

INDEX

- ACHR. *See* American Convention on Human Rights (ACHR)
- Ackermann, Bruce, 458n63
- Adler, Emanuel, 495
- Advantages of judicial dialogue, 4, 7–8
- African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, 161n29, 424
- African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, 424
- African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, 16, 161
- Ahern, Sinéad, 9, 348n40, 364, 364n119
- AIRE Centre (NGO), 361–62, 379n190
- Ambiguity in judicial dialogue, 9–10
- American Convention on Human Rights (ACHR)
- Canada and, 116
 - Chile and, 102
 - Colombia and, 103–04
 - South America and, 74, 84–85
 - Uruguay and, 97, 105–06
 - Venezuela and, 510
- Amicus curiae* briefs
- overview, 339–41, 378–80
 - Canada, judicial dialogue in, 140–41, 140n87, 359, 364–65, 372–74, 377–78, 512
 - cases analysed, 383–97
 - in CJEU, 355–56, 368, 374–75
 - Committee against Torture and, 355
 - Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and, 355
 - Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women and, 355
 - Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination and, 355
 - Committee on the Rights of the Child and, 355
 - contracting states and, 357
 - Council of Europe and, 343, 357, 361
 - Czech Republic, judicial dialogue in, 363
 - Eastern Europe, judicial dialogue in, 363
 - effects of judicial dialogue and, 371–78
 - empirical findings, 350–53
 - “European consensus” and, 372
 - extent of judicial dialogue and, 367–70, 378
 - external component, 363
 - Human Rights Committee and, 343n17, 354–55, 368
 - in IACtHR, 355, 356–57, 368, 376
 - ICC and, 354
 - ICCPR and, 354
 - ICJ and, 354, 368
 - ICTR and, 354
 - ICTY and, 354
 - internal component, 361–62
 - international courts and, 353–54
 - methods of judicial dialogue and, 345–48, 370–71, 378, 512
 - non-contracting states and, 357–59
 - Nuremberg Tribunal and, 354
 - Poland, judicial dialogue in, 363, 512
 - purposes of judicial dialogue and, 348–50, 524
 - research methodology, 341–44, 359–67
 - Romania, judicial dialogue in, 363
 - statistics of judicial dialogue, 342, 342n13, 369

- Amicus curiae* briefs (*cont.*)
 table, 383–97
 United Kingdom, judicial dialogue
 in, 363, 372–74, 377–78, 512
 United States, judicial dialogue
 in, 358–59, 358n93, 364–66,
 368, 377–78
 Western-centric nature of judicial
 dialogue and, 365–66
 Amnesty International (NGO), 372
 Amnesty laws
 in Brazil, 106–07
 in Uruguay, 105–06
 Appropriateness of judicial dialogue, 9
 Arab Charter on Human Rights, 159–61,
 159n20
 Arab Human Rights
 Committee, 160–61
 Arendt, Hannah, 439n5
 Argentina
 Constitution, 98–100, 99n115
 judicial dialogue in
 Brazil, with, 106–07
 Chile, with, 81–82
 Colombia, with, 99
 France, with, 99
 freedom of expression and, 83
 majority versus minority
 opinions, 80–81
 Paraguay, with, 86, 96n101
 South America, with, 86
 synchronizing rights evolution
 and, 98–100
 United Kingdom, with, 99
 United States, with, 68, 99
 Uruguay, with, 79, 86, 105–06
 Supreme Court, 98–100
 Armenia, conscientious objection
 in, 429
 Article 19 (NGO), 366–67, 377–78
 Association of Councils of State and
 Supreme Administrative
 Jurisdictions of the European
 Union, 46–47, 47n81, 256
 Asylum. *See also* Complementary
 protection
 in Denmark, 188n64
 in Hong Kong, 175n6
- Australia
 Administrative Appeals
 Tribunal, 208–09
 Federal Court, 191, 192–93, 208–09
 High Court, 180n23
 judicial dialogue in
 Canada, with, 126, 131–32, 204, 206
 complementary protection and,
 175, 178–79, 186, 192–93, 196,
 204, 206
 Convention against Torture
 and, 178–79
 ECtHR, with, 521
 extent of, 507
 Mexico, with, 190
 New Zealand, with, 204, 206
 non-involved parties, with,
 414, 415
 Refugee Convention and, 178–79
 United Kingdom, with, 192–93,
 206, 208–09, 254, 255
 Migration Act (1958), 174n3, 178–79,
 186, 186n54, 191n75
 Refugee Review Tribunal, 190, 193,
 196, 204, 206
- Austria
 Constitution, 245–46
 Constitutional Court, 245–46, 248
 judicial dialogue in
 Chile, with, 81–82
 Poland, with, 41–42
 same-sex marriage and, 238–40,
 245–46, 248
 Switzerland, with, 245–46
 Registered Partnership Act, 245–46,
 246n128
 same-sex marriage in, 225
 Socialist Party, 245–46
 Supreme Court, 245–46
 Authority, judicial dialogue and, 457–60
 Autonomy, judicial dialogue and, 457–60
- Bahdi, Reem, 366
 Bauböck, Rainer, 447
 Bedjaoui, M., 493, 493n106
 Belgium
 non-involved parties, judicial dialogue
 with, 412

- same-sex marriage in, 221–22
 - United Kingdom, judicial dialogue with, 203
- Benhabib, Seyla, 446–49, 448n31, 462–63
- Bertelsmann Foundation, 199n108
- Biernat, Stanisław, 61, 61n126
- Binding authority, 116n10
- Bingham, Tom, 91
- Binnie, Ian, 128, 138, 141, 143
- Bioethics Committee (CoE), 417
- Björgvinsson, David Thór, 407n27
- Bobek, Michael, 45, 456n56
- Bohmer, M., 92n94
- Bolivia
 - Constitution, 77–78, 102–03
 - “constitutional bloc” doctrine, 79n39
 - Constitutional Tribunal, 77–78, 80, 102–03, 103n139
 - judicial dialogue in
 - Colombia, with, 80, 86, 102–03
 - evolution of, 78–79
 - freedom of expression and, 83
 - frequency of, 77–78
 - human rights treaties, compliance with, 102–03
 - ILO Convention 169 and, 102–03, 103n139
 - majority versus minority opinions, 80
 - Peru, with, 102–03
- Bratza, Nicholas, 408
- Brazil
 - amnesty laws in, 106–07
 - Civil Code, 100–01
 - Constitution, 80n40
 - Constitutional Court, 90–91, 101
 - differences from domestic solutions, defending, 516
 - judicial dialogue in
 - Argentina, with, 106–07
 - Chile, with, 81–82, 106–07
 - Colombia, with, 97–98
 - evolution of, 79–80
 - France, with, 98
 - Germany, with, 98
 - Portugal, with, 98
 - Spain, with, 98
 - synchronizing rights
 - evolution, 100–01
 - unavoidable dialogue, 106–07
 - United Kingdom, with, 98
 - United States, with, 98
 - Uruguay, with, 106–07
 - where no clear answers in domestic law, 98
 - Supreme Court, 90, 91n88, 98, 100–01, 101n129, 106–07, 516
- Bricolage, 498, 501, 502
- Burger, Warren, 135n71
- Burgogue-Larsen, Laurence, 356–57
- Bushnell, S.I., 132n66
- Cairo Declaration on Human Rights in Islam, 159–61, 159n18
- Canada
 - Bill of Rights (1960), 121–22, 121n32
 - British Columbia Supreme Court, 377–78
 - British North America Act (1867), 119, 129n56, 130n61
 - Charter of Rights and Freedoms
 - overview, 114–15, 117
 - amicus curiae* briefs and, 359, 372–74
 - claims under, 130n61
 - death penalty and, 142
 - domestic particularities and, 130–31
 - human rights and, 121–23, 122n34, 122n38, 122n39, 123n40, 125t4.1, 135, 135n73, 505
 - judicial dialogue and, 138, 144–45, 507–08
 - limitations clause, 137, 508
 - rights under, 147n118
 - United States compared, 132–34, 132n68, 148–49
 - Constitution Act (1867), 132
 - Constitution Act (1982), 121–22, 123
 - Courts of Appeal, 143
 - Federal Court of Appeal, 196, 208–09
 - Governor General, 120
 - Immigration and Refugee Board, 193, 204, 205n135
 - Immigration and Refugee Protection Act (2001), 176–77, 186
 - judicial dialogue in

- Canada (*cont.*)
 overview, 114–18, 148–49
 ACHR and, 116
amicus curiae briefs and, 140–41,
 140n87, 359, 364–65, 372–74,
 377–78, 512
 Australia, with, 126, 131–32, 204, 206
 CJEU, with, 116
 Commonwealth legal tradition
 and, 131–32
 comparative material, use of, 136–37
 complementary protection and,
 175, 176–77, 186, 196, 204
 Convention against Torture and,
 176–77, 205n135
 counsel, role of, 140–41
 death penalty and, 142, 146–47, 519
 Denmark compared, 204
 development over time, 137–38
 differences from domestic
 solutions, defending, 516
 difficult cases, resolving, 513
 domestic particularities, 130–31
 ECHR and, 121–22, 146, 147n119
 ECtHR, with, 116, 124–26, 135,
 138, 146–47, 146n116, 147n119,
 507–08, 515, 521
 effects of, 144–48
 extent of, 123–24, 505, 506–09
 France, with, 126
 France compared, 138
 frequency of, 137–38
 Germany, with, 135–36, 210
 human rights and, 514–15, 526–27
 Hungary compared, 130
 IACtHR, with, 116, 147, 147n120
 ICCPR and, 135n73
 ICESCR and, 135n73
 India compared, 121–22
 interveners, role of, 140–41,
 140n87
 Ireland compared, 121–22
 Israel compared, 138
 Justices, attitudes of, 128–30
 language, impact of, 126, 135–36
 letter used in interviews, 150–51
 “living tree” metaphor, 129n56
 Malawi compared, 137–38
 methods of, 138–39
 Mexico and, 190
 New Zealand, with, 124–26,
 131–32, 196, 208
 New Zealand compared, 121–22
 non-involved parties, with,
 413–14, 415
 number of citations, 125t4.1
 Privy Council and, 119, 129n56,
 131–32, 132n67
 purposes of, 142–44
 questions used in
 interviews, 151–52
 Refugee Convention and,
 141, 176–77
 rejection of judicial reasoning, 518
 research by judges, 139–40, 511
 South Africa, with, 126
 South Africa compared, 121–22,
 130, 137–38
 Sweden compared, 204
 trends in citations, 131–36
 United Kingdom, with, 124–26,
 131–32, 206, 208–09, 254, 255
 United Kingdom compared,
 121–22, 138
 United States, with, 124–26,
 132–35, 132n66, 145–46,
 145n110, 507–08, 519
 Justices of Supreme Court, 120n25
 Narcotic Control Act (1970), 122n39
 OAS membership, 116, 116n11
 Refugee Protection Division,
 204n131
 Statute of Westminster (1931), 119
 Supreme and Exchequer Courts Act
 (1875), 119
 Supreme Court
 overview, 114–18, 148–49
amicus curiae briefs in,
 140–41, 512
 attitudes of Justices, 128–30
 comparative material, use
 of, 136–37
 counsel in, 140–41
 domestic particularities
 and, 130–31
 ECtHR and, 521

