

The Soft–Hard Tissue Junction

Richly illustrated throughout with an abundance of tissue images, this innovative book shows that the soft–hard tissue junction is best understood in a biomechanical context. The authors describe their pioneering experimental methods, these providing an essential structure–function framework for computational modelling, and thereby encouraging the development of more realistic, predictive models of this important tissue junction.

Covering the three main musculoskeletal junctions of cartilage–bone, disc–vertebra and ligament/tendon–bone, the relevant soft tissues are examined with respect to both their own inherent structures and their mode of integration with the hard tissue. The soft–hard tissue junction is also explored with a focus on structural damage resulting from overloading, and its associated pathologies. Adopting a multiscale approach that ranges in structural resolution from the macro- to the fibril level, this is a must-have guide to the field and an ideal resource for researchers seeking new and creative approaches for studying joint and spine tissues.

Neil D. Broom is an Emeritus Professor in the Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering at the University of Auckland, and Fellow of the Royal Society of New Zealand.

Ashvin Thambyah is an Associate Professor in the Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering at the University of Auckland.

The Soft–Hard Tissue Junction

Structure, Mechanics and Function

NEIL D. BROOM

University of Auckland

ASHVIN THAMBYAH

University of Auckland



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-107-13786-8 — The Soft–Hard Tissue Junction
Neil D. Broom , Ashvin Thambyah
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)



Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107137868

DOI: 10.1017/9781316481042

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2019

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2019

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-107-13786-8 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

	<i>Preface and Acknowledgements</i>	<i>page ix</i>
	Part I The Osteochondral System	1
1	General Principles Relating to the Joint Tissues and Their Function	3
1.1	The Regulation of Joint Stresses and Joint Friction	3
1.2	The Structural Meaning of Elastic Stiffness	7
1.3	Fundamental Principles Governing Compliant Versus Stiff Tissues	8
1.4	Composition of Articular Cartilage and Its Physico-Chemical Implications	10
1.5	Early Structural Models of Articular Cartilage	10
1.6	Structural Models of Articular Cartilage with the Advent of Electron Microscopy	13
1.7	Fibril Continuity and Ultra-Structural Imaging of the Articular Cartilage Matrix	15
1.8	A Fibril-Level Model Integrating Multiple Scales of Evidence	16
1.9	The Pseudo-Random Radial Fibrillar Model	17
1.10	Fibril Interconnectivity: Is It Entwinement or Non-Entwinement Based?	24
1.11	Fibril Interconnectivity and the Abnormal Cartilage Matrix	31
2	The Osteochondral Junction	42
2.1	Early Studies of the Osteochondral Junction	42
2.2	Structure of the Normal Osteochondral Junction	46
2.3	Structural Changes in the Osteochondral Junction with Ageing and Early Degeneration	49
2.4	Detailed Assessment of the Significance of Bony Spicules in the Osteochondral Junction	58
2.5	A Novel Indentation Method for Investigating Osteochondral Response	66
2.6	A Simplified Physical Analogue of the Osteochondral System	79
3	General Aspects of Failure of the Osteochondral Tissue System	84
3.1	The Relevant Forces	84
3.2	Junction Failure Induced by Direct Compression	86

