### The Battle of Jutland

This is a major new account of the Battle of Jutland, the key naval battle of World War I in which the British Grand Fleet engaged the German High Seas Fleet off the coast of Denmark in 1916. Beginning with the building of the two fleets, John Brooks reveals the key technologies employed from ammunition, gunnery and fire control to signalling and torpedoes as well as the opposing commanders' tactical expectations and battle orders. In describing Jutland's five major phases, he offers important new interpretations of the battle itself and how the outcome was influenced by technology, as well as the tactics and leadership of the principal commanders, with the reliability of their own accounts of the fighting reassessed. The book draws on contemporary sources, which have been rarely cited in previous accounts, from the despatches of both the British and German formations to official records, letters and memoirs.

After a career in computing and telecommunications, John Brooks obtained his doctorate from the Department of War Studies, King's College London. Now an independent scholar, he is the author of *Dreadnought Gunnery and the Battle of Jutland: The Question of Fire Control* (2005).

### Cambridge Military Histories

Edited by

HEW STRACHAN, Chichele Professor of the History of War, University of Oxford and Fellow of All Souls College, Oxford GEOFFREY WAWRO, Professor of Military History, and Director of the Military History Center, University of North Texas

The aim of this series is to publish outstanding works of research on warfare throughout the ages and throughout the world. Books in the series take a broad approach to military history, examining war in all its military, strategic, political and economic aspects. The series complements Studies in the Social and Cultural History of Modern Warfare by focusing on the 'hard' military history of armies, tactics, strategy and warfare. Books in the series consist mainly of single-author works – academically rigorous and groundbreaking – which are accessible to both academics and the interested general reader.

A full list of titles in the series can be found at: www.cambridge.org/militaryhistories

# The Battle of Jutland

**John Brooks** 



### **CAMBRIDGE** UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107150140

© John Brooks 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

#### A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Brooks, John, 1942– author. The Battle of Jutland / John Brooks. Cambridge : Cambridge University Press, 2016. | Series: Cambridge military histories | Includes bibliographical references and index. LCCN 2016014668 | ISBN 9781107150140 (hardback) LCSH: Jutland, Battle of, 1916. | BISAC: HISTORY / Military / General. LCC D582.J8 B76 2016 | DDC 940.4/56–dc23 LC record available at http://lccn.loc.gov/2016014668

ISBN 978-1-107-15014-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

> Again for Anne without whom this book would not have been possible with my love and thanks

# Contents

| List of figures                  |                           | <i>page</i> viii |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Lisi                             | t of tables               | ix               |
| Ma                               | L                         | xiii             |
| Pre                              | face                      | XV               |
| Acknowledgements                 |                           | xxi              |
| 1                                | Building the battlefleets | 1                |
| 2                                | Technologies              | 49               |
| 3                                | Orders for battle         | 97               |
| 4                                | Preliminaries             | 131              |
| 5                                | The Run to the South      | 162              |
| 6                                | The Run to the North      | 219              |
| 7                                | Around Windy Corner       | 252              |
| 8                                | The remains of the day    | 309              |
| 9                                | Night and morning         | 366              |
| 10                               | Technology and tactics    | 451              |
| 11                               | An unpalatable result     | 514              |
| Bibliography                     |                           | 550              |
| Index of Warships and Formations |                           | 557              |
| General Index                    |                           | 564              |

vii

## Figures

| Turning pendants                        | page 55  |
|---|--|
| Deployment pendants                     | 60   |
| Deployment diagram                      | 124  |
| The Run to the South                    | 163  |
| The Run to the North                    | 220  |
| Windy Corner to the BCF's 32-point turn | 254  |
| The remains of the day                  | 310  |
| Night and morning                       | 368  |
|   | Deployment pendants<br>Deployment diagram<br>The Run to the South<br>The Run to the North<br>Windy Corner to the BCF's 32-point turn<br>The remains of the day |