- effects of judicial dialogue in, 144–48, 518, 519
- extent of judicial dialogue in, 123–24
- frequency of judicial dialogue in, 137–38, 507–08
- human rights and, 119–20, 124–26
- interveners in, 140–41
- methods of judicial dialogue in, 138–39, 511
- number of citations, 125t4.1
- purposes of judicial dialogue in, 142–44, 516
- research by judges, 139–40
- trends in citations, 131–36
- Supreme Court Act (1985), 119–20, 120n21
- Supreme Court Reports, 120
- Carnwath, Lord, 22
- Carozza, P., 348n38
- Chang, Wen-Chen, 456, 456n54, 467n1
- Charter of Fundamental Rights
 - CJEU, judicial dialogue in, 227, 235
 - cosmopolitanism and, 447
 - Germany, judicial dialogue in, 261–62
 - Italy, judicial dialogue in, 274n17
 - Poland, judicial dialogue in, 39–41, 39n51
 - same-sex marriage and, 227, 227n46, 235, 241, 242–43
- Chernobyl disaster, 53n101
- “Cherry-picking,” 145n109, 347–48, 360–61
- Chile
 - Civil Code, 102
 - Constitution, 102
 - Constitutional Tribunal, 81
 - Criminal Code, 81
 - judicial dialogue in
 - ACHR and, 102
 - Argentina, with, 81–82
 - Austria, with, 81–82
 - Brazil, with, 81–82, 106–07
 - Colombia, with, 81
 - crimes against humanity and, 83–84
 - ECHR and, 81
 - ECtHR, with, 81–82
 - Ecuador, with, 81–82
 - France, with, 102
 - freedom of expression and, 83
 - Germany, with, 102
 - Honduras, with, 81–82
 - human rights treaties, compliance with, 102
 - ICCPR and, 102
 - India, with, 81–82
 - majority versus minority opinions, 81–82
 - Mexico, with, 81–82
 - Netherlands, with, 81
 - Paraguay, with, 81–82
 - Peru, with, 81–82
 - South Africa, with, 81–82
 - United States, with, 81–82
 - Uruguay, with, 81–82
 - China, growth of wealth and power in, 480
 - CJEU. *See* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
 - Clinton, Bill, 478
 - CoE. *See* Council of Europe
 - Cohen, Harlan Grant, 495
 - Cold War, effect of end of, 475–76
 - Colombia
 - Constitution, 79, 79n37, 97–98, 97n106, 103–04
 - “constitutional bloc” doctrine, 79
 - Constitutional Court, 90, 97–98, 100, 103–04
 - Criminal Code, 103–04
 - judicial dialogue in
 - ACHR and, 103–04
 - Argentina, with, 99
 - Bolivia, with, 80, 86, 102–03
 - Brazil, with, 97–98
 - Chile, with, 81
 - crimes against humanity and, 83–84
 - Ecuador, with, 86
 - evolution of, 79
 - human rights treaties, compliance with, 103–04
 - ICCPR and, 103–04
 - Mexico, with, 97–98
 - South America, with, 86

Cambridge University Press
 978-1-107-17358-3 — Judicial Dialogue and Human Rights
 Edited by Amrei Müller, In collaboration with Hege Elisabeth Kjos

Index

[More Information](#)

560

INDEX

- Colombia (*cont.*)
 synchronizing rights
 evolution, 100
 UDHR and, 103–04
 United States, with, 97–98, 103–04
 Uruguay, with, 97–98
 Venezuela, with, 86
 vertical judicial dialogue, 79
 where no clear answers in
 domestic law, 97–98
 Supreme Court, 79
 Committee against Torture, 355, 424–25
 Committee for the Prevention of Torture, 417, 418
 Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 355, 423
 Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, 355, 423
 Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, 181, 355
 Committee on the Rights of the Child, 181, 355
 Comparative law, 73n21, 344n22, 361n103
 Complementary protection
 overview, 173–76, 214–15
 Australia, judicial dialogue in, 175, 178–79, 186, 192–93, 196, 204, 206
 Canada, judicial dialogue in, 175, 176–77, 186, 196, 204
 case studies, 202
 CJEU, judicial dialogue in, 183–84, 201, 210–11, 213–14
 contours of horizontal judicial dialogue, 186–91
 Convention against Torture and, 184–86
 Council of Europe and, 193–94
 country-of-origin information, 202–03
 diluting standards, 198–202
 ECHR and, 210–11
 ECtHR, judicial dialogue in, 184–85, 201, 210–11
 effects of judicial dialogue, 194–95
 enhancing standards, 196–98
 European Union, judicial dialogue in, 174n5, 175, 177–78, 180–84, 187, 200–02
 exclusion from, 207–10
 extent of judicial dialogue, 179, 506, 509
 “forum shopping,” 201n117
 harmonisation of standards, 195–96
 High Commissioner for Refugees and, 174, 181–83, 182n32, 193–94, 208n147, 209–10
 horizontal judicial dialogue and, 212–14
 Human Rights Committee and, 184–85
 IACtHR, judicial dialogue in, 184–85
 indiscriminate violence and, 210–14
 interaction with Refugee Convention, 204–05
 judicial independence, protection of, 516
 methods of judicial dialogue, 192–94
 Mexico, judicial dialogue in, 175, 189–90
 New Zealand, judicial dialogue in, 175, 177, 185, 196, 197–98, 203, 206, 208
 non-refoulement, 186n51, 207–08
 prevalence of horizontal judicial dialogue, 179–86
 purposes of judicial dialogue, 194–95
 regimes of, 176
 standard of proof, 205–06
 United Kingdom, judicial dialogue in, 185, 187, 192–93, 194, 196, 203, 208–10, 212, 213
 United States, judicial dialogue in, 175, 188–89
 vertical judicial dialogue and, 179, 213–14
 Concept of judicial dialogue
 overview, 12–15
 shari’ah courts and, 155–57
 Conference of European Constitutional Courts, 46, 46n76
 Conference of Portuguese Language Constitutional Jurisdictions, 89, 89n78, 90
 Confirmative judicial dialogue, 402–04
 Conscientious objection in
 Armenia, 429
 “Constitutional bloc” doctrine, 79, 79n39, 519

- Constructive misunderstanding, 486–90
- Consultative Council of European Judges, 417
- Contingency of judicial dialogue, 499–500
- Continuity, judicial dialogue and, 458–59
- Convention against Torture
 - overview, 141, 175n6, 179n22
 - Australia, judicial dialogue in, 178–79
 - Canada, judicial dialogue in, 176–77, 205n135
 - complementary protection and, 184–86
 - European Union, judicial dialogue in, 177–78
 - New Zealand, judicial dialogue in, 177
- Convention concerning Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries (ILO Convention 169)
 - overview, 103n135
 - Bolivia, judicial dialogue in, 102–03, 103n139
- Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, 183n37
- Convention Refugee Status and Subsidiary Protection Working Party, 193n89
- Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees (1951). *See* Refugee Convention
- “Cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts
 - overview, 287–92, 338
 - domestic particularities and, 298–99, 315
 - effects of judicial dialogue in, 318–19
 - “European consensus” and, 318, 318n140
 - evidence and, 293–96, 313–14, 319–20, 524
 - evolutive interpretation of ECHR, encouraging, 317–18, 335–37, 524
 - extent of judicial dialogue in, 293
 - facts and, 293–96, 313–14, 319–20, 524
 - family law and, 304n79
 - implementation of ECHR rights, encouraging, 315–16, 322–35, 524
 - judicial reasoning and, 306–09, 331–35
 - judicial review and, 323–31
 - jurisprudence of ECtHR and, 300–03
 - limitations of ECtHR capacities, compensating for, 312–15, 319–22
 - methods of judicial dialogue in, 291n22
 - national law and, 296–98, 314–15
 - present day conditions and, 310–12
 - procedure and, 303–06, 331–35
 - purposes of judicial dialogue in, 312
 - relevance and, 310–12
 - subsidiary role of ECtHR, 289–90, 290n20, 524–25
 - substantive law and, 300
- Corrective judicial dialogue, 404–05
- Cory, Peter, 146–47
- Cosmopolitanism
 - overview, 435–36, 464–66
 - authority and, 457–60
 - autonomy and, 457–60
 - challenges facing constitutional democracies and, 436
- Charter of Fundamental Rights and, 447
- CJEU and, 454n48
- Cold War, effect of end of, 475–76
- continuity and, 458–59
- cultural unity and, 481
- defined, 444–46
- detachment and, 458–59
- domestic courts and, 452–55, 465–66
- doubts regarding, 479–81
- ECHR and, 447
- ECtHR and, 454n48, 461, 463–64
- eschatology and, 473–74, 473n20
- extent of judicial dialogue and, 455–57
- freedom of religion and, 439n4
- global integration and, 473–76
- globalisation and, 440–44
- headscarves and, 461–62, 464n82
- hospitality and, 445–46
- imperfection of constitutional democracies and, 436–40
- Internet and, 474

- Cosmopolitanism (*cont.*)
 Internet regulation and, 456–57,
 459–60
 “liberal project,” judicial dialogue
 as, 476–79
 metaphor of dialogue in, 470–73
 methods of judicial dialogue
 and, 455–57
 misrepresentation and,
 449–51, 460–64
 non-cosmopolitan interpretation of
 judicial dialogue (*See*
 Non-cosmopolitan interpretation
 of judicial dialogue)
 norms in, 446–49
 notion of, 444–46
 pluralism and, 475, 481–82
 public international law and, 446–49
 purposes of judicial dialogue
 and, 460–64
 religious symbols and, 463–64
 role of courts in, 436–40
 role of judicial dialogue, 451–52
 same-sex marriage and, 439n4
 in transitional states, 452–53
 transparency and, 464
 Cotonou Agreement, 441n13
 Council of Europe
 overview, 266, 299, 512
amicus curiae briefs, judicial dialogue
 and, 343, 357, 361
 Bioethics Committee, 417
 Committee for the Prevention of
 Torture, 417, 418
 complementary protection and, 193–94
 Consultative Council of European
 Judges, 417
 Council of Ministers, 416, 418
 European Commission against
 Racism and Intolerance, 417, 418
 European Commissioner for Human
 Rights, 417, 421
 globalisation and, 442
 human rights and, 506
 membership of Eastern European
 countries, 30, 30n14
 non-involved parties, judicial dia-
 logue and, 412–13, 417–18
 Parliamentary Assembly (PACE),
 416, 418
 same-sex marriage and, 238–39,
 241, 244–45
 Statute of 1949, 410
 Venice Commission, 88n70, 417, 418
 Court of Justice of the European Union
 (CJEU)
 overview, 511
amicus curiae briefs, judicial dialogue
 and, 355, 368, 374–75
 Canada, judicial dialogue with, 116
 Charter of Fundamental Rights and,
 227, 235
 complementary protection and,
 181–83, 201, 210–11, 213–14
 cosmopolitanism and, 454n48
 Directive 2000/78/EC Establishing a
 General Framework for Equal
 Treatment in Employment and
 Occupation and, 227, 230–32
 Eastern Europe, judicial dialogue
 with, 508–10, 517
 ECHR and, 228–29, 229n50, 232–34,
 235, 236–37
 ECtHR, judicial dialogue with,
 228–29, 235–37
 effects of judicial dialogue in, 517
 employment discrimination,
 preliminary rulings on, 228–32
 European Union staff, rulings
 pertaining to, 232–37
 Germany, judicial dialogue with,
 259–63, 514–15, 517
 human rights and, 519
 Internet regulation and, 456–57
 Italy, judicial dialogue with, 270–71,
 275–76, 278, 279, 517
 Poland, judicial dialogue with, 30,
 39–41, 45–46, 51, 52, 508–10
 re-entry and, 488–89
 rejection of judicial reasoning, 518
 same-sex marriage and, 217, 218,
 227–37, 247–49
 Staff Regulations of Officials of the
 European Communities and the
 Conditions of Employment of
 other Servants of the European

