

HOW MARRIAGE BECAME ONE OF THE SACRAMENTS

Among the contributions of the medieval church to western culture was the idea that marriage was one of the seven sacraments, which defined the role of married folk in the church. Although the idea had ancient roots, this new way of regarding marriage raised many problems, to which scholastic theologians applied all their ingenuity. By the late Middle Ages, the doctrine was fully established in Christian thought and practice but not yet as dogma. In the sixteenth century, with the entire Catholic teaching on marriage and celibacy and its associated law and jurisdiction under attack by the Protestant reformers, the Council of Trent defined the doctrine as a dogma of faith for the first time but made major changes to it. Rather than focusing on a particular aspect of these intellectual and institutional developments, this book examines them in depth and in detail from their ancient precedents to the Council of Trent.

PHILIP L. REYNOLDS has taught at Emory University, Atlanta since 1992, where he is Aquinas Professor of Historical Theology. He is also a senior fellow of Emory's Center for the Study of Law and Religion, and he directed CSLR's five-year project on The Pursuit of Happiness (2006–2011).





CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN LAW AND CHRISTIANITY

Series Editor John Witte, Jr., Emory University

Editorial Board Nigel Biggar, University of Oxford Marta Cartabia, Italian Constitutional Court / University of Milan Sarah Coakley, University of Cambridge Norman Doe, Cardiff University Brian Ferme, Marcianum, Venice Richard W. Garnett, University of Notre Dame Robert P. George, Princeton University Mary Ann Glendon, Harvard University Kent Greenawalt, Columbia University Robin Griffith-Jones, the Temple, the Inns of Court R.H. Helmholz, University of Chicago Mark Hill, the Inns of Court / Cardiff University Wolfgang Huber, Bishop Emeritus, United Protestant Church of Germany / Universities of Heidelberg, Berlin, and Stellenbosch Michael W. McConnell, Stanford University John McGuckin, Columbia University Mark A. Noll, University of Notre Dame Michael Welker, University of Heidelberg

The Law and Christianity series publishes cutting-edge work on Catholic, Protestant, and Orthodox Christian contributions to public, private, penal, and procedural law and legal theory. The series aims to promote deep Christian reflection by leading scholars on the fundamentals of law and politics, to build further ecumenical legal understanding across Christian denominations, and to link and amplify the diverse and sometimes isolated Christian legal voices and visions at work in the academy. Works collected by the series include groundbreaking monographs, historical and thematic anthologies, and

Volumes in the Series:

God and the Secular Legal System Rafael Domingo Christianity and Freedom edited by Timothy Samuel Shah and Allen D. Hertzke The Distinctiveness of Religion in American Law Kathleen A. Brady Pope Benedict XVI's Legal Thought Marta Cartabia and Andrea Simoncini The Western Case for Monogamy over Polygamy John Witte

translations by leading scholars around the globe.





How Marriage Became One of the Sacraments

THE SACRAMENTAL THEOLOGY OF MARRIAGE FROM ITS MEDIEVAL ORIGINS TO THE COUNCIL OF TRENT

PHILIP L. REYNOLDS





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107146150

© Philip L. Reynolds 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Reynolds, Philip Lyndon, 1950–

How marriage became one of the sacraments : the sacramental theology of marriage from its medieval origins to the Council of Trent / by Philip L. Reynolds.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-1-107-14615-0 (Hardback : alk. paper)

1. Marriage–History of doctrines–Middle Ages, 600-1500. 2. Sacraments–History of doctrines–Middle Ages, 600-1500. 3. Council of Trent (1545–1563 : Trento, Italy) I. Title.

BT706.R49 2015 234′.16509–dc23 2015032527

ISBN 978-1-107-14615-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

List	t of plates	page xix
List	t of abbreviations	xxi
Pre	face and acknowledgments	XXV
1	Marriage as a sacrament	1
	1.1 The development in retrospect	2
	1.2 Holy matrimony before 1100	12
	1.3 The seven sacraments	21
	1.4 Marriage as one of the sacraments	28
	1.5 Ecclesiastical jurisdiction	33
	1.6 Concomitants of the sacramental doctrine	40
	1.6.1 Indissolubility	41
	1.6.2 Solus consensus	43
	1.6.3 The impediments of relationship	51
	1.7 Marriage as the sacrament of Christ and the church	53
	1.7.1 Sacrament, sign, and figure	54
	1.7.2 Figurative marriage	57
	1.7.3 Ephesians 5:22–33 and its reception	62
	1.7.3.1 Paul's argument	62
	1.7.3.2 Patristic reception	65
	1.7.3.3 Reception after 1100	68
	1.7.4 The Sacramentum-res relation in argument	69
	1.7.5 Conclusions and suggestions	81
	1.8 The sacrament of marriage in imagination	84
	1.8.1 Seven-sacrament cycles	86
	1.8.2 The Vérard woodcut	87
	1.8.3 Dextrarum iunctio	89



