

#### JUDGING EQUITY

T. Leigh Anenson analyzes the scope of judicial authority and discretion to recognize the equitable doctrine of unclean hands as a bar to actions seeking damages in the United States. Bringing an American perspective to the contentious conversation about lawequity fusion in other countries of the common law, Anenson provides a historical, doctrinal, and theoretical account of the defense, analyzes cases in the federal courts and across the fifty states, and places the issue of integration within a broader debate over the fusion of law and equity. Her analysis includes descriptive and normative accounts of the equitable maxim of unclean hands. This groundbreaking work, which clarifies conflicting case law and advances the idea of a principled fusion of law and equity, should be read by anyone interested in equity – its cultivation, preservation, and celebration.

T. LEIGH ANENSON is Professor of Business Law at the Robert H. Smith School of Business, University of Maryland, and Associate Director of the Center for the Study of Business Ethics, Regulation, and Crime. She is an internationally recognized scholar working in American equity law and related areas of remedies, private law, and jurisprudence. Her pioneering research has been building a foundation for equitable defenses in modern litigation.



## Judging Equity

THE FUSION OF UNCLEAN HANDS IN U.S. LAW

### T. LEIGH ANENSON

Robert H. Smith School of Business, University of Maryland





# **CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107160477 DOI: 10.1017/9781316675748

© T. Leigh Anenson 2019

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2019

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays Ltd, Elcograf S.p.A.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data NAMES: Anenson, T. Leigh, 1967–, author.

TITLE: Judging equity : the fusion of unclean hands in U.S. law / T. Leigh Anenson, Robert H. Smith School of Business, University of Maryland.

DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, United Kingdom; New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press, 2018. |
Includes index. | Based on author's thesis (doctoral - Monash University, Australia, 2017).
IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2018023426 | ISBN 9781107160477 (hardback) | ISBN 9781316613603 (pbk.)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: Clean hands doctrine—United States.
CLASSIFICATION: LCC KF8880 .A96 2018 | DDC 347.73/72—dc23
LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2018023426

ISBN 978-1-107-16047-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To my parents, for their love and kindness



## Contents

Pre	<i>page</i> xi	
Aci	knowledgments	xiii
1	Introduction	1
	1.1 Overview of the Book	5
	1.2 Summary of Chapters	9
2	Announcing the Clean Hands Doctrine	12
	2.1 Introduction	12
	2.2 Overview	15
	2.3 Philosophy of Equity and Unclean Hands	15
	2.4 Origins of the Unclean Hands Defense	23
	2.5 Development of the Defense in American Decisions	23
	2.6 Discussion of the Defense in American Literature	26
	2.7 Definition of the Defense	31
	2.7.1 Unclean Conduct Component	31
	2.7.2 Connection Component	38
	2.7.3 Sliding Scale	50
	2.8 Role of Discretion	51
	2.9 Conclusion	57
3	Examining the Cases	59
	3.1 Introduction	59
	3.2 Overview	60
	3.3 The Past: Unclean Hands Exclusive to Equity	61
	3.4 The Present: Legal Development of Unclean Hands	66
	3.4.1 State Court Adoption of Unclean Hands	66
	3.4.2 Federal Court Adoption of Unclean Hands	79



viii	Table of Contents	
	3.5 The Future: From Pedigree to Policy	88
	3.6 Conclusion	101
4	Interpreting the Merger	104
	4.1 Introduction	104
	4.2 Overview	106
	4.3 Premerger Pleading of Unclean Hands	106
	4.4 Postmerger Status of Unclean Hands	108
	4.4.1 Procedural Union: Automatic Denial of Unclean	
	Hands at Law	109
	4.4.2 Substantive Adjustment: Automatic Adoption of Unclean	
	Hands at Law	110
	4.5 Meaning of the Merger	113
	4.6 Conclusion	114
5	Framing the Fusion Debate	116
	5.1 Introduction	116
	5.2 Overview	117
	5.3 Debating Fusion	117
	5.3.1 Arriving at a Working Definition of Equity	118
	5.3.2 Pro-Fusion School of Thought	126
	5.3.3 No-Fusion School of Thought	148
	5.4 Appraising Unclean Hands	152
	5.4.1 Introduction	152
	5.4.2 Clarity and Consistency	153
	5.4.3 Separation of Powers	164
	5.4.4 Good Judgment	170
	5.5 Conclusion	176
6	Thinking Procedurally	177
	6.1 Introduction	177
	6.2 Overview	179
	6.3 Process-Based Theory of Unclean Hands	180
	6.3.1 Introduction	180

6.3.2 Overview

6.3.3 The Approach: Form and Function

6.3.5 Four Phases of Unclean Hands

6.3.6 Relation to Elements

6.3.4 Unclean Hands as Protector of the Process

182

182

185

195

206



		Table of Contents	ix
	6.4 Implications		210
	6.4.1 Universal Use		210
	6.4.2 Parallel Theories		212
	6.5 Conclusion		216
7	Conclusion		218
Index		219	



#### Preface

This book proceeds from the conviction that American equity is a subject of law worthy of study. At a time when changes in the law are typically assumed to be made by legislatures, vast amounts of law continue to be created by judges. Equity is one such area of judge-made law. Its historically powerful role for the courts in fashioning reforms must never be forgotten. Too little attention has been given to equitable principles and practices in the United States. Equitable defenses, in particular, have largely gone unnoticed. This book is directed at one essential defense – unclean hands.