- Communities and, 227–28, 232–33, 234–36, 234n77
- TFEU and, 232–34, 233n72
- Ukraine, judicial dialogue with, 52–53
- United Kingdom, judicial dialogue with, 253–54, 517
- Crimes against humanity in South America, judicial dialogue and, 83–84
- Criteria for judicial dialogue, 425–26
- Cultural unity, 481
- Czapliński, W., 28n7
- Czech Republic
 - Constitutional Court, 57
 - Constitution of 1992, 34n25, 57
 - judicial dialogue in
 - amicus curiae* briefs and, 363
 - difficult cases, resolving, 57
 - extent of, 33–34
 - Lithuania, with, 57
 - Netherlands, with, 57
 - Poland, with, 57
 - Slovakia, with, 57
- Death penalty
 - Canada, judicial dialogue in, 142, 146–47, 519
 - Russian Federation, judicial dialogue in, 333–35
- Defamation
 - Poland, judicial dialogue in, 59–61, 59n121
 - Russian Federation, judicial dialogue in, 302
- Definition of judicial dialogue, 12–15
- Denmark
 - asylum laws in, 188n64
 - Canada, judicial dialogue compared, 204
 - Registered Partnership Act (1989), 219, 220–21
 - same-sex marriage in, 219–21
- Denning, Lord, 254, 254n3
- De Schutter, Olivier, 370n143
- Detachment, judicial dialogue and, 458–59
- De Vergottini, Giuseppe, 90
- Dickson, Brian, 117n12, 133n70, 138
- Direct judicial dialogue, 12n63
- Discovery of cases
 - inside material, 422
 - of non-involved parties, 255–57
 - outside material, 423
 - relevance and, 422–23
 - in United Kingdom, 255–57
- Discrepancy, judicial dialogue and, 405–06
- Domestic courts
 - “cooperative system” with ECtHR. (See “Cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts)
 - cosmopolitanism and, 452–55, 465–66
 - effects of judicial dialogue in, 517–19
 - extent of judicial dialogue in, 504–10
 - methods of judicial dialogue in, 511–12
 - purposes of judicial dialogue in, 513–16
 - “Transnational Judicial Dialogue: Concept, Method, Extent and Effects” (conference), questions in, 529–34
- Domestic particularities
 - in Canada, 130–31
 - “cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts and, 298–99, 315
 - in Germany, 298–99
 - in Russian Federation, 299, 321–22
- Domestic relations law. *See* Family law
- du Bois de Gaudusson, Jean, 365n128
- Due process, extent of judicial dialogue and, 509
- Dzehtsiarou, Kanstantsin, 346n32, 347, 370
- Eastern Europe. *See also specific country*
 - Council of Europe, membership in, 30, 30n14
 - European Union, membership in, 30
 - judicial dialogue in
 - overview, 27–31, 65–66
 - amicus curiae* briefs and, 363
 - CJEU, with, 508–10, 517

- Eastern Europe (*cont.*)
 differences from domestic
 solutions, defending, 516
 ECHR and, 30n14
 ECtHR, with, 508–10, 517
 effects of, 47
 extent of, 505, 506–07, 508–10
 harmonisation of law in, 519
 human rights and, 506,
 514–15, 526–27
 purposes of, 47
 under socialism, 28
 transition in, 452–53
 ECHR. *See* European Convention on
 Human Rights (ECHR)
 Eco, Umberto, 471, 471n13
 ECtHR. *See* European Court of Human
 Rights (ECtHR)
 Ecuador
 Chile, judicial dialogue with, 81–82
 Colombia, judicial dialogue with, 86
 Education, judicial dialogue and, 16n82
 Effects of judicial dialogue
 overview, 19–21
 amicus curiae briefs and, 371–78
 in Canada, 144–48
 in CJEU, 517
 complementary protection and, 194–95
 in “cooperative system” between
 ECtHR and domestic
 courts, 318–19
 in domestic courts, 517–19
 in Eastern Europe, 47
 on ECHR, 20
 in ECtHR, 20, 430–31, 517, 524–25
 fragmentation of law, 518–19
 harmonisation of law, 518–19
 human rights and, 519
 in IACtHR, 517
 judicial reasoning, on, 518
 level of court, impact of, 517
 on national law, 517
 non-cosmopolitan interpretation
 and, 503
 in Poland, 47
 in Russian Federation, 517
 same-sex marriage and, 242–47
 in South America, 94–97, 110, 517

 Egede, E., 172n73
 Electoral law
 Greece, judicial dialogue in,
 429–30
 Italy, judicial dialogue in, 406–07
 Russian Federation, judicial dia-
 logue in, 297–98, 299, 303,
 333–35, 336–37
 Elster, John, 439–40
 Ely, John Hart, 458, 458n63
 Empirical research on judicial
 dialogue, 5–7
 Engagement, 13, 13n66, 114n3
 Engels, Friedrich, 476n42
 Equivalent protection, 488–89
 Eschatology, 473–74, 473n20
 Eskridge, William N., 220n17, 223,
 223n33
 European Central Bank, 263
 European Collaborative Research
 Projects in the Social Sciences
 (ECRP), 10–11, 529, 535
 European Commission against Racism
 and Intolerance, 417, 418
 European Commissioner for Human
 Rights, 417, 421, 423
 European Commission for Democracy
 through Law. *See* Venice
 Commission
 European Commission of Human
 Rights, 228–29, 411, 522
 European Committee for the
 Prevention of Torture, 423
 European Committee on Social Rights,
 423, 425
 “European consensus”
 amicus curiae briefs and, 372
 “cooperative system” between ECtHR
 and domestic courts and, 318,
 318n140
 extent of judicial dialogue and, 521
 family law and, 306
 Germany, judicial dialogue in, 335
 purposes of judicial dialogue and,
 428, 429, 523–24
 Russian Federation, judicial dialogue
 in, 333–35, 336
 same-sex marriage and, 247–49

- European Convention for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, 179n22, 417
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR). *See also* European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
- overview, 11–12
- Canada, judicial dialogue in, 121–22, 146, 147n119
- Chile, judicial dialogue in, 81
- CJEU, judicial dialogue in, 228–29, 229n50, 232–34, 235, 236–37
- complementary protection and, 210–11
- “cooperative system” with domestic courts (*See* “Cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts)
- cosmopolitanism and, 447
- effects of judicial dialogue on, 20
- Germany, judicial dialogue in, 263–64
- Hungary, judicial dialogue in, 55
- Italy, judicial dialogue in, 270, 272–75, 276–78, 279
- Lithuania, judicial dialogue in, 64–65
- Malaysia, judicial dialogue in, 167–68
- Nigeria, judicial dialogue in, 167–68
- Poland, judicial dialogue in, 30, 35, 40, 50, 59–61
- purposes of judicial dialogue, 18–19
- ratification by Eastern European countries, 30n14
- Russian Federation, judicial dialogue in, 62–64
- same-sex marriage and, 228–29, 229n50, 232–34, 235, 236–38, 239–40, 242–45, 246–47
- shari’ah* courts, judicial dialogue in, 161, 167–68, 169–71
- Ukraine, judicial dialogue in, 45
- United Kingdom, judicial dialogue in, 254–55
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR). *See also* European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
- overview, 10–12, 14n72, 16, 22–23, 511, 519–20
- African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights and, 424
- American Court of Human Rights and, 431
- amicus curiae* briefs and (*See Amicus curiae* briefs)
- Australia, judicial dialogue with, 521
- Canada, judicial dialogue with, 116, 124–26, 135, 138, 146–47, 146n116, 147n119, 507–08, 515, 521
- Chile, judicial dialogue with, 81–82
- CJEU, judicial dialogue with, 228–29, 235–37
- Committee against Torture and, 424–25
- Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and, 423
- Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women and, 423
- complementary protection and, 184–85, 201, 210–11
- confirmative judicial dialogue, 402–04
- Convention against Racial Discrimination and, 426–27
- “cooperative system” with domestic courts (*See* “Cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts)
- corrective judicial dialogue, 404–05
- cosmopolitanism and, 454n48, 461, 463–64
- discrepancy and, 405–06
- Eastern Europe, judicial dialogue with, 508–10, 517
- effects of judicial dialogue in, 20, 430–31, 517, 524–25
- European Committee on Social Rights and, 423, 425
- extent of judicial dialogue in, 520–21
- Germany, judicial dialogue with, 263–64, 403–04, 514–15, 517
- Hague Child Abduction Convention and, 427–28

- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) (*cont.*)
 - harmonisation of jurisprudence, 523–24, 525
 - Human Rights Committee and, 424–25, 426
 - Hungary, judicial dialogue with, 55–56
 - IACtHR, judicial dialogue with, 423–24
 - ICJ and, 426–27
 - ICTY and, 431
 - ILO and, 423, 425, 427
 - Italy, judicial dialogue with, 270, 272–78, 279, 406–07, 517
 - Lithuania, judicial dialogue with, 64–65
 - Malaysia, judicial dialogue with, 167–68, 515
 - methods of judicial dialogue in, 522
 - Myanmar, judicial dialogue with, 431
 - New Zealand, judicial dialogue with, 521
 - Nigeria, judicial dialogue with, 167–68, 515
 - non-cosmopolitan interpretation of judicial dialogue in, 496–97
 - non-involved parties, judicial dialogue with (*See* Non-involved parties)
 - Office of the Jurisconsult, 411
 - perspectives of judges in, 23
 - Poland, judicial dialogue with, 30, 35–38, 45–46, 48–49, 50, 51, 52, 53–55, 56–57, 59–61, 508–10, 514
 - proposed judicial dialogue, 408–09
 - purposes of judicial dialogue in, 18–19, 522–24
 - re-entry and, 488
 - Registry, 346, 346n31, 369, 422
 - rejection of judicial reasoning, 518
 - Research Division, 346, 370, 411, 522
 - res interpretata* in, 401–02
 - Rules of the Court, 346n30
 - Russian Federation, judicial dialogue with, 50–52, 62–64, 517
 - same-sex marriage and, 217, 218, 237–49
 - settled case law, 275n19
 - shari'ah* courts, judicial dialogue with, 161, 167–68, 169–71, 515
 - significant rectification of case law by, 407–08
 - slight rectification of case law by, 406–07
 - South Africa, judicial dialogue with, 521
 - Spain, judicial dialogue with, 403, 408–09
 - strategic purposes of judicial dialogue in, 522–23
 - “Transnational Judicial Dialogue: Concept, Method, Extent and Effects” (conference), questions in, 535–41
 - types of judicial dialogue in, 401–02
 - Ukraine, judicial dialogue with, 43n66, 45
 - United Kingdom, judicial dialogue with, 253–55, 283n45, 404–05, 406–08, 517
 - United States, judicial dialogue with, 521
 - Venice Commission and, 423
 - World Health Organization and, 423
- European Court of Justice (ECJ). *See* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
- European Forum of Commercial Judges, 46
- European Parliament, 238–39
- European Science Foundation (ESF), 10–11
- European Union
 - Air Quality Directive, 256
 - Charter of Fundamental Rights (*See* Charter of Fundamental Rights)
 - Civil Service Tribunal, 232, 234–36
 - complementary protection and, 174n5, 175, 177–78, 180–84, 187, 200–02
 - crises in, 480
 - Data Retention Directive, 456–57, 457n58
 - Directive 2000/78/EC Establishing a General Framework for Equal Treatment in Employment and Occupation, 227, 230–32, 238–39, 243
 - Dublin Regulation, 201–02

