CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-14678-5 - In Defense of Pluralism: Policy Disagreement and Its Media Coverage
Éric Montpetit
Index
More information

Index

actors. *See* policy actors
Advocacy Coalition Framework
  assumptions of, 81
  beliefs and, 15–17, 81–2
  conclusions about, 95–6
  devil shift and, 82
  differences in actors' beliefs, 81–2
  example related to, 83
  fear and, 82–3
  as non-deterministic, 84
  policy choices and, 159–60
  policy-making theories and, 81–4
  social psychology and, 82–4
  as sophisticated, 84
  subsystem polarization and, 159–60
  theory of disagreement and, 81
advocacy groups
  broadsheet media and, 40
  contentious politics and, 164–5
  industry representatives and, 141, 164
  interest groups and, 134
  mindset of compromise within, 140
advocacy groups and government disagreements (gvt_advoc), 39–41
agri-food domain, 91
attitudes
  compromising, 68
  convictions and, 104–7
  defined, 104
  different convictions, different mindsets and, 107–14
  instruments and, 105–6
  moderate actors and, 106–7
Authoritative Governance (Hajer), 19–20

beliefs
  Advocacy Coalition Framework and, 15–17, 81–2
  biotechnology and, 35–6
  certainty and, 79
  differences in actors', 81–2
  facts and, 15–16
  mindset of compromise and, 99
  policy image and, 84
  policy-making and, 15–17
  risks and benefits and, 16–17
  scale for measuring, 90
  theoretical proposals and, 78
  visions of future and, 17
benefits
  beliefs and, 16–17
  survey of policy actors and, 88–9
biotechnology
  belief and, 35–6
  content analysis, 35
  policy actors, 78
  political disagreement as central to, 35–6
  survey of policy actors in, 23–5
Blair, Tony, 91–2
Braybrooke, David, 103
British respondents, 91–2
broadsheet media
  advocacy groups and, 40
  analysis methodology, 30–5, 37, 41
  balanced versus biased coverage in, 36–7, 41–2
  both sides cite science in, 64–5
  columnists and op-eds and, 41
  compromising attitudes in, 68
  conclusions about, 48–51, 71–3
  content analysis of, 32–5, 37, 41
  danger and, 44–5
  democratic duty of, 167–75
  descriptive statistics table, 176, 178
  disagreement neglect in, 37–9
  discourse and, 44–5
  fair coverage and, 32–3

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-14678-5 - In Defense of Pluralism: Policy Disagreement and Its Media Coverage
Éric Montpetit
Index
More information

framing and, 53–4
gvt_advoc and, 39–41
gvt_gvt and, 41
hand coding of, 43
logistic regression table, 177
malaise in, 30–3
metaphor used in, 50–1, 56
moderation and, 174
negative framing of disagreement in, 54–66
negative versus positive tone in, 42–4
negativity's value in, 171–2
Newton and, 30–2, 49–50
ordered logistic regression on tone table, 177
ordinary least square regression table on, 178
policy guidance and, 64
policy stalemates and, 56–8
political disagreement in, presence of, 33–41
political disagreement in, tone of, 41–8
positive coverage in, 66–71
private meetings reported in, 67
prudence regarding, 50–1
Punctuated Equilibrium Theory and, 85
qualitative examination rationale, 53–4
regular readers of, 44
responsibility attribution in, 58–62
results of analysis, 46–8
science covered realistically in, 71
science presented as value-free in, 62–3
sober and sensationalist, 49
spectacular news and, 169–71
tabloids compared with, 31–2
transparency covered in, 68–9
view changes covered in, 68–9
Wordscore analysis of, 43, 44, 177, 178
Brooks, David, 174
Brussels respondents, 88
Bush, George W., 1

certainty
beliefs and, 79
Crick and, 7–8
citizens
democracy, indirect involvement in, 98–9
informed, 29
politics and, 2–5, 12–13
civil servants, 77–8
detachment and, 129–30
ethos of, 128–31
responsiveness and, 130
science and, 128–31
compromise. *See* mindset of compromise
consensus, 6
content analysis
article type and, 34–5
biotechnology, 35
of broadsheet media, 24–5, 32–5, 37, 41
descriptive statistics table, 176, 178
disagreement and, 34
logistic regression table, 177
of media, 32–3
methodology, 30–5, 37, 41
ordered logistic regression on tone table, 177
ordinary least square regression table, 178
Wordscore descriptive statistics table, 178
contention
advocacy groups and, 164–5
interests groups and, 131–6
Punctuated Equilibrium Theory and, 160–2
survey of policy actors and, 90–1
convictions
attitudes and, 104–7
circle of actors and, 115–16
conclusions about, 117–19
dialogue of deaf and, 101–4
different, 107–14
distinct, 114–17
incentives and, 116–17
making sense of, 114–17
mindset of compromise and, 99–100, 104, 116
motivations behind, 114–15
passion and, 99–100
policy-making and, 103

