

Democratization from Above

The Logic of Local Democracy in the Developing World

Democratization from Above seeks to explain why some national and state governments in the developing world introduce reforms to make local governance more democratic while others neglect or actively undermine democracy at local levels of government. The study challenges conventional wisdom that local democratization is implemented as a means of granting more autonomy to local actors. Instead, Anjali Bohlken argues that local democratization offers higher level government elites who lack control over party organizational networks an alternative means of increasing the effectiveness of local intermediaries on whom these elites rely to mobilize political support. The book starts with a focus on India and uses original data, and a combination of qualitative and quantitative evidence, to show support for the argument. The study then relies on an original cross-national dataset to show how the argument helps explain the variation in the implementation of local democratization reforms across the developing world.

Anjali Thomas Bohlken is an assistant professor in the department of political science at the University of British Columbia. She received her Ph.D. from New York University in 2010. Bohlken specializes in comparative politics and political economy with an emphasis on India.





Democratization from Above

The Logic of Local Democracy in the Developing World

ANJALI THOMAS BOHLKEN

University of British Columbia





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107128873

© Anjali Thomas Bohlken 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-107-12887-3 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For Neev





Contents

List of Figures		page x	
Lis	st of T	ables	xii
Acknowledgments		XV	
I	The	Puzzle of "Democratization from Above"	I
	I.I	Definition of Local Democratization	4
	1.2	Common View 1: Local Democratization as an Extension of National Democratization	5
	1.3	Common View 2: Local Democratization as a Form of	5
	1.5	Decentralization	11
	1.4	The Logic of Local Democratization – A Sketch of the	11
	.,	Argument	16
	1.5	The Puzzle of Local Democratization in India	19
	1.6	Overview of the Book	2.2
2	The	Strategic Logic of Local Democratization	25
	2.1	Local Democratization as a Solution to an Information	
		Problem	26
	2.2	Other Decision-Makers beyond the Chief Executive	45
	2.3	Factors Shaping the Competition within the Party	47
	2.4	, ,	49
	2.5	Conclusion and Scope Conditions	53
	2.6	Appendix	54
3	Local Intermediaries, Local Democratization, and Political Party		
		anizations in India	58
	3.I	A Primer on the Indian Political Context	59
	3.2	The Importance of Local Intermediaries in India	60
			vii



viii Contents

	3.3	Governmental and Organizational Power within Indian	
		Parties	68
	3.4	Governmental Discretion over State Resources	75
	3.5	State's Discretion in the Implementation of Local	
		Democratization	78
	3.6	Conclusion	81
4	"Co	onstitutionalizing" Local Democracy: Explaining the 1993	
		ional Constitutional Amendments	83
	4.1	Background to the 1993 Constitutional Amendments	85
	4.2	Explaining the 1993 Constitutional Amendments: A	
		Comparative Approach	87
	4.3	Explaining the 1993 Constitutional Amendments: The Logic of Process Tracing	TO 4
	1 1	Alternative Explanations	104
		Conclusion	115 120
_	Intr	a-party Competition and Local Democracy in the Indian	
5		es: A Statistical Analysis	121
	5.I	Definition and Operationalization	121
	_	Evidence	131
		Conclusion	156
			130
6		a-party Competition and Local Governance Reform in Kerala	
		Tamil Nadu	163
		Explaining Variation across Cases	165
		Within-Case Analyses	168
	6.3	Conclusion	187
7		al Politicians as Intermediaries: The Effect of Village-Level	
		ticians on State-Level Elections	188
	7 . 1	Local Politicians as Vote Mobilizers for Higher-Level	
		Politicians	190
	,	Grassroots Institutions in Kerala	192
		Data	196
		Methodology	199
		Results	200
	7.6	Alternative Explanations	207
	7.7	Conclusion	209
	7.8	Appendix	209
8		Logic of Local Democratization across the Developing	
	Wo		213
	8.1	Intra-party Competition and Local Democratization	
	0	Reforms	214
	8.2	Local Democratization Reforms in Authoritarian Regimes	232
	8.3	Conclusion	243



