

THE POWER OF LEGALITY

From an airstrip in Saudi Arabia, the CIA launches drones to 'legally' kill Al-Qaida leaders in Yemen. On the North Pole, Russia plants a flag on the seabed to extend legal claim over resources. In Brussels, the European Commission unveils its Emissions Trading System, extending environmental jurisdiction globally over foreign airlines. And, at Frankfurt Airport, a father returning from holiday is detained because his name appears on a security list. Today, legality commands substantial currency in world affairs, yet growing reference to international legality has not marked the end of strategic struggles in global affairs. Rather, it has shifted the field and manner of play for a plurality of actors who now use, influence and contest the way law's rule is applied to address global problems. Drawing on a range of case studies, this volume explores the various meanings and implications of legality across scholarly, institutional and policy settings.

Nikolas M. Rajkovic is Professor and Chair of International Law at Tilburg University, the Netherlands. He is also an Adjunct Professor in International Law and International Relations at Kyung Hee University, South Korea, and a faculty member of the Harvard Law School's Institute for Global Law and Policy (IGLP).

Tanja Aalberts is a Senior Researcher in the Department of Transnational Legal Studies, VU University, Amsterdam and co-director of the Centre for the Politics of Transnational Law.

Thomas Gammeltoft-Hansen is Research Director at the Danish Institute for Human Rights, and Executive Chairman of the Association of Human Rights Institutes (AHRI).



THE POWER OF LEGALITY

Practices of International Law and their Politics

Edited by

NIKOLAS M. RAJKOVIC

TANJA E. AALBERTS

THOMAS GAMMELTOFT-HANSEN





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107145054

© Cambridge University Press 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Names: Rajkovic, Nikolas, 1974- editor. | Aalberts, Tanja E., 1976- editor. |

Gammeltoft-Hansen, Thomas, editor.

Title: The power of legality : practices of international law and their politics / Edited by Nikolas M. Rajkovic, Tanja E. Aalberts and Thomas Gammeltoft-Hansen.

Description: New York : Cambridge University Press, 2016. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2015050681 | ISBN 9781107145054 (Hardback : alk. paper)
Subjects: LCSH: International law. | Rule of law. | Law–Philosophy. | Effectiveness and validity of law.

Classification: LCC KZ3410 .P695 2016 | DDC 341-dc23 LC record available at http://lccn.loc.gov/2015050681

ISBN 978-1-107-14505-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



CONTENTS

List of figures vii Notes on contributors viii Foreword by Martti Koskenniemi xiv Acknowledgements xix

1 Introduction: legality, interdisciplinarity and the study of practices 1

NIKOLAS M. RAJKOVIC, TANJA E. AALBERTS AND THOMAS GAMMELTOFT-HANSEN

PART I Scholarly struggles over legality 27

- 2 Re-thinking interdisciplinarity by re-reading Hume 29 FRIEDRICH KRATOCHWIL
- Tainted love: the struggle over legality in international relations and international law 75

ANNA LEANDER AND WOUTER WERNER

4 The power of legality, legitimacy and the (im)possibility of interdisciplinary research 99

FILIPE DOS REIS AND OLIVER KESSLER

5 Moving while standing still: law, politics and hard cases 125

CIARAN BURKE

PART II Legality and institutional practices 155

6 International law, Kelsen and the aberrant revolution: excavating the politics and practices of revolutionary legality in Rhodesia and beyond 157

VIDYA KUMAR

V



vi

TABLE OF CONTENTS

7 Juris dicere: custom as a matrix, custom as a norm, and the role of judges and (their) ideology in custom making 188

VASSILIS P. TZEVELEKOS

8 Multiple legalities and international criminal tribunals: juridical versus political legality 209

BAS SCHOTEL

9 Palestine's quest for statehood and the practice of the United Nations 233

CH POWELL AND I STRUG

PART III Legality and policy practices 265

10 Regulatory legality: extraterritorial rule across domestic and international arenas 267

MICHAEL L. BUENGER

- 11 Legality and lawfare in regime implementation 287
- Whose legality? Rule of law missions and the case of Kosovo 320

