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Foreword

“Feedback, like rain, should be gentle enough to nourish a person’s growth without destroying the roots.” This quote (modified from the original by Frank A. Clark) is a simple reminder that feedback can be helpful or not, assume a variety of forms, be provided at different times, and have diverse effects on different people. But what if there were a drought (no rain, no feedback)? Consider the following two questions: If a tree falls in the woods and nobody’s around to hear it—does it make a noise? If a teacher instructs some content or skill and doesn’t assess and support learning—can students deeply learn? In both cases, the answer is no.

So feedback is really important—not just for learning new things, but pretty much across all of life. There are countless examples of feedback in nature—with both positive and negative functions. For example, our hypothalamus reacts to changes in temperature and responds appropriately. If the temperature drops, we shiver to bring up the temperature, and if it’s too hot, we sweat to cool down via evaporation. Predator–prey relations in nature are also well-known examples of feedback loops, as is climate change. The key difference between positive and negative feedback is their response to change—positive feedback accelerates change while negative feedback delays change.

What does all this have to do with the book that you’re about to dive into? We’re all giving and getting feedback throughout our lives—in the classroom, on the job, as part of family life, and so on. How can we ensure that the feedback we give and get is effective and constructive? That’s covered in the book. For example, as a teacher, I want my students to excel and grow so I provide lots of feedback (and they similarly return the favor, with the goal to improve my teaching skills). As an employee, I need valid feedback to perform my best, and as an employer, I need to give constructive feedback to those who work for me. Finally, as a parent, I want my kids to grow into awesome adults, and I’m fairly certain they want me to be a good parent. Can one book possibly cover the wide range of feedback contexts and types? This one comes close.

I suspect that I was asked to write this foreword because of the literature review I published a decade ago in the Review of Educational Research titled “Focus on Formative Feedback.” That particular project was something I’d been longing to do for years—to wrap my head around the slippery, often conflicting feedback findings in the literature, then provide a things-to-do (and things-to-avoid) set of tables at the end for practitioners. Since then, there has
been considerably more work in the feedback arena. This book – *The Cambridge Handbook of Instructional Feedback*, edited by Anastasiya A. Lipnevich and Jeffrey K. Smith – is an excellent convergence of the most current feedback work in a wonderful one-stop book. The chapters comprise a delicious smorgasbord of feedback-related topics ranging from theoretical to empirical research, qualitative to quantitative work, in the contexts of K-12 classrooms to higher education and the workplace, and spanning different countries for cross-cultural perspectives (e.g., Singapore, the United States, South Africa, Japan, Spain, Sweden). Feedback is examined relative to who is giving it (e.g., a computer or game vs. teachers vs. self vs. peer), who is receiving it, and the content areas in which the feedback is employed (e.g., math, science, writing, medicine, and music). Cognitive as well as affective states can benefit from feedback too – which is also covered. The twenty-seven chapters are not only diverse, but they’re written by luminaries in the field. I close as I started, with a quote: “Feedback may be a gift, but constructive feedback is an investment” (Brad Boyson).

Valerie Shute

*Florida State University*
Preface

The focus of *The Cambridge Handbook on Instructional Feedback* is on feedback as it manifests itself across various instructional settings and contexts. Learners at all levels receive a wealth of feedback messages on a daily – or even hourly – basis. Teachers, coaches, parents, peers – all have suggestions and advice on how to improve or sustain a certain level of performance. In a classroom context, sharing learning intentions, clarifying criteria for success, providing information that moves learners forward, and activating students as the owners of their learning are essential functions of feedback (Black & Wiliam, 2009). Inarguably, feedback is a key element of successful instructional practices – those that lead to best improvement (see, e.g., Hattie and Timperley, 2007). Researchers agree that feedback is essential for improved performance, but we also know that learners often dread feedback and dismiss it and that the effectiveness of feedback varies depending on specific characteristics of feedback messages that learners receive (see, e.g., Lipnevich & Smith, 2008). This volume brings together extant literature on feedback across multiple academic domains, contexts, and levels of schooling, and attempts to clarify a range of questions that relate to various aspects of feedback, including its type, level of specificity, frequency, context, and timing. The book paints an expansive picture of the current state of research on instructional feedback, discussing both the theory and areas of application. The volume focuses specifically on the most important constituents and components of feedback:

1. Those who provide feedback
2. Those who receive feedback
3. Characteristics of feedback
4. The context of feedback.

The volume comprises five parts. Part I focuses on existing theory, presenting definitions, characteristics, methodological issues, and a general conceptual framework of instructional feedback, and describing existing taxonomies of instructional feedback (see Table 0.1 for a brief description of chapters included in this volume). The contributors situate feedback within the framework of formative assessment. Wiliam (Chapter 1) opens this part with a discussion of feedback in the larger historical context. Wiliam discusses whether feedback works, the magnitude of the impact on learning, and what kinds of feedback work best. One of the key points of this chapter is that feedback interventions
need to take greater account of the crucial difference between learning and performance that has been a fundamental concept in memory research for decades. In Chapter 2, Stobart argues that feedback has to be carefully related to the proficiency of the learner and cannot be treated as a generic template to be applied mechanically. He discusses different types of feedback and how they should be matched to different levels of student performance. In Chapter 3, Brookhart juxtaposes feedback in summative and formative contexts and emphasizes the importance of student agency, whereas in Chapter 4, Murray, Gasson, and Smith propose a taxonomy of written feedback messages, allowing for a more systematic look at how feedback influences achievement. Brown and Harris (Chapter 5) conclude this part with a survey of the different methods currently being used to investigate feedback in empirical studies.

Part II covers research on feedback at different levels and areas of schooling, discussing specifics of cognitive, emotional, and psychosocial development of learners as well as best approaches to feedback delivery at different developmental levels. The contributors explain the specifics of feedback delivery across subject domains and other instructional settings. Tan and Wong (Chapter 6) attempt to answer the question of whether feedback in primary schools can enhance students’ “independent” learning and at the same time aid students in achieving necessary learning outcomes for high-stakes examinations. The discussion is situated in the context of schooling in Singapore. Chapters 7 through 10 describe specifics of presenting feedback in the domains of writing, math, science, and music. Graham (Chapter 7) summarizes research on feedback effectiveness in the domain of writing and discusses challenges of providing good quality feedback on students’ written assignments. Small and Lin (Chapter 8) explore various aspects of offering feedback to students who are learning mathematics. This chapter includes examples at a variety of grade levels of how to connect feedback to learning objectives, including attention to assumptions students do or do not make. In Chapter 9, Ruiz-Primo and Kroog present results of a study in which they closely examined assessment artifacts, focusing on feedback presented to students in math and science classrooms. Music represents yet another academic domain with unique characteristics of teacher–student relationships and consequently the characteristics of assessment and feedback. Parkes (Chapter 10) explores three broad areas of feedback in music instruction that include teacher behaviors, peer and student feedback, and sensory feedback in the performance of music.

The importance of noncognitive (or psychosocial) skills in education is no longer disputed; researchers and practitioners are pondering these issues as they relate to feedback that may help students to enhance such skills. What kind of information should be provided to students that will help them to become more conscientious, motivated, or resilient? In Chapter 11, Murano, Martin, Burrus, and Roberts try to formulate answers to these questions and discuss the role of feedback in the development of noncognitive skills. The researchers present various approaches to feedback for cognitive skills and argue that feedback recommendations for cognitive skills transfer to noncognitive skills as well.
Chapter 12, by van der Meer and Dawson, and Chapter 13, by Sargeant and Watling, discuss challenges and specific characteristics in the context of higher education and medical education, respectively. The authors argue that effective feedback should go beyond its corrective purpose and should contribute to students’ developing dispositions, perspectives, and skills for life beyond academia. Chapter 14, by Athota and Malik, concludes this section and discusses 360-degree feedback in a workplace. The authors describe a multi-rater approach and possible issues that might arise when providing feedback in a workplace context.

