

Financial Crisis, Corporate Governance, and Bank Capital

In the aftermath of the 2007–8 financial crisis, senior policymakers and the media have blamed excessive risk taking by bank executives in response to their compensation incentives for the crisis. The inevitable follow-up to this was to introduce stronger financial regulation in the hope that better and more responsible behavior could be induced. Despite the honorable intentions of regulation, such as the Dodd-Frank Act of 2010, it is clear that many big banks are still deemed "too big to fail." This book argues that by restructuring executive incentive programs to include only restricted stock and restricted stock options with very long vesting periods and financing banks with considerably more equity, the potential of future financial crises can be minimized. It will be of great value to corporate executives, corporate board members, institutional investors, and financial policymakers, as well as graduate and undergraduate students studying finance, economics, and law.

SANJAI BHAGAT is Provost Professor of Finance at the University of Colorado, Boulder. He has worked previously at the US Securities and Exchange Commission, Princeton University, and the University of Chicago. He is a board member of ProLink Solutions, an enterprise software company; Integra Ventures, a venture capital company; and the National Association of Corporate Directors, Colorado Chapter. He has advised US government agencies and Fortune 500 companies on corporate governance and finance issues and has submitted several *amici curiae* briefs on class action litigation to the US Supreme Court that have been cited in the High Court's decisions.



Financial Crisis, Corporate Governance, and Bank Capital

SANJAI BHAGAT

University of Colorado, Boulder





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia 4843/24, 2nd Floor, Ansari Road, Daryaganj, Delhi – 110002, India 79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107170643 10.1017/9781316756669

© Sanjai Bhagat 2017

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2017

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-107-17064-3 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



to
Rohun, Akil, and Leena
for the lessons on intellectual capital and incentives...



Contents

| | List of Figures | page 1x |
|---|---|---------|
| | List of Tables | xi |
| | Preface | xiii |
| | Acknowledgments | xv |
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 2 | Mortgage Public Policies and the Financial Crisis | 12 |
| 3 | Precrisis Executive Compensation and Misaligned Incentives | 24 |
| 4 | Managerial Incentives Hypothesis versus Unforeseen Risk Hypothesis | 30 |
| 5 | Bank CEOs' Buys and Sells during 2000–8 | 35 |
| 5 | Executive Compensation Reform | 73 |
| 7 | Director Compensation Policy | 101 |
| 8 | Are Large Banks Riskier? | 117 |
| 9 | Bank Capital Structure and Executive | 154 |

vii



viii contents

| 10 | Why Banks Should Be Mostly Debt Financed: Parade | |
|----|--|-----|
| | of Non Sequiturs | 168 |
| 11 | Conclusion | 174 |
| | Appendix A: Credit-Rating Agencies and the Subprime | |
| | Mortgage Securitization Process | 179 |
| | Appendix B: Data Used in This Book: Available Online | 183 |
| | Notes | 203 |
| | Bibliography | 228 |
| | Index | 241 |



Figures

| 2.1 | (A) Real Standard & Poor's (S&P) 500 Index and real | |
|-----|--|---------|
| | Case-Shiller Home Price Index, 1890–2013. (B) Real | |
| | S&P 500 Index and real Case-Shiller Home Price | |
| | Index, 1960–2013. | page 13 |
| 2.2 | (A) The growing and important role of Fannie Mae | |
| | and Freddie Mac in the US residential mortgage | |
| | debt market during 1992–2008. (B) The growing and | |
| | important role of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac in the | |
| | US residential mortgage debt market during | |
| | 1992–2008. | 14 |
| 2.3 | (A) Total holdings of US mortgages by type | |
| | of financial institution. (B) Market- and bank-based | |
| | holding of home mortgages. | 15 |
| 2.4 | Enterprise housing goals and performance. | 17 |
| 2.5 | Subprime mortgage originations. | 18 |
| 2.6 | Distribution of MBS issuances by issuer. | 20 |
| 2.7 | Subprime originations and securitization rate. | 21 |
| 5.1 | Relative portfolio returns of bank portfolios, | |
| | 2000–8. | 44 |
| 5.2 | CEO net trades for 14 TBTF banks and 37 No-TARP | |
| | banks. | 56 |
| 5.3 | Value of CEO and insider net trades for 14 TBTF | |
| | banks. | 61 |
| 6.1 | Ratio of bank CEOs' net trades to beginning holdings, | |
| | 2000-8. | 79 |
| 6.2 | (A) Impact of stock sales restrictions on net CEO payo | ff. |
| | (B) Impact of stock sales and salary restrictions on | |
| | net CEO payoff. | 86 |