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-14678-5 - In Defense of Pluralism: Policy Disagreement and Its Media Coverage
Éric Montpetit
Index
More information

Crick, Bernard
  certainty and, 7–8
  on engineers, 8
  on politics, 6–7

Daily Telegraph
  negative coverage in, 59
  positive coverage in, 68
danger, 44–5
Day, Stockwell, 70–1
democracy
  citizens indirect involvement in, 98–9
  disagreement discussions and, 102–3
  media's duty to, 167–75
  mindset of compromise and, 97–100
  Stealth Democracy, 165–6
  trade-off in, 100
descriptive statistics tables, 176, 178
detachment, ethos of, 129–30
devil shift, 82
dialogue of the deaf, 101–4
disagreement, 136–46. *See also* political disagreement
  between advocacy groups and industry, 164
  within science, 164
  theory of, 81
dishonesty, 1–2, 123
dyadic method, 141–5, 183–4

engineering
  Crick on, 8
  policy-making and, 8–9
Entman, Robert, 54
environmentalists, citing science, 65
European Union (EU), 57, 63, 70, 87

facts, beliefs and, 15–16
false statements, 152–3
fear
  example related to, 83
  social psychology and, 82–3
Figaro, 60
framing
  both sides cite science and, 64–5
  conclusions about, 71–3
  example of, 53–4
  negative, 54–66
  overview, 54–6
  policy-making and, 25
  positive, 66–71
  strategic, 54
French newspapers, 48
Fukuyama, Francis, 56

Geek Manifesto (Henderson), 120
genetically modified organisms (GMOs)
  Libération article on, 61, 65, 68–9
  National Post article on, 57
  opposition to, 74–5
Globe and Mail, 64–5
GM Nation, 92
GMOs. *See* genetically modified organisms
golden rice, 105
government officials disagreements dyad (gvt_gvt), 41
Greenpeace, 117–19
Guardian, 47–8
  negative coverage in, 56–7, 58, 62–3, 64
  policy guidance and, 64
  positive coverage in, 68, 70
  science covered realistically in, 71
  validity of science and, 62–3, 64
  view changes covered in, 68
gvt_advoc. *See* advocacy groups and government disagreements
gvt_gvt. *See* government officials disagreements dyad

Hajer, Maarten, 19–20
hand coding, 43
Henderson, Mark, 120
Hibbing, John R., 121, 165–6
human genetics domain, 91

incentives, 116–17
instruments
  attitudes and, 105–6
  defined, 104
  different convictions, different mindsets and, 107–14
  goals and, 104–5
  as value-neutral, 105
interest groups
  advocacy groups and, 134
  autonomy of representatives of, 132
  conclusions about, 136

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-14678-5 - In Defense of Pluralism: Policy Disagreement and Its Media Coverage
Éric Montpetit
Index
More information

contentious practices of, 131–6
debate expansion and, 134–5
dyadic method and, 141–5
persuasion within, 132–3
political disagreement and, 121–2

Jenkins-Smith, Hank, 81
journalistic norms, 7

Kickert, Walter, 14
Krugman, Paul, 174–5

Lannoye, Paul, 70
Libération
both sides cite science in, 65
debate coverage in, 70
GMOs and, 61, 65, 68–9
negative coverage in, 61, 65
positive coverage in, 65, 68–9, 70
view changes covered in, 68
Lindblom, Charles, 103
logistic regression table, 177

