

Independent Politics

How American Disdain for Parties Leads to Political Inaction

The number of independent voters in America increases each year, yet they remain misunderstood by both media and academics. Media describe independents as pivotal for electoral outcomes. Political scientists conclude that independents are merely *undercover partisans*: people who secretly hold partisan beliefs and are thus politically inconsequential.

Both the pundits and the political scientists are wrong. The authors show that many Americans are becoming embarrassed of their political party. As a result, Americans deny to pollsters, party activists, friends, and even themselves their true partisanship, instead choosing to go "undercover" as independents.

Independent Politics demonstrates that people intentionally mask their partisan preferences. Most importantly, breaking with decades of previous research, the book argues that independents are highly politically consequential. The same motivations that lead people to identify as independent also diminish their willingness to engage in the types of political action that sustain the grassroots movements of American politics.

Samara Klar is an Assistant Professor of Political Science at the University of Arizona. She studies how individuals' social surroundings and personal identities influence their political attitudes and behaviors. Her work has been published in journals including the *American Journal of Political Science*, the *Journal of Politics*, and *Public Opinion Quarterly*, and has been supported by the National Science Foundation. She has been recognized with a Distinguished Junior Scholar award in political psychology from the American Political Science Association and a Burns Roper Fellowship from the American Association of Public Opinion Research. Klar earned a Ph.D. in political science from Northwestern University and also holds degrees from Columbia University and McGill University.

Yanna Krupnikov is an Assistant Professor of Political Science at Stony Brook University. Her research focuses on the way political communication affects public opinion and the way people express their political opinions through political actions. Krupnikov's work has been published in *American Journal of Political Science*, *Political Behavior*, and *Political Communication*, and has been supported by the National Science Foundation. She is a winner of the Midwest Political Science Association's Robert Durr Award and the award for Best Paper by an Emerging Scholar. Krupnikov earned a Ph.D. in political science from the University of Michigan and also holds degrees from the University of Toronto and Brandeis University.





Independent Politics

How American Disdain for Parties Leads to Political Inaction

SAMARA KLAR

University of Arizona

YANNA KRUPNIKOV

Stony Brook University





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781316500637

© Samara Klar and Yanna Krupnikov 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Names: Klar, Samara, author. | Krupnikov, Yanna, author.

Title: Independent politics: how American disdain for parties leads to political inaction / Samara Klar, University of Arizona; Yanna Krupnikov, Stony Brook University.

Description: New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2016. | Includes bibliographical references.

Identifiers: LCCN 2015031027 | ISBN 9781107134461 (hbk.) | ISBN 9781316500637 (pbk.)
Subjects: LCSH: Party affiliation – United States. | Political parties – United States. | Political culture – United States. | Mass media – Political aspects – United States. | Polarization (Social sciences) – United States. | United States – Politics and government – 21st century.

Classification: LCC JK2271 .K53 2016 | DDC 324.0973-DC23 LC record available at http://lccn.loc.gov/2015031027

ISBN 978-1-107-13446-1 Hardback ISBN 978-1-316-50063-7 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

List of Figures		page vii
Lis	st of Tables	ix
Acknowledgments		xi
Ι	Independents in Name Only	I
2	Inside the Mind of an Independent Voter in America	15
3	How Do You Like Me Now? The Desirability of Political Independence	38
4	Everybody Hates Partisans	61
5	Partisanship and Political Participation	83
6	Undercover Partisans in America	107
7	The Myth of Partisan Compromise	127
8	The Partisan Underground in an Era of Polarization	150
Αţ	pendix	165
References		183
Index		197





Figures

I.I	Reasons Why People Select Independent	page 6
2.1	Rate of Partisan Disagreement in Presidential Debates	29
2.2	Reporting on Independent Voters in The New York Times	31
2.3	Percentage of Leaning Independents versus Coverage of	
	Polarization	32
3.1	Percentage Identifying as Leaning Independent, Pure	
	Independent, and Strong Partisan to Make the Best or	
	Worst Impression	42
3.2	Influence of Presidential Debate on Using Partisanship to	
	Make Impressions	45
3.3	Identification and Partisan Importance by Condition and	
	Self-Monitoring	51
3.4	Changes in Issue Positions as a Function of Partisan	
	Disagreement	54
	Chosen Image Types by Group	68
•	Treatment and Willingness to Live in Neighborhood	74
	Ratings of Partisan and Independent Faces	78
5.1	Effect of Disagreement Invitation on Joining Social	
	Network	92
5.2	Effect of Disagreement Invitation on Mentions of	
	Partisanship and Candidates	93
5.3	Effect of Disagreement Invitation on Nonpolitical	
	Information	94
	Sticker Selection Available to Participants	97
	Effect of Disagreement Message on Partisan Sticker Display	100
6.1	Effect of Self-Monitoring on Identifying as a Partisan or as	
	an Independent	114