Contents

viii	Contents	
	1.8.4 Diagram of the spiritual journey of life	
	(BL Additional MS 37049)	93
	1.8.5 The role of the priest	95
	PART I AUGUSTINE	99
2	Marriage in Augustine's writings	101
	2.1 An overview of Augustine's theology of marriage	102
	2.2 The issues that occasioned Augustine's work on marriage	103
	2.2.1 The Manichees and the goodness of marriage	103
	2.2.2 Jovinian	107
	2.2.3 Pollentius	110
	2.2.4 Pelagianism and Julian of Eclanum	113
	2.3 The medieval reception of Augustine	117
3	Bonum prolis, bonum fidei: The utility of marriage	120
	3.1 Bonum prolis: Procreation	120
	3.2 Bonum fidei: The remedy for concupiscence	125
	3.3 Summary: The story of marriage	132
4	Bonum sacramenti: The sanctity and insolubility of marriage	134
	4.1 Marriage as an amicable partnership	135
	4.2 The marriage of Mary and Joseph	139
	4.3 Bonum sacramenti	142
	4.3.1 The bond itself	143
	4.3.2 The law of divorce	148
	4.3.3 The bond as sacrament	150
	4.4 Marriage as a sacred sign	151
	PART II GETTING MARRIED: BETROTHAL, CONSENT, AND	
	CONSUMMATION	155
5	Betrothal and consent	157
	5.1 Traditional marriage	159
	5.1.1 The typical pattern	159
	5.1.2 Old Semitic marriage	162
	5.2 Betrothal and consent in Roman law	164
	5.2.1 The Roman betrothal	165
	5.2.2 The peculiarities of marriage in classical law	169
	5.2.3 Whose consent?	171
	5.2.4 Bare consent	173



	Contents	1X
	5.2.5 The law of betrothal in late antiquity	176
	5.2.6 Early-Christian betrothal	178
	5.3 The nuptial process in the early Middle Ages	181
	5.3.1 Marrying in Visigothic culture	183
	5.3.2 Marrying in Frankish culture	185
	5.3.3 Pope Nicholas I on marriage in the west	186
	5.4 Ivo of Chartres on consent and betrothal	188
	5.4.1 Consent to marry	189
	5.4.2 Betrothal as an impediment to marriage	191
	5.4.3 The betrothal of infants	194
	5.4.4 Betrothal as virtual marriage	197
	5.5 The emergence of the betrothal distinction	199
	5.5.1 Early forms of the betrothal distinction	200
	5.5.2 The distinction of tense	204
	5.5.3 The purpose of the betrothal distinction	206
6	Consummation	209
	6.1 The idea of consummation	210
	6.2 Origins of the coital proof texts	217
	6.2.1 The remote source: Pope Leo's reply to Rusticus	218
	6.2.2 The proximate source: Hincmar of Reims	222
	6.2.3 The derivation of the coital proof texts	230
	6.3 The coital proof texts in the Magistri moderni	231
	6.4 Gratian's theory	233
	6.4.1 The role of coitus in marrying	233
	6.4.2 The role of the nuptial blessing	239
	6.4.3 Gratian and consent	2 40
7	From competing theories to common doctrine in the twelfth century	² 44
	7.1 The terms of the scholarly debate (c.1150-c.1180)	² 45
	7.2 The consummation theory in the Bolognese tradition	250
	7.2.1 Decretists before Rufinus	250
	7.2.2 Rufinus and Johannes Faventinus	253
	7.3 The betrothal theory in French canon law	258
	7.3.1 The Summa Parisiensis and Stephen of Tournai	258
	7.3.2 Summa Coloniensis	260
	7.3.3 Marrying: Event or graduated process?	264
	7.4 The civilians' Deductio theory	266
	7.5 Vacarius's <i>Traditio</i> theory	268
	7.6 The common doctrine	278
	7.6.1 The decretals of Pope Alexander III	270



x Contents

	7.6.2 The Bolognese tradition after Alexander III:	
	Simon of Bisignano and Huguccio	283
	7.6.3 Summary of the common doctrine	286
	PART III THE TWELFTH CENTURY: ORIGINS AND EARLY	
	DEVELOPMENT OF THE SACRAMENTAL THEOLOGY	
	OF MARRIAGE	289
8	Introduction to the sentential literature on marriage	291
	8.1 The Sententiae	292
	8.1.1 A florilegium: The Liber Pancrisis	293
	8.1.2 Miscellanies	295
	8.1.3 The School of Laon?	298
	8.1.4 The sentential literature as literature	303
	8.2 The sentential literature on marriage	304
	8.2.1 Independent modern sentences	305
	8.2.2 Florilegia	306
	8.2.2.1 Sententiae Magistri A	306
	8.2.2.2 In primis hominibus	307
	8.2.3 Treatises	308
	8.2.3.1 De coniugiis tractantibus	309
	8.2.3.2 Cum omnia sacramenta	310
	8.2.3.3 The <i>In primis hominibus</i> group	313
	8.2.3.4 Other treatises	313
	8.2.4 Traits of the literature	314
	Appendix: Sources cited	315
9	The theology of marriage in the Sententiae	317
	9.1 The regulation of marriage in the sentential literature	317
	9.1.1 Impediments and other grounds for divorce	317
	9.1.2 Variations across time and place	322
	9.1.3 The power to dissolve	325
	9.1.4 Summary: The power of the church	327
	9.2 Consent	327
	9.3 Reasons and benefits	331
	9.3.1 The goods of marriage	332
	9.3.2 Procreation as a reason for marriage	334
	9.3.3 Malady and remedy	336
	9.4 The sacred history of marriage	338
	9.4.1 Office and remedy as successive institutions	339
	9.4.2 Laws as successive institutions	339
	9.4.3 De coniugiis tractantibus	341
	9.4.4 Cum omnia sacramenta I	342



