

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-107-13709-7 — Courts without Borders  
Tonya L. Putnam  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

## COURTS WITHOUT BORDERS

*Courts without Borders* is the first book to examine the politics of judicial extraterritoriality, with a focus on the world's chief practitioner: the United States. For much of the post-World War II era, the United States has been a frequent yet selective regulator of activities outside its territory, and U.S. federal courts are often on the front line in deciding the extraterritorial reach of U.S. law. At stake in these jurisdiction battles is the ability to bring the regulatory power of the United States to bear on transnational disputes in ways that other states frequently dislike both in principle and in practice. This volume proposes a general theory of domestic court behavior to explain variation in extraterritorial enforcement of U.S. law, emphasizing how the strategic behavior of private actors is important to mobilizing courts and in directing their activities.

Tonya L. Putnam is Associate Professor of Political Science at Columbia University, a lawyer with experience in international public law litigation, and a member of the California State Bar.

# COURTS WITHOUT BORDERS

Law, Politics, and U.S. Extraterritoriality

TONYA L. PUTNAM

*Columbia University*



CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-107-13709-7 — Courts without Borders  
Tonya L. Putnam  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

## CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York NY 10006, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781107137097](http://www.cambridge.org/9781107137097)

© Tonya L. Putnam 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library*

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

Putnam, Tonya L. (Tonya Lee), author.

Courts without borders : law, politics, and U.S. extraterritoriality / Tonya L. Putnam.

New York : Cambridge University Press, 2016.

LCCN 2016017596 | ISBN 9781107137097 (hardback)

LCSH: Conflict of laws – Jurisdiction – United States. | Extraterritoriality. | Courts – United States. | Effectiveness and validity of law – United States. | United States – Foreign relations – Law and legislation. | BISAC: LAW / International.

LCC KF413.J87 P88 2016 | DDC 347.73/012–dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2016017596>

ISBN 978-1-107-13709-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

CONTENTS

*List of figures*      page ix  
*List of tables*      x  
*Acknowledgments*      xi

**1 Courts without borders**      1  
    The argument in brief      3  
    Judicial extraterritoriality and international relations theory      7  
        The power to compel compliance with domestic law      8  
        Private litigants as strategic agents      9  
        Judicial extraterritoriality as a source of international friction      12  
    Scope of the inquiry      14  
    The origins of judicial extraterritoriality      16  
        Extraterritoriality as a legal fiction      16  
        U.S. hegemony and U.S. judicial extraterritoriality      20  
        U.S. extraterritoriality under fire from within the U.S. legal system      23  
    Overview of the book      25

**2 A theory of judicial extraterritoriality**      28  
    The theoretical argument      32  
        Constructing jurisdictional thresholds      36  
        Behavioral assumptions      40  
    Competing accounts of U.S. court behavior      42  
        Protecting U.S. economic interests      43  
        Regime affinity      45  
    Testing the arguments      46  
        Explanatory variables      51  
        Regression results and discussion      55  
        Judicial line-drawing and litigant convenience      63  
    Conclusion      69

<b>3 Domestic courts and transnational governance</b>	<b>71</b>
U.S. extraterritoriality, foreign governments, and international rules	74
When do states adjust?	76
When do states bargain?	78
U.S. domestic courts as “sites of action”: an agency-based framework	80
U.S. law and its extraterritorial reach	82
Agents and incentives to litigate	84
U.S. courts and private rights of action	84
Why litigate?	88
Enforcement capacity	92
Sources of legal ambiguity and change	94
Conclusion	98
<b>4 Extraterritoriality in the absence of agreement: international antitrust</b>	<b>101</b>
Antitrust, international trade, and extraterritoriality	103
Rules and ambiguity	106
Agents and triggers	108
Enforcement capacity	111
Applying the framework	112
The origins of modern antitrust	113
War, occupation, and the Western-liberal international order	116
From U.S. dominance to competitive rivalry	121
United States	122
Europe	130
Post-Cold War era	135
National and supranational developments	135
International processes	141
Discussion	144
Conclusion	149
<b>5 Extraterritoriality’s limits and U.S. bargaining over intellectual property protection</b>	<b>152</b>
Territorial rights in a globalizing world	156
What is intellectual property?	156
Institutionalizing territoriality	158
Territoriality, extraterritoriality, and intellectual property	160

