

INDEX

- abduction, 19–20. *See also* analogy
- abuse of rights, 175–78
- acceptance of responsibility, 162–72
- acceptance of treaty obligations, 162–72
- acceptance of treaty rights, 162–72
- African Union, 214–15
- agents, 96–99
- Ago, Roberto, 81, 192
- Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization (1994), 63, 111–12
- American realist school, 17–18
- analogical reasoning. *See* analogy
- analogies, 18–27, 238–45
 - challenging, 24–27
 - in codification and progressive development, 35–48
 - domestic law, 1–3, 28, 54
 - ILC and, 242–45
 - in international law, 35–48
 - in international legal reasoning, 13
 - morality of, 26
 - normative pedigree, 25–26, 38–39
 - private law, 2
 - structure, 18–27
 - systemic, 1–8
 - as well-drawn, 24–27
- analogy between States and international organizations
 - breaking point of, 195–210
 - limits of, 185
 - normative contestation of, 212–37, 242
 - objections to, 87
 - overview of, 1–11, 238–45
- AOI. *See* Arab Organization for Industrialization
- Appeal Relating to the Jurisdiction of the ICAO Council* (ICJ), 195–210
- Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide* (ICJ), 26–27
- Arab Organization for Industrialization (AOI), 155–61
- ARIO. *See* Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations for Internationally Wrongful Acts
- armed conflict, law of, 222–25
- Arrest Warrant* case, 18–27
- ARS. *See* Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts
- Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations for Internationally Wrongful Acts (ARIO), 3–4, 8, 39–47, 59
- ARS departed from by, 233–34
- Article 5, 152
- Article 6, 99, 152
- Article 7, 153–54
- Article 8, 138–39
- Article 10, 195–210
- Article 15, 173–74
- Article 16, 173–74
- Article 17, 172–83, 198
- Article 21, 223–25
- Article 22, 190–95, 206–10, 230–32
- Article 25, 139, 233–34
- Article 32, 190–94
- Article 40, 180–84
- Article 46, 102–4, 143–44
- Article 49, 139, 141–42, 234–35

- Article 51(4), 194–95, 230–32
 Article 52, 190–95, 206–10, 230–32
 Article 54, 143–44
 Article 57, 114
 Article 61, 172–80, 198
 Article 62, 157–61, 171–72
 Article 64, 113, 190–94, 201
 general commentary to, 107–8
 ILC on, 89, 143–44, 157–61, 204–10, 230–32
 VCLT 1969 compared with, 171–72
 VCLT 1986 compared with, 171–72
 Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts (2001) (ARS), 3–4, 36–44
 ARIO departing from, 233–34
 Article 3(2), 200–2
 Article 4, 99, 152
 Article 5, 99
 Article 6, 152–54
 Article 7, 138–40
 Article 8, 99
 Article 21, 223–25
 Article 25, 233–34
 Article 45, 102–4
 Article 46, 102
 Article 48(1)(b), 124–26, 234–35
 Articles 49–54, 230–32
 ILC referring to, 41, 114–16, 124–26, 152–54, 223–25, 230–32
 attribution of conduct, 96–99, 151–55
 attribution of responsibility, 154–61
 Austria, 127–28, 182

Barcelona Traction case, 124
 Belgium, 18–27
 billiard ball model, 147–48
 Blokker, Neil, 182
 boundaries, 90–92
 Brewer, Scott, 15, 18–20
 Brölmann, Catherine, 51, 148–49, 167–69, 244
 Brownlie, Ian, 71–72, 157–61

 Canada, 97–99
 capacity, 79–82, 141–42
Certain Expenses of the United Nations (ICJ), 130, 132–33