	Contents	xi
	9.4.5 Coniugium namque	345
	9.4.6 In coniugio figura	346
	9.4.7 Summary	347
	9.5 Marriage in the church	347
	9.5.1 The sacramentality of marriage	347
	9.5.2 Marriage outside the church	350
	9.5.3 Christian marriage in the Cum omnia sacramenta family	
	of treatises	356
	9.6 Summary	361
10	Hugh of Saint-Victor	362
	10.1 Hugh's character as a theologian	363
	10.2 Hugh's sacramental theology	366
	10.2.1 The sacraments and the work of restoration	367
	10.2.2 What is a sacrament?	371
	10.2.3 Divisions of the sacraments	373
	10.3 The role of the clergy	376
	10.3.1 Political theology: The two powers	376
	10.3.2 Celibacy	377
	10.4 Hugh's treatise on Mary's virginity	379
	10.4.1 The problem	380
	10.4.2 The solution: A theology of marriage	381
	10.4.3 Virginal conception	383
	10.4.4 The appendix: Marriage and gender	384
	10.4.5 Influences and precedents	386
	10.4.6 Hugh's reasoning	387
	10.5 The theology of marriage in the <i>De sacramentis</i>	387
	10.5.1 Marriage in sacred history	388
	10.5.2 Marital consent and the essence of marriage	389
	10.5.3 The sacramentality of marriage 10.5.4 Office, remedy, and underlying essence	391
	10.5.5 The inward sanctity of marriage	392
	10.6 The authority of the clergy	394 398
	10.6.1 Clandestine marriage and the principle of Solus consensus	39 ⁸
	10.6.2 Impediments and the power to dissolve	400
	10.6.3 The excuse of ignorance	402
	10.7 Conclusion	403
11	The early doctrine of marriage as one of the sacraments	405
	11.1 Peter Abelard's circle	405
	11.2 Master Simon and his followers	408
	11.3 Walter of Mortagne	413
	11.3.1 Marital consent	414



xii Contents

11.3.2 The reasons for marrying

	11.3.3 Sexual ethics	417
	11.4 Peter Lombard	419
	11.4.1 The sacraments of the New Law	420
	11.4.2 Circumcision and marriage as exceptions	421
	11.4.3 The treatise on marriage (Book IV, Distinctions 26–42)	422
	11.4.4 The sacred history and institutions of marriage	42 5
	11.4.5 The definition of marriage	426
	11.4.6 The betrothal distinction	426
	11.4.7 The object of consent	428
	11.4.8 Reasons and benefits	429
	11.4.9 The conjugal goods and the marriage among unbelievers	430
	11.4.10 Marriage as one of the sacraments	431
	11.4.11 Substance, solemnity, and clandestinity	434
	11.5 After Peter Lombard	436
	11.5.1 Topics	438
	11.5.2 Marriage as a sacred sign	441
	11.5.3 Sacramental efficacy and the preventive model	443
	11.5.4 Conjugal virtue and chastity	444
	11.6 The contributions of canon law	445
	11.6.1 The marriage of unfree persons	445
	11.6.2 Marriage and the natural law	451
	11.6.3 Rufinus and Huguccio on marriage as a sacrament	455
	PART IV THE THIRTEENTH AND FOURTEENTH CENTURIES:	
	DEVELOPMENT OF THE CLASSICAL DOCTRINE	459
12	Marriage as union	461
	12.1 Introduction to Part IV	461
	12.1.1 Phases and literature	461
	12.1.1.1 The period of exploration	462
	12.1.1.2 The period of elaboration	464
	12.1.1.3 The period of consolidation and new controversy	470
	12.1.2 The law of marriage	471
	12.2 Marriage as the union of a man and a woman	477
	12.2.1 What is marriage?	478
	12.2.1.1 Definitions of marriage	478
	12.2.1.2 The ambiguity of coniunctio	483
	12.2.2 The etiology of marriage	485
	12.2.2.1 The reasons for marriage and marrying	486
	12.2.2.2 The Aristotelian division of causes	487
	12.2.2.3 The efficient cause: Consent	490

