.1	Judicial Information	192
7.3.2	Litigant-Centered Information	194
7.3.3	Mandatory Arbitration Clauses	194
7.3.4	Pleading Standards	197
7.4	How to Solve the Information-Underproduction Problem?	198
7.4.1	Lessons for Judges: Altering the Weighing of Public Interest and the Language Used	198
7.4.2	Lessons for Policymakers: Creating Databases and Information Safety Valves	199
7.5	Reputation Is Not a Justification for Nonintervention	201
8	<b>The Case against Mandatory Arbitration</b>	202
8.1	Introduction	202
8.2	The Existing Debate	205
8.2.1	Is Mandatory Arbitration Good Law?	206
8.2.2	Is Mandatory Arbitration Good Policy?	208
8.3	How Shifting to Arbitration Affects the Market for Reputation	210
8.3.1	Media Scrutiny Matters for Corporate Governance	211
8.3.2	Corporate Litigation Matters for Media Scrutiny	212
8.3.3	Front-Loaded Information Production	214
8.4	Can Other Institutions Substitute Litigation's Informational Benefits?	217
8.4.1	SEC Enforcement	218
8.4.2	Individual Arbitration	219
8.4.3	Section 220 Requests and Whistleblowing	220
8.5	Implications	222
8.6	Extensions: Arbitration and Reputation in Other Contexts	223
8.6.1	Arbitration of Workplace Claims: <i>Epic</i> Ramifications	224
8.6.2	How Reputation Affects Arbitration: the #MeToo Example	226
	<b>Conclusion</b>	228
	Relation to the Extant Literature	229
	Potential Extensions	231
	<i>Appendix A Interviews</i>	237
	<i>Appendix B Content Analysis</i>	241
	<i>Index</i>	251