

Essential Stability Theory

Since their inception, the Perspectives in Logic and Lecture Notes in Logic series have published seminal works by leading logicians. Many of the original books in the series have been unavailable for years, but they are now in print once again.

Stability theory was introduced and matured in the 1960s and 1970s. Today stability theory influences and is influenced by number theory, algebraic group theory, Riemann surfaces, and representation theory of modules. There is little model theory today that does not involve the methods of stability theory.

In this volume, the 4th publication in the Perspectives in Logic series, Steven Buechler bridges the gap between a first-year graduate logic course and research papers in stability theory. The book prepares the student for research in any of today's branches of stability theory, and gives an introduction to classification theory with an exposition of Morley's Categoricity Theorem.

STEVEN BUECHLER works in the Department of Mathematics at the University of Notre Dame, Indiana.



PERSPECTIVES IN LOGIC

The *Perspectives in Logic* series publishes substantial, high-quality books whose central theme lies in any area or aspect of logic. Books that present new material not now available in book form are particularly welcome. The series ranges from introductory texts suitable for beginning graduate courses to specialized monographs at the frontiers of research. Each book offers an illuminating perspective for its intended audience.

The series has its origins in the old *Perspectives in Mathematical Logic* series edited by the Ω -Group for "Mathematische Logik" of the Heidelberger Akademie der Wissenschaften, whose beginnings date back to the 1960s. The Association for Symbolic Logic has assumed editorial responsibility for the series and changed its name to reflect its interest in books that span the full range of disciplines in which logic plays an important role.

Arnold Beckmann, Managing Editor
Department of Computer Science, Swansea University

Editorial Board:

Michael Benedikt

Department of Computing Science, University of Oxford

Elisabeth Bouscaren

CNRS, Département de Mathématiques, Université Paris-Sud

Steven A. Cook

Computer Science Department, University of Toronto

Michael Glanzberg

Department of Philosophy, University of California Davis

Antonio Montalban

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago

Simon Thomas

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University

For more information, see www.aslonline.org/books_perspectives.html



PERSPECTIVES IN LOGIC

Essential Stability Theory

STEVEN BUECHLER

University of Notre Dame, Indiana







CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

4843/24, 2nd Floor, Ansari Road, Daryaganj, Delhi - 110002, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107168398 10.1017/9781316717257

First edition © 1996 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg This edition © 2016 Association for Symbolic Logic under license to Cambridge University Press.

Association for Symbolic Logic Richard A. Shore, Publisher Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 http://www.aslonline.org

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-107-16839-8 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To my wife, Sally, and our children, Ian, Jessica, Joel and Jell



Table of Contents

₹07	4.5.2 Getting a Group from an Algebraic Quadrangle		
₹61	4.5.1 Germs of Definable Functions		
761	Defining a Group from a Pregeometry	3. 4.5	
941	Automorphism Groups of Constructions Automorphism	₽.₽	
₱91	4.3.2 1-based Groups		
691	səiroədT bəsad—I I.S.4		
120	Global Geometrical Considerations	8.4	
143	4.2.1 Plane Curves		
138	The Pregeometries on Strongly Minimal Sets	2.4	
	4.1.2 Dea for a Strongly Minimal D. 2.1.4		
131	T ^{eq} Totally Transcendental Theories Revisited		
		1.4	
125	Structure of Uncountably Categorical Theories	Fine	.₽
121	3.5.2 A -definable Groups and Actions		
ħΠ	3.5.1 A Group Acting on a Strongly Minimal Set		
001	Ann an ann an an an an an 1975. Ann an Sir 1777 File 1978 ann an 🖚 1978 ann an ann an an an Ann an	3.5	
65	The Baldwin-Lachlan Theorem	₽.Ε	
72	Totally Transcendental Theories	E.E	
04	Universal Domain A	3.2	
6₺	Morley's Categoricity Theorem	1.8	
6₺	countably Categorical and \(\mathbb{R}_0 - stable Theories \)	\mathbf{n}	.ε
43	Skolem Functions	2.5	
0₺	Indiscernible Sequences	2.4	
32	Countable Models of Complete Theories	2.3	
LT	Saturated and Homogeneous Models	2.2	
II	Prime and Atomic Models	1.2	
II	Models with Special Properties	Con	.2
9	1.1.1 Elimination of Quantifiers		
I	Preliminaries and Notation	I.I	
Ι	Basics		·T
XI	Erecesia (11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11	reface	Ь

