

Ethnic Germans and National Socialism in Yugoslavia in World War II

This is an in-depth study of the ethnic German minority in the Serbian Banat (Southeast Europe) and its experiences under German occupation in World War II. Mirna Zakić argues that the Banat Germans exercised great agency within the constraints imposed on them by Nazi ideology, with its expectations that ethnic Germans would collaborate with the invading Nazis. The book examines incentives the Nazis offered to collaboration and social dynamics within the Banat German community – between their Nazified leadership and the rank and file – as well as the various and ever more damning forms collaboration took. The Banat Germans provided administrative and economic aid to the Nazi war effort and took part in Nazi military operations in Yugoslav lands, the Holocaust, and Aryanization. They ruled the Banat on the Nazis' behalf between 1941 and 1944, yet their wartime choices led ultimately to their disenfranchisement and persecution following the Nazis' defeat.

Mirna Zakić is Assistant Professor of German history at Ohio University.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-17184-8 — Ethnic Germans and National Socialism in Yugoslavia in World War II

Mirna Zakić

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

Ethnic Germans and National Socialism in Yugoslavia in World War II

Mirna Zakić

Ohio University



Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-17184-8 — Ethnic Germans and National Socialism in Yugoslavia in World War II
Mirna Zakić
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
4843/24, 2nd Floor, Ansari Road, Daryaganj, Delhi – 110002, India
79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107171848
DOI: 10.1017/9781316771068

© Mirna Zakić 2017

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2017

Printed in the United States of America by Sheridan Books, Inc.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-107-17184-8 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-17184-8 — Ethnic Germans and National Socialism in Yugoslavia in World War II

Mirna Zakić

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

For my parents

Contents

<i>List of Maps</i>	page viii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	ix
<i>Note on Terminology</i>	xi
Introduction	1
1 The Banat Germans from Settlement to Partial Nazification, 1699–1941	25
2 Ethnic Germans and the Invasion of Yugoslavia, 1941	56
3 Ethnic German Administration (1941) and Community Dynamics	79
4 Privileges, Economy, and Relations with Other Groups	113
5 Police and Anti-Partisan Activity	144
6 The Holocaust (1941–1942) and Aryanization	161
7 Ideology and Propaganda	185
8 The Waffen-SS Division “Prinz Eugen” and Anti-Partisan Warfare in Yugoslavia, 1942–1944	209
Conclusion	239
<i>Guide to Place Names</i>	263
<i>Glossary</i>	266
<i>Bibliography</i>	273
<i>Index</i>	293

Maps

- | | |
|--|----|
| 1.1 Kingdom of Yugoslavia – Banovinas, 1929–1941. © David Cox. | 29 |
| 2.1 Yugoslavia occupied and partitioned, 1941–1943. © David Cox. | 65 |
| 3.1 Serbian Banat. © David Cox. | 79 |

Acknowledgments

The completion of this book would have been impossible without the aid and support of many individuals and institutions.

For their support during my time as a doctoral student at the University of Maryland, and ever since, I would like to thank my Doktorvater Jeffrey Herf, whose academic incisiveness, moral support, and faith in my abilities as a historian never wavered, and Marsha L. Rozenblit and John R. Lampe, who were on hand with good humor and clarity of thought. Thanks are also due to Vladimir Tismăneanu of the University of Maryland and Christopher R. Browning of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, for their invaluable feedback, and to Ulrich Herbert of the Albert-Ludwigs-Universität in Freiburg and Paul Nolte of the Freie Universität Berlin, for facilitating my research travel to Germany in 2009.

Getting access to archival holdings can be a daunting prospect, as archivists and librarians feel a justified proprietary pride in ‘their’ materials. It is therefore a relief and a pleasure for a researcher to be welcomed in an archive. I thank all the archivists, librarians, and staff of various reading rooms who aided me in my efforts. I would especially like to salute the graciousness of the Interlibrary Loan staff at the McKeldin Library of the University of Maryland and the Alden Library of Ohio University; Mareike Fossenberger of the Politisches Archiv des Auswärtigen Amts; Dragana Dragišić, Zorica Netaj, Jelena Ivanović, and Dimitrije Spasojević of the Arhiv Jugoslavije; Svetlana Đukić and Arinka Balint of the Istorijski arhiv Zrenjanin; Eva Terheš-Telečki and Slobodan Stanić of the Istorijski arhiv Kikinda; Obrenija Stojkov of the Muzej Vojvodine; Miroslav Marlog of the Arhiv Vojvodine; and the staff of the Bundesarchiv’s branches in Berlin, Freiburg, and Bayreuth; the Jack, Joseph and Morton Mandel Center for Advanced Holocaust Studies at the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum; the Library of Congress; the National Archives’ microfilm and main reading rooms in College Park, Maryland; the Narodna biblioteka Srbije; and the Vojni arhiv in Belgrade.

x Acknowledgments

For research and writing support, I would like to thank the Department of History and the Graduate School at the University of Maryland for providing me with several years of graduate funding, including two full-time fellowships, a Prospectus Development Grant in 2008, and the Mary Savage Snouffer Dissertation Fellowship in 2010–2011; the Conference Group for Central European History for a dissertation research grant, which allowed two additional months of research in Germany in 2009; the Cosmos Club for awarding me the Cosmos Club Foundation Young Scholars Award in 2008; the Volkswagen Stiftung for awarding me the Post-doctoral Fellowship in the Humanities at Universities and Research Institutes in Germany, which allowed me to spend the academic year 2013–2014 revising this book at the Freiburg Institute for Advanced Studies (FRIAS), Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg; and the Department of History and the Graduate College at Ohio University for providing me with funds for last-minute research and additional book materials.