MAJ GRASTEN

PART IV Epilogue: re-thinking legality and practices of interdisciplinarity 343

Perspectives on the perils, promise, politics – and practice – of interdisciplinarity 345

JEFFREY L. DUNOFF

Index 371



FIGURES

- 1.1 Analysing practices of legality with a heuristic framework 18
- 10.1 Mapping "virtual citizenship" across regulatory spaces 274



NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

Editors

NIKOLAS M. RAJKOVIC is Professor and Chair of International Law at Tilburg University, and is an adjunct professor in International Law and International Relations at Kyung Hee University (South Korea) as well as Academic Council member of the Harvard Law School's Institute for Global Law and Policy (IGLP). He is a former practicing attorney, Jean Monnet Fellow in Global Governance at the Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies (Italy) and Senior Lecturer in International Law at the University of Kent Law School (UK). Nikolas' research interests focus on international legal theory, international relations theory, transnational law and the interplay between clandestine modes of warfare and the law of armed conflict. He has recently published in the Erasmus Law Review, European Journal of International Relations, International Relations and Leiden Journal of International Law. He is the author of The Politics of International Law and Compliance (2012).

TANJA E. AALBERTS is a senior researcher at the Department of Transnational Legal Studies, VU University, Amsterdam. Her fields of interest are international relations theory, international legal theory and international political sociology, and her research focuses on the interplay between international law and international politics in practices of governance. She is the author of Constructing Sovereignty between Politics and Law (2012) and has published in, inter alia, the European Journal of International Relations, Review of International Studies, Millennium and Journal of Common Market Studies. She is series editor of the interdisciplinary book series Politics of Transnational Law and general editor of the Leiden Journal for International Law.

THOMAS GAMMELTOFT-HANSEN is Research Director at the Raoul Wallenberg Institute of Human Rights and Humanitarian Law and

viii



CONTRIBUTORS

ix

Executive Chairman of the Association of Human Rights Institutes (AHRI). He has held visiting positions at Michigan Law School, New York Law School and Cambridge University. In addition, he is serving as a member of the Danish Refugee Appeals Board and a regular consultant on matters relating to immigration policy. Thomas holds degrees in both law and political science and his research spans international refugee law, international human rights law, transnational law, migration studies, sovereignty theory and the relationship between law and politics. He is the author or editor of four books including *Access to Asylum* (Cambridge University Press, 2011), *The Migration Industry* (2013), *Protecting the Rights of Others* (2013) and *Sovereignty Games* (2008).

Contributors

MICHAEL L. BUENGER is a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Kent Law School (UK). Michael holds a J.D. with honours from the St. Louis School of Law in St. Louis, Missouri, and an LL.M. with Distinction in Public International Law from the Brussels School of International Studies where he was awarded the Ambassador John Macgregor Prize. During a professional career that spans more than twenty-five years, he has served as a judicial law clerk and has held executive leadership positions with several state courts in the United States. More recently, Michael has worked on rule of law development projects in several countries focusing on improving access to justice, human rights compliance and regulatory system improvements. He is an author or co-author of several publications concerning both American law and international law, including his recent article "Human rights conventions and reservations: an examination of a critical deficit in the CEDAW" published by Buffalo Human Rights Law Review.