viii

© in this web service Cambridge University Press

# Tables

| 1.1  | Light cruiser programmes                                    | page 36 |
|------|---|---------|
| 1.2  | Light cruiser characteristics                               | 38      |
| 1.3  | Destroyer programmes  | 40      |
| 1.4  | Destroyer characteristics                                   | 42      |
| 1.5  | Dreadnought battleship programmes                           | 44      |
| 1.6  | Dreadnought battleship characteristics                      | 45      |
| 1.7  | Battlecruiser programmes                                    | 46      |
| 1.8  | Battlecruiser characteristics                               | 47      |
| 1.9  | British margins   | 48      |
| 2.1  | Dreyer Table allocation                                     | 67      |
| 2.2  | British proof tests and predicted perforation properties    | 79      |
| 2.3  | Torpedo characteristics                                     | 91      |
| 4.1  | Signals between Admiralty and C-in-C, 30 May                | 142     |
| 4.2  | British battle squadrons (except 5BS) in Organisation No. 5 | 144     |
| 4.3  | German battle squadrons                                     | 146     |
| 4.4  | British battlecruisers and fast battleships                 | 147     |
| 4.5  | German battlecruisers                                       | 148     |
| 4.6  | Comparative battlefleet broadside weights of fire           | 149     |
| 4.7  | British armoured cruisers                                   | 150     |
| 4.8  | British light cruisers with the battlefleet                 | 152     |
| 4.9  | German light cruisers with the battlefleet                  | 152     |
| 4.10 | German light cruisers with the battlecruisers               | 153     |
| 4.11 | British light cruisers with the battlecruisers              | 153     |
| 4.12 | Comparative total broadsides for light cruisers             | 154     |
| 4.13 | British flotillas with the battlefleet                      | 155     |
| 4.14 | British flotillas in the Southern North Sea                 | 156     |
| 4.15 | British flotillas with the BCF                              | 156     |
| 4.16 | German flotillas with the scouting groups                   | 158     |
| 4.17 | German flotillas with the battlefleet                       | 158     |
| 4.18 | Comparative total destroyer numbers and armaments           | 159     |
| 5.1  | BCF signals 1.30–2.27pm                                     | 168     |
| 5.2  | BCF signals 2.20–2.40pm                                     | 170     |
|      |   |         |

ix

| 5.3  | 1/3LCS signals 2.31–2.34pm             | 173 |
|------|--|-----|
| 5.4  | I/IISG signals 2.27–2.59pm             | 174 |
| 5.5  | LCS signals 2.39–3.25pm                | 175 |
| 5.6  | Engadine signals 1.31–3.45pm           | 176 |
| 5.7  | ISG signals 2.29–3.30pm                | 178 |
| 5.8  | BCF signals 2.40–3.25pm                | 179 |
| 5.9  | BCF signals 3.27–3.47pm                | 182 |
| 5.10 | ISG signals 3.29–3.48pm                | 183 |
| 5.11 | Ranges and targets                     | 184 |
| 5.12 | ISG signals 3.53–4.05pm                | 192 |
| 5.13 | BCF signals 3.47–4.10pm                | 193 |
| 5.14 | ISG signals 4.04–4.51pm                | 199 |
| 5.15 | 5BS signals 3.35–4.30pm                | 200 |
| 5.16 | BCF signals 4.10–4.30pm                | 202 |
| 5.17 | BCF light forces' signals 3.34–5.08pm  | 209 |
| 5.18 | ISG flotillas' signals 4.14–4.26pm     | 210 |
| 5.19 | Grand Fleet signals 2.35–4.35pm        | 216 |
| 5.20 | High Seas Fleet signals 11.35am-4.36pm | 217 |
| 6.1  | 2LCS signals 4.33–5.00pm               | 220 |
| 6.2  | ISG signals 4.38–4.51pm                | 221 |
| 6.3  | 5BS signals 4.18–4.50pm                | 221 |
| 6.4  | BCF signals 4.40–4.50pm                | 222 |
| 6.5  | High Seas Fleet signals 4.36–4.54pm    | 223 |
| 6.6  | BCF signals 4.52–5.30pm                | 228 |
| 6.7  | Grand Fleet signals 4.54–5.15pm        | 230 |
| 6.8  | ISG signals 4.55–5.13pm                | 230 |
| 6.9  | 1/3LCS signals 4.12–5.30pm             | 235 |
| 6.10 | BCF destroyer signals 4.12-5.20pm      | 236 |
| 6.11 | Tyrwhitt's signals 4.50am–5.35pm       | 238 |
| 6.12 | British signals 3.10–5.04pm            | 240 |
| 6.13 | British signals 5.16–5.40pm            | 243 |
| 6.14 | German signals 5.13–6.00pm             | 244 |
| 6.15 | British signals 5.30–6.00pm            | 248 |
| 7.1  | 3BCS signals 4.00–6.26pm               | 253 |
| 7.2  | IISG signals 5.58–6.15pm               | 255 |
| 7.3  | ISG signals 5.53–6.10pm                | 258 |
| 7.4  | High Seas Fleet signals 6.17–6.25pm    | 259 |
| 7.5  | BCF signals 5.55–6.08pm                | 260 |
| 7.6  | 5BS signals 5.55–6.18pm                | 262 |
| 7.7  | British signals 5.55–6.35pm            | 263 |
| 7.8  | 2CS signals 5.40–6.43pm                | 267 |
| 7.9  | 1CS signals 5.42–8.51pm                | 268 |