Contents	ix
Implications of "Democratization from Above" 9.1 Democracy, Decentralization, and Path-Dependence – The	244
Conventional Wisdom	245
9.2 Policy Implications	247
Data Appendix: Dataset on Local Democratization Reforms in the Developing World	249
1 0	12
liography	257
Index	
,	Implications of "Democratization from Above" 9.1 Democracy, Decentralization, and Path-Dependence – The Conventional Wisdom 9.2 Policy Implications Data Appendix: Dataset on Local Democratization Reforms in the Developing World



Figures

I.I	Patterns of Local Democracy in the Developing World	page 7
1.2	Local Democratization Reforms in the Developing World,	
	1945-2010	8
1.3	Reversals of Local Democratization in the Developing World,	
	1945-2010	10
2.1	Summary of the Argument	34
2.2	Intra-party Competition and the Incentive to Implement Local	
	Democratization: Game Tree	41
2.3	Chief Executive Facing Strong Intra-party Competition in the	
	Absence of Local Democratization	42
2.4	Chief Executive Facing Strong Intra-party Competition Once	
	Local Democratization Is Implemented	43
4.1	Percentage of Votes and Seats Received by the Congress Party	
	at the National Level – 1951–1991	98
4.2	Decrease in Proportion of Congress-Ruled States under Rajiv	
	Gandhi and Narasimha Rao	101
5.1	Variation in LocalDemocracy over Time	127
5.2	Theoretical Predictions - Competition for Control over the	
	Party Organization and Local Democratization	131
5.3	Chief Minister Dominance of Party Leadership in State Parties	
	in India, 1970–2001	132
5.4	Divided and Unified State Parties in India, 1970–2001	134
5.5	Party Boss Experience in the Indian National Congress,	
	1970–2001	135
5.6	Correlation between CMPartyDominance and CJS Measure of	
	Organization	137
5.7	Correlation between PartyBossTenure in a Unified Party and	
	CIS Measure of Organization	137

X



	List of Figures	xi
5.8	Correlation between <i>PartyBossTenure</i> in a Divided Party and	
	CJS Measure of Organization	138
5.9	Marginal Effect of Divided Party Conditional on	_
	PartyBossTenure	144
5.10	Interrupted Time Series Analysis: Assam	148
7.1	Structure of Local Government in India	197
7.2	The Effect of CPM Village Incumbents on CPM State Vote	
	Share Conditional on Local Electoral Performance, 2000–2001	202
7.3	The Effect of CPM Village Incumbents Conditional on	
	Governing Status of the CPM	204



Tables

4.I	Comparative Analysis of Four Congress Prime Ministers	page 89
5.1	The Effect of Intra-party Competition on Local	
	Democratization	143
5.2	The Effect of Intra-party Competition on Local	
-	Democratization (with Congress Party Dummy)	147
5.3	The Effect of Intra-party Competition on Local	• *
	Democratization (Dropping the Emergency Period)	150
5.4	The Effect of Intra-party Competition on Local	-
	Democratization: Controlling for CJS Measure	151
5.5	The Effect of Intra-party Competition on Local	,
5 5	Democratization: National Party Bosses	153
5.6	The Effect of Intra-party Competition on Local	33
,	Democratization: Dynastic Parties	154
5.7	The Effect of Intra-party Competition on Local	51
5 /	Democratization: Conditional on Inter-party Electoral	
	Competition	155
5.8	Descriptive Statistics	157
6.1	Kerala and Tamil Nadu: Intra-party Competition and Local	37
	Government Reforms	165
6.2	Kerala: Results of Local Elections, 1990–2000	168
7 . I	Research Design	194
7.2	The Effect of CPM GP Village Incumbents on CPM State	/ 1
,	Vote Share Conditional on CPM Local Electoral Performance,	
	2000-2001	201
7.3	The Effect of CPM GP Village Incumbents on CPM State	
, - 5	Vote Share Conditional on CPM Local Electoral Performance,	
	2005-2006	203
7.4	State and Local Electoral Performance in Kerala: Descriptive	- 7
, ·T	Statistics	209
		/