CIARÁN BURKE is Professor of International Law at the Friedrich Schiller Universität, Jena, Germany. In addition, he is the director of the university's Law and Language Centre and is a visiting lecturer at the Brussels School of International Studies. He also works as a consultant on issues of European Economic Area (EEA) law. Ciarán studied Irish and French law at University College Dublin (double B.C.L.), Law and Politics of International Security at the Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam (LL.M.) and International Law and Human Rights at the European University Institute (EUI) in Florence (LL.M.; Ph.D.), with further studies at the



CONTRIBUTORS

Université des Sciences-Sociales Toulouse-I and Université Robert Schuman, Strasbourg. He has previously worked as an expert on a number of international legal projects centred on migration and defence rights in Europe. His areas of interest include various aspects of public international law, European Union law, international human rights law, comparative constitutional and private law, equity, Arctic regulation, migration and legal theory. He has lectured in a number of international universities, and worked as a trainer for border guards in co-ordination with the International Centre for Migration Policy Development (ICMPD) and the EU Fundamental Rights Agency.

JEFFREY L. DUNOFF is the Laura H. Carnell Professor of Law at Temple University Beasley School of Law. His teaching and research focuses on public international law, international regulatory regimes and interdisciplinary approaches to international law. His publications include Interdisciplinary Perspectives on International Law and International Relations: The State of the Art (co-editor with Mark A. Pollack; Cambridge University Press, 2013); Ruling the World? Constitutionalism, International Law and Global Governance (co-editor with Joel P. Trachtman; Cambridge University Press, 2009); and International Law: Norms, Actors, Process, 4th edition (with Steven Ratner and David Wippman, 2015). Among other activities, Dunoff is an editor of the journal Global Constitutionalism, and has served as Visiting Professor at Harvard Law School, Law and Public Affairs Fellow and Visiting Professor at the Woodrow Wilson School at Princeton University, Visiting Fellow at the Lauterpacht Research Centre at Cambridge University, and Visiting Professor at Central European University

MAJ GRASTEN is a Ph.D. fellow in the Department of Business and Politics at Copenhagen Business School. Her main fields of interest are international political sociology, international legal theory and the interaction of law and politics in global governance. Her thesis explores the role of norms in international practices in post-war legal reforms, in particular in the Western Balkans.

OLIVER KESSLER is Full Professor of International Relations at the Faculty of Law, Economics and Social Sciences at the University of Erfurt, Germany. His research interests include international relations theory, international political economy and the politics of international



CONTRIBUTORS

хi

law. He has published, recently, in Review of International Studies, Cooperation and Change, Security Dialogue, International Political Sociology and Leiden Journal of International Law.

FRIEDRICH KRATOCHWIL studied philosophy, classics and political science in Munich. A Fulbright fellowship brought him to the United States where he received an M.A. in International Relations from Georgetown University and a Ph.D. in Politics from Princeton. He taught subsequently at Maryland, Columbia and the University of Pennsylvania before returning as Professor of International Politics to the Ludwigs Maximilians University in Munich. From 2003–2011, he served as Chair of International Relations and Political Theory at the European University Institute (EUI) in Florence. He has published widely in US and foreign journals on international politics, social theory, international organizations and international law. He is the former editor of the European Journal of International Relations and his latest book is titled, The Status of Law in World Society (Cambridge University Press, 2014).

VIDYA KUMAR is a senior lecturer in Law at the University of Leicester Law School, UK. She holds a D.Phil. from the University of Oxford, an LL.M. from Osgoode Hall Law School, and an LL.B. from Queen's University (Canada) as well as a B.A. and M.A. degree in political theory. She has taught at the universities of Oxford (UK), Durham (UK) and British Columbia (Canada). She is currently researching a monograph on the relationship between international law and revolution. She is a junior faculty member of the Harvard Law School's Institute for Global Law and Policy (IGLP). She has also held a postdoctoral research fellowship at the Canada Centre for Global Security Studies, Munk School of Global Affairs, University of Toronto (2010–2011) and a visiting research fellowship at the London School of Economics, Department of Law, and the Centre for International Studies (2011–2013).

