

INDEX

Absolutism, 167, 168, 169, 170, 183 Abstraction, as a way to avoid hard questions, 18, 21, 22, 40, 43, 45 Access, denials of, 126-27 Alexander v. Cahill, 90-91 Alito, S., 18, 71, 72, 73, 75, 76, 104, 177, 178, 188, 189, 190 Allen, A., 23 Alvarez, United States v., 74-75, 170, 171 American Booksellers Association v. Hudnut, 51-52 Animal cruelty, 62-67 Antipornography ordinance, 47-48, 51, 53 Attorney General v. British Broadcasting Corporation, 30 Audience interest, and commercial advertisement, 89-90 fair trial and freedom of press, 31-32 Austrian Media Act, 32 Autonomy, right of, 41 Avery, M., 177 Baker, C.E., 193n1 Balancing, 5, 65-68, 75, 78, 142, 178, 180, 181, 189-90 and proportionality, 76-78

Blackmun, H., 138 Blackstone, 164 Bonner, R., 83 Brandenburg v. Ohio, 131 Brennan, W., 26, 27, 28-29, 57, 166 Brest, P., 48 Breyer, S., 5, 75, 76, 125, 169, 170-71, 189, 190, 207n41, 219n39, 219n40 Bribery, 109, 111 British Video Recording Act, 78 Brown v. Board of Education, 172 Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Association, 71–73, 76, 170 Buckley v. Valeo, 97, 98-104 Buckley, W.F., 172, 177 Burger, W.E., 26, 196n4 Burke, E., 95 Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc., 138 - 40

Campaign finance, 97, 98, 100, 103, 106, 107, 110, 111, 171, 182, 184, 190, 191 contributions limitation, 102

Campbell v. MGN LTD, 21–23

Canada, 9
election spending, restriction on, 112
obscenity and pornography, prohibition of, 52, 58–61

Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 161

Capitalism, 160, 175

Case of Lautsi and Others v. Italy, 152, 154

Central Hudson Gas and Electric Company v. Public Service Commission, 88–91

Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire, 165, 218n20

Calabresi, G., 90

Barendt, E., 131

Bell, D., 80, 192 Berger, R., 178

Berlin, I., 7

Black, H., 5

Beauharnais v. Illinois, 165

103-04, 105

Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act,

B.J.F. v. Florida Star, 19, 20-21



Index 225

Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission, 98, 106-10, 128 Civic Republicanism, 81, 96 Civil Rights Act, 173 Clark v. Community for Creative Non-Violence, 116-19 Coates, J., 187 Collin v. Smith, 38 Colucci, F.J., 181 Commercial advertising, 79-80, 81, 84, 85, 86, 93 Commercial speech, 79 and non-commercial speech, 92 and political speech, distinguishing between, 86 as political speech, 84, 85 test, 88-89 Conflict of values, 7 Connick v. Myers, 122-23 Conscience, freedom of, 133, 140 Conservatism, 4-6, 112, 171, 174, 176 Conservative justices, 142 Consumer culture, 80-81 Corporations, 2, 9, 85, 91, 95, 97, 102-03, 107, 139-40 Cox Broadcasting Corporation v. Cohn, 19 Culture and attitudes toward free speech, 3-4, 6-7, 163-66 Dahl, R., 95, 96 Dahlab v. Switzerland, 155 Defamation, 36, 57, 66, 72, 75, 76, 119-22 group defamation, 36 Deliberative Democracy, 96 Democracy, 19, 20, 97, 171, See also Dissent; Political speech and defamation law, 119 and corruption, 109-10 Democratic legitimacy and autonomy, 3 different theories of legitimacy, 95-97 and racist speech or pornography, 2-3,47Demonstrations/demonstrators, 118 Dennis v. United States, 166, 167 Dewey, J., 80, 96

Press Clause, 126-27 by students, 122-23 time, place, and manner regulation, 116-19 values of, 8 by workers, 122-23 Dogru v. France, 155 Donnerstein, E., 48 Douglas, W.O., 167 Dworkin, A., 47, 48, 49, 168, 200n3, 219n31 Dworkin, R., 168 Easterbrook, F.H., 51-52, 56, 185 Eisenhower, D., 172, 173 Eliya, M.C., 77 Ely, J.H., 96 Employment Division v. Smith, 134-39, 143, 181, 188 England free trial and freedom of press, 30-34 privacy protection, 22 Europe, 9 demonstration regulations, 131 election campaign finance, 111 religious freedom in, 148, 152 European Convention on Human Rights, 21, 152, 161 European Court of Human Rights, 155, 156 commercial advertising, 93-94 dissent by workers, 132 free election rights, 111 on pretrial publicity, 31-32 regulation on violent video games sold to children, 78 Exceptionalism, 164-65 Fair trial, 25-26, 27, 29, 31-32, 33, 192 False ideas, insistence that there is no such thing, 3-4, 148 False speech, 41 False statements, 74, 75–76 Farley, M.A., 56 FEC v. Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc., 104-06, 107 Federal Election Campaign Act, 98, 103 Federal Trade Commission, 86 Federalism, 177 Feingold, R., 104 Ferguson v. Skrupa, 81-82