|      | List of tables                              | xi  |
|------|---|-----|
| 7.10 | 4LCS signals 5.58–6.22pm                    | 269 |
| 7.11 | Reports to Jellicoe 5.40–6.15pm             | 272 |
| 7.12 | Grand Fleet signals 6.19–6.33pm             | 289 |
| 7.13 | High Seas Fleet signals 6.21–6.55pm         | 295 |
| 7.14 | Grand Fleet signals 6.29–7.00pm             | 299 |
| 7.15 | 4LCS signals 6.43–6.52pm                    | 301 |
| 7.16 | BCF signals 6.21–7.00pm                     | 304 |
| 8.1  | German signals 6.45–7.05pm                  | 312 |
| 8.2  | German destroyer signals 6.52–7.05pm        | 314 |
| 8.3  | Grand Fleet signals 6.54–7.15pm             | 316 |
| 8.4  | German signals 7.10–7.25pm                  | 319 |
| 8.5  | BCF signals 6.53–7.40pm                     | 327 |
| 8.6  | Grand Fleet signals 7.12–7.43pm             | 333 |
| 8.7  | British light forces' signals 7.22–7.45pm   | 336 |
| 8.8  | British destroyer signals 7.38–7.50pm       | 337 |
| 8.9  | High Seas Fleet signals 7.18–7.52pm         | 339 |
| 8.10 | British signals 7.40–8.21pm                 | 341 |
| 8.11 | 3LCS signals 8.09-8.46pm                    | 345 |
| 8.12 | Stettin signals 8.21–8.25pm                 | 345 |
| 8.13 | German signals 7.32–8.19pm                  | 347 |
| 8.14 | BCF signals 8.09–8.25pm                     | 348 |
| 8.15 | Scheer's signals 8.26–8.52pm                | 350 |
| 8.16 | British light forces' signals 7.58-8.38pm   | 352 |
| 8.17 | Grand Fleet signals 8.14–8.38pm             | 354 |
| 8.18 | British signals 8.14–9.05pm                 | 355 |
| 8.19 | German signals 8.00–8.57pm                  | 357 |
| 8.20 | British light forces' signals 8.35–9.20pm   | 360 |
| 8.21 | British signals 8.38–9.15pm                 | 363 |
| 9.1  | Grand Fleet signals 7.49–10.08pm            | 370 |
| 9.2  | 1BS signals 1.56–10.31am                    | 372 |
| 9.3  | British signals 9.20pm-2.01am               | 373 |
| 9.4  | BCF signals 9.15–10.05pm                    | 376 |
| 9.5  | German reports of British recognition codes | 377 |
| 9.6  | Scheer's signals 8.52–9.50pm                | 379 |
| 9.7  | German signals 7.15–10.12pm                 | 380 |
| 9.8  | German destroyer signals 8.45pm-0.18am      | 381 |
| 9.9  | British destroyer signals 9.45–10.55pm      | 384 |
| 9.10 | German light forces' signals 9.55–10.46pm   | 384 |
| 9.11 | British light forces' signals 10.46-11.38pm | 386 |
| 9.12 | 4DF signals 11.01pm-2.00am                  | 390 |
| 9.13 | German signals 10.34pm-1.30am               | 391 |
| 9.14 | British destroyer signals 0.04–0.56am       | 398 |

