

Index

Al II 50 0 106	D-1
Abs, Herman, 50–2, 196	Bahrain
Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention, 50–3, 55	and Jan Paulsson, 98
Aguilar-Alvarez, Guillermo, 118	Ballén, Sixto, 120
aid. See foreign aid	Bangladesh, 59, 116
Aisbett, Emma, 145	Barbados, 61
Albania, 79	Belarus
CEELI, 84–6	CEELI, 84
Algeria, 63	UNCTAD, 95
Ali Khan, Makhdoom, xiii	Belgium, 59
American Bar Association (ABA), 51, 86	UNCTAD, 95
CEELI. See Central and Eastern	Benin and UNCTAD, 95
European Law Initiative (CEELI)	Böckstiegel, Karl-Heinz, 186
anchoring. See status-quo bias	Bolivia, 4
arbitration. See investment arbitration	BIT claims against, 161
arbitrators	Bosnia and Herzegovina, 79
as policy advisors, 83-7, 96-8, 113, 118,	Botswana and UNCTAD, 92, 95
186, 201	bounded rationality
individuals	biases. See motivated reasoning, salience
Aguilar-Alvarez, Guillermo, 118	bias, status-quo bias
Böckstiegel, Karl-Heinz, 186	cognitive constraints, 16-17, 26, 194
Brower, Charles, 81	developing countries v. developed
Park, William, 89	countries, 18, 27-9, 194
Paulsson, Jan, 20, 96, 98, 186	role of expertise and experience,
Schreuer, Christoph, 20, 96	18-19, 27-9, See also expertise and
Vicuña, Francisco, 20, 97, 123	experience
motives of, 3, 20, 136	competition, 31–5, 110–34, 183
relevance of book for, 192-4	constructivism, 25
v. judges, 199	fast and frugal problem solving, 26
Argentina, 52, 83, 123	intended rationality, 17, 26, 182
BIT adoption strategy of, 53, 116, 117,	learning, 30–1, 35–45, 135–60, 170–3,
120, 121, 159–60	182–4, 188–90
BIT claims against, 4, 44, 141, 154,	organizational v. experimental studies, 21
157	path dependency, 27, 43, 196–203
Calvo Doctrine, 57	prospect theory, 21, 43
early BIT adoption strategy of, 63	relevance for IPE theory, 9–22
policy makers, 97	satisficing. See satisficing
Armenia and CEELI, 84	Brazil, 79, 199
Asian Agricultural Products (AAPL) v. Sri	BIT adoption strategy of, 53
Lanka, 138–9	Calvo Doctrine, 57
Austria and UNCTAD, 95	early BIT adoption strategy of, 61
Azerbaijan, 116	Petrobas, 7
CEELI, 84	UNCTAD, 95
OLLLI, OT	011011110, 93