In Part III the researchers discuss various modes of feedback delivery and the diversity of contexts for feedback presentation. Munshi and Deneen (Chapter 15) explore issues and make suggestions on technology-enhanced feedback in a systematic review of literature. Key points include the implications of who is driving the dialog around technology-enhanced feedback, what works well in technology-enhanced feedback, and where there seem to be persistent and significant gaps in research and practice. In Chapter 16, Homer, Ober, and Plass examine the ways in which digital games can be used to authentically evaluate learners’ knowledge and skills. Challenges are examined, including lack of general acceptance of games as assessment tools, potential for extraneous cognitive load caused by the gaming environment, and a culture of exploration and “cheats/hacks” in games. The researchers conclude with some examples of current “best practices” in game-based assessment and recommendations for next steps in the field. In Chapter 17, Andrade focuses on self-assessment, defined as the act of monitoring one’s processes and products in order to make adjustments that deepen learning and enhance performance. Andrade suggests that self-assessment is most beneficial, in terms of both achievement and self-regulated learning, when it is used formatively. Panadero, Jonsson, and Alqassab (Chapter 18) examine the concept of peer feedback, discussing the key empirical themes that have been investigated. Issues related to trust, relationships between peers, and the nature of the task are discussed, along with recommendations and specific conditions that influence the effectiveness of peer feedback. In Chapter 19, Guskey discusses feedback in the context of Bloom’s mastery learning theory, exploring the concept of “pre-assessment” and how it plays into instructional practice. Kanjee (Chapter 20) and Arimoto and Clark (Chapter 21) explicate feedback in international contexts of South Africa and Japan, respectively. Kanjee explores primary school teachers’ written feedback practices in South Africa, and how they expect their learners to respond to this feedback. Arimoto and Clark extend the cross-cultural exploration and contrast the Western perspective of the child as a “student” or “pupil” with the Japanese practice of “zenjin education,” translating most closely to “whole-child education.” This part concludes with Kaufman and Pugel’s (Chapter 22) discussion of feedback presented in the context of animal training. The authors draw parallels with situations in which one person’s language or understanding thereof is not the same as another’s.
In Part IV the contributors focus on characteristics of learners and discuss variables that relate to students’ receptivity and active use of feedback. Stiggins (Chapter 23) examines the effectiveness of feedback from the student’s (information recipient’s) perspective. In Chapter 24, Jonsson and Panadero discuss ways that help to facilitate students’ engagement with their feedback, whereas Goetz, Lipnevich, Krannich, and Gogol (Chapter 25) focus on students’ emotional reactions to feedback. Holinger and Kaufman in Chapter 26 explicate the relationship between creativity and feedback (and the related construct of evaluation) from several different research perspectives: the motivational approach, the cognitive approach, and the individual differences/personality approach.

In Part V, the editors (Smith and Lipnevich, Chapter 27) join forces in an attempt to integrate these various elements into a coherent whole. In the process, we make a series of recommendations for future research, policy, and practice.

All in all, this book provides a comprehensive summary and evaluation of current research and can serve as a resource for scholars and practitioners to make informed decisions about the inner workings of instructional feedback in their respective educational programs. Humbly, we hope that researchers, students, policymakers, and practitioners alike will find this volume to be of value.
Table 0.1  Summary of chapters that appear in this volume
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<td>Kelly A. Parkes</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Music</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Feedback Context</td>
<td>Brief Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Feedback in Tertiary Education: Challenges and Opportunities for Enhancing Current Practices</td>
<td>Jacques van der Meer and Phillip Dawson</td>
<td>New Zealand and Australia</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Focuses on the questions of what the particular purposes and the specific challenges and opportunities of feedback processes are in higher education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Instructional Feedback in Medical Education</td>
<td>Joan Sargeant and Christopher Watling</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Medical schools</td>
<td>Discusses challenges and specific characteristics in the context of medical education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. 360-Degree Feedback at the Workplace: A Transformative Learning Perspective</td>
<td>Vidya S. Athota and Ashish Malik</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Work settings</td>
<td>Describes a multi-rater approach and possible issues that might arise when providing feedback in a workplace context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Technology-Enhanced Feedback</td>
<td>Cassim Munshi and Christopher C. Deneen</td>
<td>Singapore and Australia</td>
<td>General academic context, technology</td>
<td>Explores issues and makes suggestions on technology-enhanced feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Digital Games as Tools for Embedded Assessment</td>
<td>Bruce D. Homer, Teresa M. Ober, and Jan L. Plass</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>Examines the ways in which digital games can be used to authentically evaluate learners’ knowledge and skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Feedback Context</td>
<td>Brief Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Feedback in the Context of Self-Assessment</td>
<td>Heidi L. Andrade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Self-assessment across academic contexts</td>
<td>Explores the process of self-assessment and suggests that self-assessment is most beneficial, in terms of both achievement and self-regulated learning, when it is used formatively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Teacher Expectations and Feedback Practices in South African Schools</td>
<td>Anil Kanjee</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Elementary/primary schools</td>
<td>Explores primary school teachers’ written feedback practices in South Africa, and how they expected their learners to respond to this feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Interactive Assessment: Cultural Perspectives and Practices in the Nexus of “Heart or Mind”</td>
<td>Masahiro Arimoto and Ian Clark</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>General academic context in Japan and Western Countries</td>
<td>Contrasts the Western perspective of the child as a “student” or “pupil” with the Japanese practice of “zenjin-education,” translating most closely to “whole-child education.” Discusses feedback in situations in which a person’s language (or one’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Instructional Feedback in Animals</td>
<td>Allison B. Kaufman</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Animal training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Feedback Context</td>
<td>Brief Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. The Emotional Dynamics of Feedback from the Student’s Point of View</td>
<td>Rick Stiggins</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>General academic context</td>
<td>Examines the effectiveness of feedback from the student’s (information recipient’s) perspective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Facilitating Students’ Active Engagement with Feedback</td>
<td>Anders Jonsson and Ernesto Panadero</td>
<td>Sweden and Spain</td>
<td>General academic context</td>
<td>Discusses ways that help to facilitate students’ engagement with their feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. The Relationship between Creativity and Feedback</td>
<td>Molly Holinger and James C. Kaufman</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>General academic context</td>
<td>Discusses the relationship between creativity and feedback (and the related construct of evaluation) from several different research perspectives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Acknowledgments