Certain German Interests in Polish Upper Silesia (PCIJ), 201
 challenging analogies, 24–27
 Charter of the United Nations, 35–36, 132–33, 181, 209–10, 222–25
 circumvention of international obligations, responsibility for, 172–83
 classical intergovernmental organizations, 111–14
 closed international organizations, 51–53
 closure rule, 33–35
 codification, 35–48
 of law of responsibility, 180–84
 of law of treaties, 122, 180–84, 244
 as systemic, 35–39
 Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf, 91–92
Commission v. Luxembourg and Belgium (CJEU), 209–10
 competence, 141–42
 competent organs, 99–104
 Conclusions on the Fragmentation of International Law (ILC), 28–30, 204–6
 consensualism, 40–43, 79–82
 constituent instruments, 54–55, 137, 166, 192, 204, 214–15
 dual character of, 197
 interpretation of, 131–34
 constitutive theory of recognition, 60–62
 Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations (1946), 214–21
 cooperation between organizations and members, 194–95
 Council of Europe, 98
 countermeasures, 230–32
 Court of Appeals for Third Circuit, US, 220–21
 Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
 Court of Justice (formerly ECJ), 113, 165–66, 171, 179–80, 206, 209–10
 General Court (formerly Court of First Instance), 169–71

- Crawford, James, 29, 61–62, 230
 critical legal studies movement, 17–18
Cumaraswamy case, 79–82
 customary international law, 4–7, 9–10, 18–27, 62, 72, 84, 118–24
- declaratory theory of recognition, 60–62
 deductive justification, 20, 24–26
 Democratic Republic of Congo, 18–27
 derivative subjects of international law, 116–28, 146
Diallo case (ICJ), 29–30
 diplomatic protection, 92–96
 disaggregated state, 147–48
 domestic constitutional law, 16
 domestic law analogies, 1–3, 54
 domestic plane, 9
 draft article 19*ter*, 226–30
 draft article 36*bis*, 162–69, 171–72, 180
 draft conclusions on identification of customary international law (ICL), 119–24
 Draft Declaration on the Rights and Duties of States (1949), 74
 dualism, 201
 Dworkin, Ronald, 17–18, 25–26
- East Timor, 223–24
EC—Geographical Indications (WTO), 111–13
 ECJ. *See* Court of Justice of the European Union
 Egypt, 127, 155–61, 188–89, 194–95
 Eighth Report (Gaja), 187–88
 El-Erian (special rapporteur), 69
 Enlightenment, 20–21
 epistemic community, 212
erga omnes effects, 54
 ESA. *See* European Space Agency
 EU. *See* European Union
 European Convention on Human Rights, 113
 European Court of Human Rights, 111–13, 174–75
 European Court of Justice. *See* Court of Justice of the European Union
 European Space Agency (ESA), 220–21
- European Union (EU), 169–71. *See also* Court of Justice of the European Union
 citizenship, 92–96
 European Commission, 85, 111–13, 152–54
 European Communities, 63, 111–13, 165
 as supranational organization, 111–13
 Treaty on the Functioning of, 92–93, 165–66
ex officio representatives, 96–99
 executive head, 97–99
 executive organ, 35
- factual autonomy, 78
 Finland, 181
 formal conception, of legal personality, 73
 formal justice, 20–26
 founding fathers, of international law, 1
 French Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen, 33
 Fuller, Lon, 22–23
 functional protection, 93–96, 132–34
 functionalism, 130
- Gaja, Giorgio, 3–4, 42, 46–47, 59, 71–72, 77–78
 ILC comments of, 78, 104–6, 114, 138–39, 141, 145–46, 155–61, 180–84, 187–88, 209, 223–25, 230–31, 233–35
 on IOs, 143–44, 180–84
 on objective legal personality, 78
 gap-filling, 29–30
 general international law, 9–10, 188–89, 197
 general principles of law, 1–2
 general rules of international law, 5, 142–45, 155–61
 genocide, 26–27
 German Constitutional Court, 50–51
 Germany, 235
- hard cases, 15–16, 25–26, 47–48
 Hart, H. L. A., 6, 15, 21, 25–26, 28

