

## INDEX

- Accounting Publication Sdn Bhd v. Ho Soo Furniture Sdn Bhd* [1999]  
 1 CLJ 765, 156–58
- Ace Synergy Insurance Bhd v. Teng Yed Lan* [2009] MLJU 1719, 157–58
- Act Governing the Choice of Law in Civil Matters Involving Foreign Elements (AGCL) (Taiwan), 368–69
- additional award  
 in Chinese Arbitration Law, 293  
 in Indian arbitration law, 60  
 in Indonesia NAL, 330–31  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 104–5  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 116–19, 137–40  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 201  
 in Philippines ADR, 229–32  
 in Singapore IAA, 259  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 371  
 in Vietnam LCA, 392
- Agrovenus LPP v. Pacific Inter-Link Sdn Bhd and another appeal* [2014]  
 3 MLJ 648, 175–76
- Albilt Resources Sdn Bhd v. Casaria Construction Sdn Bhd* [2010]  
 7 CLJ 785, 154–58
- Ali, Shahla, 9–28
- alternative dispute resolution  
 in Hong Kong arbitration, 27  
 Indian arbitral tribunals and, 58–59
- Alternative Dispute Resolution Act 2004 (ADR Act) (Philippines)  
 arbitral tribunal composition in, 219–21  
 arbitration agreement articles, 212–19
- conduct of arbitral proceedings in, 227–29  
 general provisions, 207–12  
 interim measures in, 216–19, 226–27  
 jurisdiction of arbitral tribunal in, 221–26  
 making of award and termination of proceedings, 229  
 Model Law comparisons with, 204–12, 235–37  
 preliminary orders in, 226–27  
 recognition and enforcement of awards in, 232–35  
 recourse against award in, 229–32
- American Arbitration Association, 223–26
- appeal of arbitration  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 76–77, 105–6  
 in Malaysian arbitration law, 175–76  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 201–2  
 ‘no appeal’ clause, Korean Arbitration Act, 126–28  
 in Singapore IAA, 248–50
- applicable law  
 in Chinese arbitration, 291  
 Japanese arbitration agreements and, 77–83  
 Korean arbitration and, 137–39  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 389–90
- appointment of arbitrators  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 275  
 279–80  
 in Hong Kong AO, 16

- by Indian courts, 43–44
- in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 310
- in Japan Arbitration Act, 84–85
- in Korean Arbitration Act, 126–28
- in Model Law 85, 403
- in Myanmar arbitration law, 192–94
- Philippine Dispute Resolution Center and, 219–21
- in Singapore IAA, 247–48
- in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 358–59, 361
- Aras Jalinan Sdn Bhd v. Tipco Asphalt Public Company Ltd* [2008] 5 CLJ 654, 159
- arbitrability
  - Indian definition of, 38–39
  - Japanese definition of, 78–82
  - in Malaysian arbitration disputes, 179–80
- arbitral proceedings, conduct of.
  - See also* termination of arbitration proceedings
  - in Chinese arbitration law, 286–90
  - in Hong Kong AO, 21–23
  - in India, conduct of, 49–56
  - in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 317–25
  - in Japan Arbitration Act, 90–97
  - in Korea Arbitration Act, 133–37
  - in Malaysian arbitration, 160–61
  - in Model Law 85, 410–12
  - in Myanmar arbitration law, 197–99
  - in Philippines ADR Act, 227–29
  - in Singapore IAA, 255–59
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 365–68
  - in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 386–89
- arbitral tribunal, composition of.
  - See also* competence of arbitral tribunal; decision-making by arbitrators; experts, appointment by arbitral tribunal; interim measures; jurisdictional issues
  - in Chinese arbitration law, 279–84
  - in Hong Kong AO, 15–20
  - in Indian arbitration law, 43–48, 58–59
  - in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 309–13
  - in Japan Arbitration Act, 72–73, 83–90, 96
  - in Korean Arbitration Act, 121, 126–32
  - in Malaysian arbitration law, 161–62, 177–78
  - in Model Law 85, 402–5
  - in Myanmar arbitration law, 192–95
  - in Philippine ADR Act, 219–21
  - in Singapore IAA, 244, 247–48, 251–52
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 357–61
  - in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 380–82
- arbitration
  - Chinese CAL definition of, 274
  - Arbitration (Protocol and Convention) Act, 1937 (India), 29–31
  - Arbitration (Protocol and Convention) Act, 1939 (Myanmar), 187–89
  - Arbitration Act 1950 (United Kingdom), 150–52
  - Arbitration Act 1952 (Malaysia), 150–52, 156–58, 164–65, 172–73
  - Arbitration Act 2002 (AA) (Singapore) Model Law and, 238–42
  - Arbitration Act 2005 (Malaysia)
    - arbitral proceedings conduct in, 164–66
    - arbitral tribunal composition in, 161–62
  - arbitration agreement in, 155–61
  - definitions, 155
  - disputes outside terms of submission, 176–77
  - enforcement of arbitration agreement in, 156–58
  - general provisions, 152–55
  - improper arbitral tribunal composition, 177–78

- Arbitration Act 2005 (Malaysia) (cont.)  
 interim measures in, 159–61,  
 163–64  
 invalid arbitration agreements,  
 175–76  
 making of award and termination of  
 proceedings, 166–68  
 Model Law 1985 and, 150–52,  
 185–86  
 non-arbitrability of dispute, 179–80  
 non-compliance of arbitral  
 procedure, 177–78  
 origins of, 150–52  
 preliminary orders in, 163–64  
 public policy violation in, 158,  
 168–71, 180–84  
 recognition and enforcement of  
 awards, 171–84  
 recourse against awards in, 168–71  
 Arbitration (Amendment) Act 2011  
 (Malaysia), 151–52  
 enforcement of arbitration  
 agreement in, 156–58  
 Explanatory Statement, 154  
 interim measures in, 159–61  
 Model Law 1985 and, 185–86  
 recognition and enforcement of  
 award in, 171–72  
 Arbitration Act of 1940 (India), 29–31  
 Arbitration Act of 1944 (Myanmar),  
 187–89  
 arbitration agreement  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 275–78  
 Hong Kong AO provisions on,  
 14–15  
 Indian legislation on, 36–42  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law,  
 305–9  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 77–83  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 121,  
 123–26  
 in Malaysia Arbitration Act,  
 155–61, 175–76  
 in Model Law 85, 401–2  
 in Myanmar arbitration law,  
 191–92  
 in Philippine ADR Act, 212–19  
 in Singapore IAA, 245–47  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law,  
 354–56  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial  
 Arbitration, 379–80  
 Arbitration and Conciliation  
 (Amendment) Act, 2015 (India),  
 30–31  
 arbitrability defined in, 38–39  
 arbitration agreement in, 36–42  
 award making and, 56–60  
 court appointment of arbitrators in,  
 43–44  
 recourse against award in, 62–63  
 Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996  
 ('A&C Act, 1996') (India)  
 arbitrability defined in, 38–39  
 arbitral tribunal composition in,  
 43–46  
 arbitration agreement in, 36–42  
 arbitrator appointment in, 43–44  
 arbitrator challenge and removal in,  
 45–46  
 award making and termination of  
 arbitration, 56–60  
 BALCO judgment and, 34–35  
 conduct of arbitral proceedings,  
 49–56  
 court interference in evidence-taking  
 in, 56  
 general provisions, 31–36  
 implied exclusion principle,  
 33–34  
 interim measures and preliminary  
 orders, 48–49  
 judicial intervention in, 35–36  
 jurisdiction of arbitral tribunal in,  
 46–48  
 limitation, commencement of  
 arbitral proceedings in, 52–55  
 Model Law and, 30, 66–68  
 recognition and enforcement of  
 award in, 64–66  
 recourse against award in, 60–63  
 seat-venue-place conundrum in,  
 50–52  
 termination of arbitration in, 60  
 Arbitration and Conciliation Bill, 1995  
 (India), 30