vi	Contents	
	3.3 Making Sense of the Impact Test	92
	3.4 Microstructural Analysis of Impact-Induced Osteochondral Damage	97
	3.5 Relating Osteochondral Damage to Impact Energy and Impact Stress	99
	3.6 Does Prior Cartilage Creep Influence Osteochondral Response to Impact?	105
	3.7 Macro- and Micro-Level Analysis of Osteochondral Damage Following Prior Creep and Impact	109
4	Shear Failure of the Osteochondral Junction	115
	4.1 Whole Condyle Loading	115
	4.2 Quasi-Static Measurement of Osteochondral Junction Strength	116
	4.3 Semi-Quantitative Analysis of Osteochondral Fracture Under Impact Loading in Shear	118
	4.4 An Approximate Fracture Mechanics Analysis of Osteochondral Shear Fracture	124
5	A Large <i>In Vivo</i> Model Exploring Extreme Physiological Loading of the Osteochondral Tissues	131
	5.1 The Biological Significance of Micro-Cracking at the Osteochondral Junction	131
	5.2 The Thoroughbred Equine Animal Model	132
	5.3 Palmar Osteochondral Disease: A Major Stress-Related Equine Pathology	133
	5.4 Osteochondral Damage in the Mid-Condylar Region	134
	5.5 Osteochondral Damage in the Parasagittal Groove	143
	5.6 Subchondral Bone Cysts or Reverse Osteochondral Lesions	150
	Part II The Intervertebral Disc–Endplate System	155
6	Relevant Anatomy and Macro-Level Structure	157
	6.1 Anatomical Overview	157
	6.2 Compositional Overview of the Disc and Endplate	162
	6.3 Microanatomy of the Annulus	163
	6.4 Micromechanical Studies Reveal Subtle Structural Features	166
	6.5 A Provisional Model of Intra- and Inter-Lamellar Connectivity	168
	6.6 Further Structural Detail Captured with Serial Thick Sections	170
7	The Elastic Fibre Component in the Disc	178
	7.1 Earlier Histological and Ultrastructural Studies of Disc Elastin	178
	7.2 Advanced Imaging Studies of Disc Elastic Fibres	180
	7.3 Functional Role of Elastic Fibres in the Disc	183
	7.4 Comparison of Collagen Fibre and Elastic Fibre Elasticity	187
	7.5 Elastin: A Biological Elastomer	188
	7.6 Theories of Elastin Elasticity	189

	Contents	vii
8	Detailed Analysis of the Disc–Endplate System	192
	8.1 The Endplates	192
	8.2 Annulus–Endplate Integration	193
	8.3 Micro-Level View of the Annulus–Endplate Junction	195
	8.4 A Model of Annulus–Endplate Anchorage	197
	8.5 Mechanical Consequences of Having a Step-Change in Stiffness at the Tidemark	201
	8.6 Mechanical Toughness of the Annulus–Endplate Junction	204
	8.7 Fibril-Level View of the Annulus–Endplate Junction	205
	8.8 How Maturity Levels Influence Annulus–Endplate Anchorage	212
9	Structure of the Nucleus and Its Relation to Annulus and Endplate	217
	9.1 Nucleus Composition and Structure	217
	9.2 Is There Structural Continuity Between Nucleus and Annulus?	218
	9.3 Nucleus–Annulus Connectivity Suggested by the Presence of Elastic Fibres	219
	9.4 Is There Structural Continuity Between Nucleus and Endplate?	220
	9.5 Mechanical Evidence Supporting Nucleus–Endplate Structural Integration	221
	9.6 Microstructural Analysis of Nucleus–Endplate Integration	224
	9.7 A Proposed Model for Clarifying Nucleus–Endplate Structure and Function	227
	9.8 Is Nucleus–Endplate Integration Influenced by Maturity?	229
	9.9 Fibril-Level Analysis of Nucleus–Endplate Integration	231
	9.10 Structural Models Integrating Nucleus, Annulus and Endplate	235
	9.11 Nucleus–Endplate Integration in Human Discs	236
10	Experimental Investigation of Failure of the Annulus–Endplate Junction Region	239
	10.1 Failure Under Directional Modes of Loading	239
	10.2 Structural Visualisation of Annulus–Endplate Failure	240
	10.3 Influence of Demineralisation on Annulus–Endplate Junction Failure	243
	10.4 Fibril-Level View of Annulus–Endplate Junction Failure	245
	10.5 Load Trajectories and Modes of Failure	248
	10.6 Comparison with Human Annulus–Endplate Junction Failure	250
11	Endplate Involvement in Whole Disc Failure	251
	11.1 The Variable Nature of Failure Processes – Some General Considerations	251
	11.2 What is Intervertebral Disc Herniation?	252
	11.3 Inducing Disc–Endplate Failure in the Healthy Motion Segment	256
	11.4 Inducing Disc–Endplate Failure Using Internal Nuclear Pressurisation	257
	11.5 Micromechanics of Disc–Endplate Failure Under Nuclear Pressurisation	258