INDEX

261

- Higgins, Rosalyn, 54, 58–59, 70–71, 77–79
- Holy See, 127
- ICJ. *See* International Court of Justice
- IGOs. *See* intergovernmental organizations
- IHL. *See* international humanitarian law
- ILC. *See* International Law Commission
- IMF. *See* International Monetary Fund
- immunities, 18–27, 214–21
- implied powers doctrine, 132–34, 206–10
- indeterminacy, of law, 17–18
- India, 91
- individuals, as subjects of international law, 5
- inherent powers doctrine, 206–10
- Institut de Droit international*, 58–59, 70–71, 75–77, 155–61, 171, 175–76
- institutional plane, 8–9, 95, 195–210
- interested organization, responsibility invoked by, 234–35
- intergovernmental organizations (IGOs), 62, 68–72, 110–14
- internal law of international organizations, 10, 51–53, 137, 197–98, 202, 206
- ARIO applying to, 199–210
- ILC on, 137, 189
- overview of, 142–43
- international conferences, 127
- International Court of Justice (ICJ), 4–6, 9–10
- Appeal Relating to the Jurisdiction of the ICAO Council (India v Pakistan)* by, 195–210
- Arrest Warrant* case decided by, 18–27
- Barcelona Traction* case, 124
- Certain Expenses of the United Nations* by, 130, 132–33
- Cumaraswamy* case, 79–82
- Interpretation of the Agreement* advisory opinion, 29–30, 65, 194–95
- Jurisdictional Immunities of the State (Germany v. Italy; Greece intervening)* by, 161
- Kosovo* case, 196
- LaGrand* case of, 147
- Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons* by, 32–33
- Legality of the Use of Nuclear Weapons in Armed Conflict* by, 54–55
- Nicaragua* case, 222
- North Sea Continental Shelf* case, 62–63
- Nottebohm* case, 93–96
- Nuclear Weapons (WHO Request)* advisory opinion, 65, 107–8, 128–34, 195–210
- Pulp Mills* case, 78–79
- Reparation for Injuries* advisory opinion, 53–54, 63–65, 72, 77–82, 92–96, 128–34, 188–89
- on State practice, 15–16
- on the UN, 129, 132–33
- International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda, 4–6
- international humanitarian law (IHL), 32, 223
- international law. *See also* derivative subjects; general international law; public international law, of international organizations; status of international organization, under international law
- analogy in, 35–48
- codification and progressive development of, 35–48, 230–31, 242–45
- as customary, 9–10, 18–27, 62, 72, 84
- founding fathers of, 1
- general rules of, 5, 142–45, 155–61
- Hart on, 28
- ILC on, 173, 196, 204, 213
- IOs in, 74, 82
- Kelsen on, 28, 61–62
- subjects of, 3–8, 52–79, 82, 172
- systematicity of, 27–48

- International Law Commission (ILC),
3–10, 28–30, 35–48. *See also*
Articles on the Responsibility of
International Organizations for
Internationally Wrongful Acts;
Gaja, Giorgio; Reuter, Paul;
Special Rapporteurs; Vienna
Convention on the Law of
Treaties; Vienna Convention on
the Law of Treaties between States
and International Organizations
or between International
Organizations
analogy and, 242–45
on ARIO, 89, 143–44, 157–61,
204–10, 230–32
ARS referred to by, 41, 114–16,
124–26, 152–54, 223–25, 230–32
customary international law
identified by, 72
debates, 46, 50, 56, 65, 87, 104–8,
112–28, 140–46, 162–72, 180–84,
192–210, 225–35
Gaja comments of, 78, 104–6, 114,
138–39, 141, 145–46, 155–61,
180–84, 187–88, 209, 223–25,
230–31, 233–35
on IOs, 71–72, 77, 89, 97, 100–4,
119–24, 126–28, 134–38, 149–51,
155–61, 175–76, 180–84, 192–94,
196, 200, 206–10, 223, 225–35,
238–45
law of treaties worked on by, 189,
225–35
on legal personality, 72–73
Reuter comments of, 40, 90–92,
100–6, 110–11, 119–20, 122,
134–38, 149–50, 162–71, 180–81,
189, 192–94, 226–30
Vienna Convention on the
Representation of States in their
Relations with International
Organizations of a Universal
Character prepared by, 109–11
Working group on countermeasures,
231–32
Working group on long-term
programme of work, 44–47
international legal autonomy, 75–79
international legal orders, relations
between, 199–210
international legal personality, 5–6, 53,
69–79, 117
objective personality, 52–68, 78
relative subjects, 61–62
international legal reasoning, analogy
in, 13
international legal subjects, 50–79. *See*
also international legal personality;
subjects
international legal system
coherence of, 83–85
completeness of, 31–35
decentralisation of, 34–35
development of, 2–3
partial legal orders, 8–9, 54–55,
195–210
political structure of, 122–24
structural changes to, 54
systematicity of, 27–31
total legal order, 8–9, 54–55,
195–210
International Monetary Fund (IMF),
83, 87, 104–6, 200
international obligations, 172–83, 201
international organizations (IOs), 2–11,
42. *See also* principle of speciality;
relevant similarity; subjects;
treaties
conceptions of, 53–68
definition of, 68–85
members' relations with, 187–211
as question of fact, 78
State influencing, 54, 63, 77, 82–85,
90, 105, 123, 159, 192, 220–21,
231, 234
status under international law of,
53–68, 74–75, 78
structural differences in relation to
states, 89–106, 117, 128–34
as subjects with limited competence,
128–45
volonté distincte, 70, 75–76, 78–79
international plane, 8–9, 95, 188–95
international responsibility, 84–85
international rule of law, 27, 30–31