media. *See also* broadsheet media
advocacy groups and, 40
balanced versus biased coverage in, 36–7, 41–2
conclusions about, 21–2, 71–3
content analysis of, 32–3
coverage of political disagreement, 1
democratic duty of, 167–75
disagreement neglect in, 37–9
framing and, 53–4
function of, 28–9
hand coding of, 43
informed citizenry and, 29
journalistic norms and, 7
malaise in broadsheet, 30–3
moderation and, 174
negative framing of disagreement in, 54–66
negative versus positive tone in, 42–4
negativity's value in, 171–2
nuanced perspectives and, 21
opinion surveys and, 32
overview, 18–19, 29–30, 52–3
as political actors, 19
political disagreement, magnification of, 5
positive coverage in, 66–71
Punctuated Equilibrium Theory and, 85
qualitative examination rationale, 53–4
responsibility attribution in, 58–62
science presented as value-free in, 62–3
spectacular news and, 169–71
theatrical performance and, 19–21
Wordscore analysis of, 43, 44, 177, 178
metaphor
broadsheet media using, 50–1, 56
policy stalemates created using, 56–8
qualitative examination and, 56
Miller, Judith, 167
mindset of compromise
within advocacy groups, 140
beliefs and, 99
citizens and, 98–9
conclusions about, 117–19
convictions and, 99–100, 104, 116
democracy and, 97–100
different convictions, different mindsets and, 107–14
measuring, 107–14
passion and, 99–100
policy choices and, 155–6
uncompromising mindset and, 108–14
mindsets
categories of, 108–9
measurement of, 107–14
uncompromising, 108
moderation, 174
Monsanto, 61–2
morality, 151
Mucciaroni, Gary, 1–2

National Post
GMOs and, 57
negative coverage in, 57, 60–1, 63
science and, 63
New York Times, 33, 38, 47–8
both sides cite science in, 64, 65
negative coverage in, 59, 64, 65
ongoing dialogue covered in, 70–1
positive coverage in, 67–8, 70–1

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-14678-5 - In Defense of Pluralism: Policy Disagreement and Its Media Coverage
Éric Montpetit
Index
More information

New York Times (cont.)
private meetings reported in, 67
science covered realistically in, 71
News of the World, 31
Newton, Kenneth, 30–2, 49–50
non-governmental experts, 77–8

Obama, Barack, 1
opinion surveys, 32
ordered logistic regression on tone table, 177
ordinary least square regression table, 178

passion, 99–100
Paul Sabatier, 81
Pinard, Maurice, 161
pluralist politics
diffusion of power in, 156
of policy-making, 148–50
prudence and, 156–8
pluralist theory, 25–7
polarization
of policy actors, 74–5
in policy-making theories, 80–7
subsystem, 159–60
survey of policy actors and, 89
policy actors
accountability of, 76
Advocacy Coalition Framework and, 81–2
biotechnology, 78
convictions and, 115–16
differences in beliefs of, 81–2
disagreement and, 74–96, 179–80
measuring disagreement among, 74–96, 179–80
media as, 19
moderate, 106–7
as non-elected officials, 76–7
nuanced, 79
polarization of, 74–5
politicians and, 77
Punctuated Equilibrium Theory and, 85–6
reasonable, 13–14
risk and, 80
roles and, 147, 163–5
scale for measuring, 80, 90
shadow actors and, 3–4
survey of, 23–5, 79–80, 179–80
theoretical proposals and, 78, 95–6
who constitute, 75–6, 77–8
policy choices
Advocacy Coalition Framework and, 159–60
analyses of, 154–5
categorizing and, 153–4
contention and, 160–2
decision making and, 150–8
diffusion of power in, 156
false statements and, 152–3
mindset of compromise and, 155–6
morality and, 151
narrow debates and, 152–3
objective observer and, 150–1
policy process theories and, 158–67
prudence and, 156–8
Punctuated Equilibrium Theory and, 160–2
science and, 151–2
subsystem polarization and, 159–60
policy process
theories of, 158–67
as unfair, 165–6
policy-making
beliefs and, 15–17
consensus and, 6
convictions and, 103
engineering and, 8–9
erroneous decisions in, 11
facts and, 15–16
falsity and, 11–12
as fragmented, 14–15
frameworks, 25
non-elected officials and, 76–7
overview, 13, 17–18, 22–7
pluralist politics of, 148–50
political disagreement and, 4–5
politicians and, 77
positive image of, 17–18
reasonable actors in, 13–14
risks and benefits and, 16–17
scientific expertise and, 9–10
steering at a distance in, 14
theoretical proposals and, 95–6
visions of future and, 17