| 9.15  | British enemy reports 9.52pm–1.00am              | 403 |
|-------|--|-----|
| 9.16  | German signals 10.34pm–1.27am                    | 405 |
| 9.17  | Reports to Jellicoe 8.59–11.38pm                 | 409 |
| 9.18  | Lützow reports 11.55pm-4.24am                    | 414 |
| 9.19  | 12DF signals 0.16am–5.40pm                       | 418 |
| 9.20  | German flotilla signals 1.46–2.50am              | 419 |
| 9.21  | British destroyer signals 2.20-3.05am            | 423 |
| 9.22  | Dublin signals 4.31-8.16am                       | 425 |
| 9.23  | British destroyer signals 3.45-8.45am            | 427 |
| 9.24  | 13DF signals 3.25-10.48am                        | 428 |
| 9.25  | British light forces' signals 4.20-10.30am       | 429 |
| 9.26  | German signals 9.06pm–5.05am                     | 431 |
| 9.27  | German signals 5.25–8.45am                       | 435 |
| 9.28  | Grand Fleet signals 1.48–4.43am                  | 437 |
| 9.29  | BCF signals 2.15-4.40am                          | 441 |
| 9.30  | British signals 4.45–10.07am                     | 443 |
| 9.31  | British destroyer signals 3.05-8.47am            | 446 |
| 9.32  | British signals 8.52am-7.26pm                    | 448 |
| 10.1  | Shell expenditures                               | 454 |
| 10.2  | APC penetration                                  | 455 |
| 10.3  | Effects of hits by heavy shell at Jutland        | 456 |
| 10.4  | Hits on capital ships                            | 468 |
| 10.5  | Signals by flagships                             | 479 |
| 10.6  | Signals by category and time                     | 479 |
| 10.7  | Signals by Commodore (F) and SO 4LCS             | 480 |
| 10.8  | Enemy reports to the Commanders-in-Chief         | 487 |
| 10.9  | The Admiralty's intelligence reports to Jellicoe | 490 |
| 10.10 | Derfflinger's gunnery log against Queen Mary     | 499 |
| 10.11 | Torpedo expenditure                              | 505 |
| 11.1  | Ships with casualties                            | 515 |

Map

4.1 The North Sea. Preliminary movements

page 132

xiii

### Preface

The literature on the Battle of Jutland is immense; Rasor's 1992 annotated bibliography runs to 528 items.<sup>1</sup> Yet, in the course of my earlier research and writing on fire control in the dreadnought era, I found that, to understand British gunnery and tactics during the battle, I needed to go back to the fons et origo, directly or indirectly, of so much of what has been written about Jutland from a British perspective: namely the despatches (often with supporting charts) submitted by flag officers and by the commanding officers of major ships, flotillas, even individual destroyers. Their great value lies in their immediacy and authenticity. Most were written within a few days of returning to harbour, each addressed to the author's superior officer. Because they were required promptly, there was little or no opportunity for more senior commanders to influence their contents.<sup>2</sup> Thus a typical despatch described the events and observations that were recorded or remembered as most important by the author and his subordinates, with little regard for what might later prove significant to the wider picture. Of course, a despatch was unlikely to include anything explicitly discreditable to its author or openly critical of his superiors (though we shall encounter single instances of both). Even so, these despatches are indispensable as contemporary, personal reports of the battle as experienced by those that were there. My previous book Dreadnought Gunnery and the Battle of Jutland concentrated mainly on the gun actions between heavy ships during the daylight hours. Now, in this study, I hope to use these same sources and the same methodology in describing, for all the formations present, the course of the battle during daylight on 31 May 1916 and onwards through the night to the morning of 1 June.

In 1920, the great majority of the British Jutland despatches, together with a selection of gunnery reports and a comprehensive list of signals, were published by command as the Official Despatches with Appendices (Cmd. 1068). The value of this collection has not always been recognised; Captain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Eugene Rasor, *The Battle of Jutland. A Bibliography* (Westport, CT: Greenwood Press, 1992), pp. 63–170. <sup>2</sup> See Chapter 7 for *Lion*'s turn through a complete circle.