ix



X LIST OF FIGURES

| 7.1 | (A) Relationship between dollar board ownership | |
|------|---|-----|
| | by quartiles and industry-adjusted return on assets | |
| | for the subsequent two years for the 1,500 largest | |
| | US companies, 1998–2012. (B) Relationship between | |
| | dollar board ownership by quartiles and industry-adjust | ed |
| | return on assets for the subsequent two years for the | |
| | 1,500 largest US companies, 1998–2002. (C) Relationsh | ip |
| | between dollar board ownership by quartiles and | |
| | industry-adjusted return on assets for the subsequent | |
| | two years for the 1,500 largest US companies, 2003-5. | |
| | (D) Relationship between dollar board ownership by | |
| | quartiles and industry-adjusted return on assets for | |
| | the subsequent two years for the 1,500 largest US | |
| | companies, 2006–8. (E) Relationship between dollar | |
| | board ownership by quartiles and industry-adjusted | |
| | return on assets for the subsequent two years for | |
| | the 1,500 largest US companies, 2009-12. | 110 |
| 7.2 | Probability of disciplinary CEO turnover among the | |
| | worst-performing largest 1,500 US companies during | |
| | 1998–2012, sorted by director ownership quartiles | |
| | (Q1–Q4). | 113 |
| 9.1 | Balance sheet of a large bank. | 161 |
| 10.1 | Relation between ROE and ROA for a bank. | 171 |
| A.1 | Securitization process of mortgage-backed | |
| | securities. | 180 |
| A.2 | Moody's quarterly revenues from rating various | |
| | securities. | 181 |



Tables

| 2.1 | Loan Characteristics at Origination | page 22 |
|-----|---|---------|
| 5.1 | Selected Descriptive Statistics | 37 |
| 5.2 | Trades by CEOs during 2000-8 | 40 |
| 5.3 | CEO Payoffs, TBTF Institutions | 46 |
| 5.4 | CEO Trading and CEO Holdings | 55 |
| 5.5 | Trades by All Insiders, Including Officers and Direct | cors, |
| | 2000–8 | 58 |
| 5.6 | Fama-French-Carhart Four-Factor Abnormal Return | |
| | Regressions | 62 |
| 5.7 | Risk Factors, Z-Scores, and Write-Downs | 65 |
| 5.8 | Determinants of CEO Trading | 68 |
| 6.1 | Restricted Equity Proposal's Impact on Net CEO | |
| | Payoff | 83 |
| 7.1 | Director Stock Ownership Requirements for S&P 50 | 00 |
| | Firms during 2003 and 2005 | 105 |
| 7.2 | Examples of Ten S&P 500 Firms with Director | |
| | Ownership Guidelines (2005) | 106 |
| 7.3 | Firm Performance and Director Dollar Value of | |
| | Holdings | 108 |
| 7.4 | Bank CEO Net Trades and Bank Director Ownership | p |
| | during 2000–8 | 114 |
| 8.1 | Summary Statistics | 131 |
| 8.2 | Correlation Coefficients for the Main Regression | |
| | Variables | 132 |
| 8.3 | Firm Size (Total Assets) and Risk-Taking | 135 |
| 8.4 | Two-Stage Least-Square (2SLS) IV Regression of Firm | n |
| | Size on Risk-Taking | 141 |
| 8.5 | Size and Risk-Taking across Financial Crisis | |
| | Periods | 146 |

хi



XII LIST OF TABLES

| 8.6 | Decomposition of Z-Score | 150 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 9.1 | CEO Trading and Bank Capital | 164 |
| B.1 | TARP Recipient Information | 184 |
| B.2 | CEOs during 2000 and 2008 by Firm | 187 |
| B.3 | Net CEO Payoffs, 2000-8, L-TARP and No-TARP | |
| | Firms | 192 |
| B.4 | Variable Definitions and Data Sources for Chapter 8 | |
| | Analysis | 201 |



Preface

Despite the honorable intentions of the Dodd-Frank Act to make "too-big-to-fail" banks a thing of the past, investors and policymakers believe that many big banks are still too big to fail. This issue has come up repeatedly in the 2016 US presidential campaign and among senior policymakers in the United States and Europe. We propose a solution to the too-big-to-fail problem that can be implemented with minimal or no additional regulations, only the intervention of corporate board members and institutional investors in these big banks.