INDEX

263

- International Sea-Bed Authority, 91–92
- International Tin Council (ITC),
155–61
- INTERPOL, 18–27
- Interpretation of the Agreement* (ICJ),
29–30, 65, 194–95
- interpretive approach, 17–18
- interpretive argument, 25–26, 38–39
- interpretive practice, 25–26
- IOs. *See* international organizations
- Israel, 93–96
- Italian Supreme Court of Cassation,
216–19
- ITC. *See* International Tin Council
- Jenks, W., 74, 215–19
- juridical persons, 9
- jurisdiction, immunity from, 18–27
- Jurisdictional Immunities of the State*
(ICJ), 161
- jurisprudential divide, 17–18
- jus cogens*. *See* preemptory norms
- justifications, in legal reasoning, 20,
24–26
- Kadi* case (CJEU), 169–71, 179–80
- Kelsen, Hans, 6, 9, 54–55, 195–210
General Theory of Law and State, 9,
55, 73, 96, 197–98, 200
on international law, 28, 61–62
on legal personality, 73
Pure Theory of Law, 21, 197
- Klabbers, J., 9, 52–53, 77–78, 82,
230–32
organizationhood used by, 74
- Koskenniemi, Martti, 72–73, 206
- Kosovo, 178–79, 223–24
- Kosovo* case (ICJ), 34–35, 196
- LaGrand* case (ICJ), 147
- Lauterpacht, Hersch, 1–2, 31–32,
34–35, 56–57
- law. *See also* internal law; international
law; law of treaties; public law
of armed conflict, 222–25
of immunities, 214–21
indeterminacy of, 17–18
international humanitarian, 32, 223
of IOs, 59–60, 242–45
lex specialis, 29–30
municipal, 31–32
law of responsibility, 180–84, 192,
233–34
law of treaties, 140–41, 193, 213.
See also Vienna Convention on the
Law of Treaties; Vienna
Convention on the Law of Treaties
between States and International
Organizations or between
International Organizations
codification of, 122, 180–84, 244
ILC working on, 189, 225–35
law-making treaties, 126–28, 227
layered subjects of international law,
11, 147–84, 240–42
League of Nations, 2–3
legal capacity, 56, 79–82
“The Legal Consequences for Member
States of the Non-fulfilment by
International Organizations of
their Obligations toward Third
Parties” (*Institut de Droit
international*), 58–59
legal personality. *See also* international
legal personality
abuse of, 180
factual autonomy’s connection to, 78
formal conception of, 73
ILC on, 72–73
Kelsen on, 73
in legal systems, 72–75
in legal theory, 72–75
natural legal persons, 73–75
legal reasoning, 29–30. *See also* analogy
Legal Reasoning and Legal Theory
(MacCormick), 23
legal systems, 1–8, 20–21, 27, 31–35.
See also international legal system
*Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear
Weapons* (ICJ), 32–33
*Legality of the Use of Nuclear Weapons
in Armed Conflict* (ICJ), 54–55
lex specialis derogat legi generali
principle, 29–30, 142–45, 190–94,
201
Lotus case (PCIJ), 33–35, 62–63