viii	Contents	
12	Micromechanics of Failure of the Disc–Endplate System Under Realistic Loading	270
	12.1 Clinical Evidence for Endplate Involvement in Disc Failure	270
	12.2 Multi-Parameter Studies of Whole Disc–Endplate Failure	271
	12.3 Structural Factors Influencing Failure of the Endplate Region	279
	12.4 Animal Versus Human Discs	281
	Part III The Enthesis	283
13	Tendon and Ligament Biomechanics	285
	13.1 Biomechanical Function of Tendons and Ligaments	285
	13.2 Hierarchical Structure	289
	13.3 Biomechanical Relevance of Tendon/Ligament Structure and Composition	294
	13.4 The Functional Significance of Collagen Crimp	295
	13.5 Factors of Safety in the Natural Design of Ligaments and Tendons	301
14	The Enthesis: Composition, Structure and Function	304
	14.1 Some General Principles	304
	14.2 Types of Insertion in Bone	306
	14.3 A Summary of Direct Versus Indirect Insertion	319
15	Exploring Enthesis Structure–Function Relationships	323
	15.1 The Anterior Cruciate Ligament and Its Enthesis	323
	15.2 Structure of the ACL Double-Bundle Insertion System	325
16	Managing the Modulus Mismatch	338
	16.1 Modulus Mismatch and Mechanical Risk	338
	16.2 Some General Examples of Functional Grading	338
	16.3 Enthesis Structure and Functional Grading	340
	16.4 Multiscale Structural Organisation and Biomineralisation	341
	16.5 Enthesis Strength and Toughness	343
	16.6 The Importance of Mechanobiological Adaptation	345
	Epilogue	349
	<i>References</i>	353
	<i>Index</i>	383

Preface and Acknowledgements

Nature excels in the design of structural discontinuities that serve to integrate tissues of widely contrasting mechanical properties. Some obvious examples from the marine world include the ligamentous hinge of bivalves, the byssus anchoring thread of *Mytilus* (the common mussel) and the squid's rigid beak embedded within its muscular buccal envelope – all are characterised by a functionally robust joining of soft and hard tissues. More relevant to the theme of this book, the mammalian musculoskeletal system provides multiple examples illustrating this same integrative principle; we find in the joint systems of the body a variety of structurally specialised junctions uniquely tailored to achieve the safe transmission of mechanical forces of varying magnitude and directions of action.

In 2014 Dr Michelle Carey, Commissioning Editor at Cambridge University Press, invited one of us (NDB) to consider writing a research monograph aimed at presenting a coherent story of the soft–hard tissue interface. Initial enthusiasm for embarking on such a project was somewhat tempered by our feeling that there were already several works published in this general area, so a concern was whether we could realistically offer the Publisher a manuscript that added usefully to the field.

Reflecting on our own individual and collaborative research activities in the area of experimental tissue mechanics over many years we concluded that there did indeed appear to be a case for co-authoring a unified work focusing primarily on the multi-scale structural anatomy and related micromechanics of the three primary soft–hard tissue discontinuities in the musculoskeletal system, namely the cartilage–bone junction, the ligament/tendon–bone junction and the intervertebral disc–vertebral junction. All three of these tissue systems involve the integration of a highly compliant collagen-rich matrix with a rigid, mineralised substrate. And, given the advances in both biomaterials and tissue engineering strategies, an in-depth exploration of the structure, composition and biomechanics of the soft–hard tissue junction, and its design rationale for these three main systems, seemed to us to have considerable merit.

The transition from the compliant to the rigid state in the three junctions covered in the book is achieved over micro- and nano-scale dimensions and constitutes a mechanically demanding structural discontinuity that is potentially vulnerable to focal disruption and failure when loaded beyond its normal physiological limits. Degeneration and age-related changes can also bring about structural changes in these junctions, further increasing their biomechanical vulnerability.

There is no shortage of books covering the related disciplines of biomechanics and biomaterials within which musculoskeletal tissue mechanics sits as a major subset. This latter field of research has evolved rapidly and is driven, in part, by both the scientific advances arising from new imaging technologies and the need to solve highly complex clinical problems in orthopaedic practice. The merging of engineering, biology and medicine in the area has been particularly impressive, and due largely to the application of both mechanical analysis and materials-science principles to the study of the passive load-transmitting tissues involved, namely bone, cartilage, ligament, tendon and the intervertebral disc. The primary intent of our own musculoskeletal research has been to explore the relation between structure and function for these tissues and their larger-scale biomechanical role in the body.