While some have argued that incentives generated by executive compensation programs led to excessive risk-taking by banks contributing to the 2008 financial crisis, there are more important causes of the financial and economic crisis that started in 2008. For example, public policies regarding home mortgages whose goal was to increase home ownership by those who could not otherwise afford it are perhaps the single most important cause of the financial and economic crisis of 2008.

Our focus in this book, however, is on whether incentives generated by bank executives' compensation programs contributed to excessive risk taking. We recommend the following compensation structure for bank executives: incentive compensation should consist only of restricted stock and restricted stock options – restricted in the sense that the executive cannot sell the shares or exercise the options for one to three years after his or her last day in office. We contend that this incentive compensation package will focus bank managers' attention on the long run and discourage them from investing in high-risk, value-destroying projects. We discuss and provide solutions to many of the caveats that arise, specifically regarding under-diversification and loss of liquidity. Also, we discuss and comment on

xiii



XIV PREFACE

two recent executive compensation reform proposals – one by six US federal agencies and another by the UK Prime Minister's office.

Similarly, we suggest that director incentive compensation be constructed along the same lines as the one for the executives proposed in the preceding paragraph. Specifically, all incentive compensation for directors should consist only of restricted equity (restricted stock and restricted stock option) – restricted in the sense that directors cannot sell the shares or exercise the options for one to three years after their last board meeting.

Our recommendation for executive and director compensation is based on our analysis of compensation structure in banks. However, our recommendation for executive and director compensation is fully applicable to other industries in the nonfinancial sector.

The aforementioned equity-based incentive programs lose their effectiveness in motivating managers (and directors) to enhance shareholder value as a bank's equity value approaches zero (as they did for the too-big-to-fail banks in 2008). Additionally, our evidence suggests that bank CEOs sell significantly greater amounts of their stock as the bank's equity capital (tangible common-stock-to-totalassets ratio) decreases. Hence, for equity-based incentive structures to be effective, banks should be financed with considerably more equity than they are being financed currently. Greater equity financing of banks coupled with the aforementioned compensation structure for bank managers and directors will drastically diminish the likelihood of a bank falling into financial distress; this will effectively address the too-big-to-fail problem and the Volcker Rule implementation that are two of the more significant challenges facing implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act. Our recommendation for significantly greater equity in a bank's capital structure is consistent with the spirit of the Financial CHOICE Act recently proposed by the US House Financial Services Committee.



Acknowledgments

We thank conference participants at Yale University, Northwestern University, Vanderbilt University, New York University, the US Securities and Exchange Commission, the US Department of Treasury, the US Federal Reserve Board, the Council of Institutional Investors, the National Association of Corporate Directors, and The Clearing House for constructive comments on presentation of parts of this book.

This book partly draws on our prior research, which stresses different aspects of the restricted-equity executive incentive compensation proposal, including

Bhagat, S., and B. Bolton. 2014. "Financial Crisis and Bank Executive Incentive Compensation." *Journal of Corporate Finance* 25, 313–41.

Bhagat, S., and H. Tookes. 2012. "Voluntary and Mandatory Skin in the Game: Understanding Outside Directors' Stock Holdings." *European Journal of Finance* 18, 191.

Bhagat, S., Bolton, B., and Romano, R. 2014. "Getting Incentives Right: Is Deferred Bank Executive Compensation Sufficient?" *Yale Journal of Regulation* 31, 523–64.

Bhagat, S., Bolton, B., and Lu, J. 2015. "Size, Leverage, and Risk-Taking of Financial Institutions." *Journal of Banking and Finance* 59, 520–37.

Passages from these articles are used with permission.

xv