ANNA LEANDER is Professor (MSO) at the Copenhagen Business School, Professor at the Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio) and a research partner at the Centre for the Resolution of International Conflicts (CRIC). Her research area is international political sociology. Her current research concentrates on knowledge and expertise about international security and conflict resolution. Anna has recently published, as editor, *Commercializing Security in Europe* and



xii

CONTRIBUTORS

articles in the Leiden Journal of International Law, Global Constitutionalism and Review of International Studies. She is associate editor of International Political Sociology and Security Dialogue. For further information and a list of publications see www.cbs.dk/staff/ale.

CH POWELL is a senior lecturer in Public Law at the University of Cape Town. She obtained her B.A. and LL.B. degrees at the University of Cape Town and an LL.M. at the Humboldt University in Berlin, Germany. She has published in the areas of constitutional law, international law, legal theory and terrorism. Her doctoral research at the University of Toronto examines the powers of the United Nations Security Council under law.

SURABHI RANGANATHAN is University Lecturer in Law and Fellow of King's College, Cambridge University, and the author of *Strategically Created Treaty Conflicts and the Politics of International Law* (Cambridge University Press, 2015).

FILIPE DOS REIS is a Ph.D. candidate as well as a research associate at the Chair of International Relations at the Faculty of Law, Economics and Social Sciences at the University of Erfurt, Germany. He teaches theories of security, international organizations and politics of international law. His research focuses, among other subjects, on international relations theory and the intersection of international relations and international law.

BAS SCHOTEL (Ph.D. law, Vrije Universiteit Brussel; LL.M., Columbia University New York on a Fulbright grant) is an assistant professor of Legal Theory at the University of Amsterdam. Prior to becoming a fulltime academic in 2009, Bas worked as an attorney at law, strategy consultant and manager at the Netherlands financial market authority. He published on the legal and ethical foundations of European migration policy. His current research looks into the mechanics of legal protection. He recently proposed a way of understanding law (i.e., "juridical law"), which can account for law's protective function to be distinguished from other practices (e.g., science, governance, regulation and politics).

J STRUG obtained a B.A. (Hons) in philosophy with a minor in International Relations from the University of Toronto, an LL.B. at the



CONTRIBUTORS

xiii

University of Victoria (Canada) and an LL.M. (with Distinction) in International Law from the University of Cape Town. His doctoral research at the University of Cape Town explores the role of international law in negotiation between international legal actors. He is the co-author of *Introduction to International Law* (2013).

VASSILIS P. TZEVELEKOS is a senior lecturer in Public International Law at the University of Liverpool Law School. He holds a Ph.D. on the theory of international law from the European University Institute (EUI) in Florence. Before that, he studied law at the Universities of Athens, Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne and the EUI and European politics at the College of Europe. In recent years he has been a visiting scholar at Columbia Law School and a research scholar at the University of Michigan Law School. He is an Athens-qualified lawyer. He is the co-editor of The *EU Accession to the ECHR* (2014).

WOUTER WERNER is Professor of Public International Law at the Free University, Amsterdam. His main fields of interest are international legal theory, the interplay between international law and international politics, the international legal regime on the use of force and visual representations of international criminal law. Wouter Werner is director of the graduate school of the law faculty of the Free University, co-director of the Centre for the Politics of Transnational Law and chair of the Advisory Committee on Issues of Public International Law, an independent advisory organ for the Dutch government and parliament. He was chair of the European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) research network "International Law Between Constitutionalisation and Fragmentation."



FOREWORD

The debate about the virtues of interdisciplinary work is surely as old as the Western academy itself. From the struggle of the faculties that Kant surveyed at the close of the Enlightenment, the debate has proceeded with varying intensity and under different vocabularies to international law and political science as these projects got under way at the close of the nineteenth century. It may be useful to remember that when Samuel Pufendorf received the first chair on the new discipline of "jus naturae et gentium" at the University of Heidelberg in 1661 that chair was, to Pufendorf's great disappointment, situated in the philosophy and not the law faculty. That decision doubtless reflected the situation of the Holy Roman Empire of the German nation after the close of the Thirty Years' War. As a "higher faculty," law would need to assist in German statebuilding after the devastation. In this process, the notion of "legality" the idiom of this volume - was taken to reflect the (positive) law of the Empire that needed support and study. By contrast, natural law and the law of nations would become part of the abstract - indeed "philosophical" - reflection on the universal principles of law and the human community, distant from the practical work of ruling the Germans.

