

THE MEDIEVAL PRESENCE IN MODERNIST LITERATURE

Jonathan Ullyot's *The Medieval Presence in Modernist Literature* rethinks the influence that early medieval studies and Grail narratives had on modernist literature. Through examining several canonical works, from Henry James's *The Golden Bowl* to Samuel Beckett's *Molloy*, Ullyot argues that these texts serve as a continuation of the Grail legend inspired by medieval scholarship of the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Rather than adapt the story of the Grail, modernist writers intentionally fail to make the Grail myth cohere, thus critiquing the way a literary work establishes its authority by alluding to previous traditions. The quest to fail is a modernist ethics often misconceived as a pessimistic response to the collapse of traditional humanism. The writings of James, Eliot, Kafka, Céline, and Beckett posit that the possibility of redemption presents itself only when hope has finally been abandoned.

JONATHAN ULLYOT is a lecturer in Humanities at the University of Chicago. His articles have appeared in journals such as *Modern Philology, Comparative Literature*, and *German Quarterly*.





THE MEDIEVAL PRESENCE IN MODERNIST LITERATURE

The Quest to Fail

JONATHAN ULLYOT

University of Chicago





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107131484

© Jonathan Ullyot 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

Printed in the United States of America by Sheridan Books, Inc.

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Ullyot, Jonathan.

The medieval presence in modernist literature : the quest to fail / Jonathan Ullyot, University of Chicago.

New York: Cambridge University Press, 2015. LCCN 2015021267 | ISBN 9781107131484 (hardback)

LCSH: European prose literature – 19th century – History and criticism. | European prose literature – 20th century – History and criticism. | Failure (Psychology) in literature. |

Civilization, Medieval – Influence. | Modernism (Literature) – Europe

LCC PN3352.F35 U55 2015 | DDC 809/.93358207–dc23 LC record available at http://lccn.loc.gov/2015021267

ısвn 978-1-107-13148-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

Acknowledgments		page vii
	Introduction: Failure aesthetics and the modernist quest narrative	I
I	The Golden Bowl and the Holy Grail	18
2	Jessie Weston and the Mythical Method of The Waste Land	47
3	Kafka's Grail Castle	82
4	Céline's Knight of the Apocalypse	106
5	Molloy or Le Conte du Graal	131
	Conclusion: Reading Failure	159
Να	Notes	
Bibliography		199
Index		211





Acknowledgments

Many people have contributed to this study. Françoise Meltzer, Eric Santner, and David Wellbery helped to shape my argument through plenty of false starts. Others who offered substantial criticism include Chris Ackerley, Katarzyna Bartoszynska, Bill Brown, Maud Ellmann, Boris Maslov, Lisa Ruddick, James Rolleston, Anthony Uhlmann, and the participants of the Poetry and Poetics Workshop and the Modernisms Workshop at the University of Chicago. Countless others at the University of Chicago have inspired and encouraged me. An early version of Chapter 3 appeared in *German Quarterly* 83.4 (November 2010), and an early version of Chapter 5 appeared in *Modern Philology* 108.4 (May 2011). I gratefully acknowledge permission to reprint this material.