- Arbitration and Conciliation  
 Ordinance 2015 (India), 30–31  
 arbitration commissions, in Chinese  
 arbitration law. *See also* specific  
 commissions  
 definition of, 274  
 foreign and domestic arbitration and,  
 272–73  
 judicial authority and intervention  
 and, 282–83  
 structure of, 271–72, 275–78
- Arbitration Law (Taiwan)  
 appointment of arbitrators in,  
 358–59  
 arbitration agreement in, 354–56  
 challenge to arbitrators in, 359–60  
 composition of arbitral tribunal in,  
 357–61  
 conduct of arbitral proceedings,  
 365–68  
 correction and interpretation of  
 awards in, 371  
 court intervention, arbitration  
 assistance and supervision in,  
 352–54, 356, 365  
 decision-making by arbitrators in,  
 369  
 default of parties in, 367–68  
 definitions and rules of  
 interpretation in, 350–51  
 equal treatment of parties in,  
 365–66  
 evidence, court assistance in taking  
 of, 368  
 experts appointed and witnesses  
 summoned by arbitral tribunal,  
 368  
 failure or impossibility to act by  
 arbitrators in, 360–61  
 form and content of award in,  
 369–70  
 general provisions, 349–54  
 hearings and written proceedings in,  
 366–67  
 interim measures in, 356, 361–65  
 language requirements in, 366  
 making of award in, 368–71  
 Model Law 85 and, 348, 374  
 number of arbitrators in, 357–58  
 place of arbitration in, 349–50, 366  
 preliminary orders in, 363–64  
 recourse against award in, 371–72  
 scope of application, 349–50  
 settlement procedures in, 369  
 statements of claim and defence in,  
 366–67  
 substance of dispute in, 368–69  
 substitute arbitrators' appointment  
 in, 361  
 termination of proceedings in, 370  
 waiver of right to object in,  
 351–52  
 written communication in, 351
- Arbitration Law (Union Law  
 No. 5/2016) (Myanmar)  
 adoption of, 189–90  
 arbitral tribunal composition in,  
 192–95  
 arbitration agreement in, 191–92  
 award and termination of  
 proceedings in, 199–201  
 conduct of proceedings in, 197–99  
 definitions and interpretation in, 274  
 general provisions, 190–91  
 interim measures and preliminary  
 orders in, 196–97  
 jurisdiction of arbitral tribunal in,  
 195–96  
 Model Law and, 189–90, 203  
 recognition and enforcement of  
 awards in, 203  
 recourse against award in, 201–2
- Arbitration Law of the People's  
 Republic of China (CAL)  
 applicable law in, 291  
 appointment of arbitrators in,  
 279–80  
 arbitration agreement provisions in,  
 275–78  
 arbitration assistance and  
 supervision in, 275  
 arbitrator substitution or failure in,  
 281–82  
 challenge of arbitrator in, 280–81  
 claim and defence statements in,  
 289

- Arbitration Law of the People's Republic of China (CAL) (cont.)  
 composition of arbitral tribunal in, 279–82  
 conduct of arbitral proceedings in, 286–90  
 default of parties in, 289–90  
 equal treatment of parties in, 286–87  
 evidence collection in, 290  
 exclusion of court's jurisdiction in, 278  
 extent of court intervention in, 275  
 form and content of award in, 292  
 general provisions, 272–75  
 interim measures and preliminary orders in, 284–86  
 jurisdictional issues in, 282–84, 295  
 language requirements in, 288–89  
 making of awards in, 291–93  
 Model Law 85 and, 271–72, 298–99  
 Notice of Arbitration in, 288  
 number and qualification of arbitrators in, 279  
 oral hearings in, 289  
 place of arbitration in, 287  
 receipt of communications in, 274  
 recognition and enforcement of awards in, 296–98  
 recourse against award in, 293–96  
 rules of procedure in, 287  
 scope of application, 272–73  
 setting aside procedures in, 275, 294–96  
 settlement procedures in, 292  
 severability principle in, 283–84  
 termination of proceedings in, 292–93  
 waiver of right to object in, 274–75
- Arbitration Ordinance Cap. 609 (AO) (Hong Kong), 9–11  
 arbitral tribunal provisions in, 15–17  
 arbitration agreement in, 14–15  
 conduct of arbitral proceedings in, 21–23  
 confidentiality in, 26  
 consolidation of, 267  
 court intervention, arbitration assistance and supervision, 13  
 definitions and rules of interpretation, 11–12  
 international origin and general principles, 12  
 jurisdiction of arbitral tribunal in, 17–20  
 making awards and termination of proceedings, 23  
 mediation-arbitration/arbitration-mediation, 26–27  
 Model Law and, 28  
 recourse against award in, 24  
 scope of application, 11  
 Singapore arbitration law and, 241  
 waiver of right to object in, 12–13  
 written communication receipt in, 12–13
- Arbitration Ordinance XIII (1809) (Malaysia), 150–52
- arbitrators. *See also* appointment of arbitrators; challenge and removal of arbitrators; failure or impossibility to act, by arbitrators; nationality of arbitrators; number of arbitrators; substitute arbitrators  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 275  
 in Hong Kong AO, 16–17  
 in Indian arbitration law, 43–46  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 84–88  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 126–29  
 in Malaysian arbitration law, 161–62  
 in Philippine ADR Act, 207–12
- Architects Act 1967 (Malaysia), 182–83
- Asia  
 Model Law influence in, 1–2
- Astro Nusantara Internasional BV, et al. v. PT Ayunda Primamitra, et al.*, Writ of the President of the Central Jakarta District Court No. 05/Pdt.ARB.INT/2009 (28 October 2009), 316–17, 343–45

- Astro Nusantara International BV, et al. v. PT Ayunda Primamitra, et al.*, Supreme Court, Judgment No. 01 K/Pdt.Sus/2010 (24 February 2010), 316–17, 343–45
- award(s), making of. *See also* form and content of award; recognition and enforcement of awards; recourse against award; refusal of enforcement; refusal of recognition
- additional award proceedings, 23, 104–5, 140, 330–31
- in Chinese arbitration law, 291–93
- in Hong Kong AO, 23–25
- in Indian arbitration, 56–60
- in Indonesia New Arbitration law, 325–30
- in Japan Arbitration Act, 72–73, 98–105
- in Korean Arbitration Act, 137–40
- in Malaysian arbitration proceedings, 166–68
- in Model Law 85, 412–15
- in Myanmar arbitration law, 187–89, 199–201
- in Philippines ADR Act, 229
- in Singapore IAA, 259
- in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 368–71
- in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 389–91
- Badan Arbitrase Pasar Modal Indonesia (BAPMI), 327–28
- BAKTI (Indonesian Futures Trading Arbitration Board), 303–6
- BALCO decision
- Indian arbitration law and, 34–35
- interim measures and, 42
- ‘place’, ‘seat’ and ‘venue’ conundrum and, 50–52
- recourse against award and, 60–63
- BANI (Indonesian National Arbitration Board), 303–5, 319–20, 322–23, 328
- Bankers Trust v. Mayora* dispute (Indonesia), 343–45
- Bauer (M) Sdn Bhd v. Daewoo Corp* [1999] 4 MLJ 545, 155
- Bauer (M) Sdn Bhd v. Embassy Court Sdn Bhd* [2010] MLJU 1323, 172–75
- Beijing Arbitration Commission (BAC), 271–72, 282–83
- Bell, Gary F., 238–68
- Bharat Aluminium Co. v. Kaiser Aluminium Technical Service Inc.*, 30–31
- Bhatia International v. Bulk Trading SA*, 32–33, 60–66
- Booz Allen and Hamilton Inc. v. SBI Home Finance Limited*, 38–39
- CAL. *See* Arbitration Law of the People’s Republic of China (CAL)
- Cargill Philippines, Inc. v. San Fernando Regala Trading, Inc.*, G.R. No. 175404, 31 January 2011, 223–26
- ‘centre of gravity’ principle
- Indian arbitration and, 34–35
- challenge and removal of arbitrators
- in Chinese arbitration law, 280–81
- in Hong Kong AO, 16–17
- in Indian law, 45–46
- in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 311–12
- in Japan Arbitration Act, 85–86
- in Korean Arbitration Act, 128–29
- in Model Law 85, 403–4
- in Myanmar arbitration law, 194
- in Philippines ADR Act, 220–21
- in Singapore IAA, 247–48
- in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 359–60
- in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 380–82
- Chang-fa Lo, 348–74
- charter party agreement
- in Indian arbitration, 36–42
- China (People’s Republic). *See also* Arbitration Law of the People’s Republic of China (CAL)
- arbitration law in, 271–99