- MacCormick, Neil, 23
- McRae, Donald, 107, 114–16, 230–31
- members, IOs' relations with, 187–211
- membership ties, obligations of cooperation and, 194–95
- monism, 200–10
- Montevideo Convention on the Rights and Duties of States (1933), 74
- municipal law, 31–32
- nationality of claims rule, 93–96
- nations, Vattel's conception of, 1
- NATO. *See* North Atlantic Treaty Organization
- natural rights, law determining bounds of, 33
- Ndombasi, Abdulaye Yerodia, 18–27
- necessity, 233–34
- the Netherlands, 153–54, 168
- NGOs. *See* non-governmental organizations
- Nicaragua* case, 34–35, 222
- Nolte, Georg, 114, 143, 180–83
- non liquet*, 31–33
- non-governmental organizations (NGOs), 68–72
- normative case, for analogy, 17–26
- contestation, 212–37, 242
- North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), 178–79, 214–15
- North Sea Continental Shelf* case, 62–63
- Nottebohm* case, ICJ on, 93–96
- nuclear weapons, 32–33
- Nuclear Weapons (WHO Request)* (ICJ), 65, 107–8, 128–34, 195–210
- Lotus* principle in, 34–35
- Nuhanovic* case, 153–54
- objective personality. *See* international legal personality
- obligations of cooperation, membership ties and, 194–95
- open international organizations, 51–53
- organic jurisdiction, 75–77
- organizationhood, 74
- original subjects, of international law, 118, 146
- OSS Nokalva v. European Space Agency*, 220–21
- pacta sunt servanda*' principle, 55–75, 85–86, 141, 176–77
- pacta tertiis nec nocent nec prosunt* principle, 53–68, 100, 119–24, 149–51, 169–71, 183–84
- partial legal orders, 8–9, 54–55, 195–210. *See also* international legal system
- PCIJ. *See* Permanent Court of International Justice
- Pellet, Alain, 44–47, 146, 157–61, 180–84, 197
- peremptory norms, 124–26, 202
- Permanent Court of International Justice (PCIJ), 33–35, 62–63, 93–96, 132, 201
- Pistelli v. EUI*, Italy, 216–19
- population, 92–96
- positivist school, 17–18, 25–26
- primary rules, 71–72, 212–25, 235–37
- primary subjects, States as, 116–28
- principle of equality. *See* formal justice
- principle of independent responsibility, IOs and, 151–61
- principle of speciality, 107–46, 166, 234–35, 240–42
- private law analogies, 2
- progressive development, of international law, 35–48, 230–31, 242–45
- public authority, 134
- public international law, of international organizations, 66–68, 85–86
- public law, 2, 75–77
- Pulp Mills* case (ICJ), 78–79
- rebus sic stantibus* rule, 91–92
- recognition, 28, 53–68
- regional economic integration organization, 111–16
- regional organizations, 53–54, 109–11
- relations between organizations and members, 187–211
- relevant similarity, 20, 48–87, 238–42
- Reparation for Injuries* case, 65, 72