But it did not take long for Pufendorf to turn his discipline in the direction of assisting the prince in the government of confessionally divided polities. Natural law could build its principles on close observation of the character and behaviour of human beings, following the example of the natural sciences. From precisely such perspective, Thomas Hobbes in England had drawn a scandalous conclusion: legality was what the sovereign desired. As he wrote in a critique of the practitioners of pure legality, law did not emerge from reason, but will, and a statute was nothing "but dead Letter, which of itself is not able to compel a Man to do otherwise than he himself pleaseth." Force and determination to use

xiv

¹ Thomas Hobbes, A Dialogue between a Philosopher and a Student of the Common Laws of England, ed. J. Cropsey (University of Chicago Press, 1971 [1681]), 58–59.



FOREWORD XV

it were needed for legality to be "real." But Pufendorf thought that Hobbes had exaggerated the dependence of social life on the sovereign's intuitions. Instead, he thought, it was possible to extract from social life a set of practical directives about how territorial polities could be ruled with the view of reaching the common good, the salus populi. The precepts of "legality," he insisted, were to be "derived primarily and directly from sociality." In the space of only a few decades, chairs of jus naturae et gentium were set up in most German universities, now increasingly also in the faculties of law. With fame also came bifurcation: was "society" to be understood abstractly or concretely, a matter of "reason" or behaviour? At Halle, Christian Wolff and his followers followed the former path, imagining legality as an effort to reach the "perfection" of human communities, from the family to the state and from the state to the Civitas maxima, the great commonwealth of humankind. Law and philosophy coincided in the "interdisciplinary" pursuit of techniques of governance towards the harmonious flourishing of human communities. At the same time, in Göttingen, pragmatically oriented jurists were aligning legality with the reason of state, comparative studies and diplomatic techniques such as the balance of power through which the prince could attain the "welfare" of the population. This was public law as policy-science and Staatskunst, a wholly empirical discipline designed to produce policy directives from calculations of relative state power.

As is well known, Immanuel Kant's critical project targeted both Wolffian rationalism as well as the assumption that normative conclusions could be drawn from empirical studies. His legality was a world of pure normativity in which human freedom would be realized outside the compulsions of natural science or the random and antinomical conclusions of abstract reason. For his followers, reflecting on legality turned into jurisprudence and was relegated to the margins of the law faculty. This did not mean the end of studies on legality operating outside the (European) state-form, however. An especially interesting "interdisciplinary" approach was taken by Georg Jellinek, Max Weber's friend and colleague at Heidelberg, suggesting that law and statehood had two sides: a normative and factual side so that it could be subjected to both legal and sociological study and that it was only by having account

² Samuel Pufendorf, On the Duty of Man and Citizen, ed. J. Yully (Cambridge University Press, 1991 [1673]), 37.



xvi Foreword

of both that a realistic and normative study of legality – including international legality – would be based.