vii



viii		Figures
6.2	Shifts toward Independent Label Pre– and Post–2008	
	Debate	118
_	Likelihood of Reporting an Independent Discussion Partner Effect of Self-Monitoring on Partisan and Nonpartisan	119
	Discussion	123
7.1	Prioritization of Compromise and Fighting, Leaning	
	Independents	139
,	Change in Party Evaluations Relative to the Control Group Prioritization of Compromise and Fighting, High	140
	Self-Monitors	141
7.4	Change in Party Evaluations Relative to the Baseline	
	Control Group	143
7.5	Prioritization of Compromise and Fighting, Pure	
	Independents	144
7.6	Comparison of Compromising and Not Compromising	146
8.1	Income and Education over Time (1980–2013)	158



Tables

I.I	Demographic Characteristics of Independents	
	and Partisans	page 4
4. I	Sample Images and Codes for Study 4.2	66
4.2	Conditions for Neighborhood Study	72
4.3	Neighborhood Quality Ratings by Information	
	and Sign Type	73
5.1	Effect of Partisan Disagreement on Political Action	103
A1.1	All Experimental Studies	166
A1.2	All Surveys	167
A1.3	Samples Comparison, National Adult	169
A1.4	Samples Comparison, Internet Samples	170
A1.5	Samples Comparison, Face-to-Face Samples	172
A7.1	Treatments for Compromise Study (Study 7.1)	178





Acknowledgments

In a way, this book stands as a testament to the importance of attending academic conferences – even conferences that take place in the middle of a particularly hot and humid Chicago summer. At the annual meeting of the International Society of Political Psychology in 2012, Samara presented work on political independents. At the very same meeting, Yanna presented work on social desirability. We were two young academics who knew of each other, but just barely. After our panel, we reintroduced ourselves to one another and casually chatted about our respective projects. Before we knew it, we had hit upon an intriguing idea: Could it really be possible that Americans believe it socially desirable to tell other people that they are politically independent?

This book is also a testament to the dangers of casually chatting with people at academic conferences, as this particular conversation led to a project that would consume the next several years of our lives.

After our first set of experimental results demonstrated that people did find political independence to be socially desirable, we realized that our seemingly simple question was much more complicated. What followed was a quest to understand what it is about American politics that drives people to hide their partisan identities and what the implications of these "undercover partisans" are for political parties, political action, and representation. Some fourteen original experiments, many written pages, and (approximately) thousands of e-mails, numerous phone calls, and several video chats later, our casual chitchat has led to this book. And our distant acquaintanceship has led to a fulfilling coauthorship and great friendship that will last for many years to come.

We loved working on this project, in large part because of the countless individuals who made it possible. In what follows we want to take the opportunity to thank everyone who generously gave us their time and thoughts. We consider ourselves very lucky to have the support of these individuals.

хi



xii Acknowledgments

We would first like to thank David Magleby, an author of the groundbreaking book on independents that provides the strong base for our own work. It was a conversation with David that allowed us to pinpoint precisely what was important about our idea. David's ability to put our project into perspective was encouraging and his genuine enthusiasm was infectious. We are not sure if David knows how pivotal his feedback was to our ability to complete this book, so we are thrilled for this (additional) opportunity to thank him.

There are not enough words to properly thank Jamie Druckman. Jamie helped us navigate almost every stage of the book-writing process. We are tremendously thankful for Jamie's kindness, patience, and willingness to answer the countless questions we sent his way, no matter how naïve or complicated they might have been. We wish we could say that we'll leave Jamie alone now that the book is published but, unfortunately for him, we make no such promise.

We are grateful to Diana Mutz for providing extremely helpful advice about the process of writing a book and for generously relieving our anxieties with great food, conversation, and wine. We are grateful to Howie Lavine for sharing his expertise on self-monitoring and for his enthusiastic encouragement, to Michael Neblo for helping us consider the overall structure and narrative of the book, to Adam Berinsky for his suggestions about the framing of our argument, and to Ted Brader (upon whom we thrust the roles of guru and life-coach) for his patient support and brilliant advice.