- ICJ on, 53–54, 63–65, 72, 77–82, 92–96, 128–34, 188–89
- representation, 96–99
- reservations, to treaties, 226–30
- responsibility, 39–43, 140
- for abuse of legal personality, 180
 - acceptance of, 162–72
 - attribution of, 154–61
 - for circumvention of international obligations, 172–83
 - interested organization invoking, 234–35
 - law of, 180–84, 192, 233–34
 - systemic underpinning, 84–85
 - for *ultra vires* conduct, 138–40
- Reuter, Paul, 3–4, 51–53, 55–75
- on consensualism, 40–43, 79–82
 - ILC comments of, 40, 90–92, 100–6, 110–11, 119–20, 122, 134–38, 149–50, 162–71, 180–81, 189, 192–94, 226–30
- rights, 99–104, 175–78
- ripeness objection, 43–47
- rule of law, 20–27, 30–31. *See also*
- international rule of law
 - principles of legality, 22–23
- rules, 1–11, 21. *See also* general rules of international law
- analogy-warranting, 19–25
 - on attribution of conduct, 151–54
 - on attribution of responsibility, 154–61
 - of closure, 33–35
 - of IOs, 52, 108–16, 190–94, 197–98, 209
 - of the organization, 10, 51–53, 141, 190–94, 206
 - primary, 212–25, 235–37
 - of recognition, 28
 - secondary, 5, 212–14, 225–37
 - structural, 213
- rule-scepticism, 17–18
- Rwanda, 4–6
- secondary rules, 5, 71–72, 212–14, 225–37
- self contained regimes, 29–30, 204–6
- self-governing communities, 75–77
- Serbia, ICJ proceedings instituted by, 178–79
- Seventh Report (Gaja), 160
- Seyersted, Finn, 54, 61–63, 75–77, 79–82, 206–10
- silence, 99–105, 160
- Sixth Committee of the UN General Assembly, 46, 234–35
- Sixth Report (Gaja), 145–46, 162–69
- sovereignty, 50–53, 122–23
- Soviet Union, 63, 98, 127
- Spain, 178
- special law. *See lex specialis derogat legi generali* principle
- Special Rapporteurs, 56–57, 69, 97, 125, 160. *See also* Gaja, Giorgio; Higgins, Rosalyn; Reuter, Paul
- Ago as, 81, 192
- special regimes. *See* self contained regimes
- speciality, 11, 107, 134–38, 240–42. *See also* principle of speciality
- State of Israel, 93–96
- States, 7, 30–46. *See also* international law; principle of speciality; recognition; relevant similarity
- acceptance of responsibility by, 171–72
 - acceptance of rights and obligations by, 162–69
 - creation of, 78
 - custom among, 6
 - customary international law binding, 84
 - as disaggregated, 147–48
 - as international legal subject, 54
 - international responsibility of, 84–85
- IOs influenced by, 54, 63, 77, 82–85, 90, 105, 123, 159, 192, 220–21, 231, 234
- population of, 92–96
 - as primary subjects, 116–28
 - rights and duties of, 74
 - rules accepted by, 6, 84
 - structural differences in relation to IOs of, 89–106, 117, 128–34
 - territory of, 89–92

- status of international organization,
 - under international law, 53–68, 74–75, 78
- structural differences between States and IOs, 89–106. *See also* principle of speciality
- structural norms, 62–63, 213
- Study Group on the Fragmentation of International Law, 204–6
- subjects
 - derivative, 116–28, 146
 - of international law, 3–8, 50–79, 82
 - layered, 11, 147–84, 240–42
 - with limited competence, 128–45
 - original, 118, 146
 - primary, 116–28
 - relative, 61–62
 - special, 11, 107–46
 - subject conception of IO, 52–79, 85–86
 - unitary, 147–84
- subsidiary organs, 90
- supranational organizations, 111–14, 165
- Supreme Court of the Netherlands, 153–54
- Switzerland, 157
- systematicity, 20–48
- systemic analogies, 1–8
- systemic codification, 35–39
- territory, 89–92
- terrorism, 133, 169–71
- TFEU. *See* Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
- Tladi, Dire, 124, 126
- total legal order, 8–9, 54–55, 195–210. *See also* international legal system
- treaties, 39–43. *See also* law of treaties; Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties; Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties between States and International Organizations or between International Organizations
 - conception, 52–79, 85–86, 109
 - constituent instruments as, 54–55
 - definition of, 188–89
 - internal law incorporation of, 202
 - invalidity of, 134–38
 - IOs and, 149–51, 192
 - law-making, 126–28, 227
 - observance of, 134–38
 - relative effect of, 149–51
 - reservations to, 226–30
 - Reuter on, 110–11
 - speciality and, 134–38
- Treaty on the European Union, Article 3(5) of, 92–93
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), 92–93, 165–66
- ultra vires* conduct, 138–40
- UN. *See* United Nations
- UNCLOS. *See* United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea
- unitary subjects, 147–84. *See also* subjects
- United Nations (UN), 3–6, 130, 132–33
 - Charter of, 35–36, 132–33, 181, 209–10, 222–25
 - General Assembly, 30–31, 35–36, 93–96, 119, 121–24, 181, 196, 234–35
 - ICJ on, 129, 132–33
 - Reparation for Injuries* case
 - influencing, 63–65, 72, 79–82, 93–96, 128–34
 - Secretary-General, 169–71, 223–25
 - Security Council, 35, 132–33, 169–71, 178–80, 222–25
 - States influencing, 63–65
 - US's headquarters agreement with, 89–90
- United Nations (UN) Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), 63, 91–92, 111–12
- United States (US), 89–90, 178
- universal organizations, 53–54, 109–11, 114–16
- US. *See* United States
- Ushakov, Leonid, 103–4, 125, 136–37, 149–50
 - on constituent instruments, 166
 - on legal personality, 150
 - on treaties, 227