More Information

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-16839-8 — Essential Stability Theory Steven Buechler Frontmatter



Mote on Ranks337	2.7
358 SpiroonT behanded T.1.7	
7.1.7 Bounded ω -stable Theories 327	
Bounded and Unbounded Theories 323	1.7
Steed Topics 323	7. Sele
	*
Strongly Regular Types 314	4.9
6.3.1 Rank Considerations311	0.279.02.00
Regular Types 304	6.9
Geometrical Matters: A Dichotomy Theorem 301	6.2
More Ranks293	1.9
Serstable Theories 293	duS .0
5.6.4 Finite Weight 287	
5.6.3 Weight	
082 2.80 Lonination 2.8.5	
672 ytilknogonatio 1.8.8	
	0.0
Orthogonality, Domination and Weight	6.5
5.5.2 a-prime Models 267	
5.5.1 Prime Models in a t.t. Theory 261	7.0-9000039009
Prime Models 261	5. 5
85.5 $n-models$ 25.8	
Saturated Models	₽.3
132 Modules 2.8.3	
5.3.1 1-based Groups and Modules249	
Stable Groups and Modules	5.3
The Stability Spectrum and $\kappa(T)$ 238	5.2
5.1.4 The Fundamental Order233	
5.1.3 Morley Sequences and Indiscernibles 230	
5.1.2 Stability and the Number of Types	
5.1.1 Ranks and Definability	
Stability	1.3
bility	
\$10	5. Stal

VIII Table of Contents



of this material can be found in [Har93], [She85] and [Hod87]. If I was writing in 1980 the analysis of dependence relations leading up to the proof of Morley's conjecture would be the sole theme of this book. However, in the past 15 years stability theory has grown dramatically in another direction. During the 1980-81 Jerusalem logic year researchers tried to other direction. Sil'ber's work on the conjecture that a theory categorical understand Boris Zil'ber's work on the conjecture that a theory categorical

in every infinite cardinal is not finitely axiomatizable. It was around this

well-behaved dimension theory is discussed in Section 6.3). Good summaries admit a dimension theory (Sections 5.6 and 6.3). (Exactly what is meant by a a model of a stable theory on which forking dependence is nice enough to closed field.) The theme through much of Shelah's book is to find subsets of dependence in a vector space and algebraic dependence in an algebraically tion on a stable theory (see Section 5.1). (Examples of this relation are linear [She90]. Part of the proof is the development of the forking dependence relaproof of this conjecture spanned almost 15 years and is the main topic of dinals; i.e., for all uncountable cardinals $\lambda < \kappa$, $I(\lambda, T) \leq I(\kappa, T)$. Shelah's complete countable first-order theory T is nondecreasing on uncountable carnality A. In the late '60's Morley conjectured that the spectrum function of a such that for any cardinal λ , $I(\lambda, T)$ is the number of models of T of cardi-The spectrum function of a complete first-order theory T is a map I(-,T)tion focused on Shelah's work on (and eventual proof of) Morley's Conjecture. orem. (See Section 3.1.) In the period 1965 to 1982 (or so) virtually all atten-Stability theory began in the early '60's with Morley's Categoricity The-

This book grew out of lectures to graduate students in logic at the University of Notre Dame in the academic year 1992-93. The purpose of the course was to bridge the gap between the model theory in a first year graduate logic course (say, the first two chapters in Chang-Keisler) and research papers in stability theory. While the most basic definitions in model theory are repeated in Chapter I, realistically, I expect the reader to have completed an introductory course in mathematical logic. My intention in writing this book was not to give a comprehensive treatment of elementary stability theory, but to get the student through the basics as quickly as possible. It was also written (hopefully) so that a well-prepared student can begin the book at a chapter appropriate to his or her needs.

