

PROPERTY RIGHTS IN POST-SOVIET RUSSIA

The effectiveness of property rights – and the rule of law more broadly – is often depicted as depending primarily on rulers' "supply" of legal institutions. Yet the crucial importance of private sector "demand" for law is frequently overlooked. This book develops a novel framework that unpacks the demand for law in Russia, building on an original enterprise survey as well as extensive interviews with lawyers, firms, and private security agencies. By tracing the evolution of firms' reliance on violence, corruption, and law over the two decades following the Soviet Union's collapse, the book clarifies why firms in various contexts may turn to law for property rights protection, even if legal institutions remain ineffective or corrupt. The author's detailed demand-side analysis of property rights draws attention to the extensive role that law plays in the Russian business world, contrary to frequent depictions of Russia as lawless.

JORDAN GANS-MORSE is an assistant professor of political science at Northwestern University. His articles have appeared in *American Journal of Political Science*, *American Political Science Review, Comparative Political Studies, Post-Soviet Affairs, Problems of Post-Communism,* and *Studies in International Comparative Development*. His research has been funded by the National Science Foundation, the Social Science Research Council, and the American Bar Foundation. He holds a PhD in political science from the University of California, Berkeley.



PROPERTY RIGHTS
IN POST-SOVIET RUSSIA

Violence, Corruption, and the Demand for Law

JORDAN GANS-MORSE

Northwestern University, Illinois





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
4843/24, 2nd Floor, Ansari Road, Daryaganj, Delhi – 110002, India
79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107153967

© Jordan Gans-Morse 2017

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2017

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-107-15396-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



CONTENTS

| List of Tables | | page viii |
|----------------|---|-----------|
| Li | ist of Figures | ix |
| Αι | cknowledgments | X |
| 1 | Violence, Corruption, and Demand for Law | 1 |
| | 1.1 The Puzzle | 3 |
| | 1.2 The Argument | 4 |
| | 1.3 Contributions | 5 |
| | 1.4 The Case: Post-Soviet Russia | 8 |
| | 1.5 Data and Methodology | 13 |
| | 1.6 Outline of the Book | 14 |
| 2 | Institutional Supply and Demand | 16 |
| | 2.1 The Dependent Variable: Property Security | |
| | Strategies | 17 |
| | 2.2 The Theory | 23 |
| | 2.3 Institutional Supply and Demand in Russia | 33 |
| | 2.4 Other Explanatory Factors | 35 |
| | 2.5 Scope of Analysis | 40 |
| | 2.6 Conclusion | 42 |
| 3 | The Evolution of Firm Strategies | 43 |
| | 3.1 The Decline of Private Coercion | 44 |
| | 3.2 The Replacement of Private Coercion with | |
| | Corrupt Coercion | 54 |
| | 3.3 The Rise of Legal Coercion | 58 |
| | 3.4 Conclusion | 69 |
| | Appendix: Understanding Russian Caseload Data | a 69 |
| 4 | The Role of State Legal Capacity | 71 |
| | 4.1 The 1990s: Building the Foundations of Stat | te |
| | Legal Capacity | 73 |
| | 4.2 The 2000s: The Ambiguous Evolution of Sta | ate |
| | Legal Capacity | 78 |

V



| vi | CONTENTS |
|----|----------|
| | |

| | 4.3 S | tate Legal Capacity's Dark Side: Rise of a | |
|----|-------|--|-----|
| | | redatory State | 85 |
| | | tate Legal Capacity from the Firm's | |
| | | erspective | 91 |
| | 4.5 | Conclusion | 95 |
| 5 | | and-Side Barriers to the Use of Legal Strategies | 97 |
| | 5.1 T | ax Compliance and Operations in the Informal | |
| | | conomy | 99 |
| | | 'irms' Expectations and Collective Action | |
| | _ | roblems | 110 |
| | 5.3 | Conclusion | 124 |
| 6 | The I | Effectiveness of Illegal Strategies | 126 |
| | 6.1 T | ime Horizons and the Consolidation of | |
| | | Ownership | 128 |
| | | inancial Sector Development | 136 |
| | | ntegration into the International Economy | 142 |
| | 6.4 | Conclusion | 149 |
| 7 | Varia | tion in Strategies across Firms | 150 |
| | 7.1 E | Effectiveness of Illegal Strategies | 152 |
| | 7.2 I | Demand-Side Barriers | 166 |
| | 7.3 F | irm Size | 172 |
| | 7.4 | Conclusion | 177 |
| 8 | Firm | s, States, and the Rule of Law in Comparative | |
| | Persp | pective | 179 |
| | 8.1 T | he Argument in Brief | 180 |
| | | trategies, Institutional Effectiveness, and the | |
| | | Rule of Law | 183 |
| | | rospects for the Rule of Law in Russia | 188 |
| | | Aethinking the Role of the State | 195 |
| | | athways to the Rule of Law in Comparative | |
| | Р | Perspective | 196 |
| Aj | pendi | ices | 207 |
| | _ | nal Interview Data | 209 |
| | • | nal Survey Data | 215 |
| | | Surveys Cited or Analyzed | 217 |
| | | alizing the Argument | 218 |
| | | iptive Statistics for Key Variables | 226 |
| F | Corre | lations and Cluster Analysis | 229 |



