

Contents

<i>Foreword by Eva Feder Kittay</i>	<i>page</i> xiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xix
1 Severe Intellectual Disability and the Social Contract	1
1.1 A Challenge to the Social Contract Tradition	1
1.2 Who Are the “Persons with Severe Intellectual Disabilities”?	10
1.3 Robust Moral Status	22
1.4 Why Social Contract Theory?	28
1.5 The Contractualism–Contractarianism Distinction	34
1.6 Starting Points: Preservationists and Revisionists	39
1.7 Structure and Summary of the Book	49
2 Inclusive Contractarianism: Persons with Severe Intellectual Disabilities within a Society of Self-Interested Contractors	53
2.1 Generic Inclusivist Contractarianism	53
2.2 Moralized Contractarianism	61
2.3 When the “Tough Crowd” Rethinks Reciprocity	64
2.4 Two Objections: Biting the Contractarian Bullet or Admitting an Unspoken Qualificatory Criterion	77
3 The Capacity to Trust as a Contractual Basis for Robust Moral Status	82
3.1 A Trust-Based Conceptualization of the Social Contract	82
3.2 The Nature of Trust	89
3.3 Other-Regarding Trust and the Social Contract	105
3.4 Can All PSID Take Part in Trusting Relationships?	114
3.5 How Important Is Trust?	117
3.6 Conclusion	124

4	People with Severe Intellectual Disabilities as Active Citizens	128
4.1	Justice for Talents	131
4.2	PSID's Capacity for Formulating and Realizing a Conception of the Good	144
4.3	Conclusion	161
5	People with Severe Intellectual Disabilities as Passive Citizens	163
5.1	PSID as Passive Citizens or Indirect Participants	163
5.2	Dissociating the Concepts of Moral Legislators and Members of the Moral Community	164
5.3	Problems with Being Represented by a Trustee in the Contractual Process	172
5.4	Indirectly Acquiring Direct Moral Considerability Because the Contractors Make Provisions for It	177
5.5	Extending Passive Citizenship to PSID in a Rawlsian Framework	187
5.6	Conclusion	214
6	Other-Regarding Concern and Exploitation	216
6.1	Situating the Chapter	216
6.2	Hampton and Dimock's Feminist Contractarianism	217
6.3	A Response	225
6.4	Problematizing Contractarian Assessments of Costs and Benefits	249
6.5	Noncontractarian Frameworks	255
6.6	Other Failures in Accounting for the Special Value of "Outliers"	264
6.7	Is Hampton's "Kantian Contractarianism" Not Other-Regarding?	268
6.8	Conclusion	270
7	Beyond Contractual Relations	273
7.1	Why Contractualism or Contractarianism Cannot Fully Integrate All People with Severe Intellectual Disabilities	273
7.2	Taking Relations Seriously	278
7.3	Taking Relations of Other-Regarding Concern Seriously	290
7.4	A Relational Pluralist View: Care, Contract, and Beyond	292
	<i>Bibliography</i>	297
	<i>Index</i>	307