

Index

- Anti Terrorism, Crime and Security Act (ATCSA) 2001 58, 108, 116, 118, 119, 135, 137 n41, 163, 164, 165, 173
- ASEAN
- Agreement on Disaster Management and Emergency Response (AADMER) 202–208, 203
 - ASEAN way, the 197 n79, 199 n83, 208, 214–216
 - history of regional crisis management 199–202
 - history of regional disaster management 202–204
 - real time mobilization in Nargis 204–209
 - lessons learned in Nargis 209–213
- Article 4 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) 82, 67, 101–102 (the requirement of imminence and temporariness) 226
- Article 15 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) 76, 81, 97, 108, 110–112 (ECHR deference to local authorities); *see also* emergency definitions (in ECHR Article 15) and public emergency
- Belmarsh Case, The (A and Others vs. Secretary of State for the Home Department (2004)) 57–60, 76–78, 136, 91–119 (a window to definitions)
- Bingham, Lord 77 (identifying the emergency); 83 (misgivings); 84 (on ‘strictly required’); 86, 114 (on margins of appreciation); 105, 109 (on evidence); 113–114 (on deference)
- Hale, Baroness 115 (on deference); 116 (on standards of suspicion); 119
- Hoffmann, Lord 59, 90–91, 94–96, 105 (competing threat); 102–103 (competing definitions); 112 (local capabilities)
- Hope, Lord 77, 83 (doubts); 84, 96 (competing threats); 106–107 (combined method of identification); 114 (deference)
- Nicols, Lord 112, 115–116 (on deference)
- Rodger, Lord 83 n74, 96 (hesitation); 106 (equality of threat); 112, 115–116 (on deference)
- Scott, Lord 83 (doubts); 95–96 (competing threat)
- Walker, Lord 89–90 (explaining the gap); 96 (competing threat); 103–104 (methods of identification)
- Bhagat Singh Case, The 70–72
- Blackstone, William 34, 44, 49–50, 222, 223
- Boumediene vs. Bush 132
- Bush administration 130, 132–133, 140 (lawyers); 155, 280
- Chahal vs. UK (1997) 79, 118–119 (post-Chahal institutions); 135
- Cole, David 23, 147 (on Goldsmith); 224 (long term effects of judicial review)
- Constant, Benjamin 223–224
- containment
- dialectic of 39–40, 259, 282–283
 - problem(s) of 6–8, 12, 17–21, 24, 25, 29, 48
 - process of 280–281
 - theories of *see* emergency government models

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-12384-7 - Emergencies in Public Law: The Legal Politics of Containment

Karin Loevy

Index

[More information](#)

320

Index

- deference *see* judicial deference
 Dicey, Albert Venn 50–51
 dictatorship *see* emergency mechanisms
 (dictatorship), *see also* emergency
 government models (neo-Roman model
 of dictatorship)
 Dyzenhaus, David 22–23 n15 and n17,
 24–25 n19, 28–32, 268–270 (the legality
 model); 40, 128 (on rule of law furniture);
 77 (on *Belmarsh*); 110 (on deference)