- China International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission (CIETAC), 73, 271–72  
 arbitrators for, 280–81  
 Emergency Arbitrator Procedures, 284–86  
 language of arbitration and, 288–89  
 receipt of communications by, 274  
 severability principle and, 283–84  
 termination of proceedings and, 292–93
- China Maritime Arbitration Commission (CMAC), 271–72, 280–81, 284–86, 292–93
- China (Shanghai) Pilot Free Trade Zone Arbitration Rules, 284–86, 290
- Chinese Arbitration Association (CAA), 362–63
- Chloro Controls (I) Pvt. Ltd v. Severn Trent Water Purification Inc.*, 40–41
- choice-of-law rules  
 Japanese arbitration agreements and, 77–83, 98, 111  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 389–90
- Chok Yick Interior Design & Engineering Co. Ltd v. Fortune World Enterprises Ltd* [2010] HKEC 146, 27
- Choy, Choon Yeow, 150–86
- Chut Nyak Isham bin Nyak Arif v. Malaysian Technology Corp Sdn Bhd* [2009] 6 MLJ 729, 162–63
- civil disputes  
 Japan Arbitration Act and, 71–82  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 349–50
- Civil Procedure Act (Korea), 116–19, 144–46
- Civil Procedure Law of the People's Republic of China (CCPL), 271–72, 284–86, 294–98
- Civil Procedures Code 2016 (Vietnam), 378–79, 395–97
- claim and defence, statements of  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 289  
 in Hong Kong AO, 22  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 322–23  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 94  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 134  
 in Model Law 85, 411  
 in Singapore IAA, 258–59  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 366–67
- clause compromissaire*  
 Indonesian arbitration agreement, 305–6
- Code of Civil Procedure (Taiwan), 351, 362–63, 365–68
- Code of Civil Procedure, 1890 (Japan), 69–71, 76–77
- Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (India), 29–31  
 conduct of arbitral proceedings and, 49–50
- Colliers International Property Consultants (USA) and Anor v. Colliers Jordan Lee and Jaafar (Malaysia)* [2010] MLJU 650, 180–84
- commencement of arbitral proceedings  
 in Hong Kong AO, 22  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 93–94  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 133–34  
 in Model Law 85, 410–11  
 in Singapore IAA, 258–59
- commercial arbitration  
 Hong Kong AO definition of, 11–12  
 Japanese definition of, 72–73  
 Korean definition of, 120–21  
 mediation versus, 26–27  
 Philippine ADR Act definition of, 207–12
- common benefit claims  
 Japanese arbitration law and, 82–83n.60
- Company Law Board (India), 39
- compétence-compétence* principle  
 Chinese arbitration law and, 282–83  
 Indian arbitral tribunal jurisdiction and, 47–48

- in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 314–16, 346–47
- Malaysian arbitral jurisdiction and, 162–63
- Myanmar arbitral jurisdiction and, 195–96
- Philippine ADR Act and, 223–26
- in Singapore IAA, 248–50
- in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 361
- competence of arbitral tribunal. *See also* jurisdictional issues
  - in Hong Kong AO, 17–18
  - in Korean Arbitration Act, 130–31
  - in Model Law 85, 405–6
- compromis*
  - Indonesian arbitration agreement, 305–6
- confidentiality
  - in Hong Kong AO, 26
- conflicts of law rules
  - Indian arbitration awards and, 56–60
  - in Japan Arbitration Act, 98–100
  - Myanmar arbitration law and, 199–201
- construction industry
  - Hong Kong Arbitration Ordinance and, 9–11
  - Philippine arbitration law and, 205
- Construction Industry Arbitration Commission (Philippines), 205
- contract disputes
  - Chinese arbitration law and, 272–73, 276–77, 283–84, 291
  - Indian arbitration and, 36–42
  - Japan Arbitration Act and, 72, 80–82
  - Myanmar arbitration law and, 199–201
  - Taiwan Arbitration Law and, 354–55
- Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States 1968, 271–72
- corporate related disputes
  - Indian arbitrability and, 39
- correction of awards. *See also* setting aside procedures
  - in Chinese arbitration law, 293
  - in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 330–31
  - in Japanese Arbitration Act, 104–5
  - in Model Law 85, 414–15
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 371
  - in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 392
- costs and damages
  - in Indian arbitration, 54, 58–59
  - in Korean Arbitration Act, 132, 147
  - in Malaysian arbitration, 159–61, 163–64
  - in Model Law 85, 407–8
  - in Myanmar arbitration, 200–1
  - in Philippines ADR, 221
  - in Singapore IAA, 248–50
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 364
- counter-claims
  - limitation and commencement of Indian arbitral proceedings and, 52–55
- court intervention, arbitration assistance and supervision. *See also* evidence, court assistance in taking of; judicial authority and intervention
  - in Chinese arbitration law, 275, 278, 282–83, 286
  - in Hong Kong AO, 13, 20
  - Indian arbitration and, 36–44, 46–48, 56
  - in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 304–8, 324–25, 339
  - in Japanese Arbitration Act, 73, 75–77, 89–90, 97, 111–12
  - in Korean Arbitration Act, 122–26, 132–33
  - in Malaysia arbitration proceedings, 152–61
  - in Model Law 85, 400–2
  - in Myanmar arbitration law, 187–89, 192–94, 197
  - in Philippine ADR Act, 206–7, 210–12, 215–19
  - in Singapore IAA, 241–42, 245–47, 253–55
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 352–54, 356, 365
  - in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 379–80, 386



- Court of First Instance (CFI) (Hong Kong AO), 11–12
- court-ordered interim measures
- in Chinese Arbitration Law, 286
  - in Hong Kong AO, 20
  - in Japan Arbitration Act, 89–90
  - in Korean Arbitration Act, 132–33
  - in Model Law 85, 408–9
  - in Singapore IAA, 197, 253–55
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 365
  - in Vietnam LCA, 386
- Cyber Business Solutions Sdn Bhd v. Elsaga Datama SPA* [2012] 1 CLJ 115, 162–63
- Dang Xuan Hop, 375–97
- Dato Dr Muhammad Ridzuan bin Mohd Salleh v. Syarikat Air Terengganu Sdn Bhd* [2012] 3 MLJ 737, 170
- decision-making by arbitrators
- in Hong Kong AO, 23
  - in Indian arbitration, 58–59
  - in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 327
  - in Japan Arbitration Act, 100
  - in Korean Arbitration Act, 139
  - in Model Law 85, 413
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 369
  - in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 390
- default interest
- in Korean Arbitration Act, 147
- default of parties
- in Chinese arbitration law, 289–90
  - in Hong Kong AO, 22–23
  - in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 323–24
  - Japanese arbitral proceedings and, 95–96
  - in Korean Arbitration Act, 135
  - in Malaysian arbitration, 165–66
  - in Model Law 85, 412
  - in Myanmar arbitration law, 198–99
  - in Philippines ADR Act, 227–29
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 367–68
- Department of Foreign Affairs and Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas v. Falcon and BCA International Corporation*, G.R. No. 176657, 1 September 2010, 219
- Dermajaya Properties Sdn Bhd v. Premium Properties Sdn Bhd* [2002] SGHC 53, [2002] 1 SLR(R) 492 at [69], 257–58, 262
- disclosure
- in Chinese Arbitration Law, 363–64
  - in Korean Arbitration Act, 132
  - in Model Law 85, 408
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 371–72
  - in Vietnam LCA, 381
- domestic arbitration
- in Chinese arbitration law, 272–73, 294–96
  - Hong Kong Arbitration Ordinance, unification with international arbitration in, 9–11
  - in Indian A&C Act provisions, 31–36
  - Indian enforcement of, 40–41
  - in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 339–45
  - in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 302–3
  - in Japanese arbitral proceedings, 90–97, 111–13
  - in Korean Arbitration Act, 142–48
  - in Malaysia Arbitration Act, 152–55, 166–68, 171–72
  - in Myanmar arbitration law, 201–3
  - in Philippines, 205
  - in Singapore IAA, 261–64
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 349–50
  - in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 376–79
- double-renvoi*
- Singapore IAA and, 248–50
- Dozco India Pvt. Ltd v. Doosan*, 33–34
- Dutch Civil Procedural Law [Rv] Indonesian arbitration and, 301, 333–36