416



	Contents	xiii
	12.2.3 The ontology of marriage	495
	12.2.4 Marriage and nature	503
	12.2.4.1 William of Auxerre on monogamy in the Natural Law	
	12.2.4.2 William of Auvergne: Marriage as the natural	´ '
	convergence of the sexes	506
	12.2.4.3 Marriage as a divinely instituted union	511
13	Scholastic sexual ethics	515
	13.1 The basis in Augustine	516
	13.2 The ends of sexual intercourse	520
	13.3 The pleasure problem	531
	13.3.1 Historical background to the problem	531
	13.3.2 Robert Courson's moral particles	534
	13.3.3 William of Auxerre's divided-self theory	536
	13.3.4 William of Auvergne's moral exchange theory	540
	13.3.5 Sexual pleasure in Eden	543
	13.4 Excusatio coitus	545
14	Marriage as a sacrament	556
	14.1 The theological task	556
	14.2 Marriage as a sacred sign	559
	14.3 The privilege of religion	561
	14.3.1 The double analogy rationale	562
	14.3.2 The spiritual death rationale	569
	14.3.3 Formal explanations	572
	14.4 The sacrament of marriage and the good of sacrament	574
	14.5 Institutions and sacred history	578
	14.6 Marriage as one of the seven sacraments	588
	14.6.1 The parsing of marriage	588
	14.6.1.1 Form and matter	588
	14.6.1.2 Tripartite analysis	589
	14.6.2 Objections and solutions	592
	14.7 Clandestine marriage	599
	14.8 Voices of dissent: Olivi and Durandus	605
	14.8.1 Univocity, equivocity, and semantic zones	605
	14.8.2 Peter John Olivi	608
	14.8.3 Durandus of Saint-Pourçain	617
	14.8.4 Paludanus's refutation of Durandus	621
15	The question of grace	623
	15.1 The preventive model	623
	15.2 The discourse on sacramental efficacy	628



xiv Contents

	15.2.1 Preternatural grace 15.2.2 Objective and subjective efficacy	629 630
	15.2.3 The efficacy of circumcision	635
	15.2.4 Theories of sacramental causality	640
	15.3 Theories of conjugal grace	641
	15.3.1 Alexander of Hales	641
	15.3.1.1 Glossa in librum quartum Sententiarum	642
	15.3.1.2 Quaestiones disputatae antequam esset frater	645
	15.3.2 William of Auvergne	646
	15.3.3 Albertus Magnus	648
	15.3.4 Bonaventure	651
	15.3.5 Thomas Aquinas	657
	15.3.6 Peter of Tarentaise	661
	15.3.7 Richard de Mediavilla	662
	15.3.8 Durandus of Saint-Pourçain	663
	15.3.9 Peter of La Palu	665
16	Human contract and divine sacrament	667
	16.1 Believers, unbelievers, and the bond of marriage	667
	16.2 Blessed and unblessed marriages	675
	16.3 The divine and human dimensions of marriage	676
	16.4 Albertus Magnus on the Officium naturae	682
	16.5 Thomas Aquinas on marriage in law	686
	16.5.1 The theory of laws in the Scriptum	687
	16.5.2 The ends of marriage	695
	16.5.3 Marriage and the multiplicity of law	698
	16.5.4 The office of nature	701
	16.5.5 Polygyny, proper actions, and instrumental teleology	702
	16.5.6 The church's legislative power over marriage	708
	16.6 Constructive rationales for marriage as a sacrament	715
	16.6.1 Thomas Aquinas's rationale	715
	16.6.2 John Duns Scotus's rationale	717
	16.7 The separability of the contract from the sacrament	719
	16.7.1 Scotus and the Scotists	720
	16.7.2 Thomas de Vio Cajetan, O.P.	722
	16.7.3 Melchor Cano, O.P.	723
	PART V THE COUNCIL OF TRENT	725
17	On the eve of the general council	727
	17.1 From implicit faith to explicit dogma	728
	17.2 Desiderius Erasmus	730