### xvi Preface

Roskill described it as 'an indigestible, unanalysed and in some important respects incomplete mass of material'.<sup>3</sup> In fact, the only significant matter that was expurgated refers either 'solely to personnel, recommendations *i.e.*, in no way bearing on the course of the action' or to fire control equipment and methods that remained secret;<sup>4</sup> fortunately, these missing passages can be consulted if needed in the original typed documents preserved in the National Archives.<sup>5</sup> It is true that, as Andrew Gordon states, the despatches are 'riddled with inconsistencies and conflicts'.<sup>6</sup> Given that they were written as records of individual experiences very soon after an action that was plagued with poor visibility, this is only to be expected. But this gives them their authenticity and they contain much information that appears nowhere else. However, they must be used critically and it is incumbent on all historians drawing on them to state clearly which despatches are the basis for their narrative and conclusions. The text of the *Official Despatches* is now available online, and many readers may wish to download a copy in order to check specific citations.

In addition to the despatches themselves, this account makes use of other sources which are either nearly contemporary with the battle or date from the post-war years when participants' memories were still fresh.<sup>7</sup> ADM 137 (the files of the Historical Section of the Committee of Imperial Defence at TNA) contains additional material about Jutland itself as well as the wider war. The Beatty Papers (in the National Maritime Museum) contain several important reports which, had they not been withheld, would probably have been included in the *Official Despatches*. The Jellicoe Papers (in the British Library and online) also contain some unpublished reports, while the four volumes, published by the Navy Records Society, of the papers of the two admirals contain a wealth of material, including that relating to the post-war Jutland Controversy. In 1919, Jellicoe published The Grand Fleet 1914-1916 and in 1921 Fawcett and Hooper brought out their collection of the 'personal experiences of sixty officers and men of the British Fleet' who fought at Jutland. In 1923, Sir Julian Corbett's five chapters on the battle appeared in the third volume of the official British history, though they provided few references to his sources. In contrast, the Dewar brothers' suppressed Naval Staff Appreciation (1922) and their 'de-venomised' Admiralty Narrative (1924) contain many references to the Official Despatches and also to some other sources that are now probably lost; Jellicoe's dissenting appendix to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Stephen Roskill, *Admiral of the Fleet Earl Beatty. The Last Naval Hero: An Intimate Biography* (New York: Athenium, 1981), p. 323.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Battle of Jutland ... Official Despatches with Appendices, Cmd.1068 (London: HMSO, 1920), pp. 54 and 381 and, e.g. pp. 77 and 126. <sup>5</sup> ADM 137/301 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> ADM 137/301–2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Andrew Gordon, *The Rules of the Game. Jutland and British Naval Command* (London: John Murray, 1996), p. 539.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Full source details, including those available on the Web, are in the Bibliography.

#### Preface

Admiralty Narrative and his appendix for an unpublished revised edition of his book provide further insights into some of his important decisions. The Harper Record, eventually published in 1927, was in large measure a summary of the Official Despatches but it also contains some additional information.<sup>8</sup> The series of Staff College lectures on Jutland prepared in 1929-30 by Captains Bertram Ramsay and John Godfrey have also been consulted. Of more recent publications, the account of the battle by Nigel Steel and Peter Hart (2003) quotes extensively from the recollections of the battle that are held by the Imperial War Museum; those that were set down soon after the battle have been used here where they provide additional contemporary insights. Many other relevant modern publications are listed in the Bibliography. These works include the third volume of Arthur Marder's From the Dreadnought to Scapa Flow and John Campbell's Jutland. An Analysis of the Fighting; the latter must be treated critically but the author's deep knowledge of ships' structures and the effects of shell hits remains indispensable.

Because of this study's emphasis on British primary sources, it has a point of view that, at least to some extent, is closer to the British than the German ships. Even so, it requires a reliable German perspective on the battle. In the early 1920s, little was available to the British authors then writing about Jutland other than the despatch of the German commander-in-chief Admiral Scheer and his memoir of 1920. In contrast, the works of these British writers, and the Official Despatches that underpinned them, were published while the German official historian, Captain Otto Groos, was still preparing his account of Jutland; this was published in 1925 and a translation was completed in the following year, though only for official use within the Royal Navy. Since Groos could also consult the despatches of German ships and formations, he claimed, with some justification, that he was able 'for the first time to present an account based on official information from both sides'.9 Perhaps partly because of this, his work was some two-and-a-half times the length of Corbett's account, giving detailed descriptions of the movements and actions of the German ships. Thus Groos's German Official Account provides the necessary German point of view for this book (though his concluding attempts to claim an unqualified German victory have been discounted). While the British translation that has been cited here has not, to my knowledge, been published, it was the basis for V E Tarrant's Jutland. The German Perspective (1995) which provides