- electronic communication  
 award form and content, in Japan Arbitration Act, 102–3  
 in Indian arbitration agreement, 36–42  
 Japanese form requirements in arbitration agreements, 80–82  
 in Malaysia Arbitration Act, 152–55  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 191–92  
 in Singapore IAA, 245–47  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 355–56  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 379–80  
 Elucidation of New Arbitration Law (Indonesia), 333–36  
*Enercon (India) Ltd v. Enercon GMBH*, 35–36, 50–52  
 enforcement of award  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 296–98  
 in Hong Kong AO, 24–25  
 Hong Kong AO provisions concerning, 19  
 in Indian arbitration, 64–66  
 in Indian law, 40–41  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 89–90  
 in Malaysia Arbitration Act, 156–58  
 in Malaysian arbitration law, 171–84  
 in Model Law 85, 416–17  
 in Myanmar arbitration, 187–89  
 in Philippine ADR Act, 232–35  
 in Singapore IAA, 248–50, 261–66  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 372–74  
 in Vietnam arbitration law, 395–97  
 enforcement of interim measures  
 in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 316–17  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 89–90  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 132–33  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 196–97  
 English law  
 implied exclusion principle in Indian arbitration and, 33–34  
 Korean arbitration and, 137–39  
 Malaysian arbitration legislation and, 150–52, 175–76  
 e-Passport Project (Philippines), 219  
 equal treatment of parties  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 286–87  
 in Hong Kong arbitral proceedings, 21  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 317–19  
 in Japanese arbitral proceedings, 91–92  
 in Korean arbitral proceedings, 133–34  
 in Malaysian arbitral proceedings, 164–66  
 in Model Law 85, 410  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 198  
 in Philippines ADR Act, 227–29  
 in Singapore IAA, 255  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 365–66  
 Vietnam LAC omission of, 386–87  
*Equitas Limited v. Allianz General Insurance Co. (Malaysia) Bhd* [2009] MLJU 1334, 183–84  
 Europe  
 absence of Model Law in, 1–2  
*Ever Judger Holding Co. Ltd v. Kroman Celik Sanayii Anonim Sirketi* [2015] HKEC 605, 20  
 evidence, court assistance in taking of  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 290  
 in Hong Kong AO, 22–23  
 Indian arbitral proceedings and, 54–55  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 324–25  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 97  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 136–37  
 in Malaysian arbitration, 166  
 in Model Law 85, 412  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 199  
 in Philippines ADR Act, 227–29  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 368  
 Evidence Act 1950 (Malaysia), 164–65  
*ex aequo et bono* principle  
 Chinese arbitration law and, 291  
 in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 327

- ex aequo et bono* principle (cont.)  
 Japan Arbitration Act and, 99–100
- ex ante* waivers  
 Japanese award correction and interpretation or additional award, 104–5
- Executive Order No. 1008 (Philippines), 205
- ex officio* rulings  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 97  
 Japanese award correction and interpretation or additional award, 104–5
- experts, appointment by arbitral tribunal  
 in Hong Kong AO, 22–23  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 324  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 96  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 135–36  
 in Malaysian arbitration, 166  
 in Model Law 85, 412  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 199  
 in Philippines ADR Act, 227–29  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 368
- failure or impossibility to act, by arbitrators  
 in Hong Kong AO, 17  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 312–13  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 87–88  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 129–30  
 in Malaysian arbitration law, 177–78  
 in Model Law 85, 404–5  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 360–61  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 382
- Fiesta World Mall Corp v. Linberg Philippines*, G.R. No.152471, 18 August 2006, 215
- Firm Ashok Traders and Anr. v. Gurumukh Das Saluja*, 42
- Food Ingredients LCC v. Pacific Inter-Link Sdn Bhd* [2012] 8 MLJ 585, 175
- foreign awards. *See also* international arbitration  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 272–73, 294–98  
 Indian refusal of enforcement, 64–66  
 in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 302–3, 335–45  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 142–48  
 in Malaysian arbitration law, 171–75  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 187–89, 199–203  
 Philippine ADR Act and, 232–35  
 in Singapore IAA, 264–66  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 349–50, 373–74
- Foreign Awards (Recognition and Enforcement) Act, 1961 ('FARE Act 1961') (India), 29–31
- form and content of award  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 292  
 in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 329–30  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 102–3  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 139–40  
 in Malaysia Arbitration Act, 166–68  
 in Model Law 85, 413–14  
 in Myanmar arbitration, 199–201  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 369–70  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 390–91
- forum shopping  
 Philippines ADR Act and charges of, 204
- fraud and corruption  
 Indian arbitrability and, 39  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 332–39  
 Malaysian arbitration and, 170–71  
 in Singapore IAA, 260–61
- FSBM Holdings v. Technitium Sdn Bhd* [2010] MLJU 1103, 157–58
- full opportunity test  
 in Hong Kong arbitral proceedings, 21  
 in Indonesia arbitral proceedings, 317–19

- in Japanese arbitral proceedings, 91–92  
 in Malaysian arbitral proceedings, 164–66  
 functus officio exceptions  
 Indian termination of arbitration, 60  
*Fung Sang Trading Ltd v. Kai Sun Sea Products & Food Co. Ltd* [1991] HKCFI 190, 22
- Gao Haiyan v. Keeneye Holdings Ltd* [2012] 1 HKLRD 627, 27
- Geneva Convention on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards (1927)  
 Indian ratification of, 29–31  
 Myanmar arbitration and, 187–89  
 Geneva Protocol 1925  
 Indian ratification of, 29–31  
 Geneva Protocol on Arbitration Clauses 1923, 187–89  
 German Code of Civil Procedure, 116–21  
*Gilat Satellite Networks Ltd v. United Coconut Planters Bank General Insurance Co. Inc.*, G.R. No.189563, 7 April 2014, 214–15  
*The Government of India v. Cairn Energy India Pty Ltd & Ors* [2014] 9 MLJ 149, 170  
*Government of the Lao People's Democratic Republic v. Thai-Lao Lignite Co. Ltd* [2013] MLJU 165, 170–71  
 Government Procurement Law of Taiwan, 354–55  
*Grand Pacific Holdings Ltd v. Pacific China Holdings Ltd (in liq) (No 1)* [2012] 4 HKLRD 1, 21  
 Guo Yu, 271–99
- hanketsu* court ruling (Japan), 76n.35  
*Harris Adacom Corporation v. Perkom Sdn Bhd* [1994] 3 MLJ 504, 184  
*Hartela Contractors Ltd v. Hartecon JV Sdn Bhd* [1999] 2 MLJ 481, 164–65
- Haryana Telecom v. Sterlite Industries*, 39  
 hearings, procedures for  
 in Hong Kong AO, 22  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 94–95  
*Himpurna California Energy Ltd v. PT Perusahaan Listrik Negara (Persero)*, Final Award of 4 May 1999, 304–5, 320–21  
 Hirata, Hisashi, 69–115  
 Hong Kong. *See also* Arbitration Ordinance Cap. 609 (AO) (Hong Kong)  
 arbitral tribunal provisions in, 15–17  
 arbitration agreement in, 14–15  
 conduct of arbitral proceedings in, 21–23  
 confidentiality of arbitration in, 26  
 court intervention, arbitration assistance and supervision, 13  
 definitions and rules of interpretation, 11–12  
 international origin and general principles, 12  
 jurisdiction of arbitral tribunal in, 17–20  
 making awards and termination of proceedings, 23  
 Malaysian arbitration law and, 180–84  
 mediation-arbitration/arbitration-mediation, 26–27  
 Model Law and, 1–2, 9, 28  
 recourse against award in, 24  
 scope of application, 11  
 waiver of right to object in, 12–13  
 written communication receipt in, 12–13
- Hong Kong Law Reform Commission, 9  
*Hoo Cheong Building Construction Co. Ltd v. Jade Union Investment Ltd* [2004] HKCFI 21, 14–15  
*Hyder Consulting (U.K.) v. Governor of Orissa*, 59
- IAA. *See* International Arbitration Act 1994 (IAA) (Singapore)