The idea to write a book that presents a comprehensive overview of research into instructional feedback, and offers a mixture of theory and practical recommendations, has been evolving for several years. We would like to thank our editor, David Repetto, for seeing the value in such a volume and for inviting us to join the effort and prepare the Handbook. We would like to also thank Professor Valerie Shute, a recognized authority in the field of assessment and feedback (and far beyond), who graciously agreed to write the Foreword to this edited volume, and did it in record time.

Edited volumes can be very difficult to produce, as anyone who has set out on this onerous task will testify. We are indebted to Fiona Stuart for her work pulling together the important pre-production pieces, including formatting all the chapters, tracking references, and editing the volume. Fiona was the first person to read through the entire Handbook and to provide her ever-positive feedback. Thank you for that!

Anastasiya would also like to thank her incredible husband Sergey Lipnevich for all of his love, support, and constant encouragement throughout this endeavor. I get so touched when you casually discuss new research on feedback with our houseguests (despite being immersed in a field that is far removed from psychology and education). You do listen to my exhilarating accounts of current research findings! Anastasiya also thanks her children, Emilian and Evan Lipnevich, for being the amazing people that they are. I marvel at you each and every day and I look forward to watching your superhuman powers further unfold. And to the three of you – I value your feedback, realizing that it may be positively biased. Despite all that we know about optimal feedback practices, “You are the best” does mean a lot when it comes from the three of you. Words cannot describe how much I love you and hope that my work on this Handbook will help you in various domains of your lives. Many thanks to my parents, Larisa and Andrey Tsobkalo; grandparents, Elena and Stepan Khinevich; my sister Viktoria; my uncle Vladimir; and all extended family. Your unending support helped me to take this project to fruition. And to the Krivtsova-Ricchiuti family – this book will always be associated with the marvelous time that we spent with you, in beautiful Abruzzo, Italy. To all of my friends and family – many thanks for your advice, for listening to me as I vented my frustrations, and for just plain being there.
Jeff would like to thank his wonderful wife and partner in crime, Lisa Smith, who was gracious enough not to remind him how many times he said, “This is the last book I’ll ever edit,” through the past three edited volumes he has worked on. And he thanks his children, Benjamin and Leah Smith, and his stepdaughter, Kaitlin Bishop, for their willingness to provide him with unflinchingly honest feedback on his tastes in clothing, music, jokes, and many other aspects of life. I hope your wonderful partners Andrea Livi Smith and Ben Bishop always do the same for you. To Jeff’s beautiful and brilliant granddaughters, Daria Smith, Olenna Smith, and Gwendolyn Bishop, may you one day read this paragraph and say, “Hey, I’m in a book!” And may the feedback you receive always be honest, kind, timely, and help you grow.

Ana would also like to thank her PhD students with whom we spent the entire semester discussing the mechanics of feedback. Dana, Elise, Francesca, Kalina, Maggie, Maria, Tai, and Zebing – it was a great privilege to have a group of enthusiastic and interested students by whom I could run my feedback-related ideas. Your feedback is incorporated throughout this volume – and I thank you for it. And both Ana and Jeff thank David Berg for being David Berg throughout the whole process.

Finally, an edited book would be nothing without contributions from the acclaimed scholars whom we asked to give of their time, expertise, and knowledge to prepare chapters on topics that we felt needed special attention. We are indebted to each and every one of you for the various chapters appearing in this volume. We appreciate your critical contributions and willingness to cope with a challenging task and time constraints and to respond to our suggestions with grace and efficiency. We learned a lot from you and are very grateful for your work. Because of your joint efforts, we believe the volume is coherent and informative. It has been a tremendous privilege to work with you, and we look forward to future projects and opportunities to collaborate.

Our work on this volume coincided with quite a bit of traveling. Between the two of us, this volume developed and evolved as we traveled through seventeen countries. The time that we spent working side by side in Dunedin, New Zealand, was the most memorable, but our calls at odd hours from various corners of the world were no less enjoyable. We hope this book will give readers a deeper understanding and appreciation of the current state-of-the-art in the field of instructional feedback and give a flavor of different cultures and countries from which our multinational crew comes. Please enjoy this volume and do not hesitate to drop us a line should the book raise any questions. As you may have guessed, we love feedback!