| CONTENTS | vi |
|------------------------------|------------|
| G Selected Regression Tables | 231 |
| References Index | 275 293 |

A further Online Appendix can be accessed at http://cambridge.org/9781107153967.



TABLES

| 3.1 | Businesspeople Murdered in the Central Federal District of RF, 1997–2005 | 49 |
|-----|--|-----|
| 3.2 | Number of Cases Related to Private Arbitration Heard by Commercial | |
| | Courts, 2002–2009 | 64 |
| 3.3 | Preferred Property Security Strategies (full sample) | 65 |
| 3.4 | Preferred Property Security Strategies (regional cities) | 67 |
| 4.1 | Annual Federal Budget for MVD and Judiciary, 1998–2010 | 81 |
| 4.2 | Number of Economic Crimes Reported, 2000–2012 | 89 |
| 6.1 | Ownership Structure of Russian Industrial Firms | 130 |
| 6.2 | Percent of Russian Industrial Firms with Large Shareholder | 131 |
| 6.3 | Bank Transactions, Deposits, and Loans, 1999–2008 | 137 |
| 6.4 | Reliance on Foreign Finance | 144 |
| 7 1 | Types of Markets and the Effectiveness of Formal Legal Institutions | 155 |

viii



FIGURES

| 2.1 | Property Security Strategies | 19 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 2.2 | Overview of Analytical Framework | 23 |
| 3.1 | Annual Cases Initiated by Firms in Commercial Courts, 1992- | |
| | 2013 | 60 |
| 4.1 | Firms' Assessment of Courts | 92 |
| 5.1 | Tax Revenues and Arrears to Consolidated Budget of RF, 1992- | |
| | 2008 | 101 |
| 5.2 | Reporting Sales Revenue for Tax Purposes | 103 |
| 5.3 | Tax Compliance and Propensity to Use Strategies | 108 |
| 5.4 | Collective Action and Propensity to Use Strategies | 115 |
| 5.5 | Graphical Depiction of Tipping Point Game | 122 |
| 6.1 | Ownership Consolidation and Propensity to Use Strategies | 134 |
| 6.2 | Cash Transactions and Propensity to Use Strategies | 141 |
| 7.1 | Types of Markets and Propensity to Use Strategies | 157 |
| 7.2 | Market Size and Propensity to Use Strategies (firms with 250 or | |
| | more employees) | 161 |
| 7.3 | Informal Networks and Propensity to Use Strategies | 163 |
| 7.4 | Wealthy Clientele and Propensity to Use Strategies (firms with | |
| | fewer than 250 employees) | 165 |
| 7.5 | Demand-Side Barriers and Propensity to Use Strategies | 169 |
| 8.1 | States, Firms, and the Rule of Law | 189 |



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book would not have been possible without the support and guidance of many individuals and organizations. At Northwestern University, I have been fortunate enough to find myself surrounded by extraordinary colleagues in the Department of Political Science. Karen Alter, Bill Hurst, Jim Mahoney, Steve Nelson, Wendy Pearlman, Jason Seawright, Jeffrey Winters, Will Reno, Rachel Riedl, and Andrew Roberts offered comments on parts or all of the manuscript, advice about the publishing process, and, perhaps most important, support and encouragement. Meanwhile, the outstanding research assistance of Dong Zhang helped bring the manuscript to completion.