- ICC Arbitration Court, Shanghai, 275–78
- I-Expo Sdn Bhd v. TNB Engineering Corporation Sdn Bhd* [2007] 3 MLJ 53, 160–61
- Ikatan Inovasi Sdn Bhd v. KACC Construction Sdn Bhd* [2008] 3 CLJ 48, 160–61
- I-Max Corporation v. M/S E-City Entertainment (I) Pvt. Ltd.*, 34–35
- implied exclusion principle  
 in Indian arbitration law, 33–34
- India. *See also* Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 ('A&C Act, 1996') (India)  
 arbitration in, 29–68
- Indian Companies Act, 32, 39
- Indonesia. *See also* BANI (Indonesian National Arbitration Board); New Arbitration Law (Indonesia)  
 arbitration and Model Law in, 300–47
- Intel Technical Services v. W.S. Atkin PLC*, 33–34
- Infineon Technologies (M) Sdn Bhd v. Orisoft Technology Sdn Bhd* [2011] 7 MLJ 539, 176, 180–84
- Innotec Asia Pacific Sdn Bhd v. Innotec GMBH* [2007] 8 CLJ 304, 157–58
- in personam* obligation  
 Indian arbitrability and, 38–39
- in rem* obligations  
 Indian arbitrability and, 38–39
- institutional arbitration  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 319–20  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 380–82
- Integrated Bar of the Philippines, 211–12, 219
- interest on awards  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 147  
 in Malaysia Arbitration Act, 166–68
- interim measures. *See also* court-ordered interim measures  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 284–86  
 court-ordered measures, in Hong Kong AO provisions, 20  
 Hong Kong arbitral tribunal powers concerning, 18–19  
 in Indian arbitration proceedings, 41–42, 48–49, 52–55  
 in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 309, 316–17  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 89–90  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 126, 131–33  
 in Malaysian arbitration, 159–61, 163–64  
 in Model Law 85, 406–10  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 196–97  
 in Philippine ADR Act, 216–19, 226–27  
 in Singapore IAA, 247, 250–55  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 356, 361–65  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 384–86
- international arbitration. *See also* foreign awards  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 272–73, 279–80, 294–96  
 harmonization issues with Model Law and, 114–15  
 in Hong Kong AO, 11  
 in Indian A&C Act provisions, 33–34, 42  
 in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 302–3, 325–27, 335–45  
 in Japanese arbitral proceedings, 90–97, 113–14  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 142–48  
 in Malaysia Arbitration Act, 152–55, 166–68, 171–72  
 in Myanmar law, 189–91, 199–203  
 Philippine arbitration law and, 205, 209–10, 212–13, 230–32  
 Singapore IAA definition of, 242–45  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 349–50  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 376–79

- International Arbitration Act 1994 (IAA) (Singapore)  
 arbitration agreement in, 245–47  
 composition of arbitral tribunal in, 247–48  
 conduct of arbitral proceedings in, 255–59  
 consolidation of, 267  
 court-ordered interim measures in, 253–55  
 domestic awards in, 261–64  
 foreign award recognition and enforcement in, 264–66  
 general provisions, 242–45  
 interim measures in, 247, 250–55  
 jurisdiction of arbitral tribunal in, 248–50  
 making of award and termination of proceedings in, 259  
 measures and orders of tribunal in, 251–52  
 Model Law comparisons with, 238–42  
 Myanmar arbitral jurisdiction and, 195–96  
 Myanmar tribunal measures and orders and, 196–97  
 recognition and enforcement of awards in, 261–66  
 recourse against award in, 260–61
- International Chamber of Commerce International Court of Arbitration, 219–21
- International Commercial Arbitration Court (Russia), 173–75
- International Monetary Fund (IMF), 301
- international origin  
 in Hong Kong AO, 12  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 350–51
- international treaties  
 Chinese arbitration law and, 271–72
- Intramas Technology Sdn Bhd v. AmBank (M) Bhd* [2009] MLJU 855, 160
- Japan  
 arbitration in, 69–115
- Japan Arbitration Act (JAA)  
 appointment of arbitrators in, 84–85  
 arbitral proceedings provisions, 90–97  
 arbitral tribunal composition, 83–88  
 arbitration agreement provisions, 77–83  
 challenge to and removal of arbitrators in, 85–86  
 definitions and rules of interpretation, 72–73  
 domestic arbitration and, 112–13  
 English translation of, 69–71  
 failure or impossibility to act by arbitrators, 87–88  
 formalities required in, 80–82  
 general provisions, 71–77  
 international arbitration and, 90–97, 113–14  
 jurisdiction of arbitral tribunal in, 88–89  
 making of award and termination of proceedings, 98–105  
 Model Law and, 69–71, 114–15  
 number of arbitrators, provisions on, 84  
 recognition and enforcement of awards in, 107–12  
 recourse against award, 105–6  
 scope of application, 72  
 separability in, 82  
 settlement procedures in, 101–2  
 substitute arbitrators, appointment, 88
- Jasa Keramat Sdn Bhd v. Monatech (M) Sdn Bhd* [1999] 4 MLJ 217, 160
- Jeuro Development Sdn Bhd v. Teo Teck Huat (M) Sdn Bhd* [1998] 6 MLJ 545, 164–65
- John Holland Pty Ltd v. Toyo Engineering Corp (Japan)* [2001] SGHC 48, [2001] 1 SLR(R) 443, 255–58, 261–64

- Jorge Gonzales and Panel of Arbitrators v. Climax Mining Ltd, et al.*, G.R. Nos. 161957 and 167994, 22 January 2007, 221–26
- judicial authority and intervention
- in Chinese arbitration law, 282–83
  - Indian arbitration enforcement and, 40–41
  - Indian court appointment of arbitrators, 43–44
  - in Japan Arbitration Act, 73, 75–77
  - in Malaysian arbitration, 154–55, 170–71, 173–75
  - in Myanmar arbitration law, 192–94
  - in Philippine ADR Act, 210–12, 230–32
  - in Singapore IAA, 245
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 353–54
- Jung Science Information Technology Co. Ltd v. ZTE Corporation* [2008] HKCFI 606, 16–17
- jurisdictional issues
- in Chinese arbitration law, 278, 282–84, 295
  - Hong Kong arbitral tribunals, 17–20
  - Indian arbitration, 46–48
  - Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 314–16, 337–39
  - in Japan Arbitration Act, 88–89, 109
  - Korean Arbitration Act, 130–31
  - Malaysian arbitral tribunals, 162–63, 173–77
  - in Model Law 85, 405–6
  - in Myanmar arbitration law, 195–96
  - in Philippine ADR Act, 221–26
  - in Singapore IAA, 245
  - in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 383–84
- justifiable doubts concerning arbitrators
- in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 381
- kettei* ('decision')
- in Japanese arbitration, 76–77
- kompetenz-kompetenz* principle.
- See *compétence-compétence* principle
- Korea, Republic of
- arbitration in, 116–49
  - Indian arbitration and, 33–34
  - Korea Technologies Co., Ltd (KOGIES), 212–13, 217–18
  - Korea Technologies Co., Ltd v. Lerma and Pacific General Steel Manufacturing Corporation [Korea Tech]* G.R. No. 143581, 7 January 2008, 212–13, 217–18, 230–32
  - Korean Arbitration Act, 1966 (KAA)
    - appointment of arbitrators in, 126–28
    - arbitral proceedings conduct in, 133–37
    - arbitral tribunal composition, 126–30
    - arbitration agreement provisions in, 123–26
    - authority for arbitration assistance and supervision, 122–23
    - award determination, 137–40
    - challenge and removal of arbitrators, 128–29
    - commercial arbitration institution, 147–48
    - cost of arbitration, apportionment of, 147
    - court intervention in, 121–22
    - default interest provision in, 147
    - definitions and rules of interpretation, 120–21
    - failure or impossibility to act by arbitrators, 129–30
    - general provisions, 119–23
    - interim measures and preliminary orders in, 131–33
    - jurisdiction of arbitral tribunal, 130–31
    - Model Law comparisons with, 116–19, 148–49
    - recognition and enforcement of awards, 142–48