xvii

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> For the history of these publications, see A Temple Patterson (ed.) *The Jellicoe Papers. Volume II,* 1916–1935 (Navy Records Society, 1968), pp. 399–404, Bryan Ranft (ed.) *The Beatty Papers, Volume II 1916–1927* (Aldershot: Scholar Press for the Navy Records Society, 1993), pp. 417–28, Roskill, Ch. 15 and Gordon, Ch. 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Captain O Groos (trans. Lieut-Cmdr. W T Bagot), *The Battle of Jutland (The German Official Account)* (Naval Staff, Admiralty, 1926), p. iv, original emphasis.

### xviii Preface

extended quotations and summarises the remainder of the text. In addition to Scheer's memoir, another important early German source is the book by Commander Georg von Hase, the gunnery officer of the battlecruiser *Derfflinger*; this was published in English in 1921 as *Kiel and Jutland* and is particularly informative about German gunnery methods.

Both official histories were published with a considerable number of detailed charts, though the German set has proved to be the more influential, because it was evidently the basis of the charts that were prepared by Captain John Creswell RN to accompany Marder's volume on Jutland.<sup>10</sup> The resemblance between the two sets is too close to be coincidental; compare, for example, the tracks of the two battlecruiser forces on Marder's Chart 4 with those on the German Charts 4 and 5, redrawn for Tarrant's book as Figs. 17 and 18. However, the historical accuracy of these detailed charts, which show the movements of many ships, large and small, is questionable. They are doubtless helpful in gaining an overall impression of the progress of the battle. But, as Andrew Gordon had demonstrated so convincingly in *The Rules of the Game*, even the track charts of just one formation, the British 5th Battle Squadron, are too inaccurate and inconsistent to be reconcilable.<sup>11</sup> How Captains Groos and Creswell went about the daunting task of unifying the navigational information from two complete fleets is unrecorded and, to me, impossible to imagine. For the simple charts that illustrate this book, I have preferred, as with the text, to go back to the immediate aftermath of the battle, specifically to the charts that accompanied the despatches from the senior commanders, Jellicoe, Beatty and Scheer. For each phase of the battle, the tracks of the British and German flagships are redrawn together on the same scale to show the relative movements of the two sides. But no adjustments have been made to eliminate any apparent inconsistencies, so that readers can decide for themselves whether they can be resolved.

The Battle of Jutland was a grave disappointment to the British people, who had expected that, if only the German fleet could be brought to action, the Royal Navy would win an annihilating victory in the tradition of Nelson. Because of the Admiralty's bald initial communiqué, there was even some delay before the public accepted that, despite the British losses, the outcome had been a strategic victory; after a brief excursion, the German High Sea Fleet had withdrawn hastily to its harbours, leaving the Grand Fleet's dominance of most of the North Sea essentially unchanged. But the price of strategic victory had been no less than a tactical defeat.<sup>12</sup> The British forces were considerably stronger – 151 British and 99 German ships were present while, type for type, British

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Arthur Marder, From the Dreadnought to Scapa Flow. Vol. III. Jutland and After (Oxford,

<sup>1978),</sup> pp. xi-xii. The German charts are acknowledged as only one of Creswell's sources.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Gordon, Ap. II. <sup>12</sup> FDSF III, Ch. 6.

#### Preface

ships fired heavier broadsides. Yet they suffered many more casualties -6,768British killed and wounded compared with 3,058 German. Of major vessels, Britain lost three battlecruisers and three armoured cruisers, Germany one battlecruiser and one predreadnought battleship, though only Germany also lost light cruisers, four in all.<sup>13</sup> This book has two principal objectives. The first is to try to identify and explain the many reasons for this striking disparity, including the significant differences in technology and tactics and in leadership and command. The second objective is to provide a detailed and substantiated account of the whole battle as experienced by all formations from the squadrons of dreadnoughts to the flotillas of destroyers. To avoid a work of inordinate length, I am not attempting a critique of the existing literature. Instead, as in my last book, I am returning to the contemporary and nearcontemporary sources that have been discussed earlier; throughout, my aim is to identify clearly the sources on which my narrative and conclusions are based. My best hope is that, by taking this approach, I can construct a new, sourcebased foundation on which further research can build with confidence. Readers will doubtless find some of my interpretations less convincing than others, but I hope that they will always be able to identify my sources as a starting point for their own investigations.