CONTENTS

vii

From international pirates to producers to pariahs	161
Early patent laws	162
Emergence of international copyright law	164
Trailing with trademarks	166
Falling behind by standing still	168
Efforts at (partial) reengagement	170
Experimenting with extraterritoriality	175
Legal ambiguity	175
Agents of extraterritoriality	176
Enforcement capacity	177
The real turn to bargaining	189
Discussion	197
Conclusion	199
<b>6 U.S. extraterritoriality and human rights: shaping a regime from within</b>	<b>202</b>
Enforcing human rights in U.S. courts	203
Legal ambiguity, political agency, and enforcement	209
Ambiguities of jurisdiction and substance	209
Demarcating boundaries of ATS jurisdiction	211
Incorporating new offenses	213
Expanding the range of ATS defendants	216
Agency and opportunity structures	224
Judges	224
Private litigants	227
U.S. enforcement capacity	230
Assessing patterns of ATS practice	232
Responses and impacts	242
Efforts to change opportunity structures	244
Litigation-based strategies	245
Lobbying-based strategies	247
Limiting jurisdiction through corporate segmentation	248
Discussion	250
Conclusion	252
<b>7 The waning of U.S. extraterritoriality?</b>	<b>255</b>
Extraterritoriality: a tool for the powerful	255
Picking transnational battles	257
The inward-looking character of U.S. courts	259
Extraterritoriality and legal diffusion	261

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-107-13709-7 — Courts without Borders  
Tonya L. Putnam  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

U.S. extraterritoriality in decline?	264
<i>Morrison</i> and <i>Kiobel</i> : the end of an era?	265
Hegemonic decline and judicial presumptions	269
Is more U.S. jurisdictional restraint a good thing?	272
Questions for the future	274
 <i>Bibliography</i>	 279
<i>Index</i>	309

FIGURES

2.1	Contentious extraterritorial jurisdictional claims over time, by outcome	<i>page 47</i>
2.2	Contested extraterritorial claims in sample by issue area	48
2.3	Predicted outcomes by issue area	64
5.1	U.S. federal court cases involving extraterritorial claims (by subject)	180
6.1	Alien Tort Statute claims by decision to exercise jurisdiction	234
6.2	ATS claims 1980–2011 by core offense alleged	235
6.3	ATS claims by geographic and thematic focus	237
6.4	ATS claims by defendant type	239
6.5	Bases of U.S. federal court dismissals for ATS cases	240
6.6	Expressed U.S. and foreign government preferences and outcomes	241



TABLES

2.1 Probability that a U.S. federal court exercises jurisdiction over a claim  
with contentious extraterritoriality. *page* 56

2.2 Primary basis of dismissal. 67

2.3 Discretionary dismissals by subcategory. 68

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In March 1999, Namibia's legal team in the Kasikili/Sedudu Island Dispute, of which I was extremely privileged to have been a member, had just concluded three weeks of Oral Arguments before the International Court of Justice in The Hague. Over celebratory drinks the team's leader, Abram Chayes – an amazing international lawyer, Harvard Law Professor, and mentor – posed the question of what I should choose as my Ph.D. dissertation topic to the Namibian official overseeing our efforts, Dr. Albert Kawana (who would soon thereafter become Namibia's Minister of Justice). Without much hesitation, Dr. Kawana responded that it would be useful for the governments of small states to understand better when the United States is likely to insist that others follow its laws, and when it was unlikely to do so. This prompted a lively discussion. Two hours and two (or maybe three) manhattans later, I was convinced that extraterritoriality was an area rich with international political as well as legal questions, and I have never looked back.