Jellinek's studies on statehood, the theory of the federation and the position of treaties have had great, though often covert influence in the academic study of public and constitutional legality. But they did not have much of an effect on the pragmatically oriented field of "international law" that emerged at European universities, foreign ministries and diplomacy in the last third of the nineteenth century. This may have been because they lacked commitment to increasing integration within an "international community" that has inspired modern international lawyers. However, for most of the twentieth century, the field has enjoyed a schizophrenic existence. On the one hand, it has tried to help in the management of the legal aspects of foreign affairs. On the other, it has struggled with other legal fields and adjoining specializations (political theory, sociology and international relations) for power and prestige at the university. In both contexts it has needed to defend itself against accusations of being just a hopelessly abstract set of desiderata about peace and harmony; jurists have hence learned to point to its basis in sovereign statehood, the structure of power that is conventionally assumed to rule the world. In defending its "realism" jurists have become accustomed to taking the path of their Göttingen colleagues - reading the law in the light of the policies, interests and strategic choices made by or suggested to powerful institutions. In order not to go too far in that direction, however, they have also learned from the Wolffians to argue in terms of the humanitarian principles, objectives of international institutions, the relative virtue of the choices open to rulers and diplomats. Concrete and abstract, verifiable and normative - once lawyers have departed from their comfort zone in doctrinal analysis and argument, they have made skilful use of tropes and vocabularies scavenged from others. They have linked "legality" sometimes to the needs and values of human groups – self-determination, natural rights or peremptory norms of an international system. At other times they made legality dependent on what could be called the sociology of the international, arguing about the trends of social development, the patterns of power or decision and foreseeable consequences of alternative forms of action. The result has been a language that, even as it has borrowed idioms from disparate sources, still has coherence and system. Even as "legality" remains wholly indeterminate, it has a logic and autonomy of its own. For better or for worse.



FOREWORD XVII

International legality is the product of a rhetorical practice. It is about persuading audiences of the correctness or plausibility of types of action. The context of its operation is frequently that of defending a client, a project or a preference within some institutional context, perhaps court or a tribunal but more often that of a group of colleagues deliberating on some course of action. Even academic studies of international legality are about persuasion - trying to make other academics but also institutional actors, judges, policy makers and men and women in powerful positions see and agree to something. To be persuasive one has to speak the language of the audience one is arguing to, to address its expectations (including by challenging them). Legality becomes "legalities" because audiences appreciate different styles, including ones that depart from classroom legal analysis of rules and principles, the systematization of cases or interpretation of constitutional instruments. Legality is sometimes the outcome of a vocabulary of the right and the good, or it may emerge from a response to the question "what might work, and work for whom?" Legality moves sometimes in the direction of morality at other times towards political causality. Often it takes the space of the "best alternative to negotiated agreement." Legality may be about the meaning of a rule but also about the costs and benefits of alternative rules or indeed about what those rules might be. As I write this, I see the space of European legality split between the legalities of refugee law, constitutionalism, human rights, security, financial management and national

In order to argue well, lawyers must have read and studied many types of professional idioms. But they must also integrate those idioms – that knowledge – in legal speech without appearing merely as mouthpieces to other peoples' agendas. They must make them "theirs" – and only once they are so do they become part of legality. This contribution can then be assessed from various perspectives. Has it been helpful? Has it opened a new perspective or demonstrated some weakness in a traditional approach? Has the audience come to understand something they did not know previously? It does not matter whether the context is "professional," "academic" or that of some lay audience. Each constructs their world of legality by different criteria based on varied experiences and expectations. And yet lawyers enjoy special authority. As native inhabitants in the land of legality, lawyers police its boundaries and set the standards for professionally competent legality speech. It is useful to remember that those are also standards of power and privilege, created



xviii FOREWORD

in the course of a legal training that is, as Duncan Kennedy reminded us many years ago, "training for hierarchy."

In a world of many legalities, should international lawyers become interdisciplinary scholars? Yes and no. Yes in the sense that awareness of adjoining professional languages and a capacity to use those is often necessary for persuasiveness. Yes also in the sense that the more of such languages one learns, the more alternative descriptions of the world one comes to know, the more the legality one produces is open to the experiences of others and the better one can navigate in the contexts offered by professional and academic lives. Setting aside the most recent commentary on the World Trade Organization (WTO) dispute settlement and instead reading a sociology of professionalism or a report on the effect of trade on development is vital for understanding one's own practice and its effects on other people. It is to such efforts that this book wishes to make a contribution. But I am wary of thinking in terms of "disciplines," the way interdisciplinary projects tend to strengthen disciplinary orientations, fix the boundaries of expertise and consolidate existing hierarchies. It ignores the way professional vocabularies are indeterminate, divided against themselves and constantly instrumentalised for the struggle of resources. These problems, too, are highlighted in the chapters that follow.