Preface



Preface

Chapter 4 and Section 6.2.

tially) modules or algebraically closed fields. This theme is found throughout theory is the characterization of certain critical subsets of a model as (essenan tremendous rate. The underlying theme in much of geometrical stability (see Section 6.2). The entry of Hrushovski around 1984 deepened the area at the above four mathematicians, Poizat (on stable groups [Poi87]) and myself related at the time.) From here the area took off through further research by theory, however, they were not widely known, understood, or seen as closely sults by Zil'ber and others that are properly placed in geometrical stability recognized as the birth of geometrical stability theory. (There are earlier rerington and Lachlan created an independent proof. This work is generally time that Zil'ber completed his proof of the conjecture and Cherlin, Har-

I will not attempt to find a unifying thread in geometrical stability theory

helpful to the reader at the level of this book. all about". Secondly, I do not think an all-encompassing theme would be is growing too rapidly for anyone to come forward and say "this is what it's and Shelah-style classification theory for two reasons. First, stability theory

to prove the Baldwin-Lachlan Theorem and introduce ω -stable groups. developed in Section 3.3. This theory is applied in the remainder of Chapter 3 dence relation induced by Morley rank on a totally transcendental theory is absorbing the material on Cantor-Bendixson rank in Section 2.2. The depen-(Chapters 1 and 2 in [CK73], for example) can begin the book here after Section 3.1. The student with a good background in classical model theory egoricity theorem, circa, 1962.) Morley's Categoricity Theorem is proved in exceptions, the model theory that existed prior to Morley's work on his catrelevant to stability theory. (Here classical model theory means, with a few theorems. Chapter 2 is a treatment of the classical first-order model theory Chapter 1 is simply a quick summary of the prerequisite definitions and

group existence results of Section 4.5. results in the chapter are Zil'ber's Ladder Theorems (see Section 4.4) and the stability theory; most of the key concepts are at least mentioned. The deepest veloped in Chapter 4. This is a good introduction to the area of geometrical Geometrical stability theory in an uncountably categorical theory is de-

in Section 5.6 (orthogonality, domination and weight) are at the heart of the though the statements of the theorems must be understood). The concepts about skipping the proofs in the sections on prime and saturated models (alwould term nonessential. In a first reading a student should not feel guilty Section 4.1). There is very little in the first three sections of this chapter I provided she or he has mastered universal domains (Section 3.2) and T^{eq} a good understanding of model theory can begin the book in this section, dence relation is developed "from scratch" in Section 5.1. The reader with In Chapter 5 we jump to stable theories in general. The forking depen-

dimension theory induced by forking dependence on the universal domain.

More Information

© in this web service Cambridge University Press

X



> unidimensional theory. about Morley rank in an uncountably categorical theory and ∞-rank in a theories. Finally, the section on ranks (Section 7.2) contains important facts sion theory developed in Chapter 6 to the classification of certain ω -stable introduced in Section 6.2. Section 7.1 contains an application of the dimencal stability theory in the context of a superstable theory of finite rank is in Section 5.6 is deepened in the third section on regular types. Geometriof the material in Chapter 5. In particular, the dimension theory introduced The study of superstable theories in Chapter 6 is a natural continuation

> tured on this material. They are: Tim Bahmer, Andras Benedek, Dan Gard-I would like to thank the members of the model theory class in which I lec-Acknowledgments

> Victory and Tracy Mattix, have graciously helped me manage the necessary leagues who critiqued the early drafts I distributed. My secretaries, Ellen Their feedback on my lectures has been invaluable. I also thank the colner, Colleen Hoover, Byungham Kim, Grzegorz Michalski, John Thurber.

> match when I throw the preliminary drafts in the fireplace. Finally, I thank my wife and children for their patience. They each get a paper shuffling in the past 6 months.

IX

Preface