In writing this book we have ‘approached’ the soft–hard junction from a predominantly experimental perspective and for one simple reason – possessing an in-depth understanding of its structure will provide that all-important ‘guiding light’ for any researcher wanting to develop predictive biomechanical models possessing at least some degree of physiological relevance. Getting the structure ‘as right as possible’ should always precede any attempt to formulate near-realistic models of such tissue systems. As authors it is our hope that this work will encourage and inspire modellers of the soft–hard junction to incorporate an adequate level of structural realism across the dimensional spectrum, from the macro- to micro- to nano-scales.

The *structure–function* theme that is pursued throughout the book reflects, in part, the methodological roots of the authors’ collective and individual research histories. From an earlier research background in metallurgical materials, one of us (NDB) had the opportunity to move into biological materials research, and specifically the area of soft connective tissues. In metallic materials the deformed state is captured relatively easily using conventional methods of structural analysis, principally optical and electron microscopy. In their elastic range of behaviour the strains involved are tiny and hence there is little detectable change in the structural state of the metal when loaded within its elastic limit. Very large deformations can be induced in a ductile metal above its elastic limit, and this is a consequence of the atoms in the crystalline grains comprising the metal matrix moving into new but equivalent and structurally stable positions. Hence it is relatively straightforward to capture structural changes arising from such large strain or ductile behaviour simply by comparing the structure in its ‘before’ and ‘after’ strain states. We can describe this as *static* structure capture.

The soft connective tissues relating to the dominant theme in this book – namely cartilage, intervertebral disc, tendon and ligament – are all strong and highly flexible biological materials such that their normal physiological function in load-bearing can involve very large ranges of recoverable (i.e., elastic) deformation or strain. Meaningful insights into their governing structure–function relationships therefore require methods that allow direct observation of structure over the entire range of physiological deformations. Ideally, we need to be able to observe in real time the structural response of these tissues to mechanical stimulation and in a way that approximates their behaviour in the body; this is active or *dynamic* capture, rather

than *static* capture, of their structures – the latter being entirely appropriate for conventional engineering materials such as metals, ceramics and rigid plastics, etc.

There are two essential conditions that must be met in order to achieve the kind of *dynamic* capture of the structure–function relationships governing the behaviour of soft connective tissues. First, these tissues must be maintained in their fully hydrated, unstained, unfixed physiological condition. Second, as these tissues are subjected to mechanical loading it must be possible to observe their response at a level of resolution sufficient to image the changing configuration of those primary structural elements that actually control the mechanical response.

At the time when the first author ‘migrated’ from metallic to biological matrices the experimental tools available for micro- or sub-micro structural analysis mostly permitted only *static* capture of the response to loading of the biological matrix over its functional range of strains. These tools were conventional histology (involving fixation, dehydration and the embedding and staining of thin sections cut from the bulk tissue), and scanning and transmission electron microscopy. It was this earlier, somewhat restrictive, range of structural tools that provided the incentive to explore the advantages of using differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy as a means of imaging these compliant connective tissues in their fully hydrated, functional state.

Also known as Nomarski microscopy after its invention by the Polish-born French physicist Georges Nomarski in the 1950s, the DIC imaging technique provides high-resolution micro-level imaging of relatively thick sections of tissues and, importantly, while maintained in their natural, fully hydrated state. And when used in combination with appropriately designed micromechanical devices it becomes possible to examine these soft connective tissue systems *dynamically* at relatively high resolution over their large range of functional strains, thereby giving more direct access to the governing structure–function relationships. It should also be noted that chemical fixation of these tissues under steady-state loading also permits *static* capture of the deformed structure, thereby offering an additional means of exploring the structure–function relationship.