During the 2014 meeting of the American Political Science Association, the following scholars graciously agreed to meet with us and offered us extremely valuable feedback on this project: Alan Abramowitz, Marc Hetherington, Shanto Iyengar, Joanne Miller, Steve Nicholson, and Markus Prior. These meetings were crucial to the completion of the manuscript.

Reading drafts (of anything) is difficult and time-consuming, so we are forever indebted to the people who selflessly put aside their own important projects so they could read (often multiple!) drafts of our chapters. Adam Seth Levine not only read our chapters and offered us thoughtful, careful feedback but also answered (even the most minor) questions. We are grateful not only for his help as a scholar but also for his friendship. It was Jon Caverley who initially suggested that this project could be a book. Proving that no good deed goes unpunished, Jon subsequently read our draft chapters. We are grateful not only for Jon's feedback but also for his assurance that we could actually write a book.

Critical to this book are our theoretical arguments – Chapter 2 – and we are lucky to have had Chris Weber read a draft of this particular chapter. Chris's thoughtful, detailed comments made this chapter tremendously better.

We have been lucky to surround ourselves with a true dream team of academic friends and mentors. We would like to thank the following people who contributed to various parts of this book, be it with incisive feedback, helpful data, or much-needed encouragement: Nichole Bauer, Matthew Baum, Emily



Acknowledgments xiii

Beaulieu, Amber Boydstun, John Bullock, Sarah Cho, Jon Cohen, Morris Fiorina, Dan Galvin, Eric Groenendyk, Laurel Harbridge, Sunshine Hillygus, Leonie Huddy, Josh Kertzer, Matt Lebo, Milton Lodge, Joshua Meyer-Gutbrod, Brint Milward, Irfan Nooruddin, Spencer Piston, Jeff Segal, Chuck Shipan, John Sides, Rune Slothuus, Paul Sniderman, Mark Snyder, Stuart Soroka, and Chad Westerland.

We are grateful to those who attended presentations of various elements of this book and gave us helpful feedback: specifically, attendees at Northwestern University's Institute for Policy Research, Cornell University's Department of Government, the University of Arizona's Works in Progress Workshop, and Harvard University's Working Group in Political Psychology and at the annual meetings of the American Political Science Association, the Midwest Political Science Association, the International Society of Political Psychology, and the American Association of Public Opinion Research.

We are fortunate to have the support of the political science departments at Northwestern University, the University of Arizona, and Stony Brook University. We are grateful to these institutions for providing us with brilliant colleagues to consult for advice, generous resources to support our research, and great places to go to work each morning. Of course, we could not have completed this project without excellent research assistants: Kristen Ditsch (who conducted some background research well before the start of the project), Blake Findley, Eli Johnson, Janesh Rahlan, Jamie Welch, Breanna Wright, and Sara Yeganeh. Thanks also to the terrific students in Samara's "Methods of Political Inquiry" class in the spring semester of 2014 for their help with data collection.

Critical to this process was our editor at Cambridge University Press, Robert Dreesen, and the two very helpful reviewers who read our manuscript. We thank Robert for his enthusiasm, time, and care with this manuscript. We also owe sincere thanks to Brianda Reyes for her expert guidance throughout the production of this book.

Finally, we are deeply grateful to the people who support us the most. Samara would like to thank her parents, Lewis and Irene Klar, for their inspiring intellectual curiosity, their cheerful encouragement, and their love. They read many early drafts of this manuscript and without them many typos would surely remain. Samara thanks her loving and lovable family, Noah, Emma, Delilah, and Isaac, and Ilan, Noa, Yael, and Eitan, for all of their support. Most of all, she is grateful every minute to Yotam Shmargad for thinking so deeply about her work (and eagerly discussing it for hours during long hot walks in the summer), for sharing with her his brilliant wisdom and his affectionate love, and for putting their happiness before everything.

Yanna thanks her parents, Vulf and Svetlana Krupnikov. Most of what she has accomplished in life Yanna owes to their tremendous sacrifices, and this book is just one more example of something that would have been impossible without their love and encouragement. Yanna also thanks her grandparents, Khaim and Eugenia Kushkuley, for their support. Finally, she thanks John Barry



xiv

Acknowledgments

Ryan who read multiple (full) drafts of the manuscript and encouraged her to write the strongest version of the argument. Yanna also thanks John for his love, sharp sense of humor, excellent taste in music, and never running out of intelligent things to say about the world (she also forgives him for winning every board game they have ever played together).

In short, we could not have completed this project without these aforementioned individuals. We sincerely thank each one.