



Business Ethics: A Kantian Perspective

It is more important than ever that a business must be both ethical and profitable. In this thoroughly revised and updated second edition, Professor Norman E. Bowie shows that by applying Kant's three formulations of the categorical imperative, and by doing the right thing for the right reason, a business can achieve success in both of these fields. Bowie uses examples such as building trust, transparency through open book management and respecting employees by providing a living wage and meaningful work.

This new edition for graduates and academic researchers in the field of business ethics, has been heavily revised to include the newest scholarship on Kantian ethics, including:

- A new emphasis on Kant's later moral and political theory.
- A workable account of Kantian capitalism.
- Accounts on corporate social responsibility, Kantianism and human rights, corporate moral agency, and the Kantian theory of meaningful work.

Norman E. Bowie is Professor Emeritus at the University of Minnesota where he held the Elmer L. Andersen Chair in Corporate Responsibility. He is best known for bringing the moral philosophy of Immanuel Kant to bear on issues in business ethics. He authored or edited 18 books and about 100 articles. He is past president of the Society for Business Ethics and recipient of the Society's award for outstanding scholarly achievement. He has been honored with a festschrift under the title *Kantian Business Ethics: Critical Perspectives*.

Business Ethics: A Kantian Perspective

SECOND EDITION

NORMAN E. BOWIE
University of Minnesota



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-12090-7 — Business Ethics: A Kantian Perspective
Norman E. Bowie
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107543959
10.1017/9781316343210

© Norman E. Bowie 2017

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2017

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays Ltd.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Bowie, Norman E., 1942– author.

Title: Business ethics: a Kantian perspective / Norman E. Bowie, University of Minnesota.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, 2017. | Revised edition of: Business ethics: a Kantian perspective, originally published in 1999. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2016041865 | ISBN 9781107120907 (hardback) |

ISBN 9781107543959 (paperback)

Subjects: LCSH: Business ethics. | Business ethics – Philosophy. | Kant, Immanuel, 1724–1804.

Classification: LCC HF5387.B683 2017 | DDC 174/.4–dc23

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2016041865>

ISBN 978-1-107-12090-7 Hardback

ISBN 978-1-107-54395-9 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-12090-7 — Business Ethics: A Kantian Perspective
Norman E. Bowie
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

*My wife Maureen provided encouragement to both do this
project and get it done*

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page</i>	<i>ix</i>
Introduction		1
1 Self-Defeating Immoral Business Practice		7
Inconsistency and Immorality		8
Applications to Business		10
Objections to This Kantian Analysis		14
The Challenge of Behavioral Ethics		22
Extending the Reach of the Categorical Imperative: Pragmatically Inconsistent Maxims		26
Why Neither Being Trustworthy Nor Not Trusting in Business Involves a Pragmatic Contradiction		29
Transition to Chapter 2		37
2 Treating Stakeholders with Respect		41
The Respect-for-Persons Principle		43
Not Using Employees: Neither Coercion Nor Deceit		47
How Managers Can Reduce the Adverse Impact of Coercive Conditions and Reduce Information Asymmetry		53
What about Deception in Advertising?		59
A Brief Summary		61
Positive Freedom and Meaningful Work: Respecting the Humanity in a Person		62
Summary		78
3 The Firm as a Moral Community		82
Creating the Kantian Moral Firm: The Kingdom-of- Ends Formulation of the Categorical Imperative		82
Principles of a Moral Firm		84
The Principles Explained, Applied, and Defended		85

viii		<i>Contents</i>
	The Nature of Organizations, Leadership, and Reciprocity	95
	Can Kantian Moral Theory Apply to an Organization?	104
	Transition to Chapter 4	124
4	Acting from Duty and Seeking Profit	130
	Kant's Position on the Purity of Moral Motives	131
	Should Business Change the Way It Talks about Its Good Deeds?	136
	The Moral Obligation to Seek Profits	142
	A Complicating Implication of This Analysis	144
	Kantian Capitalism: Moral Profit Seeking	144
	Kantian Ethics and Corporate Social Responsibility	149
	Transition to Chapter 5	159
5	Kantian Ethics and International Business	164
	The Morality of the Market	165
	An Argument for Universal Ethical Values	168
	An Argument for Truly Universal Standards of Business Ethics	171
	Can Kantian Capitalism Contribute to Universal Rights, Democracy, and World Peace?	178
	The Argument That Capitalism Supports Democratic Institutions	180
	The Argument That Capitalism Supports World Peace	183
	Multinationals, Human Rights, and the United Nations	185
	Beneficence and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals	195
	Objections and Replies	197
	Conclusion	205
	<i>Index</i>	211

Preface

The first edition published in 1999 was the culmination of five years of research that began in 1994 with an invitation to present a Ruffin Lecture at the Darden School, University of Virginia. I decided to write on Kantian capitalism because my friend Ed Freeman had not completed that project himself. His essay with the late William Evan published in the third edition of Beauchamp and Bowie's *Ethical Theory and Business* was entitled, "A Stakeholder Theory of the Modern Corporation: Kantian Capitalism." However, Freeman's stakeholder theory took a pragmatic turn, and I took up the challenge of presenting a Kantian theory of business ethics. Over the past two decades Ed and I have continued a friendly debate about the role of pragmatism in business ethics. Ed has even argued that my Kantian capitalism has become pragmatic over the years. I invite the readers of the second edition to decide for themselves whether Freeman is correct.