237



Broches, Aron, 56, 58, 60, 73, 127, 136	colonialism, 44, 46, 158
Brower, Charles, 81	investment protection under, 48–9, 69,
Bulgaria, 86, 102	124
BIT adoption strategy of, 116	investment treaties as continuation of, 51
CEELI, 84	55
FIAS, 79	Comoros and UNCTAD, 95
negotiators, 98	competition, 31–5, 110–34, 183, 187
Burkina Faso and UNCTAD, 95	Congo, 60
Burundi and UNCTAD, 95	contracts, 7, 48, 58
Bush, George H.W., 82–3, 104	Argentina, 140
0.1 1 1 10 00	as substitutes for investment treaties, xv,
Calvo doctrine, 48, 83	7, 202
Chile, 122	Ecuador, 3, 122
Latin American support for, 53, 57, 89,	Ghana, 100
118, 121, 147	incomplete. See incomplete contracts
UN General Assembly support for, 66,	negotiation experiments, 35, 40, 66
118	Pakistan, xiii
Cambodia, 80, 144	Costa Rica, 82
UNCTAD, 95	BIT adoption strategy of, 156–8
Cameroon and UNCTAD, 95	policy makers, 97
Canada, 18, 115, 121, 123	cost-benefit analysis, 17, 27, 33, 47, 99,
BIT negotiations with South Africa,	120, 178, 191
176–7, 185	courts, 44, 85, 158
complaining about lacking expertise, 155	Calvo Doctrine, 48, 60
NAFTA, 118–19, 140, 176	decisions subject to investment
NAFTA claims against, 37	arbitration, 153
policy makers, 97	enforcement of arbitration awards, xiv
UNCTAD, 95	European Court of Human Rights, 198
Caribbean Basin Initiative, 82	European Court of Justice, 19
Carter, Jimmy, 81	exhaustion of local remedies, xiv, 63, 65
Central and Eastern European Law	198
Initiative (CEELI), 83–7 Kenneth Vandevelde's role in. <i>See</i>	International Court of Justice, 19, 35
	regional investment courts, 149, 199
Vandevelde, Kenneth	World Investment Court, 200
Chad and UNCTAD, 95 Chile, 52–3, 57, 119–20	WTO, 19
arbitration lawyers as policy advisors to,	credible commitments, 5–9, 111–12
97	Croatia, 105, 116 CEELI, 84
BIT adoption strategy of, 61, 122–4, 150	UNCTAD, 95
policy makers, 97	Cuba, 102
China, 79, 183, 200	BIT with South Africa, 180, 185
BIT adoption strategy of, 158–9	revolutionary, 50
impact of BITs on investment flows to, 8	Czech Republic, 183
UNCTAD, 95	American advisors, 103
coercion, 30, 34	BIT adoption strategy of, 102–6, 112,
cannot explain BIT-movement, 9–13	117, 141
Caribbean Basin Initiative, 82	BIT claims against, 3, 141
EC aid policy, 59	CEELI, 84
Germany, 64	GEEEN, 01
Netherlands, 64	default rules, 19, 26, 35, 43, 193
United Kingdom, 64	early investment treaties as, 46, 69, 108,
Cold War, 10, 13, 56, 59, 82	116
Colombia, 54, 120	experiments, 35
negotiators, 97	experiments, 33 expertise and experience, 45
UNCTAD, 92, 95	re-anchoring from, 43
21.21.20, 72, 73	To antioning from, 19



resulting in incoherent policies, 120, 124, 152	Estonia and CEELI, 84 Ethiopia
satisficing. See satisficing World Bank Guidelines as, 76	BIT adoption strategy of, 131–2, 154 UNCTAD, 95
Denmark, 44, 69, 105, 130, 159 UNCTAD, 95	Ethyl Corporation v. Canada, 140, 142 European Economic Community (EEC),
de-politicization, 31, 53, 56, 58, 199–200	50–1
Costa Rica, 44, 156	experience, 29, 156–60, 194–6
United States, 44, 156	Argentina, 44, 159
developing countries	bureaucratic turnover, 44, 157, 159, 195
classification of, 16	Costa Rica, 44, 157
experience. See experience	developing countries, 18, 44, 160, 182
expertise. See expertise	Lebanon, 44, 159
subject to bounded rationality.	Lithuania, 195
See bounded rationality	Pakistan, 196
diplomatic protection, 31, 48–9, 56, 58, 85	role for salience bias, 18, 41–2, 155
de-politicization. See de-politicization	role for status-quo bias, 19, 44–6
Egypt, 59	South Africa, 184
Germany, 50	Turkey, 44, 159
gunboat diplomacy, 48	expertise, 28–9, 194–6
Iran, 59	Africa, 153
Libya, 59	Argentina, 44, 159
United Kingdom, 59	China, 44, 159
United States, 56	Costa Rica, 44, 157
Dominican Republic, 53, 80, 82, 150	developed countries complaining about
Dominican Republic, 55, 60, 62, 150	lack of, 156, 176
economic diplomacy	developing countries, 44, 89, 160, 182
BITs as new instrument of, 53	Egypt, 66
book rooted in rationalist, 25	India, 151
bounded rationality and study of, xvi,	Jamaica, 67
192, 21–2	Korea, 45
Odell, John, 192	Latin America, 148
role of experience for, 29	Lebanon, 40, 159
role of expertise for, 28 Ecuador, 83	Lithuania, 85, 195 Mali, 181
	Pakistan, xiii, 196
BIT adoption strategy of, 120–2, 148–9, 198	role for salience bias, 18, 44, 135, 157
	role for status-quo bias, 19, 44–6
BIT claims against, 3, 148–9, 161 Calvo Doctrine, 57	South Africa, 170, 184–5
cancelling BITs, 4, 149	Thailand, 151
early BIT adoption strategy of, 61	Turkey, 44, 159
policy makers, 97	expropriation
UNCTAD, 91	1938 Mexican, 49, 118, 136, 147
Egypt, 59	China, 44, 158
early BIT adoption strategy of, 61, 65–6	
expertise, 66	Czech Republic, 103 Czechoslovakia, 103
liberalisation, 115–17	decline of direct, 1–2
Suez crisis, 59	diplomatic protection, 48, 55–6
UNCTAD, 93, 95	FCN-treaties, 135
El Salvador, 82	Foresti v. South Africa, 167
Elkins, Guzman, and Simmons (EGS), 31,	Germany, 52, 58
110–15	Ghana, 100
	Hull standard. See Hull standard of
emulation, 26, 30 cannot explain BIT movement, 13–16	compensation
Energy Charter Treaty (ECT), 11	in domestic laws, 10
Liter by Charter Treaty (LCT), 11	in acineout iaws, 10