xii



	List of Tables	xiii
7.5	Covariate Balance between GP Constituencies with CPM Local Incumbent and Without	210
7.6	Effect of Interaction of CPM Local Incumbent and Local Vote	210
/ . 0	Share on Placebo Outcomes	210
7.7	The Effect of CPM Local Vote Share on CPM State Vote Share,	
, ,	2000–2001 (Aggregate Results)	211
7.8	The Effect of Congress Village Incumbents on Congress Party	
	Candidates' Electoral Success in State Elections, 2000–2001	211
7.9	The Effect of Congress Village Incumbents on Congress Party	
	Candidates' Electoral Success in State Elections: 2005–2006	212
8.1	Effect of Intra-party Competition on Local Democratization:	
	Probit Analysis	230
8.2	Effect of Intra-party Competition on Local Democratization:	
	Alternative Measures of Executive Party Dominance	231
8.3	Effect of Dominant Party Regimes on Local Democratization	
	in Authoritarian Regimes	242
10.1	Dataset on Local Democratization Reforms in the Developing	
	World: List of Country-Years with One or More Subnational	
	Reforms	250





Acknowledgments

This book is the culmination of a long intellectual journey that began in 2008. Early that year, I visited the state of Kerala with the goal of trying to understand the recent developmental successes in the state. Virtually every conversation I had, whether with a politician or bureaucrat or citizen, highlighted in some way the excitement around a set of reforms known as the People's Plan that had greatly strengthened grassroots democracy in the state and expanded the scope of participatory decision-making. While there were a number of ongoing studies on the effectiveness of these reforms, my attention was drawn to the puzzling question of why the state government of Kerala chose to implement these reforms at that particular moment. As I explored further, none of the conventional or immediately obvious explanations seemed to hold much weight, and so began my quest for unraveling the puzzle of why governments at higher levels might sometimes choose to strengthen democracy at the local level. This puzzle formed the basis of my doctoral dissertation that I completed while at NYU and now forms the basis of the current book.

From the very beginning stages of this research enterprise, there have been many people who have contributed a great deal to this project. First and foremost, the politics department at NYU provided me with a wonderful set of mentors and colleagues to whom I am very grateful. Kanchan Chandra and David Stasavage, who served as my dissertation committee cochairs, gave their wholehearted support to this project despite the fact that it entailed a departure from my original plans. Their advice and insights along the way not only helped improve the project but also have contributed immensely to my growth as a scholar. Neal Beck and Adam Przeworski have also been excellent mentors. Neal has been a consistent source of encouragement and support throughout my graduate school career and beyond. Working with Adam has been inspiring and the guidance and training I have received from him continue to have a tremendous impact on my scholarship. I am also very grateful to several



xvi

Acknowledgments

other faculty members in the Department of Politics at NYU – especially Pat Egan, Sandy Gordon, Cathy Hafer, Dimitri Landa, Jonathan Nagler, Shanker Satyanath, Alastair Smith, Josh Tucker, and Leonard Wantchekon – who have generously offered their help, insights, and guidance at various stages of this project. This project would also not have been possible without the help of my fellow graduate students at NYU, especially Ana Bracic, Simon Chauchard, Robin Harding, Kristin Michelitch, and Yael Zeira, who provided excellent feedback particularly during the early stages of this project. I am grateful especially to Yael Zeira for reading through multiple chapter drafts as the project continued to develop.