- recourse against award in, 141–42
- rules of arbitration, establishment and approval of, 147–48
- scope of application, 119–20
- substitute arbitrators, 129–30
- waiver of right to object, 121–22
- written communications in, 121–22
- Korean Commercial Arbitration Board (KCAB), 116–19, 147–48
- Kuala Lumpur Regional Centre for Arbitration, 161–62
- Kumar, Aakanksha, 29–68
- labour disputes
  - Japan Arbitration Act and, 72, 80
  - '*Lady Muriel*' v. *Transorient Shipping Limited* [1995] HKCA 615, 14–15
- language of arbitration
  - in Chinese arbitration law, 288–89
  - in Hong Kong AO, 22
  - Indian arbitral proceedings and, 52–55
  - in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 322
  - in Japanese arbitration law, 69–71, 92–94, 112–13
  - in Korean Arbitration Act, 134
  - in Model Law 85, 410–11
  - in Myanmar arbitration law, 198–99
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 366
  - in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 388
- Law Governing the Settlement of Labour Dispute (Taiwan), 354–55
- Law No. 30 1999 concerning Arbitration and Alternative Dispute Resolution (Indonesia). *See* New Arbitration Law (Indonesia)
- Law on Commercial Arbitration (LCA) (Vietnam)
  - arbitration agreement in, 379–80
  - composition of arbitral tribunal in, 380–82
  - conduct of arbitral proceedings in, 386–89
  - court-ordered interim measures in, 386
  - general provisions, 376–79
  - interim measures in, 384–86
  - jurisdictional issues in, 383–84
  - making of award in, 389–91
  - Model Law 85 and, 375–97
  - recognition and enforcement of awards and, 395–97
  - recognition and enforcement of interim measures in, 385–86
  - recourse against award in, 392–95
  - termination of proceedings in, 391–92
- Law on Electronic Commerce 2014 (Vietnam), 379–80
- Law on Enterprises 2005 (Vietnam), 376–79
- Law on Investment 2005 (Vietnam), 376–79
- Law on Promulgation of Normative Legal Instruments 2008 (Vietnam), 377–78
- LCA. *See* Law on Commercial Arbitration (LCA) (Vietnam)
- lex loci arbitri* (*locus arbitri*) principle
  - choice-of-law issues in Japanese arbitration and, 111
  - in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 319–20, 326, 332
  - in Japan Arbitration Act, 71–83, 90–97, 105–6, 109–10, 113–14
  - in Korean Arbitration Act, 141–42
- lex specialis*
  - Philippine ADR Act as, 233–35
  - Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration and, 378–79
- Limitation Act 1963 (India), 52–55
- Liu Man Wai and Anor v. Chevalier (Hong Kong) Ltd* [2002] HKEC 803, 14–15
- Lumbantobing, John, 300–47
- Luzon Hydro company, 219



- Malaysia. *See also* Arbitration Act 2005; Arbitration (Amendment) Act 2011  
 arbitration in, 150–86  
 mandatory arbitration referral  
 in Philippine ADR Act, 215–16  
 Mareva injunction, 160, 247  
 mediation  
 and arbitration, in Hong Kong AO, 26–27  
 Japanese arbitration law and, 82–83n.60  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 390  
 Miki, Kōichi, 73n.21  
 minimum judicial intervention principle  
 Indian arbitration and, 35–36  
*Mitsui Engineering & Shipbuilding Co. Ltd v. Easton Graham Rush & another* [2004] 2 SLR 14, 154–55  
 ML 1985. *See* Model Law 1985 (UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration) (1985)  
 Model Law 85 (UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration) (1985)  
 adoption and amendments, 1–2  
 Chinese arbitration law and, 271–72, 298–99  
 Hong Kong arbitration law and, 9, 28  
 Indian arbitration law and, 30, 66–68  
 Indonesian New Arbitration Law and, 300–2, 346–47  
 Japanese arbitration law and, 69–71, 114–15  
 Korean Arbitration Act and, 116–19, 148–49  
 Malaysian arbitration legislation and, 150–52, 185–86  
 Myanmar arbitration law and, 189–90, 203  
 Philippines arbitration law and, 204–12, 235–37  
 Singapore interpretation of, 1–2, 238–45, 266–68  
 Taiwan Arbitration Law and, 348, 374  
 text of, 398–417  
 Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration and, 375–97  
 Model Law 2006 amendments  
 Singapore IAA and, 238–42, 245–47, 268  
 Taiwan Arbitration Law and, 374  
 text of, 398–417  
 modification, suspension, or termination of arbitration. *See* termination of proceedings  
*Mohamad Jarman v. Badan Arbitrase Nasional Indonesia (BANI), PT Berkah Karya Bersama, et al.*, South Jakarta District Court, Judgment No. 533/Pdt.G.Arb/2014/PN.Jkt.Sel. (28 October 2014), 319–20  
*M/S Centrotrade Minerals & Metal Inc. v. Hindustan Copper Ltd*, 62–63  
*Muginoho Co. Ltd v. Vimiu HK Co. Ltd* [2012] HKEC 420, 14–15, 20  
 Myanmar. *See also* Arbitration Law (Union Law No. 5/2016)  
 arbitration law in, 187–203  
 National Company Law Tribunal (India), 39  
 nationality of arbitrators  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 279–80  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 84–85  
 in Malaysian arbitration laws, 161–62  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 380–82  
 National President of the Integrated Bar of the Philippines, 211–12, 219–21  
 natural justice principle  
 in Indian arbitration law, 49–50  
 Malaysian arbitration and, 170–71  
 in Singapore IAA, 260–61  
 Netherlands  
 Korean foreign arbitration awards and, 146–47  
 New Arbitration Law (Indonesia)  
 appointment of arbitrators in, 310  
 arbitration agreement in, 305–9

- arbitrators' failure or impossibility to act in, 312–13
- awards procedures in, 325–30
- challenge and removal of arbitrators in, 311–12
- claim and defence statements in, 322–23
- commencement of arbitral proceedings in, 321
- composition of arbitral tribunal in, 309–13
- conduct of arbitral proceedings in, 317–25
- court intervention in, 304–8, 339
- decision-making by arbitrators in, 327
- default of party in, 323–24
- definition and form of arbitration agreement in, 305–6
- equal treatment of parties in, 317–19
- evidence taking in, 324–25
- experts, appointment by arbitral tribunal in, 324
- form and content of award in, 329–30
- general provisions in, 302–5
- interim measures in, 309, 316–17
- jurisdictional issues in, 314–16, 337–39
- language of arbitration in, 322
- Model Law 85 and, 300–2, 346–47
- oral hearings and written proceedings in, 323
- place of arbitration in, 320–21
- recognition and enforcement of awards in, 339–45
- recourse against award in, 332–39
- rules of procedure in, 319–20
- scope of application, 302–3
- settlement procedures in, 328
- substance of dispute in, 325–27
- substantive claim before court and, 306–8
- substitute arbitrator appointment in, 313
- termination of arbitration proceedings in, 330
- waiver of right to object in, 303–4
- New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, 1958 ('NYC')
- Chinese arbitration law and, 271–72, 297–98
- Indian arbitration law and, 29–31, 40–41
- Indonesia New Arbitration Law and, 342–45
- Japanese arbitration law and, 71–73, 80–82, 107–12, 114–15
- Korean Arbitration Act and, 144–46
- Malaysian arbitration law and, 173–75
- Myanmar arbitration law and, 189–92, 203
- Philippine ADR Act and, 232–35
- Singapore arbitration law and, 238–42, 252–53, 264–66
- Taiwan Arbitration Law and, 373–74
- New Zealand
  - Malaysian arbitration law and, 180–84
- non-exequatur* judgment, Indonesian arbitration and, 316–17, 340–41, 343–45
- Notice of Arbitration
  - in Chinese arbitration law, 288
- number of arbitrators
  - in Chinese arbitration law, 279
  - in Hong Kong AO, 15–16
  - in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 309
  - in Japan Arbitration Act, 84
  - in Model Law 85, 402
  - in Myanmar arbitration law, 192–94
  - in Singapore IAA, 247–48
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 357–58
  - in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 380–82

- Office of Alternative Dispute Resolution (Philippines), 205–6
- Oil & Natural Gas Corporation Ltd v. SAW Pipes Ltd*, AIR 2003 SC 2629, 62–66
- One Virtual company, 214–15
- ONGC Ltd v. Western Geco International Ltd*, (2014) 9 SCC 263, 62–63
- Oo, Minn Naing, 187–203
- Open Type Joint Stock Co. Efirnoye ('EFKO') v. Alfa Trading Ltd* [2012] 1 MLJ 685, 173–75, 177–78, 180–84
- oral hearings  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 289  
 in Indian arbitral proceedings, 54–55  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 323  
 Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 305–6  
 in Japanese arbitral proceedings, 76–77, 94–95  
 in Korean arbitral proceedings, 135  
 in Malaysian arbitral proceedings, 165–66  
 in Model Law 85, 411–12  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 197–99  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 366–67
- Ordinance on Commercial Arbitration 2003 [OCA] (Vietnam), 375–76
- Pacific General Steel Manufacturing Corp. (PGSMC), 212–13, 217–18
- 'party-administered' arbitration, Chinese proposals for, 298–99
- party autonomy  
 in Indian arbitration, 60–63  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 319–20  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 90–97, 109–10, 113–14  
 in Malaysian arbitration, 154–55  
 in Philippine ADR Act, 208–9  
 in Singapore IAA, 255–58  
 Vietnam LAC omission of, 386–87
- in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 380–82
- 'patent illegality' principle  
 in Indian arbitration, 56–60  
 public policy principle in Indian arbitration and, 62–63
- People's Republic of China. *See* China (People's Republic)
- perpetuation of testimony principle  
 in Philippines Special ADR Rules, 228–29
- Perusahaan Pertambangan Minyak dan Gas Bumi Negara (Pertamina) v. Karaha Bodas Company LLC and PT PLN (Persero)*, Supreme Court, Judgment No. 444 PK/Pdt/2007 (9 September 2008), 326, 333–36, 343–45
- Perwira Bintang Holdings Sdn Bhd v. Kerajaan Malaysia* [2014] 11 MLJ 561, 170–71
- Philippine Dispute Resolution Center (PDRC), 206–7, 219  
 arbitral tribunal composition and, 219–21  
 making of awards and, 229
- Philippine Rules of Court, 210–12
- Philippines. *See also* Alternative Dispute Resolution Act 2004 (ADR Act)  
 arbitration legislation and Model Law in, 204–37
- place of arbitration  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 287  
 in Hong Kong AO, 22  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 320–21, 329–30  
 in Japanese arbitral proceedings, 93  
 in Korean arbitral proceedings, 133–34  
 in Model Law 85, 410  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 190–91, 197–99  
 in Singapore IAA, 258–59  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 349–50, 366