- General Court, 232, 235–36
 membership of Eastern European countries, 30
 Qualification Directive, 177–78, 180–84, 187, 200–01, 203, 204, 209–12
 Refugee Convention and, 177–78
 same-sex marriage, CJEU rulings pertaining to EU staff, 232–37
 Staff Regulations of Officials of the European Communities and the Conditions of Employment of other Servants of the European Communities, 227–28, 232–33, 234–36, 234n77
- Evidence
 in “cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts, 293–96, 313–14, 319–20, 524
 Germany, judicial dialogue in, 319–20
 Russian Federation, judicial dialogue in, 295, 296, 313–14, 320
 United Kingdom, judicial dialogue in, 407–08
- Evolutionary interpretation of ECHR
 overview, 524
 “cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts and, 317–18, 335–37, 524
 Germany, judicial dialogue in, 317–18, 335–36
 Russian Federation, judicial dialogue in, 336–37
- Expression, freedom of. *See* Freedom of expression
- Extent of judicial dialogue
 overview, 15–17
amicus curiae briefs and, 367–70, 378
 in Australia, 507
 in Canada, 123–24, 505, 506–09
 complementary protection, 179, 506, 509
 in “cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts, 293
 cosmopolitanism and, 455–57
 in Czech Republic, 33–34
 in domestic courts, 504–10
 due process and, 509
 in Eastern Europe, 505, 506–07, 508–10
 in ECtHR, 520–21
 “European consensus” and, 521
 in Germany, 505, 506–07
 human rights, correlation with, 505–06
 ideological barriers and, 510
 in Italy, 505, 506–07
 language, impact of, 507–08
 in Malaysia, 505, 510
 most cited jurisdictions, 85–86, 110–12, 507–08
 in Nigeria, 505, 510
 non-judicial dialogue and, 510
 in Poland, 31, 43–45, 505, 508–10
 Refugee Convention and, 508–09
 regional organizations and, 509–10
 same-sex marriage and, 242, 510
 in *shari’ah* courts, 505, 506, 510
 in South America, 76, 504–05, 506–10
 specific rights, 508–09
 in Ukraine, 33–34, 43n66
 in United Kingdom, 254–55, 505, 506–07
 in United States, 506–08
- Facts
 in “cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts, 293–96, 313–14, 319–20, 524
 Germany, judicial dialogue in, 295, 319–20
 Russian Federation, judicial dialogue in, 295, 296, 313–14, 320
- Family law
 in “cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts, 304n79
 “European consensus” and, 306
 Germany, judicial dialogue in, 304–05, 308, 319–20, 331–32, 332n209, 335–36
 Russian Federation, judicial dialogue in, 299, 305–06, 311–12, 316
 same-sex marriage and, 240
shari’ah courts, limitation of jurisdiction to, 161, 162n37

- Ferreres, Victor, 462n78
 Ferrer MacGregor, Eduardo, 190
 Finland
 Constitution, 454
 judicial dialogue in
 Germany, with, 184
 Sweden, with, 184
 United Kingdom, with, 184
 same-sex marriage in, 219
 Supreme Administrative Court, 184
 Supreme Court, 454
 Fischer-Lescano, Andreas, 480, 484, 491
 Flanagan, Brian, 9, 348n40, 364, 364n119
 Flauss, Jean-François, 358–59, 358n93
 Fontana, David, 365–66
 Forced disappearances in South
 America, judicial dialogue and,
 83–84, 83n56
 France
 Commission des Recours des
 Réfugiés, 204
 Conseil Constitutionnel, 102, 223
 Constitution, 102
 Cour de Cassation, 255–56
 judicial dialogue in
 Argentina, with, 99
 Brazil, with, 98
 Canada, with, 126
 Canada compared, 138
 Chile, with, 102
 Poland, with, 41–42
 South America, with, 86
 United Kingdom, with, 203,
 212, 255–56
 Mariage pour Tous, 249
 National Asylum Court, 190–91
 Pacte Civil de Solidarité, 222–23
 Parliament, 222–23, 246–47
 same-sex marriage in, 222–23, 225,
 246–47, 249
 Supreme Court, 222
 Franco, Francisco, 225
 Fraser, Nancy, 439n5, 442, 443
 Freedom of expression
 Germany, judicial dialogue in, 298–99,
 301–02, 310–11
 South America, judicial dialogue
 in, 83–84
 Freedom of religion, cosmopolitanism
 and, 439n4
 “Friend of the court” briefs. *See Amicus
 curiae* briefs
 Gallo, Daniele, 527
 Gay rights. *See* LGBTI rights; Same-sex
 marriage
 Geneva Convention for the
 Amelioration of the Condition
 of the Wounded and Sick in
 Armed Forces in the Field,
 211n173
 Gerber, D., 367n136
 Germany
 Act on Protracted Court Proceedings
 and Criminal Investigations
 (2011), 326n180
 Basic Law, 310–11, 317–18, 324–25,
 324n171, 335–36
 Bavarian Administrative
 Court, 230–32
 Civil Code, 331–32, 335–36
 Constitution, 224, 259–60, 260n7,
 324–25, 324n169, 335–36, 491
 Criminal Code, 315–16, 324–25, 335
 Federal Administrative Court, 208–09,
 210, 212, 256
 Federal Constitutional Court
 confirmative dialogue in, 404
 evolutive interpretation by, 317–18
 family law and, 102, 319–20,
 331–32, 335–36
 freedom of expression and, 310–11
 human rights and, 454n47, 514–15
 Internet and, 456–57
 judicial dialogue in, 258–66
 judicial reasoning in, 255
 judicial review in, 101n126
 national law, application of, 297
 non-involved parties and, 412
 preventive detention and, 315–16,
 316n130, 324–26
 re-entry in, 488, 489
 same-sex marriage and, 224, 232
 translations of decisions, 135–36
 Federal Court of Justice, 255, 326,
 336n234, 404

- Federal Labour Court, 297, 310–11
 Internet regulation in, 456–57
 judicial dialogue in
 Brazil, with, 98
 Canada, with, 135–36, 210
 Charter of Fundamental Rights
 and, 261–62
 Chile, with, 102
 CJEU, with, 259–63, 514–15, 517
 “cooperative system” between
 ECtHR and domestic courts
 (See “Cooperative system”
 between ECtHR and domestic
 courts)
 differences from domestic solutions,
 defending, 516
 domestic particularities and, 298–99
 ECHR and, 263–64
 ECtHR, with, 263–64, 403–04,
 514–15, 517
 “European consensus” and, 335
 evidence and, 295, 319–20
 evolutive interpretation of ECHR
 in, 317–18, 335–36
 exceptions to ECtHR jurisprudence,
 325n172, 325n174
 extent of, 505, 506–07
 facts and, 295, 319–20
 family law and, 304–05, 308,
 319–20, 331–32, 332n209, 333
 Finland, with, 184
 foreign courts, with, 265
 freedom of expression and, 298–99,
 301–02, 310–11
 Hungary, with, 56
 ICJ, with, 264
 implementation of ECHR rights,
 encouraging, 315–16
 international legal bodies,
 with, 264–65
 Italy, with, 281–82
 judicial reasoning and, 307, 308
 jurisprudence of ECtHR
 and, 301–02
 LGTBI rights and, 333
 national law and, 297
 non-involved parties, with,
 412, 414
 Poland, with, 41–42, 54
 present day conditions and, 310–11
 pretrial detention and, 301–02,
 311, 315–16, 324–26
 procedure and, 304–05, 306, 331–32
 relevance and, 310–11
 South America, with, 86
 TEU and, 259, 262
 United Kingdom, with, 203, 208–
 09, 210, 212, 255, 256
 Uruguay, with, 97
 judicial review in, 324–26
 Labour Code, 297
 Life Partnership Act (2001), 224
 male circumcision in, 491–93
 Penal Code, 491
 same-sex marriage in, 224, 225
 Social Security Code, 230–32
 Glensy, Rex, 364
 Global integration, 473–76
 Globalisation, 440–44
 Globalising constitutionalism, 445n18
 Greece
 Constitutional Court, 283
 Court of Cassation, 281
 Helsinki Monitor, 362
 judicial dialogue in
 electoral law and, 429–30
 Italy, with, 281–82
 Grove, Tara Leigh, 156–57
 Habermas, Jürgen, 468, 472
 Hague Child Abduction
 Convention, 427–28
 Hanafi school, 158
 Hanbali school, 158
 Hathaway, James, 183n37, 193–94
 Headscarves, judicial dialogue and,
 461–62, 464n82
 Held, David, 445
 Heteronymous states, 442
 Hierarchy of decisions, impact on
 judicial dialogue, 420
 High Commissioner for Refugees
 (UNHCR), 174, 181–83,
 182n32, 193–94, 208n147,
 209–10, 421
 Hirji, Zulfikar, 158