18

Contents	XV
17.2.1 The estate of marriage	731
17.2.2 Divorce	731
17.2.3 Marriage as a sacrament	73 ²
17.2.4 What is the great sacrament (Eph 5:32)?	736
17.2.5 Clandestinity and parental consent	740
17.3 Martin Luther	74 ²
17.3.1 Prelude on the Babylonian Captivity of the Church	743
17.3.2 Vom ehelichen Leben	743
17.3.3 Marriage and sacramental theology	744
17.3.4 Marriage and celibacy	748
17.3.5 Marriage as a worldly thing	749
17.3.6 Marriage as a godly thing	751
17.4 King Henry VIII's refutation of Luther	755
17.5 Johann Gropper	759
17.5.1 The treatise on marriage in the Enchiridion	760
17.5.2 Marriage as one of the sacraments	761
17.5.3 The composition of the sacrament	765
17.5.4 Solemnity and clandestinity	767
17.6 Solemnity, clandestinity, and reform	772
17.6.1 The ideology of marriage and the dream of order	772
17.6.2 The pastoral problem of clandestine marriages	777
17.6.3 Bishop Giberti's reforms	783
17.6.4 The ritual tendency: "Ego vos coniungo"	786
17.7 Dominic de Soto	788
17.7.1 Marriage as one of the sacraments	789
17.7.2 The nuptial blessing and the sacramental form	796
17.7.3 The problem of clandestine marriages	798
17.8 The Catholic agenda on the eve of the general council	800
The sacrament of marriage at Bologna and Trent	804
18.1 Procedures	804
18.2 Bologna, 1547	809
18.2.1 The doctrine of the sacraments in general (Trent,	
Session VII)	809
18.2.2 Theologi minores	810
18.2.3 Particular and general congregations	812
18.3 Ambrosius Catharinus on the sacrament of marriage	817
18.3.1 Marriage as a sacrament	818
18.3.2 The composition of the sacrament: Matter, form,	
and minister	820
18.3.3 The sacramental history of marriage	823
18.3.4 Indissolubility and sacramentality	826
18.3.5 Summary	831



xvi Contents

	0 T. T 6	0
	18.4 Trent, 1563	832
	18.4.1 Theologi minores	833
	18.4.2 The general congregations	839
	18.4.2.1 The four drafts	839
	18.4.2.2 The doctrinal preface	840
	18.4.2.3 Marriage as a sacrament (canon 1)	842
	18.4.2.4 Ecclesiastical jurisdiction	844
	18.5 Decree on the sacrament of marriage (Session XXIV)	845
19	Clandestine marriage: Bologna, 1547	848
	19.1 The rules of the game	848
	19.2 Preliminary discussion by the Theologi minores,	•
	April 26 through May 7	853
	19.3 General congregations on clandestinity and divorce,	
	August 29 through September 6	855
	19.4 General congregations on the doctrine of marriage,	,,,
	September 9–24	859
	19.5 Dogma or reform? The particular congregations of	,
	October 12 and 14	865
	19.6 Revision of the canons: The particular congregations of	
	October through November	871
	19.7 General congregations on abuses and remedies,	,
	November 29 through December 25	872
	19.8 Summary	881
	19.9 After Bologna: Retrospective treatises on clandestine marriage	882
	19.9.1 Giovanni Antonio Delfini	883
	19.9.2 Ambrosius Catharinus	886
	19.9.3 Gentian Hervet	893
20	Clandestine marriage: Trent, 1563	896
	20.1 An overview of the issues	898
	20.2 Marriage and the Christian commonwealth	902
	20.2.1 The argument in outline	902
	20.2.2 Presuppositions	903
	20.2.3 Adrian Florensz	907
	20.2.4 Ruard Tapper	908
	20.3 Theologi minores	915
	20.4 The first draft	922
	20.5 The second draft	940
	20.6 Archbishop Pedro Guerrero on clandestine marriage	951
	20.6.1 The treatise	952
	20.6.2 Guerrero's intentions and methods	954



Contents	xvii
20.6.3 The five-step argument	958
20.6.4 Guerrero's theory of laws	964
20.7 The third draft	968
20.8 The fourth draft and session XXIV	974
20.9 The meaning of Tametsi	977
Bibliography	983
Index	1041





Plates

The art of good lywyng [and] good deyng, published by Antoine Vérard in Paris, 1503, from a copy held by the British Library. Image distributed by Early English Books Online (EEBO) and published here with permission of the British Library and ProQuest. Further reproduction is prohi without permission.	1	The sacrament of marriage: woodcut from The book intytuled
British Library. Image distributed by <i>Early English Books</i> Online (EEBO) and published here with permission of the British Library and ProQuest. Further reproduction is prohi		The art of good lywyng [and] good deyng, published by
Online (EEBO) and published here with permission of the British Library and ProQuest. Further reproduction is prohi		Antoine Vérard in Paris, 1503, from a copy held by the
British Library and ProQuest. Further reproduction is prohi		British Library. Image distributed by Early English Books
, - 1		Online (EEBO) and published here with permission of the
without permission.		British Library and ProQuest. Further reproduction is prohibited
		without permission.

page 85

2 Diagram of the spiritual journey of life: British Library Additional Manuscript 37049, ff. 72v-73r.

86

3 BL Add. MS 37049, detail.

88





Abbreviations

abbr. abbreviation, or abbreviated as

(Contrary arguments are those which appear in the second place, after the arguments for the initial thesis, regardless of which set represents the position that the author defends in his response.)

ASD Opera Omnia Desiderii Erasmi. Amsterdam edition. Leiden,

1969–.

ADHLMA Archives d'histoire doctrinale et littéraire du moyen âge BA Bibliothèque Augustinienne, Oeuvres de Saint Augustin.

Paris, 1949-

BMCL Bulletin of Medieval Canon Law

BGPh(Th)MA Beiträge zur Geschichte der Philosophie (und Theologie) des

Mittelalters

Brev. Breviarium Alaricanum, = Lex Romana Visigothorum, ed.