- Plaza Rakyat Sdn Bhd v. Datuk Bandar Kuala Lumpur* [2012] 7 MLJ 36, 160
- power purchase agreements (PPA), 315–16
- preliminary orders  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 284–86  
 in Indian arbitration law, 48–49  
 in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 316–17  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 89–90  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 131–33  
 in Malaysian arbitration law, 163–64  
 in Model Law 85, 407–8  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 196–97  
 in Philippines ADR Act, 226–27  
 in Singapore IAA, 250–55  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 363–64
- pre-trial conference  
 in Philippine ADR Act, 214  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 355–56
- Prima Paint Corp. v. Flood & Conklin Manufacturing Co.* 388 US 395, 87 S. Ct 1801, 222
- ‘proper notice’ concept  
 Japan Arbitration Act, 105–6, 111
- PT Berdikari Insurance v. Majelis Arbitrase Ad-Hoc and PT Kaltim Daya Mandiri*, Central Jakarta District Court, Judgment No. 02/P/PembatalanArbitrase/2008/PN.Jkt.Pst (22 September 2008), 312
- PT Comarindo Express Tama Tour & Travel v. Yemen Airways*, Supreme Court, Judgment No. 03/Arb.Btl/2005 (17 May 2005), 326–27
- PT Digital Fiducia Indonesia v. Hendrisman Rahim*, Central Jakarta District Court, Interlocutory Decision No. 106/Pdt.G/2013/PN.Jkt.Pst (19 September 2013), 306–8, 315–16
- PT First Media TBK v. Astro Nusantara International BV* [2014] 1 SLR 372, 261–64
- PT First Media TBK (formerly known as PT Broadband Multimedia TBK) v. Astro Nusantara International BV and others and another appeal* [2013] SGCA 57, 176
- PT Manunggal Engineering v. Badan Arbitrase Nasional Indonesia (BANI)*, Supreme Court, Judgment No. 770 K/Pdt.Sus/2011, 303–4
- PT Natrustparadigma Listrik Mandiri v. Deutz Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd.*, East Jakarta District Court, Judgment No. 151/Pdt.G/2012/PN.Jkt.Tim (27 February 2013), 335–39
- PT Pertamina EP and PT Pertamina (Persero) v. PT Lirik Petroleum*, Supreme Court, Judgment No. 904 K/Pdt.Sus/2009 (9 June 2010), 302–3
- PT Perusahaan Dagang Tempo v. PT Roche Indonesia*, South Jakarta District Court, Interlocutory Decision No. 454/Pdt.G/1999/PN.Jkt.Sel (25 January 2000), 306–8
- PT Perusahaan Listrik Negara (Persero) v. PT Paiton Energy*, Central Jakarta District Court, Interlocutory Decision No. 517/Pdt.G/1999/PN.Jkt.Pst (13 December 1999), 315–16
- PT Pura Barutama v. Perusahaan Umum Percetakan Uang Negara Republik Indonesia (PERURI)*, Kudus District Court, Judgment No. 30/Pdt.P/2002/PN.Kds (2 July 2003), 304–5, 313
- PT Royal Industries Indonesia and Badan Arbitrase Perdagangan Berjangka Indonesia (BAKTI) v. PT Identrust Security International and PT Bursa Komoditi dan Derivatif Indonesia*, Supreme Court, Judgment No. 367

- K/Pdt.Sus-Arbt/2013 (26 August 2013), 303–4
- PT Tringgading Agung Pratama v. ConocoPhillips (Grissik), Ltd.*, South Jakarta District Court, Judgment No. 593/Pdt.G.ARB/203/PN.Jkt.Sel (9 December 2013), 330–31, 333–35
- public policy principle
- Indian arbitration awards as violation of, 62–66
  - Indonesia New Arbitration Law and, 344–45
  - in Malaysian arbitration, 158, 168–71, 180–84
  - in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 392–95
- Quantity Surveyors Act 1967 (Malaysia), 182–83
- Rajoo, Sundra, 150–86
- reciprocity principle
- Taiwan Arbitration Law and, 373–74
- recognition and enforcement of awards.
- See also* refusal of enforcement; setting aside procedures
  - in Chinese arbitration law, 296–98
  - in Hong Kong AO, 19, 24–25
  - in Indian arbitration, 64–66
  - in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 339–45
  - in Japan Arbitration Act, 107–12
  - in Korean Arbitration Act, 142–48
  - in Malaysian arbitration law, 171–84
  - in Model Law 85, 416–17
  - in Myanmar arbitration law, 203
  - in Philippine ADR Act, 230–35
  - in Singapore IAA, 261–66
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 372–74
  - in Vietnam arbitration law, 395–97
- recognition and enforcement of interim measures
- in Chinese arbitration law, 285–86
  - in Korean Arbitration Act, 132–33
  - in Model Law 85, 408–9
  - in Singapore IAA, 252–53
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 364–65
  - in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 385–86
- recourse against award. *See also* setting aside procedures
- in Chinese arbitration law, 293–96
  - in Hong Kong AO, 24
  - in Indian arbitration, 60–63
  - in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 332–39
  - in Japan Arbitration Act, 105–6
  - in Korean Arbitration Act, 141–42
  - in Malaysian arbitration, 168–71
  - in Model Law 85, 415–16
  - in Myanmar arbitration law, 201–2
  - in Philippine ADR Act, 229–32
  - in Singapore IAA, 260–61
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 371–72
  - in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 392–95
- refusal of enforcement or recognition. *See also* recognition and enforcement of awards
- in Chinese arbitration law, 297–98
  - for foreign awards in India, 64–66
  - in Hong Kong AO award, 19, 24–25
  - in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 332, 343–45
  - in Japan Arbitration Act, 107–12
  - in Korean Arbitration Act, 144–47
  - in Model Law 85, 417
  - in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 373–74
- Registration of Engineers Act 1967 (Malaysia), 182–83
- Reliance Industries Ltd v. Union of India*, 34–35
- renvoi* applications
- in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 325
  - in Singapore IAA, 248–50
- Report 246, 2014 (India), 50–52
- Republic Act No. 876 (Philippines), 205, 221–26
- Republic Act No. 8975, 219