- Hirschl, Ran, 87
- Hogg, Peter, 122n38
- Homosexual rights. *See* LGBTBI rights;
Same-sex marriage
- Honduras, judicial dialogue with
Chile, 81–82
- Hong Kong
asylum in, 175n6
non-involved parties, judicial
dialogue and, 414
- Horizontal judicial dialogue
overview, 13–14
complementary protection and,
179–91, 212–14
in South America, 107–09
- Hospitality, 445–46
- Hugo, Victor, 477n45
- Human rights. *See also specific topic*
overview, 1–3
ACHR. (*See* American Convention
on Human Rights (ACHR))
Canada, judicial dialogue in,
514–15, 526–27
CJEU, judicial dialogue in, 519
Council of Europe and, 506
Eastern Europe, judicial dialogue in,
506, 514–15, 526–27
ECHR. (*See* European Convention
on Human Rights (ECHR))
ECtHR and (*See* European Court of
Human Rights (ECtHR))
effects of judicial dialogue
regarding, 519
extent of judicial dialogue, correlation
with, 505–06
IACHR. (*See* Inter-American
Commission on Human Rights
(IACHR))
IACtHR and. (*See* Inter-American
Court of Human Rights
(IACtHR))
ICJ, judicial dialogue in, 526–27
Italy, judicial dialogue in, 526–27
judicial dialogue as
enhancing, 525–27
Poland, judicial dialogue in,
514–15, 526–27
purposes of judicial dialogue and,
428–30, 514–15, 523
Russian Federation, judicial dialogue
in, 322
South America, judicial dialogue in,
506, 514–15, 526–27
Human Rights Centre of Ghent
University (NGO), 374–75
Human Rights Committee. *See also*
International Covenant on Civil
and Political Rights (ICCPR)
amicus curiae briefs and, 343n17,
354–55, 368
complementary protection
and, 184–85
ECtHR and, 424–25, 426
Poland, judicial dialogue with, 36
Russian Federation, judicial dialogue
with, 322
shari'ah courts and, 170–71, 171n68
- Hungary
Constitution, 56
Constitutional Court, 55–56
Criminal Code, 55, 56
Fundamental Law, 34n26
judicial dialogue in
Canada compared, 130
difficult cases, resolving, 55–56
ECHR and, 55
ECtHR, with, 55–56
extent of, 33–34
Germany, with, 56
Italy, with, 56
Latvia, with, 56
Lithuania, with, 56
Poland, with, 56
Romania, with, 56
Slovakia, with, 56
Ukraine and, 56
Supreme Court, 56
Husain, Raza, 188n61
- IACHR. *See* Inter-American Commission
on Human Rights (IACHR)
- IACtHR. *See* Inter-American Court of
Human Rights (IACtHR)
- Ibero-American Conference on
Constitutional Justice, 88–89,
89n76
- ICCPR. *See* International Covenant on
Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)

- Iceland, same-sex marriage in, 219
- ILGA-Europe (NGO), 238
- Illegitimacy, *shari'ah* courts and, 167–68
- Inconsistency of judicial dialogue, 8–9
- India
- Canada, judicial dialogue compared, 121–22
 - Chile, judicial dialogue with, 81–82
- Indirect judicial dialogue, 12n63
- Inevitability of judicial dialogue, 499–500
- Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR)
- overview, 16
 - petitions, 75n28
 - South America, judicial dialogue in, 75, 85
 - Venezuela, judicial dialogue in, 76–77, 510
- Inter-American Convention on the Forced Disappearance of Persons, 83n56
- Inter-American Convention to Prevent and Punish Torture, 179n22
- Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACtHR)
- overview, 16
 - amicus curiae* briefs, judicial dialogue and, 355, 356–57, 368, 376
 - Canada, judicial dialogue with, 116, 147, 147n120
 - complementary protection, judicial dialogue and, 184–85
 - ECtHR, judicial dialogue with, 423–24
 - effects of judicial dialogue, 517
 - petitions, 75n28
 - South America, judicial dialogue with, 75, 84–85, 508–10
 - Venezuela, judicial dialogue with, 76–77, 510
- Interights (NGO), 366–67
- International armed conflict, 211n173
- International Association of Refugee Law Judges, 193–94, 204, 204n132, 211–12
- International Association of Supreme Administrative Jurisdictions, 46–47, 46n80
- International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, 179n22, 426–27
- International Court of Justice (ICJ)
- amicus curiae* briefs, judicial dialogue and, 354, 368
- ECtHR, judicial dialogue with, 426–27
- Germany, judicial dialogue with, 264
- human rights, judicial dialogue and, 526–27
- Italy, judicial dialogue with, 275, 279–84, 514–15, 519
- non-cosmopolitan interpretation of judicial dialogue and, 496–97
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR). *See also* Human Rights Committee
- overview, 424
 - amicus curiae* briefs, judicial dialogue and, 354
 - Canada, judicial dialogue and, 135n73
 - Chile, judicial dialogue and, 102
 - Colombia, judicial dialogue and, 103–04
 - New Zealand, judicial dialogue and, 177
 - Poland, judicial dialogue and, 30, 35, 36, 37
 - Russian Federation, judicial dialogue and, 322
 - shari'ah* courts, judicial dialogue and, 170–71
 - South America, judicial dialogue and, 84–85
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), 135n73
- International Criminal Court (ICC), *amicus curiae* briefs and, 354
- International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR), *amicus curiae* briefs and, 354
- International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY)
- amicus curiae* briefs, judicial dialogue and, 354
 - complementary protection, judicial dialogue and, 213–14

- International Humanist and Ethical Union (NGO), 492–93
- International Labour Organisation (ILO)
 - Bolivia, judicial dialogue with, 102–03, 103n139
 - ECtHR, judicial dialogue with, 423, 425, 427
 - Expert Committee, 423
- “International Law through the National Prism: the Impact of Judicial Dialogue” (conference), 10–12, 529, 535
- International rule of law, 4–5
- Internet
 - cosmopolitanism and, 474
 - regulation of, 456–57, 459–60
- Internet Governance Forum, 459–60
- Ireland
 - Canada, judicial dialogue compared, 121–22
 - non-involved parties, judicial dialogue and, 414,
- Islamic legal thought. *See also Shari’ah courts*
 - Hanafi school, 158
 - Hanbali school, 158
 - judicial dialogue and, 158–62
 - Mālikī school, 158
 - Shāfi’ī school, 158
 - Shi’ī school, 158
 - Sunni schools, 158
- Israel
 - Canada, judicial dialogue compared, 138
 - non-involved parties, judicial dialogue with, 414
 - Poland, judicial dialogue with, 54
- Issue-based perspectives, 22
- Italy
 - Civil Registry Office, 243–44
 - Code of Civil Procedure, 268n3, 268n4
 - Constitution, 240, 244, 268n4, 269–75, 270n8, 272n12, 276–77, 282–83, 514–15
 - Constitutional Court
 - Agrati-Scattooon* cases, 275–79
 - counter-limitations, 271n11
 - equivalent protection, 488n88
 - judicial dialogue in, 269–75
 - Jurisdictional Immunities of the State* case, 282–84, 514–15
 - non-involved parties and, 412
 - pretrial detention and, 311
 - role of, 267–68, 269
 - same-sex marriage and, 240–42, 248–49
 - Finance Act (2006), 276n22
 - judicial dialogue in
 - overview, 267
 - Agrati-Scattolon* cases, 275–79
 - Charter of Fundamental Rights and, 274n17
 - CJEU and, 270–71, 275–76, 278, 279, 517
 - counter-limitations, 271n11
 - differences from domestic solutions, defending, 516
 - ECHR and, 270, 272–75, 276–78, 279
 - ECtHR, with, 270, 272–78, 279, 406–07, 517
 - electoral law and, 406–07
 - extent of, 505, 506–07
 - Germany, with, 281–82
 - Greece, with, 281–82
 - human rights and, 526–27
 - Hungary, with, 56
 - ICJ, with, 275, 279–84, 514–15, 519
 - Jurisdictional Immunities of the State* case, 279–84, 514–15
 - legislation, effect of, 267–69
 - non-involved parties, with, 412
 - same-sex marriage and, 240–45, 248–49
 - South America, with, 86
 - TEU and, 274n15
 - Uruguay, with, 97
 - vertical judicial dialogue, 283
- Supreme Court of Cassation, 242, 243–44, 248–49, 267–69, 276–77, 280–81
- Tribunal of Florence, 282
- Venice Tribunal, 278

- Jackson, Vicki, 10n57, 13, 19n98, 73, 129, 370
- Jinkaku, 460n68, 460n70
- Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants (NGO), 379n190
- Judges, perspectives of
overview, 11, 22
in ECtHR, 23
- Judicial internationalisation, 114n3
- Judicial reasoning
Canada, rejection in, 518
in “cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts, 306–09, 331–35
effects of judicial dialogue on, 518
Germany, judicial dialogue in, 308
Malaysia, rejection in, 518
Nigeria, rejection in, 518
Russian Federation, judicial dialogue in, 307–09
shari’ah courts, rejection in, 518
United States, rejection in, 518
- Judicial review
in “cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts, 323–31
Germany, judicial dialogue in, 324–26
Russian Federation, judicial dialogue in, 326–31
- Justice (NGO), 352–53, 374, 374n161
- Kaczyński, Lech, 59n121
- Kant, Immanuel, 445–46, 448, 467–68, 473–74, 475–76
- Karl, Beatrix, 492
- Kennedy, Anthony, 370n142
- Kennedy, David, 481–82, 501
- Kilias, Martin, 492
- Kleinfeld, R., 441n11
- Klug, Heinz, 444–45, 445n18, 453n44, 462
- Koh, H.H., 68n7
- Koskenniemi, Martti, 473–74, 476, 479, 480
- Kovler, Anatoly, 50n88
- Krenc, Frédéric, 347, 370
- Krotoszynski, Ronald, 9, 19n98
- Kumm, Matthias, 460, 460n69
- La Forest, Gérard, 128
- Lambert, Hélène, 188, 188n61, 190–91, 200n115
- Lamer, Antonio, 117n12
- la Rue, Frank, 459n66
- Laskin, Bora, 117n12
- Latin America. *See* South America
- Latvia, judicial dialogue with Hungary, 56
- Law, David S., 456, 456n54, 467n1
“Law and society” approach, 441n9
- League of Arab States, 159n20
- LeBel, Louis, 128, 147n120
- Lee, M.Y.K., 220n17
- Legitimacy of judicial dialogue, 9
- Legrand, Pierre, 147n121
- Level of court, impact on judicial dialogue, 517
- Levi-Strauss, Claude, 498
- Levit, Koven, 491
- LGTBI rights
Germany, judicial dialogue in, 335–36
methods of judicial dialogue and, 512
non-judicial dialogue and, 510
Russian Federation, judicial dialogue in, 321–22, 329n195
same-sex marriage. (*See* Same-sex marriage)
- L’Heureux-Dubé, Claire, 128, 133n70, 135n71, 148n122
- “Liberal project,” judicial dialogue as, 476–79
- Liberty (NGO), 353, 366, 372, 374, 379n190
- Likosky, M., 440n8
- Lithuania
Constitutional Court, 64–65
Constitution of 1992, 34n27
judicial dialogue in
Czech Republic, with, 57
ECHR and, 64–65
ECtHR, with, 64–65
extent of, 33–34
Hungary, with, 56
particular domestic solutions, justifying, 64–65
“Living instrument” doctrine, 49n87, 50n88