- Van Gend en Loos* case (CJEU), 206
- Vattel, Emmerich de, 1–2
- VCLT 1969. *See* Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
- VCLT 1986. *See* Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties between States and International Organizations or between International Organizations
- Vienna Conference (1968–1969), 69, 90–92, 98
- Vienna Conference (1986), 102–4, 137–38
- codification critique at, 167
- debates, 104–6, 116–28, 149–51
- on derivative subjects, 116–28
- law-making treaties at, 126–28
- Soviet Union at, 127
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969) (VCLT 1969), 3–4, 39–47, 79–82, 137–38
- ARIO compared with, 171–72
- Article 2, 68–75, 226
- Article 4, 192
- Article 5, 192
- Article 6, 55–75, 138–39
- Article 7, 96–99
- Article 9, 126–28
- Articles 19–23, 102–4, 226–30
- Article 27, 135–38, 200–2
- Article 29, 90–92
- Article 31(3)(c), 66–68
- Articles 34–38, 53–68, 119–24, 149–51, 162–63, 167–69
- Article 35, 169–71
- Article 45, 100–2
- Article 46, 135–38
- Article 52, 222
- Article 53, 124–26, 200–2
- Article 62, 90–92
- Article 64, 200–2
- Article 65, 102–4
- departure from, 104–6
- on IGO, 110–11
- as miraculous instrument, 40
- reservations in, 226–30
- VCLT 1986 departing from, 127, 226–30
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties between States and International Organizations or between International Organizations (1986) (VCLT 1986), 3–4, 8, 39–47
- ARIO compared with, 171–72
- Article 2, 69–70
- Article 5, 190–94
- Article 6, 55–75, 134–38, 141–42
- Article 9, 127
- Article 27, 190–94
- Article 29, 90
- Article 35, 171–72
- Article 36, 100, 102, 134–35
- Article 45, 100–2
- Article 46, 137–38, 192–94
- Article 52, 223–25
- Article 65(4), 134–35
- Article 74(3), 167–69
- on constituent instruments, 135–38
- draft article 36*bis* rejected by, 162–69
- overview of, 242–45
- rules of organization adopted by, 10
- VCLT 1969 departed from by, 127, 226–30
- Vienna Convention on the Representation of States in their Relations with International Organizations of a Universal Character (1975), 69, 109–11
- Waite and Kennedy v Germany* (ECtHR), 174–75
- Waldock, Humphrey, 56–57, 69
- Waldron, Jeremy, 21–23, 28
- Walz v. Clickair SA* (CJEU), 206
- Westland Helicopters Ltd, 155–61
- WHO. *See* World Health Organization
- Wolff, Christian, 1
- Wood, Michael, 121–24, 143, 216–21, 234
- World Health Organization (WHO), 188–89, 194–95. *See also* *Nuclear Weapons (WHO Request)*
- World Trade Organization (WTO), 63, 111–13, 143, 145, 204
- Yugoslavia, 168, 178–79