Outside NYU, my dissertation significantly benefited from conversations with and feedback from Rikhil Bhaynani, Phil Keefer, Irfan Nooruddin, and Steven Wilkinson as well as from panelists at the 2009 meeting of the Midwest Political Science Association. In addition, during the course of my fieldwork at various stages of the project, I learned a great deal from a number of people who gave generously of their time and expertise including V.K. Agarwal, Akbar Ahmed, Mani Shanker Aiyar, Yamini Aiyar, Nilotpal Basu, Dilip Kumar, Pramod Kumar, T.R. Raghunandan, Tapas Sen, Manish Tewari, Usha Titus, P.V. Unnikrishnan, and S.M. Vijavanand and several others who shared their insights and stories either about their political careers or about how politics shapes their everyday lives. I am thankful also to the various individuals at the Congress party headquarters, the BJP party headquarters, the CPM party headquarters and the archival department at Teen Murti Bhavan who helped facilitate my access to party records. I appreciate the help of Rumi Aijaz, Gautam Philip, Rajdeep Sardesai, and Supriya Sule for providing me with very helpful introductions that made my fieldwork possible. Anil Kumar was a knowledgeable guide and translator who helped me navigate my way through rural Kerala and Manish Vaid and Satish Kumar Tezaa did the same in rural UP. I want to thank especially Shivani and Dippy Somany and Biba and Brian Saxton who hosted me during my trips to Delhi to conduct fieldwork. My fieldwork was made possible through grants from the Department of Politics at NYU and through research funding from UBC.

While this book began as my doctoral dissertation at NYU, it has undergone significant changes since then that have been made possible in large part due to the support of my colleagues at UBC. I have particularly benefited from sustained conversations with Alan Jacobs whose feedback has played a tremendous role in helping me develop this project beyond the dissertation. His feedback has helped me significantly improve various parts of the book including the theory and the qualitative chapters. Chris Kam has also given generously of his time and his feedback has especially helped me improve the formal model that forms the heart of the theory chapter. I am also thankful to Paul Quirk and Mark Warren who provided excellent editorial comments on the introductory chapter and to Nathan Allen for his insightful feedback on the Indonesia case study. Other colleagues who provided very helpful advice



Acknowledgments

xvii

and support on the project at various stages include Ken Carty, Gyung-Ho Jeong, Richard Johnston, Xiaojun Li, Ben Nyblade, Lisa Sundstrom, and John Wood. Alexandra Fetisova, Jasmin Thomas, and Klaudia Wegschaider provided invaluable research assistance on the cross-national dataset.

Even beyond his substantive feedback on the project, I am especially grateful to Richard Johnston for his encouragement and support in making it possible for me to organize an all-day workshop for the book at UBC which, in turn, has improved and benefited this research considerably. At the book workshop in October 2013, Pradeep Chhibber, Miriam Golden, Allen Hicken, Jonathan Rodden, and Steven Wilkinson joined several of my UBC colleagues in providing insightful and in-depth chapter-by-chapter commentary that helped me improve and sharpen almost every aspect of the research. Outside of this workshop, participants at the UC Berkeley South Asia Collogiuum, especially Jennifer Bussell, Pradeep Chhibber, and Thad Dunning, provided valuable comments on one of the chapters. Jefferey Sellers and other participants at a panel at the 2014 APSA Annual Meeting provided helpful suggestions on another paper that formed the basis of the cross-national chapter. I am grateful to three anonymous reviewers for detailed feedback and to Robert Dreesen at Cambridge University Press for his guidance, openness, and responsiveness throughout the review process.

Finally, I am grateful to all my family and friends, far and near, for all the ways they have supported me. My husband Craig especially has always believed in me and done everything he could to help me achieve my goals. I am also tremendously thankful to my mother Sheba for always being there for me and for giving me her unconditional support without me even having to ask and to my sister Nandana who has been a wonderful sounding board. And, last but not least, my greatest joy has been my son Neev. I dedicate this book to him as a small way of expressing my gratitude for him and for his sweet, funny, and loving personality that has kept me smiling every day.