 emergency definitions 8–9, 51, *see also* the
 emergency doctrine
 in the *Belmarsh* case 91–119
 in disaster studies 60–65
 in early debates about the Great Depression
 292–295
 in ECHR Article 15 76, 92, 96–103, 104,
 110–112 (in Article 15 jurisprudence);
 117–121
 in legal theory 20–21 n9, 66–67 (Schmitt);
 67–70 (Gross and N’i Aol’ain); 70–72
 (Baghat Singh); 72–73 (Hamilton); 73–76
 (Rossiter)
 problem of 57–60, 68, 69, 78, 92, 120, 125
 politics of 60, 91–92, 117, 120, 313
 “public emergency” *see* public emergency
 emergency doctrine, the 6–8, 15, 48–53
 emergency government, models
 extralegal model of prerogative 6–7,
 19–20 n7 (Jeffersonian); 22, 25–28, 39–40
 (the background theory); 43–47 (in the
 classic mechanisms); 128 n8 (institutional
 framework); 222–223 (and exceptional
 time); 262–264, 271, 273, 275, 276–277,
 280 (continuity and change)
 legality model 6–7, 23, 28–32, 40 (the
 background theory); 43–47 (in the classic
 mechanisms); 128 n8 (institutional
 framework); 222–224 (and exceptional
 time); 268–271, 273, 275, 278–280, 290
 (continuity and change)
 neo-Roman model of dictatorship 6–7,
 19–20 n7 (Hamiltonian); 33–40 (the
 background theory); 42–47 (in the classic
 mechanisms); 128 n8 (institutional
 framework); 261, 265–267, 277–278
 (continuity and change)
 emergency government, mechanisms
 dictatorship 72–74, 126 (constitutional d’);
 7–8, 33–35, 37, 41–43, 48–49, 221, 265–267
 (Roman d’); 278–280 (in the U.S.
 executive); 36 (sovereign d’)
 habeas corpus suspension acts 34 n70,
 223 n11 (Blackstone), 43–44 (classic
 mechanism); 49–51 (Dicey); 222
 (temporality); 311 (Lincoln)
 martial law 43–44, 48, 50–51 (Dicey); 75
 (Rossiter); 98 (the Greek case); 222
 (temporality)
 state of siege 41, 45–46, 48–49, 222
 (temporality)
 state of exception 41–42, 46–47, 49 n33 (in
 Article 48 of the Weimer Constitution)
 emergency paradigm, the *see* emergency
 doctrine, the
 exception
 narrative of 3, 8, 53, 55
 norm and 3–5 (in contemporary critic); 12
 (in evaluating change); 17–18, 37
 (Schmitt); 22 (in the extralegal model); 32
 (in the legality model); 33, 39 (in the
 neo-Roman model)
 politics of 4, 243
 state of *see* emergency government,
 mechanisms (state of exception)
 exceptional
 events 39–40 (Machiavelli); 59, 66 n23
 (hazard e’; disaster e’); 231, 265, 285, 311
 powers 3, 28 (extralegal model); 35 n73
 (neo-Roman model); 128, 221–222
 (temporality)
 time 11, 218–221, 222–223 (models); 224–225
 (contemporary critic of); 225–231 (ticking
 time); 232, 250, 243, 256 (folded-in) *see*
 also ticking time bomb
 extralegal model *see* emergency government,
 models (extralegal model of prerogative)

 Feldman, David 163 n162, 163 n163, 166, 167
 (on the JCHR function); 169 n197 (on the
 soft power approach of JCHR);
 170 n202–203 (law as a moralised lever),
 172 (on non-harmony in human rights
 dialogue)
 Feldman, Leonard 231 n47
 Frette vs. France 81

 Goldsmith, Jack 1, 146, 147, 148 (as insider);
 150, 151 (on OLC function and culture);
 152, 153 (on OLC as hard law maker);
 156–157 (on OLC ideology of executive