Hänel (1848)

CCL Corpus Christianorum. Series latina

CCM Corpus Christianorum. Continuatio medievalis

CIC Corpus Iuris Canonici, ed. E. Friedberg, 2 vols (Leipzig, 1881)

CJ Codex Iustinianus [2nd ed. 534]

1 Comp. (etc.) Compilatio prima (etc.), in Quinque compilationes antiquae, ed.

E. Friedberg.

CSEL Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum

CT Concilium Tridentinum: Diariorum, actorum, epistularum,

tractatuum nova collectio, edidit Societas Goerresiana Promovendis inter Germanos Catholicos Litterarum Studiis

(Friburgi Brisgoviae 1901–2001)

CTh Codex Theodosianus

DDC Dictionnaire de droit canonique

xxi



Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-14615-0 - How Marriage Became One of the Sacraments: The Sacramental

Theology of Marriage from its Medieval Origins to the Council of Trent

Philip L. Reynolds

Frontmatter

More information

xxii List of abbreviations

Dig. Digesta Iustiniani

DS Denzinger-Schönmetzer, Enchiridion Symbolurum, Definitionum

et Declarationum

ed. "edited by," "edition," or "editor," as appropriate in context.

Esmein
Genestal A. Esmein, *Le mariage en droit canonique*, 2nd edition, ed.

R. Génestal and J. Dauvillier, 2 vols (Paris, 1929, 1935)

Gaius, Instit. Gai Institutiones iuris civilis comentarii quatuor

Inst. Iustiniani Institutiones

IPH 1 [etc.] Patristic sentences of the *In primis hominibus*, as enumerated by

Matecki

JL Refers to the numeration of decretals in Jaffé-Loewenfeld, Regesta

pontificum romanorum ab condita ecclesia ad annum post

Christum natum MCXCVIII.

LB Desiderii Erasmi Roterodami Opera omnia, ed. J. Leclerc (Leyden,

1703-1706)

Le Bras, "Mariage. La doctrine du mariage chez les "Mariage" théologiens et les canonistes depuis l'an mille," DDC 9.2,

2123-2317.

Lottin, PsM V O. Lottin, Psychologie et morale aux XII^e et XIII^e siècles, vol. 5 LP 1 [etc.] Sententiae of the Liber Pancrisis enumerated according to MS

British Library, Harley 3098. See Giraud, Per verba magistri,

502-51.

MGH Monumenta Germaniae Historica

MWCh Philip L. Reynolds, Marriage in the Western Church

(Leiden, 1994)

Nov. Novellae Iustiniani

Mansi J.-D. Mansi (ed.), Sacrorum Conciliorum Nova et Amplissima

Collectio

NE Nicomachean Ethics (Aristotle)

NF 1 [etc.] The enumeration of *sententiae* in Lottin, "Nouveaux fragments

théologiques," RThAM 11-14 (1939-1947)

Paulus, Sent. Sententiae Pauli

PG Patrologia Graeca, ed. J.-P. Migne PL Patrologia Latina, ed. J.-P. Migne

PM 1 [etc.] The enumeration of sententiae in Lottin, PsM V

RDC Revue de droit canonique repr. Reprinted, or reproduced

RThAM Recherches de théologie ancienne et medieval RThPhM Recherches de théologie et philosophie médiévales

s.c. sed contra

SC Sources Chrétiennes. Les Éditions du Cerf, Paris



List of abbreviations

xxiii

SMA 1 [etc.] Sententiae Magistri A.: De matrimonio, as enumerated in

Reinhardt's edition

Tanner- Decrees of the Ecumenical Councils (London, 1990)

Alberigo

THTH Philip L. Reynolds and John Witte, Jr. (eds), To Have and to Hold

(Cambridge University Press, 2007)

un. unicus (as in articulus unicus, quaestio unica, etc.)

WA D. Martin Luthers Werke: kritische Gesammtausgabe [Weimarer

Ausgabe], Schriften. Weimar, 1883–1948.

WH Refers to the numeration of decretals in the Walther-Holtzmann-

Kartei index.

X Liber extra, = Decretales Gregorii IX. In Friedberg, Corpus Iuris

Canonici, vol. 2

Citations 3:3/3 = vol. 3, p. 3 (or col. 3), line 3. Lines are enumerated from

the top of the text on each page unless the edition provides its own

enumeration.





Preface and acknowledgments

This book is my contribution to a project on Sex, Marriage, and Family and the Religions of the Book, organized by the Center for the Study of Law and Religion (CSLR) at Emory University in Atlanta. The project ran from 2001 through 2007, with regular meetings among the senior fellows through 2003. I am very grateful to the late Don S. Browning and to John Witte, Jr., who co-directed the project, as well as to The Pew Charitable Trusts, which funded it.