During the 1996–97 academic year I took a sabbatical as a fellow at Harvard's Program in Ethics and the Professions as it was called then. My colleagues in that program under the leadership of Dennis Thompson provided invaluable insights as I turned my original idea of Kantian capitalism into a book. The resulting book was published in 1999 to reasonable reviews but frankly not much enthusiasm. However, gradually a critical literature around the book arose, and some younger scholars, including several in Europe, began expanding the Kantian project. A festschrift in my honor brought forth several innovative insights into Kantian business ethics such as a Kantian theory of environmental ethics in a business context. I followed up with a more general book on business ethics, *Business Ethics in the 21st Century* (2013). One chapter of that book was devoted entirely to how I thought *Business Ethics: A Kantian Perspective* should be revised and included some of my reaction to scholars who were taking Kantian business ethics in new directions. I had thought that *Business Ethics in the 21st Century* would be my last book, but Cambridge

University Press offered me the opportunity to revise and expand on the first edition. I was delighted to take up the task. So here are my latest thoughts on Kantian business ethics in a context where there is much more enthusiasm about Kantian capitalism than there was when the first edition was published.

I remain indebted to those individuals and institutions who contributed so much to my being able to successfully complete the first edition. As for the second edition, I wish to thank the two anonymous reviewers for Cambridge University Press for their many helpful criticisms and comments. Several of the additions to this edition are the result of their critical analysis. I owe special thanks to Kendy Hess and Amy MacArthur who critically reviewed my account of corporate moral agency and allowed me to quote from their work, some of it unpublished.

I wish to thank several colleagues and friends who reviewed sections of the manuscript and made many helpful comments. Jeffery Smith and Marc Cohen were invaluable in that regard. I also benefited from questions and comments from a presentation based on Chapter 4 that I made at York University in Toronto. Mark Schwartz was especially helpful at that time.

I was marvelously served by three editors at Cambridge University Press. Paula Parish guided me through the initial contract and review process while Valerie Appleby and Joshua Penney guided me through the production process. Also thanks to the entire production team of Siva Prakash Chandrasekaran, Fred Goykhman, and Jim Diggins.

I am thankful to Blackwell Publishers, now a part of Wiley, for allowing the rights to revert to me and thus enable publication of the second edition. The discussion of corporate ethics programs in Chapter 2 is based on a portion of an article that Scott Reynolds and I published in *Business Ethics Quarterly*. See “A Kantian Perspective on the Characteristics of Ethics Programs,” *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 14 (2004), 275–92.

Chapter 2 also contains my discussion of two critics of my analysis of meaningful work by Joanne Ciulla and Joseph Desjardins, which is heavily based on my article “A Reply to my Critics” in *Kantian Business Ethics: Critical Perspectives*, D.G. Arnold and J.D. Harris (eds.) (Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar, 2012), 175–89. Permission granted by Edward Elgar, the editors Denis Arnold and Jared Harris, and by my critics Joanne Ciulla and Joseph Desjardins whom I generously quoted.

Chapter 3 contains material on a Kantian theory of leadership from a much more extended discussion of that topic. See “A Kantian Theory of Leadership,” *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* 21 (2000), 185–93, reprinted by permission of Emerald Group Publishing

The central argument of Chapter 4 is that one can both be a Kantian and believe that good ethics is good business. Having profit as a reason for action does not violate Kant’s insistence that for an action to be morally worthy it must be done from the proper motive – because it is right. I also claim that managers have a duty to be beneficent, that is, to practice corporate social responsibility, and that doing so is also consistent with their obligation to seek profit. All this was argued in “Why Kant’s Insistence on Purity of Will does not Preclude Application of Kant’s Ethics to For-Profit Businesses,” in *Wealth, Commerce, and Philosophy: Foundational Thinkers and Business Ethics*, E. Heath and B. Kaldis (eds.) (University of Chicago Press, 2017, used by permission of University of Chicago Press.

Chapter 4 also includes the image of Professor Archie Carroll’s “Pyramid of Corporate Social Responsibility,” used by permission of Archie B. Carroll. Archie B. Carroll, “Managing Ethically with Global Stakeholders: Present and Future Challenges,” *Academy of Management Executive* 18.2 (2004), p. 116, adapted from Archie B. Carroll, “The Pyramid of Corporate Social Responsibility: Toward the Moral Management of Organizational Stakeholders,” *Business Horizons*, 34 (1991), 39–48.