Dickson, R.G.B., 44, 45-46

defamation, 119-22

Dissent, 1, 115, 116, See also Democracy

and governmental structure, 128-31

and national security, 125-26



226 Index

France	liberal ideology, 4, 166–71
privacy protections, 22	Idolatry, 8, 10, 71
Fraud, 75, 76	Independent expenditures provision, 102
Free flow of information, 84	Individualism, 163
Free market capitalism, 160	Intentional infliction of emotional distress,
Free speech	17, 18, 67, 185
cultural influences, 4	outrageousness standard, 17-18
generally, 2–4	Issue ads, 103
idolatry, 10	Italy
regulation, 148	religious liberty, 152
Frozen categories approach to First	
Amendment interpretation, 71, 74–76	Jackson Lears, T.J., 79
	Jackson, V., 167
Galbraith, J.K., 80, 85	Johnson, L., 173
Garcetti v. Ceballos, 123	
Gardbaum, S., 159	Kagan, E., 5, 75, 76, 147, 169-70, 189,
Gentile standard, 197n9	207n41
German Classroom Crucifix Case II,	Kant, I., 63
150, 152	Kantianism, 55, 57
Germany	Kennedy, A., 5, 74, 109, 110, 111, 142,
Press Code, 31	146, 147, 178, 181, 182, 187, 188, 189,
privacy protection, 22	216n29, 222n77
proportionality in law, 77	Kloos, K., 35
public denominational school, 150	
religious liberty violation and	Lawrence, D.H., 167
establishment prohibition issue, 151	Laycock, D., 215n19
religious rights, 149	Legitimacy of government, and
violence regulation, 77	autonomy, 3
Gerrymandering, 128	Liberalism, 4–6, 115, 166–71
Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc., 120	Lindblom, C., 108
Ginsburg, R.B., 169, 189, 207n41	Lippman, W., 95
Goldwater, B., 172, 173	Lipset, S.M., 163-64
Government speech, 10, 133, 148	Lorillard Tobacco Company v. Reilly,
Group defamation, 36	89–91
Habermas, J., 208n8	MacKinnon, C., 47, 48, 49, 50, 57, 193n3,
Hamilton, A., 128	200n1
Hanseld v. Norway, 32–33	Madison, J., 95, 109, 145, 149, 206n13
Hate propaganda, 45	Maltz, W., 50
Hobbie v. Unemployment Appeals	Manipulative advertising, 91
Commission, 136	Marcuse, H., 80
Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project,	Market individualism, 163
125–26, 171	Marketplace of speech and truth, problems
Hughes, C.E., 9, 188	with, 6–7, 14, 41, 187
Human dignity, 14, 19, 55, 181	Marsh v. Chambers, 146
and privacy, 14	Marshall, M.H., 26
Human flourishing, 2, 13, 45	Marshall, T., 116
Hume, B., 149	Matsuda, M., 42
	McAdam, D., 35
Ideology and the development of attitudes	McCarthy, J., 5
toward free speech	McConnell v. Federal Election
conservative ideology, 4, 174–83	Commission, 104