Sadly, Abe Chayes passed away a little more than a year after that conversation. As a result, I was not able to draw nearly as much as I would have liked to from his deep knowledge and experience of U.S. political and legal practice specifically in these matters. Even so, this project owes an immense debt to Abe and his scholarship. Abe's work on international legal process were what first drew me to international law as an area of research interest. And helped to cinch my decision to go to law school. His work with Antonia Handler Chayes on the politics of legal compliance remains a key touchstone for most of my research and teaching. My experiences working with Abe and Toni on projects related to international conflict resolution, and later as a participant in international public law litigation, provided me with a priceless education in how contestation over law and legal rules helps to structure international politics and political interactions. For this I will remain forever grateful.

This project has taken many years to complete, and, consequently, I owe thanks to many institutions and individuals for helping me to bring it to fruition.

Parts of the book have been supported by funding and sponsorship from the Department of Political Science at Stanford University, the Center for International Security and Cooperation at Stanford, the Niehaus Center for Globalization and Governance at Princeton University, and the Department of Political Science at Columbia University. The book has likewise benefitted immensely from feedback provided by seminar audiences at each of these institutions.

For additional invitations to present work related to this book, I thank Duke University; University of California, Berkeley; University of California, Los Angeles; University of Chicago; University of Pennsylvania; University of Wisconsin–Madison; and the Beasley School of Law at Temple University. I also want to thank the American Political Science Association, the American Society of International Law, the International Studies Association, and the Law and Society Association for the intermediate deadlines and opportunities for feedback they have provided over the years.

Several colleagues in the Department of Political Science at Columbia University have offered invaluable inputs at many points in this volume's development. Jack Snyder and Jeffrey Lax have been especially generous with their time and encouragement. Jeff also has been nothing short of princely with his liquor cabinet, and with his willingness to play backgammon at odd hours. (Both proved exceptionally helpful to my "process.") Also deserving of special thanks are Robert Jervis, Tanisha Fazal, Turkuler Isiksel, and Pablo Pinto. Kate Cronin Furman and Jacob Kopas have likewise been fabulous sounding boards for ideas, as well as occasional editors and code testers.

Numerous other individuals have provided helpful guidance and feedback along the way. They include Karen Alter, Mark Axelrod, Dan Bodansky, Anu Bradford, Dana Burde, Tim Buthe, Harlan Cohen, Marc Copelovitch, Mariano Florentino Cuellar, Christina Davis, Alexander Downes, Erik Gartzke, Judith Goldstein, Emilie Hafner Burton, Raymond Hicks, Duncan Hollis, Suzanne Katzenstein, Robert Keohane, Moonhawk Kim, Stephan Krasner, Helen Kinsella, Edward Mansfield, Lisa Martin, Ralf Michaels, Helen Milner, Abe Newman, Austin Parrish, Jon Pevehouse, Katharina Pistor, Netihorn Pradisarn, Kal Raustiala, Thania Sanchez, Todd Sechser, Kim Lane Sheppele, David

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

xiii

A. Singer, Paul Stephan, Michael Tomz, Leslie Vinjamuri, Erik Voeten, Allen Weiner, and Chris Whytock.

I owe special thanks to Susan Sell and Beth Simmons for their timely and extremely useful comments on late drafts of selected chapters; to my anonymous reviewers at Cambridge University Press; and to Teresa Lawson for her outside editorial contributions. I also want to extend particular thanks to Lynn Eden, Page Fortna, and Kelly Greenhill for being consistent friends, cheerleaders, and hard-nosed but constructive critics. I look up to each of you as models of scholars who are deeply engaged in your own work, but able to remain intellectually omnivorous, and unfailingly generous to others.

And finally, thanks to my family: Mor, Elai, and Kai. In part because of you, this book took a while. But, it's also in part because of you that it was worth doing.