One further point needs to be emphasised concerning the methodological benefits of employing DIC optical microscopy for the imaging of soft connective tissues: it is entirely feasible to dissect a fresh mammalian articulating joint, remove a sample of articular cartilage and the investigator be able to examine it fully hydrated and at high structural resolution within minutes. Contrast this ‘speed of access’ with that provided by conventional histological procedures which, at the very least, take days or even weeks because of the lengthy processing involved. Of course the latter offer advantages in terms of selective staining of individual matrix components such as proteoglycans, one that DIC on its own does not provide. But we cannot emphasise enough the advantages of DIC in minimising the temporal separation between fresh-tissue collection and its high-resolution structural imaging in a functional, hydrated state. That feeling of disconnect between the original bulk tissue sample and its final microscopic imaging several weeks later, following receipt of a folder or box of histological slides, will probably strike a familiar chord with many researchers.

Of course, there is now available to the researcher a range of highly sophisticated optical imaging techniques such as, for example, confocal laser scanning microscopy permitting the researcher to stack section images for high-resolution three-dimensional reconstructions, multiphoton imaging, and also powerful immuno-histological staining procedures that allow identification of a wide range of matrix components as revealed by molecular labelling. However, in much of our own work with soft connective tissues we have been concerned primarily with imaging the principal fibrous components and seeking to understand how they determine the mechanical properties of these tissues. To this end, being able to image the fully hydrated matrix at high resolution has proved invaluable.

However, the integrating theme of this book is the soft–hard tissue junction and not just that of the compliant tissues alone. With a more-focused research background in musculoskeletal biomechanics, the co-author (AT) had earlier drawn attention to the considerable interpretive advantages in being able to analyse the structural responses of these soft connective tissues while still integrated with their rigid mineralised substrates. Indeed, to examine articular cartilage, intervertebral disc, tendon and ligament isolated from the rigid tissues to which they are functionally attached is to miss so much of their respective structure–function stories. And our desire to understand better these stories provided the stimulus for our collaborative research to begin exploring those complex structural relationships that prevail within the soft–hard junction and determine its mechanical properties.

Methodologically, the authors' dominant approach has been to subject the still-intact cartilage–bone, intervertebral disc–vertebra or ligament/tendon–bone tissue systems to defined patterns of loading and then capture their structural responses by chemically fixing the tissues while maintaining the loaded state. The composite tissue samples are then decalcified and cryo-sectioned for structural analysis either in their fully hydrated state using, in most instances, DIC optical microscopy, or further processed for imaging at the sub-microscopic level using either scanning or transmission electron microscopy.

The procedures used by the authors to investigate the soft–hard junction do, of course, provide a *static* rather than *dynamic* analysis of this region's structure. However, despite this methodological limitation we believe the approach has added considerably to the 'horizon' of structural detail that can be seen, thereby strengthening our understanding of those structure–function relationships governing this biomechanically demanding region. Readers will, of course, make their own assessment of this claim.

No apology is offered for the image-rich nature of the book for how else could we have done justice to the structure of the soft–hard junction in its diverse manifestations? But we also hope that many of the structural images we have incorporated will provide the interested reader with an inspiring glimpse of the sheer elegance of form characterising each of the three main tissue systems explored.

Finally, this preface would be incomplete without paying tribute to the following postgraduate research students, postdoctoral fellows and clinical collaborators who have, over many years, contributed to the research in our experimental tissue mechanics group and made the writing of this book possible:

Heather Silyn-Roberts, Denis Marra, Adekunle Oloyede, Helio Schechtman, Celina Pezowicz, Amos Race, Hamid Katoozian, Rene Flachsmann, Tony Hardy, Peter

Robertson, David Simunic, Elwyn Firth, Shivanthini Kamalanathan, Min-Huey Chen, Thuy Ngo, Woong Kim, Samuel Veres, Meredith Schollum, Scott Bevill, Joy Zhao, Sean Turley, Samantha Rodrigues, Kelly Wade, Mieke Nickien, Emily Hargrave-Thomas, Lei Zhao, Joshua Workman, Bincy Jacob, Vonne van Heeswijk, Zhi Shan, Nurul Haiza Sapiee and Emma Brown.

We wish also to express our gratitude to the staff at Cambridge University Press: to Commissioning Editor Dr Michelle Carey who suggested the idea of writing this book; to the several content editors who have provided us with guidance over the two years of its writing, and especially to Anastasia Toynbee who has borne the burden of having to answer our many questions and for her keeping the writing process within broadly sensible bounds.