Index 227

morality, 55-56 McCutcheon v. Federal Election Commission, 98, 110-11 O'Connor, J.P., 104 McLaughlin, D., 177 Ohralik v. State Bar Association, 87, 88, 92 Mechanical privileging, of free speech over, Originalism, 180 185, 186 Media corporations, free speech rights, 108 Parents, right of, 154 Meese, E., 179 Participatory democracy, 3 Mill, J.S., 41, 208n9 Pickering v. Board of Education, 123 Miller, H., 167 Planned Parenthood v. Casey, 181 Pluralism, 95, 96 Morrison, A., 82 Morse v. Frederick, 124 Point-of-view discrimination, 52, 56 Political campaigns, fundings for, 130 National Labor Relations Act, 212n18 Political speech, 3, 9, 45, 83, 85, 86, National Review, 172 148, 171 National Socialist Party of America, 36-42 commercial speech as, 84, 85 Nebraska Press Association v. Stuart, 26-28 Porat, I., 77 Neibuhr, R., 80, 116 Pornography, harm of, 47, 48-50 Neuborne, B., 166 defined, 47-48 New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 119-20, 131 Internet, 49 Nixon, R., 173 Positivism, 168 Powell, L., 175, 176 Nonprofit corporations, free speech rights, 107 Pragmatism, 182 Non-US approaches to free speech Prescription drug advertising, 82 Canada, 43-46, 58-61, 76-78, 112, 131 Press Clause, 126-27 Council of Europe, 31 Press, freedom of, 22, 99, 106, 128 England, 22, 30-34, 78, 131 and fair trial, impact on, 25-26 European Court of Human Rights, and privacy, 23 31-34, 78, 93, 111, 131-32 Pretrial publicity, 25-26, 27, 33, 34, See also European Court of Justice, 94 Fair trial European Parliament and Primus, E.S., 87 Council, 93-94 Privacy France, 22 concept of, 13-14 Germany, 22, 31, 76-78 dignity, relationship to, 14 Ireland, 78 rape victims, 19-21 Israel, 76-78 Privileging of free speech, problems with, Italy, 78 2-3, 7, 63-65 Norway, 32-34 Proportionality analysis, 76-78, 204n34 South Africa, 76-78 Protecting the powerful, and free speech's Turkey, 131 contribution, 95-97, 187 Non-US approaches to religious freedom Public figures, 121 European Court of Human criticism of, 19 kinds of, 120-21 Rights, 152-56 France, 152, 155-56 Public opinion, 3, 20, 84 Germany, 149-52 Puffery doctrine, 91 Italy, 152-54 Poland, 152 Questioning of prospective jurors, 28 Switzerland, 152, 155 Racist speech, nature of the harm, 35-36 free trial and freedom of press, 32-33 defined, 42 prevalence of racism, 35-36

Rana, A., 130

Raskin, J., 124

Obscenity, 53, 72, See also Pornography

O'Connor, S.D., 147



228 Index

Rawls, J., 168 Regina v. Butler, 58-61 Regina v. Keegstra, 44 Regina v. Video Appeals Committee, 78 Religion protections Establishment Clause values, 133-34 Free Exercise values, 133 Religious Freedom Restoration Act, 134, 138, 189 Religious minorities, 1, 10, 138, 143, 148, 149, 184, 187, 190 Religious symbols, display of, 153 in state schools, 152 Republicanism, 177, 205n12 Roberts, J., 5, 17, 18, 65–66, 67, 69, 71, 104, 105, 107, 124, 169, 177, 182, 188, 189, 190 Roe v. Wade, 174 Roth v. United States, 54-55, 165, 167 Scalia, A., 5, 71, 73, 75, 76, 136, 137, 138, 143, 170, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 188, 189, 219n35, 221n66, 222n74 Schauer, F., 161-62, 163 Schumpeter, J., 95 Science, 168 Sexual speech. See Obscenity; Pornography Shareholders, limited liability of, 140 Sherbert v. Verner, 136 Snyder v. Phelps, 14-19, 67, 171 Social Democracy, 96 Socialization, 161 Sopinka, J., 59, 60, 61 Sorrell v. IMS Health Inc., 92-93 Sotomayor, S., 169 Spending equality concern, 100 Sporting Dog Journal, 65 Stevens, S.D., 104, 169 Stevens, United States v., 63-66, 76, 164, 165, 169, 171 Stewart, J.H., 26 Stolen Valor Act, 74, 76 Story, J., 164 Stout, J., 96, 97

Strossen, N., 200n8 Switzerland religious freedom, 155 Taft Hartley Law, 103 Tavoulareas v. Piro, 121 Taxpayers with religious objections, 140 Taylor, C., 6 Thomas v. Review Board, 136 Thomas, C., 5, 75, 76, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 189, 208n8, 221n69, 221n71, 222n74 Tillman Act, 102 Tinker v. Des Moines School District, 123 - 24Tobacco advertising, prohibition of, 94 Toleration, 35-36, 40, 59 Town of Greece v. Galloway, 143-48 Tushnet, M., 162 Undue exploitation of sex, 59 Unprotected speech, 65, 66-67 Vagueness, in First Amendment law, 57, 61, 72, 104 Value of free speech, refusal to judge, 3-4, 148 Value of speech, 3, 8 Van Alstyne, W., 84 Vandenberg, A., 48 Violence, 62 animal cruelty, 62-67 video games, 69-76, 78, 125 Violent video games sold to children, 69-76, 125 Virginia State Board of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens Council, 81-87, 90, 91 Vladeck, D., 88 Voting Rights Act, 173 Warren, E., 120 Wealthy people, free speech rights, 108, 130 Weinstein, J., 199n22 White, B., 118 Wisconsin v. Yoder, 137-38 Wolin, S., 121

Worm v. Austria, 31-32

Strict scrutiny test, 69, 71, 73