- Local conditions. *See* Domestic particularities
- López Guerra, Luis, 23, 419, 431
- Luhmann, Niklas, 482–83, 485–86, 489, 497
- Lukashevich, Vasily, 346n32, 347, 370
- Luxembourg Court. *See* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
- Malawi
- Canada, judicial dialogue compared, 137–38
 - Constitution, 137n77
- Malaysia
- Administration of Islamic Law (Federal Territories) Act (1993), 162–64, 169
 - Administration of Muslim Law Enactment (1952) (Selangor State), 163
 - Arab Charter on Human Rights and, 160–61
 - Constitution of 1957, 162–63
 - judicial dialogue in
 - ECHR and, 167–68
 - ECtHR, with, 167–68, 515
 - extent of, 505, 510
 - overcoming barriers to, 169, 171–72
 - rejection of judicial reasoning, 518
 - Muftis, 163–64, 169
 - non-*shari'ah* courts in, 153n2
 - personal law, limitation of jurisdiction to, 161
 - Shāfi'i school, 158
 - shari'ah* courts in, 155n5, 162–64. (*See also* *Shari'ah* courts)
- Male circumcision in Germany, 491–93
- Mālikī school, 158
- Manfredi, C.P., 132n66
- Marx, Karl, 476, 476n42
- Mauritius
- non-involved parties, judicial dialogue and, 414
 - United Kingdom, judicial dialogue with, 255–56
- McAdam, Jane, 191n75
- McCrudden, Christopher, 345, 364
- McLachlin, Beverley, 117n12, 130n61, 374
- Mercosur
- Cooperation Agreement for the Exchange of Information and Publications through the Mercosur Jurisprudence Database, 88n75
 - judicial dialogue and, 93n98
 - Permanent Forum of Supreme Courts, 88
- Meron, Theodor, 431
- Metaphor of judicial dialogue, 470–73
- Meta-political democracy, 442
- Methods of judicial dialogue
- overview, 17
 - amicus curiae* briefs and, 345–48, 370–71, 378, 512
 - in Canada, 138–39
 - complementary protection and, 192–94
 - in “cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts, 291n22
 - cosmopolitanism and, 455–57
 - in domestic courts, 511–12
 - in ECtHR, 522
 - LGTBI rights and, 512
 - non-cosmopolitan interpretation and, 502–03
 - personal contacts of judges, 512
 - in Poland, 45–47
 - regional or international networks, 46–47, 511–12
 - research by judges, 139–40, 511
 - same-sex marriage and, 242
 - in South America, 86–91
- Mexico
- Australia, judicial dialogue with, 190
 - Canada, judicial dialogue with, 190
 - Chile, judicial dialogue with, 81–82
 - Colombia, judicial dialogue with, 97–98
 - complementary protection, judicial dialogue and, 175, 189–90
 - Law on Refugees, Complementary Protection and Political Asylum, 189–90

- New Zealand, judicial dialogue
 - with, 190
- Refugee Convention, judicial
 - dialogue and, 189–90
- Migrants. *See* Complementary protection
- Misrepresentation, 449–51, 460–64
- Mixed vertical-horizontal judicial
 - dialogue, 13–14
- Møse, Erik, 23, 419, 420, 422, 429
- “MultiRights,” 529, 535
- Murray, John L., 459, 461
- Muslim legal thought. *See* Islamic legal thought
- Mutual observation, 484–86
- Myanmar
 - ECtHR, judicial dialogue with, 431
 - Human Rights Commission, 431
- Namibia, judicial dialogue with non-involved parties, 414
- National law
 - consistent interpretation of, judicial dialogue ensuring, 49–53
 - “cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts and, 296–98, 314–15
 - effects of judicial dialogue on, 517
 - Germany, judicial dialogue in, 297
 - international law versus, 420–21
 - Russian Federation, judicial dialogue in, 297–98, 314–15, 320–21
- Nergelius, Joakim, 453n45
- Netherlands
 - Council of State, 190
 - Criminal Code, 81
 - judicial dialogue in
 - Chile, with, 81
 - Czech Republic, with, 57
 - non-involved parties, with, 412
 - United Kingdom, with, 209, 212
 - same-sex marriage in, 221–22
- Network of the Presidents of the Supreme Judicial Courts of the European Union, 46
- Neves, Marcello, 90
- New Zealand
 - Court of Appeal, 208–10
 - Immigration Act (2009), 177
 - Immigration and Protection Tribunal, 185, 190, 197–98, 203, 206
 - judicial dialogue in
 - Australia, with, 204, 206
 - Canada, with, 124–26, 131–32, 196, 208
 - Canada compared, 121–22
 - complementary protection and, 175, 177, 185, 196, 197–98, 203, 206, 208
 - Convention against Torture and, 177
 - ECtHR, with, 521
 - ICCPR and, 177
 - Mexico, with, 190
 - non-involved parties, with, 414, 415
 - Refugee Convention and, 177
 - United Kingdom, with, 196, 203, 208–10
 - Supreme Court, 196, 208
- Nicolaidis, K., 441n11
- Nigeria
 - Constitution of 1999, 164–65
 - Courts of Appeal, 164–67, 168–69
 - judicial dialogue in
 - Arab Charter on Human Rights and, 160–61
 - ECHR and, 167–68
 - ECtHR, with, 167–68, 515
 - extent of, 505, 510
 - overcoming barriers to, 168–69, 171–72
 - rejection of judicial reasoning, 518
 - jurisdiction, 164–65
 - Māliki school, 158
 - non-*shari’ah* courts in, 153n2
 - personal law, limitation of jurisdiction to, 161
 - shari’ah* courts in, 155n5, 164–67. (*See also* *Shari’ah* courts)
- Non-cosmopolitan interpretation of judicial dialogue
 - overview, 467–70, 479–84, 500–03
 - bricolage and, 498, 501, 502
 - constructive misunderstanding and, 486–90

- Non-cosmopolitan interpretation of
 judicial dialogue (*cont.*)
 contingency and, 499–500
 cultural unity and, 481
 ECtHR and, 496–97
 effects of judicial dialogue and, 503
 external dimension versus internal
 dimension, 494–98
 ICJ and, 496–97
 inevitability and, 499–500
 methods of judicial dialogue
 and, 502–03
 mutual observation and, 484–86
 NGOs and, 492–93
 non-judicial dialogue, importance
 of, 490–94
 pluralism and, 481–82
 re-entry and, 486–90
- Non-governmental organizations
 (NGOs)
 amicus curiae briefs, judicial dialogue
 and. (*See Amicus curiae* briefs)
 defined, 341n9
 non-cosmopolitan interpretation of
 judicial dialogue and, 492–93
- Non-involved parties
 overview, 410, 417–18
 Bioethics Committee and, 417
 Committee for the Prevention of
 Torture and, 417, 418
 Consultative Council of European
 Judges and, 417
 Council of Ministers and, 416, 418
 European Commission against
 Racism and Intolerance and,
 417, 418
 European Commissioner for Human
 Rights and, 417
 judicial dialogue with
 Australia, with, 414, 415
 Belgium, with, 412
 Canada, with, 413–14, 415
 discovery of cases, 255–57
 Germany, with, 412, 414
 Hong Kong, with, 414
 Ireland, with, 414,
 Israel, with, 414
 Italy, with, 412
 Mauritius, with, 414
 member states of Council of
 Europe, with, 412–13, 417–18
 Namibia, with, 414
 Netherlands, with, 412
 New Zealand, with, 414, 415
 non-member states of Council of
 Europe, with, 413–16
 Scotland, with, 414
 South Africa, with, 414, 415
 Spain, with, 412
 United States, with, 413–14, 415
 Parliamentary Assembly (PACE)
 and, 416, 418
 Venice Commission and, 88n70,
 417, 418
- Non-judicial dialogue, 490–94, 510
- Non-refoulement, 186n51, 207–08
- Nordic Council, 219–20, 221,
 509–10, 512
- Normative appraisals of judicial
 dialogue, 23–24
- Norway, same-sex marriage in, 219
- Norwegian Centre of Excellence,
 529, 535
- Nuremberg Tribunal, *amicus curiae*
 briefs and, 354
- Nußberger, Angelika, 23
- Organisation of Islamic Cooperation,
 159–61, 159n19
- Organization of American States,
 membership of Canada in, 116,
 116n11
- Originalism, 84n60, 129, 249, 455n51
- Örücü, Esin, 4n20, 91, 348n41
- Ost, François, 470
- Outline of study, 21–24
- Owens, Julie, 173n2
- Pakistan, *shari'ah* courts in, 158
- Paraguay
 Appeal Tribunal of Itapúa, 96n101
 Civil Procedural Code, 96n101
 judicial dialogue in
 Argentina, with, 86, 96n101
 Chile, with, 81–82
 freedom of expression and, 83

- Pasquet, Luca, 527
 Paulus, Andreas, 22, 507
 Pax Americana, 478
Perpetual Peace (Kant), 445–46
 Persuasive authority, 116n10
 Peru
 Bolivia, judicial dialogue with, 102–03
 Chile, judicial dialogue with, 81–82
 Pinto de Albuquerque, Paulo, 375
 Pluralism, 475, 481–82
 “PluriCourts,” 529, 535
 Poland
 Constitutional Court
 CJEU and, 39–40
 creation of, 29
 defamation and, 59–61, 59n121
 ECtHR and, 35–38, 316n134
 foreign domestic courts and, 41–43
 freedom of expression and, 56
 human rights and, 51
 international law and, 32, 33
 interpretive rulings, 57–59
 judicial dialogue in, 44
 national law, application of, 50,
 61n128
 privacy and, 48n84
 purposes of judicial dialogue in,
 125, 513, 516
 regional and international
 networks and, 46–47
 Road Traffic Act and, 56–57
 terrorism and, 53–55
 transition process, legitimisation
 of, 47–49
 Constitution of 1952, 28, 48
 Constitution of 1997, 31–33, 32n20,
 33n24, 35–36, 37, 61n128
 Electronic System of Legal
 Information, 38, 45–46
 international law, position of, 31–34
 judicial dialogue in
 overview, 27–31, 65–66
 amicus curiae briefs and, 363, 512
 Austria, with, 41–42
 Charter of Fundamental Rights
 and, 39–41, 39n51
 CJEU, with, 30, 39–41, 45–46, 51,
 52, 508–10
 competencies of domestic courts,
 widening, 57–59
 consistent interpretation of
 national law, ensuring, 49–53
 Czech Republic, with, 57
 difficult cases, resolving,
 53–57, 513
 ECHR and, 30, 35–38, 40,
 49–50, 59–61
 ECtHR, with, 30, 35–38, 45–46,
 48–50, 51, 52, 53–55, 56–57,
 59–61, 508–10, 514
 effects of, 47
 extent of, 31, 43–45, 505,
 508, 509–10
 foreign domestic courts,
 with, 41–43
 France, with, 41–42
 Germany, with, 41–42, 54
 human rights and, 514–15, 526–27
 Human Rights Committee and, 36
 Hungary, with, 56
 ICCPR and, 30, 35, 36, 37
 Israel, with, 54
 judicial independence, protection
 of, 516
 language, impact of, 38, 41–42
 legal context of, 31–34
 methods of, 45–47
 particular domestic solutions,
 justifying, 59–61
 privacy and, 48n84
 purposes of, 47
 under socialism, 28
 TEU and, 39–41
 transition process, legitimisation
 of, 47–49
 treaties and, 28n8, 29n11, 37n38
 United Kingdom, with, 54
 United States, with, 41–42, 54
 Ministry of Justice, 38
 Penal Code, 59n121
 Road Traffic Act, 56–57
 Small Constitution of 1992, 48
 Supreme Administrative Court, 38,
 40, 42–43, 46–47
 Supreme Court, 35, 37–38, 42–43,
 44, 46–47, 48–49, 50, 57–59, 514