I have endeavored to explain herein how marriage came to be regarded as one of the seven sacraments. It is well known that this doctrine, like the universities and much of due process in our courts of law, was one of the medieval church's contributions to western culture. It is equally well known that the doctrine was first defined as a dogma of faith at the Council of Trent in 1563, which defended it against the Protestant reformers. Its origins were in the early twelfth century, and the core of the doctrine was complete by the middle of the thirteenth. This history is well documented, although until now a minimal reading list covering it adequately would have to include literature of varying quality in several languages, most of it now showing its age. But this literature would tell us only who said what and when, what were the arguments and counterarguments, the rival theories, and so forth.

I realized even before I started writing the book in 2003 that it would have to be very long. I would have to revisit all the ground that had already been covered in the extensive secondary literature on the topic, amplifying, updating, and adding to it. But I wanted to do more than that: to take a broader, more distanced, and more searching view. I try to explain what was new when the doctrine emerged, and to distinguish that from what was received and traditional. I try to show *why* theologians, canonists, and other clerics argued as they did, for they often used forms of argument that would convince few if any today. What were their presuppositions? What difference did the doctrine make? Why did it matter? What was at stake?

Moreover, the doctrine was largely the work of scholastic theologians, no two of whom agreed about this or any other subject at every point. Their arguments and



xxvi

Preface and acknowledgments

counterarguments about marriage as a sacrament were extremely intricate. Only a small part of any theologian's treatment of marriage in a commentary on Peter Lombard's Sentences or in a summa of theology was devoted to the sacramentality of marriage, but the topic elicited enormous invention and ingenuity, partly because marriage did not fit the sacramental paradigm easily. In several salient respects, marriage did not *look* like a sacrament. Furthermore, scholastic theologians were intellectuals who thoroughly enjoyed their work and relished problems in need of solution. That a certain master had said one thing was often sufficient reason for another to say something different, especially when no established dogma was at stake and there was no risk of heresy. For example, the schoolmen assumed that each sacrament had an essence composed of form and matter. In the case of baptism, the form was the formula of blessing spoken by the minister, whereas the matter was the ritual ablution with water. But what were the matter and the form of marriage? The question was not dangerous. Any professional theologian could come up with plausible candidates and defend them adequately. There was no need to fear that marriage would be shown not to be a sacrament because it did not have an essence composed of form and matter. But extending the hylomorphic analysis from paradigmatic sacraments such as baptism and eucharist to marriage was a stretch. Theologians delighted in coming up with their own personal solutions to such problems.

The dimensions of the project expanded as I worked on it, largely because the chronological scope of project extended both backwards and forwards. I had originally planned to begin in the early twelfth century, when the doctrine originated, and to finish with Thomas Aquinas, in whose work it arguably reached its full development. But the origination of the doctrine involved a new reception of Augustine. Theologians during the early twelfth century gathered hitherto little used material from Augustine on marriage from florilegia, sometimes assembling it in ways that he could not have anticipated. I had intended to refer readers in this book to what I had written on marriage in Augustine in an earlier book,1 but I found that I was not entirely satisfied with the earlier treatment, and I decided to make a fresh start. This book includes, therefore, three preliminary chapters on Augustine (Chapters 2–4), in which I try to establish what Augustine himself meant by what he said about marriage, as distinct from what twelfth-century theologians creatively made out of his statements and opinions on the topic. Again, the origins of the sacramental doctrine presupposed conceptions of marrying that differed from those prevailing in the early Middle Ages, and one needs to construe that emergence as part of an effort on the part of bishops and clerics to take control over how people married; to enforce the rules and regulations. Both of these considerations require attention to traditional structures and presuppositions: the nuptial process, marital consent, and consummation. Here, too, I had planned to refer to my earlier book but decided on reflection to make a fresh start, recounting the historical background of marital

¹ Marriage in the Western Church (Leiden, 1994).



Preface and acknowledgments

xxvii

consent and consummation, the ambiguities that these traditions presented to churchmen, and the competing solutions to such ambiguities that evolved during the late eleventh and the twelfth centuries (Chapters 5–7).

The study also extended forward to the sixteenth century. I could not find a natural boundary during the central Middle Ages. The theology of marriage continued to evolve through the fourteenth century. It is true that some famous theologians of that era, working in the ingenious but crabbed spirit of late-medieval scholasticism, were so preoccupied with narrowly philosophical and epistemological problems that they chose to ignore marriage and the other sacraments. At the same time, many fourteenth-century theologians ceased to cover the canonical rules and regulations of marriage, partly because there was little there that was problematic or controversial, and partly because the disciplines of theology and canon law had grown apart. Nevertheless, a few major theologians continued to provide new solutions to old problems in the sacramental theology of marriage. In particular, the objections of Durandus of Pourçain (d. 1334) to the sacramentality of marriage elicited new solutions and counterarguments (Section 14.8). Each new contribution sheds fresh light today on the preceding treatments. Although not much happened in the theology of marriage during the fifteenth century, I could not find any medieval end point that would have seemed more than arbitrary. Eventually, I realized that the first natural boundary was the Council of Trent. That, too, sheds new light on marriage in medieval scholastic theology and canon law during the previous centuries. To treat Trent adequately, however, I had to examine Protestant critique, the Catholic response to that critique during the first half of the sixteenth century, and the proceedings on marriage not only at Trent in 1563 but also at Bologna in 1547, where the council's deliberations on marriage began. The last four chapters of the book (Chapters 17–20) are devoted to these sixteenth-century developments.