- Republic Act No. 9285 (Philippines).  
 See Alternative Dispute Resolution Act 2004 (ADR Act)
- res judicata* principle  
 Malaysian arbitration law and, 180–84
- Rico-Pamfilo, Rena M., 204–37
- Roger Shashoua & Others v. Mukesh Sharma & Others*, 34–35
- rules of law  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 137–39
- rules of procedure  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 287  
 in Hong Kong AO, 21–22  
 in Indian arbitration law, 49–50  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 319–20, 326  
 in Japanese arbitral proceedings, 92  
 in Korean arbitral proceedings, 133–34, 147–48  
 in Model Law 85, 410  
 Philippine arbitration law and, 212–13  
 in Singapore IAA, 255–58  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 365–68
- Russia  
 Malaysian arbitration laws and, 173–75
- Sami Mousawi v. Kerajaan Negeri Sarawak* [2004] 2 MLJ 414, 182–83
- San Fernando Regala Trading, Inc., 223–26
- Sanwell Corp v. Trans Resources Corp Sdn Bhd*, 158
- Sathyapalan, Harisankar K., 29–68
- Sawarak Land Code  
 Malaysian arbitration and, 179–80
- SBP & Co. v. Patel Engineering*, 43–44, 46–48
- scope of submission  
 Japanese arbitration law and, 82–83n.60
- seat-venue-place conundrum  
 in Indian arbitration, 50–52
- Securities Transaction Law (Taiwan), 354–55
- security, provision of  
 in Chinese Arbitration Law, 363–64  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 89–90  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 122–23, 132, 142–48  
 in Malaysian arbitration law, 156–59, 163–64  
 in Philippine ADR, 216–19  
 in Singapore IAA, 251–52  
 in Vietnam LCA, 384–86
- Seloga Jaya Sdn Bhd v. Pembangunan Keng Ting (Sabah) Sdn Bhd* [1994] 2 CLJ 716, 156–58
- separability principle  
 Indian arbitral tribunal jurisdiction and, 47–48  
 Indonesian New Arbitration Law and, 314–16  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 82  
 Malaysian arbitral jurisdiction and, 162–63  
 in Philippine ADR Act, 223–26  
 in Singapore IAA, 248–50
- setting aside procedures  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 275, 294–96  
 in Hong Kong AO, 24  
 in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 305–6, 326, 330–39  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 77–83, 105–6, 109  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 141–42  
 in Malaysian arbitration, 168–71  
 in Model Law 85, 415–16  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 187–89, 201–2  
 in Philippine ADR Act, 229–32  
 in Singapore IAA, 260–61  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 371–72  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 392–95
- settlement procedures  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 292  
 in Hong Kong AO, 23  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 328

- settlement procedures (cont.)  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 101–2  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 139  
 in Model Law 85, 413  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 369  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 390
- severability, principle of  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 283–84
- Shaharuddin bin Ali v. Superintendent of Lands and Surveys Kuching Division* [2005] 2 MLJ 555, 179–80
- Shanghai International Arbitration Center (SHIAOC), 271–72
- Shanghai Labour Dispute Arbitration Commission, 73
- Shin, Hi-Taek, 116–49
- Shin Etsu Chemical Co. v. Aksh Optifibre Ltd*, 40–41
- Singapore. *See also* International Arbitration Act 1994 (IAA)  
 arbitration and Model Law in, 1–2, 238–45, 266–68  
 Indian arbitration, implied exclusion principle in, 33–34
- Singapore International Arbitration Centre, 219–21, 245
- Soemartono, Gatot, 300–47
- Special ADR Rules (Philippines)  
 appointment of arbitrators and, 219–20  
*compétence-compétence* and separability principles and, 223–26  
 conduct of arbitral proceedings in, 227–29  
 court proceedings and, 210–12, 215–16  
 Model Law and, 235–37  
 recognition and enforcement of awards in, 229–35  
 setting aside procedures in, 229–32
- ‘split’ dispute resolution  
 Korean arbitration agreement and, 125–26
- Standard Chartered Bank Malaysia Bhd v. City Properties Sdn Bhd* [2008] 1 CLJ 496, 157–58, 162–63
- State of Haryana v. L. Arora & Co.*, 59
- subject matter jurisdiction  
 Indonesian New Arbitration Law and, 306–8, 314–16
- substance of dispute  
 in Hong Kong AO, 23  
 in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 325–27  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 98–100  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 126, 137–39  
 in Model Law 85, 402, 412–13  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 349–50, 368–69
- substantive law rules  
 award in Indian arbitration and, 56–60  
 Indonesian New Arbitration Law and, 306–8  
 in Japanese arbitration agreements, 82–83  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 137–39  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 356  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 389–90
- substitute arbitrators  
 in Chinese arbitration act, 281–82  
 in Indonesian New Arbitration Law, 313  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 88  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 129–30  
 in Model Law 85, 405  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 195  
 in Philippines ADR Act, 221  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 361
- substitute arbitrators, appointment of  
 in Hong Kong AO, 17
- Sukanya Holdings (P) Ltd v. Jayesh H. Pandya*, 40–41
- Sundaram Finance Ltd v. NEPC India Ltd*, 41–42
- Sunway Damansara Sdn Bhd v. Malaysia National Insurance Bhd* [2008] 3 MLJ 872, 153–54
- Swift-Fortune Ltd v Magnifica Marine SA*, 2006, 261–64

- Taiwan. *See also* Arbitration Law (Taiwan)  
 arbitration law in, 348–74
- Taman Bandar Baru Masai Sdn Bhd v. Dindings Corporations Sdn Bhd* [2010] 5 CLJ 83, 154–55, 176–77
- Tang Boon Jeck Jeffrey*, 2001, 261–64
- TDM Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd v. UE Development India Pvt. Ltd*, 32
- Tempo v. Roche*. *See* *PT Perusahaan Dagang Tempo v. PT Roche Indonesia*, South Jakarta District Court, Interlocutory Decision No. 454/Pdt.G/1999/PN.Jkt.Sel (25 January 2000)
- termination of proceedings  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 292–93  
 in Hong Kong AO, 23  
 in Indian arbitration, 60  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 330  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 103  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 132, 140  
 in Malaysian arbitration law, 166–68  
 in Model Law 85, 408, 414  
 in Myanmar arbitration, 201  
 in Philippines ADR Act, 229  
 in Singapore IAA, 259  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 370  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 391–92
- territoriality principle  
 Indian arbitration and, 34–35  
 Japan Arbitration Act, 72  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 119–20  
 seat-venue-place conundrum and, 50–52
- Thailand  
 Korean foreign arbitration awards and, 146–47
- third party  
 Japanese arbitration law and, 82–83n.60  
 number of arbitrators determined by, 15–16
- Tommy CP Sze & Co. v. Li & Fung (Trading) Ltd & Ors* [2003] 1 HKC 418, 14–15
- Total Safe Sdn Bhd v. Tenaga Nasional Berhad* [2010] MLJU 1247, 162–63
- Transfield Philippines, Inc. v. Luzon Hydro Corporation, et al.*, G.R. No. 146717, 19 May 2006, 219
- Tuna Processing, Inc. v. Philippine Kingford, Inc.*, G.R. No. 185582, 29 February 2012, 233–35
- Twin Advance (M) Sdn Bhd v. Polar Electro Europe BV* [2013] 7 MLJ, 154–55, 168–69
- UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration. *See* Model Law
- UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts, 199–201
- United Coconut Planters Bank (UCPB), 214–15
- United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL)  
 Model Law adoption by, 1–2
- United States  
 absence of Model Law in, 1–2  
 implied exclusion principle in Indian arbitration and, 33–34  
 Malaysian arbitration law and, 180–84  
 Philippine ADR Act and case law from, 223–26
- Usahasama SPNB-LTAT Sdn Bhd v. Borneo Synergy (M) Sdn Bhd* [2009] 2 MLJ 308, 155
- Venture Global Engineering v. Satyam Computer Services*, 33–34, 64–66
- Vibroflotation A.G. v. Express Builders Co. Ltd* [1994] HKCFI 205, 22–23
- Videocon Industries Ltd v. Union of India*, 33–34
- Vietnam  
 arbitration law in, 375–97



- waiver of right to object  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 274–75  
 in Hong Kong AO, 12–13  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 303–4  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 74–75  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 121–22  
 in Malaysia Arbitration Act, 152–55  
 in Model Law 85, 400  
 in Singapore IAA, 245  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 351–52
- written communications  
 award form and content, in Japan Arbitration Act, 102–3  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 274, 276–77  
 form requirements in Japanese arbitration, 80–82  
 in Hong Kong AO, 12–13  
 in Indian arbitration, 36–42  
 Japan Arbitration Act on receipt of, 74  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 121–22  
 in Malaysia Arbitration Act, 152–55, 176  
 in Model Law 85, 400  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 191–92  
 in Singapore IAA, 245–47  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 351, 355–56  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 379–80
- written proceedings  
 in Chinese arbitration law, 289, 298  
 in Hong Kong AO, 22  
 Indonesian arbitration agreement, 305–6  
 in Indonesia New Arbitration Law, 323  
 in Japan Arbitration Act, 80–82, 94–95  
 in Korean Arbitration Act, 135  
 in Malaysian arbitration, 165–66  
 in Model Law 85, 411–12  
 in Myanmar arbitration law, 198–99  
 in Singapore foreign award law, 264–66  
 in Taiwan Arbitration Law, 366–67  
 in Vietnam Law on Commercial Arbitration, 388
- Yograj Infrastructure Ltd v. Ssang Yong Engineering Construction Co. Ltd*, 33–34