Beyond Northwestern, my good friend and collaborator, Simeon Nichter, provided feedback on countless drafts and helped me brainstorm new paths on the many occasions when I thought I had hit a dead end. Throughout the project, I also received invaluable advice from Tim Frye, Scott Gehlbach, Kathryn Hendley, Stan Markus, Bob Orttung, and Bob Nelson at various critical stages.

I am also grateful to the Northwestern Equality Development & Globalization Studies program and Department of Political Science for funding a book workshop from which this manuscript benefited greatly. At this workshop, Tim Frye, Scott Gehlbach, Anna Grzymala-Busse, and Pauline Jones, along with my Northwestern colleagues Bill Hurst, Jim Mahoney, Jason Seawright, and Jeffrey Winters, graciously offered their time and insights.

This book began as a dissertation in the Department of Political Science at the University of California, Berkeley. I owe a great deal of gratitude to my dissertation committee, Steve Fish, Steve Vogel, Brad DeLong, and, particularly, to my committee chair, John Zysman. Although not officially members of the committee, I also am grateful to Bob Kagan for introducing me to the world of Law and Society scholarship; to Henry Brady for his advice regarding the survey component of my research; to David



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

хi

Collier for his ongoing support and advice; and to Ned Walker for numerous stimulating intellectual conversations over the years. At Berkeley, I was also part of an exceptional community of graduate students, many of whom directly or indirectly aided me during the formative stages of the project, including (but by no means limited to) Neil Abrams, Sener Akturk, Taylor Boas, Jen Brass, Miguel De Figueiredo, Sam Handlin, Jonathan Hassid, Danny Hidalgo, Diana Kapiszewski, Andrej Krickovic, Ben Lessing, Sebastian Mazzuca, Simeon Nichter, Jody LaPorte, Danielle Lussier, Rachel Stern, Bart Watson, Susanne Wengle, and Kuba Wrzesniewski.

At Cambridge University Press, I'm indebted to my acquisitions editor John Berger, content manager Lisa Sinclair, and the production department led by Velmurugan Inbasigamoni. Their professionalism and advice have been greatly appreciated throughout the many stages of bringing a manuscript to print. Thanks are also owed to Evgeniia Mikriukova, one of Northwestern's many talented graduate students, for her help in designing the cover image for this book.

In Russia, I incurred many debts of gratitude while conducting fieldwork. Andrei Yakovlev and his colleagues at the Institute for Industrial and Market Studies and the International Center for Studies of Institutions and Development, both at the Higher School of Economics in Moscow, provided both helpful insights into the Russian business world and an office out of which to work during several research trips. Peter Skoblikov of the Interior Ministry's Academy of Management patiently introduced me to the details of the Russian legal system. Elena Bashkirova and her colleagues at Bashkirova and Partners conducted the survey component of the project. Maria Ievskaya, Roman Khudaleev, and Dina Balalaeva provided excellent research assistance. I am especially indebted to two good friends with intimate knowledge of the Russian business world, Slava Petrov and Andrei Karapetian, for their insights and support. Most of all I would like to thank the many interview and survey respondents to whom I promised anonymity for taking the time to share their views.

I would also like to acknowledge the generous support of faculty research grants from the Northwestern Equality Development & Globalization Studies program and the Kellogg School of Management Dispute Resolution Research Center; a Eurasia Dissertation Support Fellowship from the Social Science Research Council; a Law and Social Science Doctoral Fellowship from the American Bar Foundation; a Humane Studies



xii

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Fellowship from the Institute for Humane Studies; a Fulbright-Hays Doctoral Dissertation Abroad Fellowship; a Jacob K. Javits Fellowship from the US Department of Education; and a Doctoral Dissertation Improvement Grant and a Graduate Research Fellowship from the National Science Foundation. I additionally acknowledge that parts of Chapter 3 draw on materials first used in my article "Threats to Property Rights in Russia: From Private Coercion to State Aggression," published in *Post-Soviet Affairs* volume 28, number 3, 2012, pages 263–295.

My deepest appreciation is reserved, of course, for the encouragement, love, and patience of my family and loved ones, Karen, Larry, Ethan, Bob, who graciously copyedited the entire manuscript, and my daughter Julia and wife Katya, who in addition to love and support kept my theories grounded in the realities of life in Russia.