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-14678-5 - In Defense of Pluralism: Policy Disagreement and Its Media Coverage
Éric Montpetit
Index
More information

policy-making theories
  Advocacy Coalition Framework, 81–4
  polarization in, 80–7
  Punctuated Equilibrium Theory, 84–7
political disagreement
  answers about, 164–5
  appreciation for, 2–3
  biotechnology and, 35–6
  in broadsheet media, presence of, 33–41
  in broadsheet media, tone of, 41–8
  Crick on, 6–7
  dishonesty and, 1–2
  interest group representatives and, 121–2
  journalistic norms and, 7
  media coverage of, 1
  media magnification of, 5
  negative consequences ascribed to, 58
  negative framing of, 54–66
  as normal, 6
  overview, 22–7
  pluralist theory and, 25–7
  policy actors and, 75–80
  policy making and, 4–5
  political science theories and, 25–7
  positive coverage of, 66–71
  private meetings and, 67
  protagonists of, 136–46
  questions surrounding, 120
  rights and, 2–3
  scientific method and, 120–1
  shadow actors and, 3–4
  who is responsible for, 58–62
political science theories, 25–7
politics. *See also* pluralist politics
  citizens and, 2–5, 12–13
  Crick on, 6–7
  defense of, 5–13
  overview, 17–18
  science and, 10–11
  suppression of, 6
Popper, Karl, 124
prudence
  broadsheet media and, 50–1
  policy choices and, 156–8
  in science, 123–6
Punctuated Equilibrium Theory
  conclusions about, 95–6
  contention and, 160–2
  disagreement, differing levels of, and, 84–7
  distribution change over time and, 92–3
  examples related to, 87
  explained, 84–5
  media attention and, 85
  policy image and, 84
  policy-making theories and, 84–7
  status quo insufficiency and, 86
  status quo preservation and, 84–5
  uneasy actors and, 85–6

qualitative examination
  framing and, 53–4
  metaphor and, 56
  methodology, 55–6
  negative framing of disagreement and, 54–66
  policy stalemates and, 56–8
  rationale, 53–4
Quirk, Paul, 1–2

Rancière, Jacques, 5–6
responsibility attribution, 58–62
responsiveness, ethos of, 130
rights, 2–3
risk
  policy actors and, 80
  policy-making and, 16–17
  scale for measuring, 179–80
  survey content analysis and, 88–9
roles
  media's duty to democracy and, 167–75
  policy actors, 147, 163–5

Sarkozy, Nicolas, 1
science
  both sides citing, 64–5
  civil servants and, 128–31
  conclusions about, 146–7
  disagreement within, 164
  disciplinary differences and, 126–8
  dishonesty and, 123
  EU and, 63

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-14678-5 - In Defense of Pluralism: Policy Disagreement and Its Media Coverage
Éric Montpetit
Index
More information

science (cont.)
as flawless, 62–3
how scientists disagree, 122–8
immature, 122–3
National Post and, 63
natural versus social, 144–5
New York Times and, 64, 65, 71
policy choices and, 151–2
policy guidance and, 64
policy-making and, 9–10
political disagreement and, 120–1
politics and, 10–11
prudence in, 123–6
realistic coverage of, 71
university affiliation and, 136–7
as value-free, 62–3
Washington Post and, 64
shadow actors, 3–4
social psychology
Advocacy Coalition Framework and, 82–4
devil shift and, 82
example, 83
fear and, 82–3
spectacular news, 169–71
stalemates, 56–8
status quo, 84–5, 86
Stealth Democracy (Hibbing & Theiss-Morse), 165–6
steering at a distance, 14
strategic frame, 54
survey of policy actors
agreement levels in, 88–9
agri-food and human genetics domains and, 91
benefits and, 88–9
British respondents in, 91–2
Brussels respondents and, 88
conclusions about, 94–6
contentious politics and, 90–1
distribution change over time, 92–3
distribution of respondents by country, 91–2
methodology, 23–4, 88
normal distribution and, 89–90
overview about, 88
polarization and, 89
risks and benefits and, 88–9
scale distribution and, 89

tabloids
broadsheets compared with, 31–2
cynical content of, 31–2
theatrical performance, 19–21
Theiss-Morse, Elizabeth, 121, 165–6
theoretical proposals
beliefs and, 78
certainty and, 79
policy actors and, 78, 95–6
various degrees of, 79
theory of disagreement, 81
transparency, 68–9

uncompromising mindset, 108
measurement of, 108–14
mindset of compromise and, 108–14
university affiliation, 136–7

venue shopping, 85

Washington Post
both sides cite science in, 64
negative coverage in, 56, 58, 61, 64
positive coverage in, 66–7, 70
private meetings reported in, 67
Wordscore analysis
assumption behind, 44
of broadsheet media, 43, 44, 177, 178
margins of error and, 53
methodology, 46
results, 46–8
Wordscore descriptive statistics table, 178

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org