In the narrative chapters, the text is interspersed with tables which list the signals that are relevant to the events being described. These signals are, I believe, indispensable since they capture so much of the essence of each episode – its temporal sequence, the exchanges of reports and orders passing between commanders and subordinates, navigational details like courses and speeds, and tactical manoeuvres. The format of these tables for both British and German signals is the same as that used in the Official Despatches for British signals (though with simplified punctuation); note that the left-hand column contains the time of despatch of British signals but the time of receipt of German signals, and that the German sources identify signals not sent by wireless as 'Visual'. For consistency with the original sources, these signal times and the times in the text are given using a 12-hour clock followed by 'am' or 'pm';<sup>14</sup> however, again as in the originals, signal times-of-origin use a 24hour format. All times are expressed in Greenwich Mean Time. In both navies in World War I, with only a few exceptions, courses and bearings were expressed by means of the 32 magnetic compass points and this convention has been retained; also, I hope that, like me, most readers find that, say, SWbyW has an immediate significance that 223° True does not. For brevity, in the text and tables squadrons and flotillas are identified simply by a number and two or

xix

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> N J M Campbell, Jutland, an Analysis of the Fighting (London: Conway Maritime Press, 1986), pp. 338–41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> A slight change from the originals, made to avoid ambiguity, is that '12' always means the hour after noon, '0' the hour after midnight.

### xx Preface

three letters for the formation type. Arabic numerals are used for British units and Roman for German while the letters follow national conventions: for example, 12DF for the British 12th Destroyer Flotilla but XIITF for the German XII Torpedo-boat Flotilla.

To keep the footnotes as brief as possible, frequently referenced sources are identified by short mnemonics, e.g., *OD* for *Official Despatches*, *GF* for Jellicoe's *The Grand Fleet*. The full citations can be found against the mnemonics, listed alphabetically, in the first part of the Bibliography. Other cited published works are identified in the footnotes by the names of their authors plus, if needed for multiple works by the same author, an abbreviated title; full details are in Section III of the Bibliography.

### Acknowledgements

I am most grateful to Professor Sir Hew Strachan for inviting me to write a full account of the Battle of Jutland and for his patience and unfailing support as this book has slowly taken shape. I have been exceedingly fortunate in the friendship, encouragement and advice over many years of Professor Andrew Lambert and Dr Ruddock Mackay. My writing labours have been regularly and convivially punctuated by meetings with Stephen McLaughlin and John Roberts; Steve has been exceptionally generous in sharing the fruits of his archival research, and John's expert advice on the design and performance of ships has been indispensable. I am indebted to Rear-Admiral James Goldrick RAN for his guidance on the operational challenges facing the opposing navies in the North Sea in 1914–18. And I must also thank all those who have so kindly provided me with references and copies of published and unpublished works and with much-valued advice on naval and ordnance matters, particularly Keith Allen, Byron Angel, Professor Ian Buxton, Kent Crawford, Simon Harley, Stuart Haller, William Jurens, Laszlo Kiss, Tony Lovell, Nathan Okun, William O'Neill, David Ramsay, Ed Rudnicki and Matthew Seligmann. If my memory or record-keeping has let me down, I send my sincere apologies to anyone whose name should have been included here. I must add that, if I have misunderstood or misinterpreted any of the invaluable information that I have been sent, I alone am responsible. I must express my gratitude to the late William Schleihauf for inviting me to join the Fire Control Group (FCG) in 2001; many of those listed earlier are members, and it has been a pleasure to be able to learn from the group's expertise in so many aspects of naval warfare. Lastly, I wish to thank the editors - Michael Watson, Rosalyn Scott and Claire Sissen at the Cambridge University Press and Aishwariya Ravi at Integra Software Services - for the advice and guidance that has taken this book from first submission to final publication.