An earlier draft of parts of chapters 4 and 6 of this book was published in the *Journal of Contemporary History* in April 2014. Michael Watson and Lewis Bateman at Cambridge University Press shepherded this project through publication. Two anonymous readers offered invaluable suggestions. Ohio University's Department of History supported my year-long leave. The entire staff at FRIAS made my stay in Germany supremely pleasant and productive. I salute and thank them all most sincerely.

Last but by no means least, an immense debt is due to my parents, Emina Sućeska-Zakić and Mirko Zakić. This book is dedicated to them, with love and gratitude.

Note on Terminology

The choice of terminology in this book poses a complex set of challenges: there was the issue of avoiding the uncritical use of Nazi terms, which appeared to reify what were Nazi perceptions rather than lived reality; the multiplicity of untranslatable German terms; multiple place names in several languages for a single village, town, or river. Even the use of terms such as “ethnic German” and “Nazi” requires judgments about what made someone a German – or a Nazi.

The adjective “ethnic” preceding a group denominator indicates members of an ethnic group, who were not citizens of that ethnic group’s nation-state, i.e., an ethnic minority. In the context of this book, ethnic Germans (Volksdeutsche) were persons of German origin and language, most of whom were not German citizens for all or part of the Nazi period. By contrast, Reich Germans or Germans from the Reich (Reichsdeutsche) were German citizens as well as persons of German ethnicity.

I use the term “German-speakers” rather than “ethnic Germans” in Chapter 1 to distinguish the Banat’s German-speaking settlers from later, nationally tinged definitions of belonging.

“Rom/Roma/Romany” is the preferred term rather than the derogatory “Gypsy,” which appears only in direct translations from original documents.

Since this is a German-centric story about an ethnic German community in a multiethnic region, I call most towns and villages in the Serbian Banat by their German names. Many had an official Serbian name as well as a commonly used German name (some also had a Hungarian, Romanian, or Slovak name, depending on the ethnic composition of individual towns and villages). The different names were often used interchangeably, even in official documents, both by the prewar Yugoslav and by the wartime German authorities. Only in 1943 were several dozen place names officially altered so the German names became names of primary usage. In order to avoid confusion and convey the ethnic Germans’ perspective, I chose to call places in the Banat by their German names even in chapters dealing with the periods

xii Note on Terminology

before 1941 and after 1944. A table of German place names with corresponding Serbian names is included.

With regard to major geographic features such as cities and rivers located outside the Serbian Banat, the ones familiar to English-language readers are called by the Anglicized forms of their names (e.g., Belgrade, Danube, Budapest). Others I call by the names they bear in the language of the nation-state to which they belonged before or during World War II (e.g., Timișoara rather than Temesvár or Temeschburg). I call geographic regions by the name used in the official language of the nation-state to which they belonged (e.g., the Vojvodina and its constituent parts: the Banat, the Bačka, the Baranja, and the Srem). If a geographic term could refer to more than one state, I refer to it by the name it bears in the official language of the state to which it is relevant in this book (e.g., the River Tisa could be claimed by wartime Hungary, Romania, Slovakia, Ukraine, and Yugoslavia – I call it by its Serbian [Serbo-Croatian] name).

With reference to political movements, I call Josip Broz Tito's communist resistance movement by its widely used Anglicized name "Partisans" (Serb. *partizani*). I preferred to compromise between original spelling and English plural forms for the name of the Croatian fascists (Ustašas) and the Serbian nationalist-royalist resistance (Četniks).

Since Serbian is a phonetic language, which "transcribes" foreign names in accordance with its own spelling conventions, I decided not to "correct" the names of ethnic Germans as transcribed in relevant primary documents, especially since some of these ethnic Germans preferred to use at least the Serbianized version of their first names in order to blend in, in the postwar period (e.g., Marija instead of Maria).

The term "Serbia proper" refers to the territory that belonged to the Serbian state before 1918 – Serbia south of the River Danube. The "Banat" or the "Serbian Banat" refers to the half of the historical Banat region west of the Serbo-Romanian border. "Serbia-Banat" is a term used in wartime German documents to indicate the territory occupied by Nazi Germany, inclusive of both Serbia proper and the Serbian Banat.

Finally, the choice between calling the larger region "Southeast Europe" or "the Balkans" has been ideologically and politically charged, especially since the 1990s. I consider both terms equally valid and acceptable, since one is geographic and the other a historical name. These terms are therefore used interchangeably to describe the lands of former Yugoslavia, Romania, Bulgaria, Albania, and Greece during World War II. Hungary was at that time a liminal state, which could be counted as part of Central or Southeast Europe, depending on the context – the Nazis tended to consider it Southeast-European, as they did wartime independent Slovakia.