Judging Equity: The Fusion of Unclean Hands in U.S. Law discusses how the clean hands doctrine came alive and whether courts were warranted in bringing it to life. It focuses on the defense's widening scope and influence by tracing its expansion into legal remedies, including damages. It provides the background necessary to understand the defense, summarizes leading cases, and considers questions of what is and should be its definitive qualities. It seeks to explain the persistence and evolution of the problem of fusion, which concerns the viability and desirability of engaging equitable doctrines in legal cases or vice versa. Around the world, disputes and discussions about fusion are ongoing.

This book uses the experience of unclean hands to offer insight into the issue of fusion and suggests lessons it might offer for the future. Along with an examination of the defense itself, there is a more general discussion of equity, especially as it touches on the relations of equity and law. Doubtless some of the challenges inherent in combining exposition and analysis, critique and simplification, remain.

I have been working on this book officially since 2016 and unofficially almost my entire academic life. As a litigator, I was involved in several cases in which equitable defenses impacted the outcome. Yet I searched in vain for commentary on these equitable doctrines and their underlying philosophy. These controversies not only piqued my curiosity about the subject, but also instilled in me a firm belief that



xii Preface

understanding equity is still crucially important. To this end, I am writing the book I wanted to have when I was an attorney.

Judging Equity: The Fusion of Unclean Hands in U.S. Law is meant for lawyers, judges, academics, and other members of the legal community. It should also engage anyone attracted to the workings of the law and the achievement of justice. In academia, especially, it should be of interest to scholars outside the United States working within systems that share our English inheritance of a common law legal system. Within the United States, it is my hope it will at least encourage others to dig into the topic of equity and further explore the territory that I have mapped out.



## Acknowledgments

The author is indebted to many friends and colleagues, too many to mention, and a host of anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions. I am also eternally grateful to the mentors on my academic journey, Larry DiMatteo and George Siedel, for their encouragement and guidance. This book stands on additional shoulders as well, including those of the many other scholars and researchers, both here and abroad, who have taught me so much.

This book would not have been written without the generous support of the Department of Business Law and Taxation, Monash University, including the advice and assistance of Paul Von Nessen, Rick Krever, and Abe Herzberg. Peter Mellor was exceedingly helpful as well. Portions of this book were written during my visiting scholarships and fellowships at the University of Cambridge, the University of Sydney, and the Australian National University. My appreciation to those universities and law faculties for their interest and critical take on the book's arguments. This book additionally benefited from the research assistance of Evan Llewellyn, Matthew Touton, and Faith Harrington.

Research for Judging Equity: The Fusion of Unclean Hands in U.S. Law developed through the preparation of a series of law review and journal articles on equitable defenses. Throughout the book, I draw substantially from this work. I express my appreciation to the editors and reviewers of "Announcing the 'Clean Hands' Doctrine," Vol. 51, U.C. Davis Law Review 1827–1890 (2018); "Equitable Defenses in the Age of Statutes," Vol. 37, University of Texas Review of Litigation 529–579 (2018); "Statutory Interpretation, Judicial Discretion, and Equitable Defenses," Vol. 79, University of Pittsburgh Law Review 1–59 (2017); "Limiting Legal Remedies: An Analysis of Unclean Hands," Vol. 99, Kentucky Law Journal 63–118 (2010); "Beyond Chafee: A Process-Based Theory of Unclean Hands," Vol. 47, American Business Law Journal 509–574 (2010); "Treating Equity like Law: A Post-Merger Justification of Unclean Hands," Vol. 45, American Business Law Journal 455–509 (2008).



xiv

#### Acknowledgments

Wilson Huhn inspired my interest in jurisprudence during law school. To him, I am thankful. I would also like to thank my partners at Reminger Co., L.P.A., who had the audacity to give me authority and otherwise involve me in several cases comprising equitable principles and doctrines. My fellow warriors include Mario Ciano, Bill Farrall, Nick Satullo, and Larry Sutter.

Last, but certainly not least, I enthusiastically acknowledge Matt Gallaway and his staff at Cambridge University Press for their superb editorial assistance. Their meticulous reading of the manuscript, copious comments, and corrections through many revisions strengthened it immensely.