- Polibus, 452
- Portugal
 Brazil, judicial dialogue with, 98
 same-sex marriage, judicial dialogue and, 237–38
- Posner, E.A., 101n130, 448–49, 462n76
- Posner, R.A., 455n51
- Present day conditions
 “cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts and, 310–12
 defined, 1–2
 Germany, judicial dialogue in, 310–11
 Russian Federation, judicial dialogue in, 311–12
- Pretrial detention
 Germany, judicial dialogue in, 301–02, 311, 315–16, 324–26
 Russian Federation, judicial dialogue in, 298, 302–03, 308–09, 314–15, 316, 330–31, 333
- Privacy, judicial dialogue in Poland and, 48n84
- Procedure
 in “cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts, 303–06, 331–35
 Germany, judicial dialogue in, 304–05, 306, 331–32
 Russian Federation, judicial dialogue in, 305–06, 333–35
- Proposed judicial dialogue, 408–09
- Prost, Mario, 479
- Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts, 211n173
- Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees (1967). *See* Refugee Convention
- Public international law, cosmopolitanism and, 446–49
- Purposes of judicial dialogue
 overview, 17–19
 amicus curiae briefs and, 348–50, 524
 in Canada, 142–44
 complementary protection and, 194–95
 in “cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts, 312
 cosmopolitanism and, 460–64
 differences from domestic solutions, defending, 526–27
 difficult cases, resolving, 53–57, 513, 523
 in domestic courts, 513–16
 in Eastern Europe, 47
 in ECtHR, 18–19, 522–24
 “European consensus” and, 428, 429, 523–24
 harmonisation of ECtHR jurisprudence, 523–24, 525
 human rights and, 428–30, 514–15, 523
 judicial independence, protection of, 93–94, 516
 parallel proceedings, reconciling, 426–27
 in Poland, 47
 questions of general relevance, resolving, 428
 in South America, 91–94
 specific treaties, interpreting, 427–28
 strategic purposes, 522–23
 in United Kingdom, 257
- Quasi-judicial bodies, 419n1
- Random nature of judicial dialogue, 525–27
- Reasoning. *See* Judicial reasoning
- Reception
 judicial dialogue contrasted, 14, 68–69
 in South America, 68–69
- Redress Trust (NGO), 369n140, 376
- Re-entry, 486–90
- Refugee Convention
 overview, 22, 173, 180
 Australia, judicial dialogue in, 178–79
 Canada, judicial dialogue in, 141, 176–77
 European Union, judicial dialogue in, 177–78
 extent of judicial dialogue and, 508–09

- grounds for relief, 173n2
- interaction with complementary protection, 204–05
- Mexico, judicial dialogue in, 189–90
- New Zealand, judicial dialogue in, 177
- non-refoulement, 207
- United Kingdom, judicial dialogue in, 209–10
- Refugee-like persons. *See* Complementary protection
- Regional organizations, extent of judicial dialogue and, 509–10
- Regional perspectives, 21–22
- Rehnquist, William, 135n71
- Relevance
 - in “cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts, 310–12
 - discovery of cases, 422–23
 - fact-finding and, 421–22
 - Germany, judicial dialogue in, 310–11
 - inside material, 422
 - outside material, 423
 - Russian Federation, judicial dialogue in, 311–12
 - selection of material, 423–25
- Religious freedom, cosmopolitanism and, 439n4
- Religious symbols, judicial dialogue and, 463–64
- Repetto, G., 225n42
- Research methodology
 - overview, 21
 - amicus curiae* briefs, judicial dialogue and, 341–44, 359–67
 - South America, judicial dialogue in, 70–73
- Research on judicial dialogue, 5–7
- Res interpretata*, 401–02
- Roberts, John, 145n109
- Romania
 - amicus curiae* briefs, judicial dialogue and, 363
 - Hungary, judicial dialogue with, 56
- Romano, Cesare, 344n21
- Rozakis, Christos, 354, 358
- Rubinfeld, Jed, 466
- Rule of law, judicial dialogue and, 4–5
- Russian Federation
 - Code of Civil Procedure, 337n240
 - Code of Criminal Procedure, 51–52, 302–03, 326–28, 330–31
 - Constitutional Court
 - death penalty and, 333–35
 - domestic particularities and, 321–22
 - electoral law and, 297–98, 333–35, 336–37
 - family law and, 62–64, 62n129, 299, 311–12, 312n120
 - human rights and, 51–52
 - LGTBI rights and, 329n195
 - national law, application of, 314–15, 326–31
 - pretrial detention and, 302–03
 - Constitution of 1993, 34n28, 51–52, 62–64, 62n129
 - growth of wealth and power in, 480
 - judicial dialogue in
 - acceptance of ECtHR jurisprudence, 329n199
 - consistent interpretation of national law, ensuring, 50–52
 - “cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts. (*See* “Cooperative system” between ECtHR and domestic courts)
 - death penalty and, 333–35
 - defamation and, 302
 - domestic particularities and, 299, 321–22
 - ECHR and, 62–64
 - ECtHR, with, 50–52, 62–64, 517
 - effects of, 517
 - electoral law and, 297–98, 299, 303, 333–35, 336–37
 - “European consensus” and, 333–35, 336
 - evidence and, 295, 296, 313–14, 320
 - evolutive interpretation of ECHR in, 336–37
 - extent of, 33–34

- Russian Federation (*cont.*)
 facts and, 295, 296, 313–14, 320
 family law and, 299, 305–06,
 311–12, 316
 human rights and, 322
 Human Rights Committee and, 322
 ICCPR and, 322
 implementation of ECHR rights,
 encouraging, 316
 judicial reasoning and, 307–09
 judicial review in, 326–31
 jurisprudence of ECtHR
 and, 302–03
 LGBTI rights and, 321–22, 329n195
 national law and, 297–98,
 314–15, 320–21
 particular domestic solutions,
 justifying, 62–64
 present day conditions and, 311–12
 pretrial detention and, 298,
 302–03, 308–09, 314–15, 316,
 330–31, 333
 procedure and, 304,
 305–06, 333–35
 relevance and, 311–12
 same-sex marriage and, 244–45
 Supreme Court, 50–51, 320–22,
 326–31, 333–35, 336–37
 Tatarstan Supreme Court, 316
 Ryngaert, Cedric, 500
- Sabato, Raffaele, 22
- Sadurski, Wojciech, 337, 363
- Same-sex marriage
 overview, 216–18, 247–49
 in Austria, 225
 judicial dialogue and, 238–40,
 245–46, 248
 in Belgium, 221–22
 Charter of Fundamental Rights and,
 227, 227n46, 235, 241, 242–43
 CJEU, judicial dialogue in, 217, 218,
 227–37, 247–49
 cosmopolitanism and, 439n4
 Council of Europe and, 238–39,
 241, 244–45
 countries recognising, 216n3
 in Denmark, 219–21
- Directive 2000/78/EC Establishing a
 General Framework for Equal
 Treatment in Employment and
 Occupation and, 227, 230–32,
 238–39, 243
 ECHR and, 228–29, 229n50,
 232–34, 235, 236–38, 239–40,
 242–45, 246–47
 ECtHR, judicial dialogue in, 217,
 218, 237–49
 effects of judicial dialogue
 and, 242–47
 employment discrimination,
 preliminary rulings of CJEU
 on, 228–32
 equal treatment and, 238–39
 European Commission of Human
 Rights and, 228–29
 “European consensus” and, 247–49
 European Parliament and, 238–39
 European Union staff, CJEU rulings
 pertaining to, 232–37
 extent of judicial dialogue and,
 242, 510
 family law and, 240
 in Finland, 219
 in France, 222–23, 225, 246–47, 249
 in Germany, 224, 225
 harmonisation of law and, 519
 in Iceland, 219
 Italy, judicial dialogue in,
 240–45, 248–49
 methods of judicial dialogue and, 242
 national legislatures, dialogue
 between, 218–26, 247
 in Netherlands, 221–22
 non-discrimination and, 237–38
 in Norway, 219
 piecemeal regulation versus, 217n5
 in Portugal, 237–38
 registration versus, 216n4
 right to marry and, 239–42
 Russian Federation, judicial dialogue
 in, 244–45
 in Spain, 224–25
 Staff Regulations of Officials of the
 European Communities and the
 Conditions of Employment of

- other Servants of the European Communities and, 227–28, 232–33, 234–36, 234n77
- supranational and national courts, judicial dialogue between, 226–27, 247–49
- in Sweden, 219
- in Switzerland, 225
- TFEU and, 232–34, 233n72
- Turkey, judicial dialogue in, 244–45
- in United States, 241
- Sánchez Gil, Rubén, 190
- Sankey, Lord, 129n56
- Scalia, Antonin, 129, 249, 455n51
- Scherpe, Jens M., 219
- Scotland, judicial dialogue with non-involved parties, 414,
- Senden, Hanneke, 343, 348–49, 350–51, 361
- Shāfiʿī school, 158
- Shariʿah* courts
 - different Islamic jurisdictions, 162, 168–69
 - family law, limitation of jurisdiction to, 161, 162n37
 - illegitimacy and, 167–68
 - international instruments regarding, 159–60
 - Islamic legal thought and, 158–62
 - judicial dialogue in
 - overview, 153–55, 172
 - concept of, 155–57
 - ECtHR and, 161–62, 167–68, 169–71, 515
 - extent of, 505, 506, 510
 - external thought, incompatibility with, 160–61
 - Human Rights Committee and, 170–71, 171n68
 - ICCPR and, 170–71
 - ideological barriers to, 158–62, 510
 - impossibility of explicit dialogue, 154
 - non-*shariʿah* courts and, 169–72
 - rejection of judicial reasoning, 518
 - in Malaysia, 155n5, 162–64
 - in Nigeria, 155n5, 164–67
 - in Pakistan, 158
 - personal law, limitation of jurisdiction to, 161, 162n37
- Shiʿī school, 158
- Significant rectification of case law, 407–08
- Slaughter, Anne-Marie, 7–8, 27–28, 69n9, 93n97, 149n125, 155, 193n87, 194–95, 197, 455n52, 468–69, 472, 473, 474, 475–76, 475n35, 477–78, 478n55, 479–80, 481, 484–85, 495, 499, 501, 502
- Slight rectification of case law, 406–07
- Slovakia
 - Czech Republic, judicial dialogue with, 57
 - Hungary, judicial dialogue with, 56
- Smith, Jackie, 365
- South Africa
 - Constitution, 137n77
 - Constitutional Court, 452–53
 - judicial dialogue in
 - Canada, with, 126
 - Canada compared, 121–22, 130, 137–38
 - Chile, with, 81–82
 - ECtHR, with, 521
 - non-involved parties and, 414, 415
 - transition in, 452–53
- South America. *See also specific country*
 - abstract nature of human rights law, 95–96
 - “constitutional bloc” doctrine, 519
 - constitutional evolution in, 73–75
 - direct incorporation of international human rights law, 74–75
 - harmonisation of law in, 519
 - horizontal judicial dialogue in, 107–09
 - judicial dialogue in
 - overview, 67–73, 107–09
 - ACHR and, 74, 84–85
 - American influence on, 73–74
 - Argentina, with, 86
 - cases considered, 109–10
 - Colombia, with, 86