There was another reason for the project's growth. Thoroughness breeds thoroughness. Subjects that I might have mentioned only *en passant* in a succinct study called for a full discussion in a study on this scale. For example, Vacarius's theory of marrying as a form of *traditio* (Section 7.5), while interesting from the perspective of legal theory, contributed little to the story of how marriage became a sacrament. In a brief study of that topic, therefore, I might have mentioned Vacarius's theory only in passing, as a historical curiosity. But that omission would have been inappropriate and even unforgivable when I cover much else extensively.

Realizing that I could not expect many interested readers to read a book composed on this scale sequentially from cover to cover, I endeavored to make it as accessible and as useful as possible by dividing and subdividing each chapter into numbered sections, which are identified in the table of contents. I include cross-references to these sections parenthetically in the main text.

Because the table of contents reveals the scope and organization of the book fully and clearly, an introductory chapter-by-chapter synopsis would have been redundant. Instead, the first chapter is an essay that provides the reader with an overview of



xxviii

Preface and acknowledgments

the entire study. I do not claim to have said everything worth saying on my subject – far from it – but I believe that I have provided a treatment that will serve as a point of reference for other related or more detailed studies, whether they are historical or theological.

A note on my policies of translation: English translations of patristic and medieval Latin texts are my own unless otherwise stated. I provide the Latin original for a passage that I have translated only if its wording is remarkable, problematic, or discussed in the main text, or if the source is not readily available in a printed edition. Many early printed editions of scholastic works that were virtually inaccessible twenty years ago are now readily available through online services such as Google Books and Hathi Trust Digital Library.

I have based English quotations of the Bible sometimes on the Douai-Rheims version but more often on the King James Bible. The former was a faithful, rather literal translation of the Vulgate. Although the translators and editors of the King James Bible consulted the Hebrew and Greek sources available to them, this was in effect a revised version of the Douai-Rheims, incorporating many of its idiomatic solutions (a debt that is rarely acknowledged). As a result, the King James Version remains remarkably close to the Vulgate. Moreover, like the works of William Shakespeare, it still has the advantage of cultural familiarity among English-speaking readers, for the influence of its phrases and idioms on our usage is pervasive. When quoting from the Douai-Rheims or King James version, however, I have sometimes modernized obsolete idioms that would have been pointlessly obscure or distracting to the modern reader. Moreover, I have modified these sources without notice to convey as closely as possible the sense of the Vulgate *as it was understood and interpreted by the authors whom I am discussing*. Quotations of the same verses of the Bible in English, therefore, are not always consistent throughout the book.

The section on Pedro Guerrero's treatise on clandestine marriage in Chapter 20 has been published (with minor variations) in Troy L. Harris, *Studies in Canon Law and Common Law in Honor of R. H. Helmholz*, copyright 2015 by the Regents of the University of California, The Robbins Religious and Civil Law Collection, School of Law, University of California at Berkeley.

I am deeply grateful to Dr Line Cecilie Engh, who convened a workshop on medieval marriage symbolism at the Norwegian Institute in Rome in June, 2014, and summoned me to it. The meeting caused me to rethink and revise my treatment of marriage as sacred signifier in the first chapter. After working in the field for some thirty years, I still find the logic, argument, and semiotics of signs in the medieval theology of marriage both baffling and fascinating. The work of the Rome project that Line inaugurated is still unfolding.

I have received practical help and advice from many established scholars, graduate students, librarians, and archivists in the course of writing this book. They are too numerous to name, and a short list might offend those whom I failed to mention. I shall limit myself, therefore, to a few words of special thanks to three colleagues



Preface and acknowledgments

xxix

who were personally involved in the project. I am very grateful to Severin Kitanov, who is now a professor of philosophy at Salem State University, and to Sarah Bogue. Severin, whom I first met while teaching in Helsinki, helped me with bibliographical research at an early stage of the project, when he was a visiting doctoral student at Emory University. Sarah, who is currently writing her dissertation on Hrostvit of Gandersheim at Emory, read all of the chapters in draft, alerting me to corrigenda and pointing out places where the sense was unclear. Finally, I must acknowledge a huge debt to my colleague John Witte, Jr., director of Emory's Center for the Study of Law and Religion, of which I am privileged to be a senior fellow. John not only encouraged me to write the book but also discouraged me from abandoning the project at moments when I was becoming daunted by its emerging scope. I am grateful to John, too, for his work as an intellectual leader at Emory University, where the CSLR under his direction fosters free and diverse but disciplined and informed inquiry into law and religion.