- supremacy); 151, 157–159 (on hyper-legalization); 276–277 (on post 9/11 executive power expansion), 280, 309
- Greek case, *The* 98–100
- Gross, Oren 4, 22–23, 24–25 n19, 25–28 (the extralegal model); 29 (on the legality model); 127 n6, 228 n35, (on torture); 222 (on exceptional time)
- with Fionnuala Ní Aoláin 25 (the extralegal model); 67–71, 73–75 (on definitions); 179–180 n8 (on sovereign control)
- habeas corpus suspension acts *see* emergency mechanisms (habeas corpus suspension acts)
- Hamdi vs. Rumsfeld 133 n23
- Hamilton, Alexander 19, 35 n73, 69, 72–73
- Hobbes, Thomas 30
- Hoffmann, Leonard *see* Belmarsh Case, *The* (Hoffmann, Lord)
- Honig, Bonnie 4
- Hoover, Herbert 282, 288–289, 294 (in great depression response); 295–298 (reputation in managing past disasters); 301
- Human Rights Act (1998) 133–137, 159, 161–162 n154 and 156 (section 19); 166, 167 (section 10); 160 n146, 168, 171 (model of dialogue)
- Human Rights Watch 166 n182, 181 n12, 183 n18
- Hunt, Murray 162 n157 and n158, 163 n163, 166, 170 n204, 173 n212
- International Crisis Group (ICG) 178 n3, 197 n77, 198 n81
- Joint Committee on Human Rights (JCHR) 83, 87, 101, 108, 117 (on identifying a “public emergency”); 122, 123, 125, 138, 139, 159–163 (overview); 141–142 (institutional reform debates); 174–175, 313, 315
- function 166–169
- ideology 171–174
- Insiders *see* Feldman David, Murray
- Hunt, Lester Anthony
- post 9/11 response 163–166
- soft power approach to legality 169–171
- judicial deference 9, 32 n60, 57, 60, 76, 77, 80, 81, 88–90 (levels of scrutiny in Belmarsh); 89 (Lord Walker); 90 (Lord Hoffman); 110 (deference and the requirement of an informed process); 112 (alternative standards for *d'*); 113–114 (Lord Bingham’s functionally bounded deference); 114 (Lord Hope’s contextual standard); 115 (Baroness Hale acknowledged imperfection); 115–116 n112 (Lord Rodgers’ conceptual split); 115–116 n112 (Lord Nicols’ traditional deference); 113 (Wednesbury approach); 23 n18 (Dyzenhaus’ deference as respect jurisdiction)
- access to disaster affected 181–183 (the question of); 187–190 (professional and IDRL); 190–193 (global community); 193–196 (local community); 196–217 (regional intervention, *see also* ASEAN, lessons learned in Nargis)
- claims in Nargis 176, 180–198, 217
- definition of 182
- politics of 176, 180, 181, 213–217
- problem(s) of 176, 179, 180 n9 (in different types of emergencies); 181, 217
- sovereign control over 176, 183–187 (Myanmar’s claim to); 213, 16
- Kostal, Rande 44–45 n14, 270 n38
- Lawless v. Ireland 96–98, 100, 105
- Lazar, Nomi 24–25 n19
- legality model *see* emergency government, models (legality model)
- Lester, Anthony 162 n161, 166, 169, 170 n201, 171
- Lincoln, Abraham 1–3, 74–75 (Rossiter); 311–312
- Lobel, Jules 24–25 n19, 28–29 n40, 179 n7
- Locke, John 19 (in American constitutional tradition); 24, 25–28, 40, 43, 44 (the extralegal model of prerogative); 222 n8 and n10 (on time problems); 261, 262–264, 277, 290 n18 (on change in emergency); 314
- local sensitivities 10, 65, 110 (local knowledge/experience); 88, 110–112, 196 n67 (as a standard for identifying the emergency); 92–94 (local threat), 95 (local pride); 181, 188, 193–196, 214 (in post-Nargis relief); 177, 202, 204, 215, 315 (regional capabilities) 301–305 (in the great depression)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-12384-7 - Emergencies in Public Law: The Legal Politics of Containment

Karin Loevy

Index

[More information](#)