For there is no magic about any division of disciplines. Like idioms of language, they have emerged from and continue to develop in history, marking the rise and fall of systems of professionalism and their accompanying social hierarchies. It may seem a purely strategic choice to learn as many professional idioms as one can. But I think there is more. In a world of many legalities ("fragmentation" if you wish) many different agendas and priorities demand a hearing. Learning the rules of production of these legalities offers a critical standpoint to those agendas, allowing making the choices that they as mere "legalities" leave obscure: who is privileged by a (system of) legality and at what cost to others? Legalities are like languages of which Paul de Man once famously remarked that they were mechanisms of both insight and blindness, enabling us to see something, but making us blind to other things. It is hard to think how anyone could, in a world of many legalities, act in a reasonable way without seeking to understand their rules of production. This might also open a critical perspective to the naturalness of one's native legality, and its hierarchies of power and privilege.

Martti Koskenniemi



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The fruition of many projects arises simply from the confluence of fortunate circumstances and the generosity of people, and this volume is no different. Our greatest fortune came from the intellectual and material support we received from Professor Wouter Werner as the grant chair of "International Law between Constitutionalisation and Fragmentation," funded by European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST). This COST support initiated a Copenhagen roundtable at the Danish Institute for International Studies (DIIS) in December 2011, which brought together the three editors to set off on a mission that rethinks how interdisciplinarity is achieved between International Law (IL) and International Relations (IR). What ensued over the next two years, all supported by Professor Werner and COST, were successive international workshops in Krakow, Florence and Weimar that built our team of contributors, provided crucial feedback and debate and ultimately consolidated the volume.

There is a large constellation of people and institutions that have contributed to the making of this book in large and small ways, and we express warm and wide thanks to the many paper presenters, commentators and organizers who extended their valuable time to make this volume possible. We would like to thank each of our contributing authors for the time and dedication they invested not just on their individual chapters, but also in the general discussions framing this volume. We would also like to express our gratitude to Professor Martti Koskenniemi for providing his thoughtful foreword to this volume.

It is important to extend specific thanks to a number of individuals and entities, and we proceed in chronological sequence. First, our gratitude to Professors Stefano Guzzini, Nicholas Onuf and Christian Reus-Smit who at the Copenhagen roundtable encouraged us to pursue this project on interdisciplinarity. We also extend our gratitude to Professor Patrick Thaddeus Jackson for hosting our Krakow workshop as part of the Central and East European International Studies Association Conference



xx

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

in Krakow (Jagiellonian University) in September 2012. Further, the hosting of our second workshop in the historic Villa Schifanoia of the European University Institute (Florence, May 2013) would not have been possible without the generous support of the Global Governance Programme of the Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies; and the success of the Florence workshop was very much tied to the pivotal contributions of Professor Emanuel Adler, Professor Stefano Bartolini, Professor Miguel Maduro, Professor Anna Triandafyllidou, Dr. Mei Lan Goei and Mia Saugman. Our third and final workshop in Weimar (December 2013) would not have been possible without the support of Professor Oliver Kessler and his team at Erfurt University. We are also thankful to the Danish Institute for Human Rights for providing the editorial team with a pivotal writing retreat for several days in September 2013.

Our final round of gratitude goes to the crucial support we received from both the COST office in Brussels as well as Cambridge University Press. We specifically thank our COST scientific officer Rosella Magli and COST administrative officer Leo Guillfoyle. We owe a lot to Cambridge University Press for encouraging this volume from its early stages, and our most heartfelt appreciation goes out to Finola O'Sullivan, Elizabeth Spicer and the four anonymous reviewers.