- South America (*cont.*)
 colonial period, during, 68n8
 constitutional mandate,
 expansion of, 94
 correlation with specific
 rights, 82–85
 crimes against humanity
 and, 83–84
 difficult cases, resolving, 513
 effects of, 94–97, 110, 517
 evolution of, 78–80
 extent of, 76, 504–05,
 506–08, 509–10
 forced disappearances and, 83–84,
 83n56
 France, with, 86
 freedom of expression and, 83
 frequency of, 76–77, 86n65
 Germany, with, 86
 human rights and, 506,
 514–15, 526–27
 human rights treaties, compliance
 with, 101–04
 IACHR and, 75, 85
 IACtHR, with, 75, 84–85, 508–10
 ICCPR and, 84–85
 international community,
 membership in, 93
 interpretation, aid in, 92–93
 Italy, with, 86
 judicial independence, protection
 of, 93–94, 516
 lack of judicial objection to, 96
 majority versus minority
 opinions, 80–82
 methods of, 86–91
 most cited jurisdictions,
 85–86, 110–12
 personal contacts of judges, 512
 Portuguese influence on, 73–74
 purposes of, 91–94
 reception contrasted, 68–69
 regional or international networks
 in, 511–12
 research by judges, 511
 research methodology in, 70–73
 Spain, with, 86, 507
 Spanish influence on, 73–74
 synchronizing rights
 evolution, 98–101
 unavoidable dialogue, 104–07,
 107n157
 United Kingdom, with, 86
 United States, with, 86
 where no clear answers in domestic
 law, 97–98
 significance of international
 jurisprudence in human rights
 law, 95
 Southern African Development
 Community Tribunal, 431
 Soviet Union, Constitution of 1977, 28n4
 Spain
 Catalan issue, 466n84
 Civil Code, 224
 Constitution, 441n13
 Constitutional Court, 403, 441n13,
 456–57, 466n84, 507
 Criminal Procedure Act (1988), 403
 Internet regulation in, 456–57
 judicial dialogue in
 Brazil, with, 98
 ECtHR, with, 403, 408–09
 non-involved parties, with, 412
 South America, with, 86, 507
 United Kingdom, with, 203
 Popular Party, 225
 same-sex marriage in, 224–25
 Supreme Court, 403, 408–09, 409n38
 Spedale, Darren R., 220n17, 223,
 223n33
 Speech, freedom of. *See* Freedom of
 expression
 Spielmann, Dean, 315n129, 370
 Stefan, Paul, 157
 Stonewall (NGO), 238
 Strasbourg Court. *See* European Court
 of Human Rights (ECtHR)
 Subsidiary role of ECtHR, 289–90,
 290n20, 524–25
 Substantive law, “cooperative system”
 between ECtHR and domestic
 courts and, 300
 Sunni schools, 158
 Sunstein, C.R., 101n130
 Sweden

- Canada, judicial dialogue
 - compared, 204
- Finland, judicial dialogue with, 184
- same-sex marriage in, 219
- United Kingdom, judicial dialogue
 - with, 203, 212
- Switzerland
 - Austria, judicial dialogue
 - with, 245–46
 - Registered Partnership Act, 245–46
 - same-sex marriage in, 225
 - United Kingdom, judicial dialogue
 - with, 192–93, 203
- Taiwan
 - Constitutional Court, 453n42, 456n54
 - judicial dialogue in, 18n94
- Taubes, Jacob, 473, 477
- Terrorism, judicial dialogue in Poland
 - and, 53–55
- Tesauro, Giuseppe, 282n41
- Teubner, Gunther, 478, 480, 482–83, 484–85, 491
- Theoretical appraisals of judicial
 - dialogue, 23–24
- Theoretical discussion of judicial
 - dialogue, 7–10
- Torbisco, Neus, 461, 464n82
- “Transnational Judicial Dialogue:
 - Concept, Method, Extent and Effects” (conference)
 - domestic court judges, questions
 - to, 529–34
 - ECtHR judges, questions to, 535–41
- Transparency in judicial dialogue, 464
- Treaty of Lisbon (2009), 227, 274, 274n15
- Treaty of the European Union (TEU)
 - Germany and, 259, 262
 - Italy and, 274n15
 - Poland and, 39–41
- Treaty on Functioning of European
 - Union (TFEU)
 - CJEU and, 232–34, 233n72
 - same-sex marriage and, 232–34, 233n72
- Tripathi, P., 364n122
- Tulkens, Françoise, 347, 370
- Turkey, same-sex marriage in, 244–45
- Tzanakopoulos, A., 488n89
- Ubuntu, 460n68, 460n70
- Ukraine
 - Chernobyl disaster, 53n101
 - Constitutional Court, 45
 - crisis in, 480
 - judicial dialogue in
 - CJEU, with, 52–53
 - consistent interpretation of
 - national law, ensuring, 52–53
 - ECHR and, 45
 - ECtHR, with, 43n66, 45
 - extent of, 33–34, 43n66
 - Hungary, with, 56
- UNHCR. *See* High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR)
- United Kingdom
 - Appellate Committee, 253
 - Asylum and Immigration Tribunal, 194, 212
 - Court of Appeal, 213
 - High Court of England and Wales, 209–10, 377–78
 - Immigration and Asylum Chamber, 187, 192–93, 203
 - Immigration Appeal Tribunal, 209, 210
 - Judicial Committee of Privy Council, 253, 253n1
 - judicial dialogue in
 - amicus curiae* briefs and, 363, 372–74, 377–78, 512
 - Argentina, with, 99
 - Australia, with, 192–93, 206, 208–09, 254, 255
 - Belgium, with, 203
 - Brazil, with, 98
 - Canada, with, 124–26, 131–32, 206, 208–09, 254, 255
 - Canada compared, 121–22, 138
 - CJEU, with, 253–54, 517
 - complementary protection and, 185, 187, 192–93, 194, 196, 203, 208–10, 212, 213
 - criticism of, 12n62

- United Kingdom (*cont.*)
 differences from domestic solutions,
 defending, 516
 difficult cases, resolving, 513
 discovery of cases, 255–57
 ECHR and, 254–55
 ECtHR, with, 253–55, 283n45,
 404–05, 406–08, 517
 evidence and, 407–08
 extent of, 254–55, 505, 506–07
 Finland, with, 184
 France, with, 203, 212, 255–56
 Germany, with, 203, 208–09, 210,
 212, 255, 256
 Mauritius, with, 255–56
 Netherlands, with, 209, 212
 New Zealand, with, 196, 203, 208–10
 personal contacts of judges, 512
 Poland, with, 54
 purposes of, 257
 Refugee Convention and, 209–10
 research by judges, 511
 South America, with, 86
 Spain, with, 203
 Sweden, with, 203, 212
 Switzerland, with, 192–93, 203
 United States, with, 209
 Special Immigration Appeals
 Commission, 372–74
 Supreme Court, 190, 208–09,
 253–57, 283, 283n45, 407–08,
 408n33, 507–08, 511, 513
 United Nations
 Charter, 282–83
 Committee on the Elimination of
 Racial Discrimination, 181
 Committee on the Rights of the
 Child, 181
 Convention against Torture. (*See*
 Convention against Torture)
 Convention on the Prevention and
 Punishment of the Crime of
 Genocide, 183n37
 Educational, Scientific and Cultural
 Organisation, 486
 High Commissioner for Refugees.
 (*See* High Commissioner for
 Refugees (UNHCR))
 Human Rights Committee. (*See*
 Human Rights Committee)
 Refugee Convention. (*See* Refugee
 Convention)
 Security Council, 488
 United States
 Bill of Rights, 114–15, 121–22, 132–34,
 145–46, 148–49
 California Superior Court, 377–78
 Code of Federal Regulations, 188–89
 Constitution, 68
 Eighth Amendment, 133
 Fifth Amendment, 133
 First Amendment, 133, 145n112
 Fourteenth Amendment, 133
 Fourth Amendment, 133
 judicial dialogue in
 overview, 258
 amicus curiae briefs and, 358–59,
 358n93, 364–66, 368, 377–78
 Argentina, with, 68, 99
 Brazil, with, 98
 Canada, with, 124–26, 132–35,
 132n66, 145–46, 145n110,
 507–08, 519
 Chile, with, 81–82
 Colombia, with, 97–98, 103–04
 complementary protection and,
 175, 188–89
 ECtHR, with, 521
 extent of, 506–08
 non-involved parties, with,
 413–14, 415
 Poland, with, 41–42, 54
 rejection of judicial reasoning, 518
 reluctance regarding, 156–57
 South America, with, 86
 United Kingdom, with, 209
 negative attitudes toward, 480n63
 same-sex marriage in, 241
 Sixth Amendment, 133
 Supremacy Clause, 121–22
 Supreme Court, 123n42,
 134–35, 506–07
 Universal Declaration of Human Rights
 (UDHR), 103–04
 Uruguay
 amnesty laws in, 105–06

- Appellate Family Tribunal, 97
 Constitution, 105–06
 Expiration Law, 105–06
 judicial dialogue in
 ACHR and, 97, 105–06
 Argentina, with, 79, 86, 105–06
 Brazil, with, 106–07
 Chile, with, 81–82
 Colombia, with, 97–98
 crimes against humanity
 and, 83–84
 evolution of, 79
 Germany, with, 97
 Italy, with, 97
 unavoidable dialogue, 105–06
 where no clear answers in domestic
 law, 97
 Supreme Court, 79, 97, 106n153
- Van Drooghenbroeck, Sébastien, 347,
 361n106, 370
 Vaypan, Grigory, 327
 Venezuela
 Constitution, 76–77
 judicial dialogue in
 ACHR and, 510
 Colombia, with, 86
 freedom of expression and, 83
 frequency of, 76–77
- IACHR and, 76–77, 510
 IACtHR and, 76–77, 510
 Venice Commission, 88n70, 417, 418, 423
 Vertical judicial dialogue, 13–14, 79,
 179, 213–14, 283, 508–10
 Vienna Convention on Consular
 Relations, 264
 Vienna Convention on the Law of
 Treaties (VCLT), 10n56,
 180n25, 353n65, 419–20
 Villiger, Mark, 422
 Voeten, Erik, 345–46, 350n53, 354
- Waldijk, Kees, 217
 Waldron, Jeremy, 450n37
 Wałęsa, Lech, 59n121
 Warren, Earl, 135n71
 Wiest, Dawn, 365
 Wilson, Bertha, 135, 144–45
 Winkler, Matteo, 527
 World Conference on Constitutional
 Justice, 46, 46n75, 87–88,
 88n70, 89
 World Health Organization, 423
 World Trade Organisation, 442
- Zapatero, José Luis, 224
 Zorkin, Valeri, 63
 Zupančič, Boštjan, 422