322

Index

- Machiavelli, Niccolò 19–20 n7, 33–34, 38, 42
(on Roman dictatorship); 39–40 (on accidents); 223 (on the problem of time); 261, 265–267 (on change in emergencies)
- Manin, Bernard 7, 33 n67, 34, 41, 48–51 (on the emergency doctrine); 43 n6 n7 and n8, 46 n19, n23, n24, 45 n17 (on the features of the classic mechanisms); 51–52 (on terrorism); 53 (on jurisdiction); 221–222 (on temporality)
- Marshall vs. UK 104, 111
- McCormick, John 19 n5, 26 n27, 35 (on Schmitt); 39–40 (on Machiavelli)
- martial Law *see* emergency mechanisms (martial law)
- Mississippi flood, 1927 284–285 n4, 296–297
- Montesquieu, Charles Louis de Secondat 49 n34, 223 n11
- Morrison, Trevor 141–142 n55 and n56, 145 n70, 148, 150 n98, 150 n102, 152 n110, 153–154 n120
- necessity defense 225 n18 (Dershowitz); 227 (applicability for torture); 235–237, 249–250 (ex-post measure); 238–243, 255–256 (ex-ante effect: “necessity interrogations”)
- neo-Roman model *see* emergency government, models (neo-Roman model)
- Office of Legal Counsel (OLC) 122, 123, 125–126, 137–139, 143–145 (overview); 139–141 (institutional reform debates); 174–175, 313, 315
functional commitment to the executive 148–152
hard power approach to legality 152–155
ideology 155–159
insiders *see* Goldsmith, Jack; Morrison, Trevor
post 9/11 response 145–148
- Pasquino, Pasquale 26 n25, 27–28 (on Locke); 23 n18, 24–25 n19, 33, 128 n8 (with John Ferejohn)
- permanent emergency 4, 52, 69 (in contemporary theory); 83, 101, 104 (in UK derogation scheme); 236, 243, 244–245, 253–255, 314 (in the Israeli torture case); 284–285 n4 (in disaster relief); 4, 224–225 n17, 284 n3 (small emergencies)
- Pildes, Richard 128 n8, 272 n42, 277–279, 280, 309
- Pitsuwan, Surin 177, 196, 216 (ASEAN baptized); 205–206, 208–209 (mobilizing response); 209, 215 (in post-factum assessment)
- Posner, Eric 4, 133 n23, 140 n53, 152 n114, 277, 278 n65
prerogative *see* emergency government, models (extralegal model of prerogative)
- Prevention of Terrorism Act of 2005 (PTA) 136, 165
- public emergency 9, 58 n2, 60, 120, 77, 79, 82–83, 88, 92, 94, 68–69 (Gross and N’i Aol’ain); 96–100 (in ECHR jurisprudence); 10–101 (requirement of imminence and temporariness); 93–96, 101–102, 104–107 (post 9/11 as a); 94–96, 102–103 (Hoffman’s alternative definition); 107–117 (standards and procedures of identifying); 117–119 (actors contesting)
- Rasul vs. Bush 133 n23
- Roach, Kent 4, 132 n21
- Rossiter, Clinton 19–20 n7, 29, 33–34 n68, 73–76 (emergency definition); 126 n5 (on Article 48 of the Weimer Constitution)
- rule of law furniture 32, 40, 110, 128 n8
- Schmitt, Carl
Article 48 of the Weimer Constitution, on 47
emergency competence, on 125–126
emergency definitions, on 66–67
emergency government models, and the 25, 26 n27, (extralegal); 29–30, 32 (legality); 33, 35–38 (neo Roman)
emergency paradox, on the 17–18
legacy in 9/11 debates 17–18, 19 n5, 21–24, 40, 313
sovereign control over the emergency, on 18, 179
- Special Immigration Appeals Commission (SIAC) 77, 85, 86, 87, 88, 106, 109, 118
- Soering vs. UK (1989) 135
- special advocates 119
- state of exception *see* emergency mechanisms (state of exception)

- state of siege *see* emergency mechanisms (state of siege)
- Straw, Jack 134 n27, 159, 166
- Terrorism Act 2006 136, 165
- Terrorism Act 2000 135
- ticking time bomb 218, 219–220, 225–231 (scenario); 234–235 (on the emergency timeline); 235–243 (solution in the Israeli torture case); 243–244, 250, 255–257, 314 (normalizing the)
- time frames of emergency 11, 220–221, 232, 232–255 (in the Israeli torture case); 219–220, 232–234 (of emergency management); 244–245 (prolonged emergency t’); 245–248 (procedural t’ and *order nisi*); 248–249 (administrative law t’); 249–250 (criminal law t’); 250–253 (Bureaucratic t’); 253–255 (ongoing national t’); *see also* exceptional (time frame)
- timelines in emergency 220, 232–234, 234–237 (torture on the emergency management timeline); 237–243 (in the Israeli torture case)
- Tushnet, Mark 22–23 (on political constraint); 171 n207 (on JCHR)
- UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) 185 n27, 188 n40, 212
- UN Security Council 87, 92–94, 117 (declaring emergency); 192 n50 (in Nargis)
- Vermeule, Adrian 4, 22 n15, 132–133 n22 and n23, 152 n114, 277, 278 n65
- war powers 1–3 (Lincoln/Bush); 131 (Bush); 152 n114 (Obama in Libya); 156 n130 and n133 (Clinton)
- Weimar Constitution, Article 48 of the 29 n41, 30, 32, 47, 49 n31, 126 n5 (Rossiter)
- World Food Program (WFP) 185, 186, 205 n109, 216 n143