240 Index	
expropriation (cont.) indirect, 136, 140, 163 lacking salience of, 44 Libya, 59 Nigeria, 132 political risk management, 6 post-colonial rise of, 55 Romania, 63 salience of, 65 Shawcross comments on Middle East, 51 South Africa, 169–70, 176, 183 United Kingdom, 58, 60–1 World Bank, 55 Zaire, 63 Zambia, 79 Zimbabwe, 107	United Kingdom position, 68 United States position, 87 Zimbabwe expectations, 107 regulation of, 11, 91 Foreign Investment Advisory Services (FIAS), 77–81, 92, 100–1, 107 Foreign, Commerce, and Navigation (FCN) treaties, 49, 51–2, 58, 69, 81, 135 France, 59, 133 early BIT adoption strategy of, 72 support for a multilateral investment treaty, 74, 76 threat of claim by French investors, 144 UNCTAD, 95 full protection and security, 135
fair and equitable treatment, xiv, 2, 38, 42–3, 136, 167 in domestic laws, 10, 18 lacking salience of, 18, 44 South Africa, 183 UNCTC reports, 136 World Bank Guidelines, 77 Fecák, Tomáš, 103, 105 Fiji, 61 financial crises, 10, 71, 100, 124, 130, 140 Finland and UNCTAD, 95 foreign aid, 10, 71, 100 American policy, 56 Caribbean Basin Initiative, 82 Dutch policy, 64 German policy, 64 German policy, 64 political risk insurance, 6 South Africa, 174 United Kingdom policy, 59 foreign investment, 6, 8, 10 impact of investment treaties on, 5–9,	Gabon, 79 General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), 12, 50, 82 Germany aid policy, 156 BIT claims by German investors, 150–1 BIT with Costa Rica, 44, 157 BIT with India, 130 BIT with Pakistan, xv, 1, 53, 195 BIT with Turkey, 124 complaining about lacking expertise, 155 de-politicization, 53, 200 early BIT adoption strategy of, 50–5, 58, 61, 68, 72, 111 investors and BITs, 8 Metallgesellschaft, 68 negotiators, 74, 98 political risk insurance, 9, 52, 68, 74, 126 support for a multilateral investment treaty, 55, 57, 74, 76
113 1991 MIGA survey, 8, 113 Chile expectations, 122 Czech Republic expectations, 103 Ecuador expectations, 122 Ethiopia expectations, 132 Ghana expectations, 101 India expectations, 131 Jamaica expectations, 94 Mexico expectations, 119 Nigeria expectations, 133 Pakistan expectations, 129 South Africa expectations, 174, 183, 189 Thailand expectations, 126 Turkey expectations, 125 UNCTAD position, 91–8, 106	UNCTAD, 95 Ghana, 183 BIT adoption strategy of, 99–102, 153 UNCTAD, 91, 95, 101 Golsong, Heribert, 73 Gorbachev, Mikhail, 10 Greece, 105 skepticism towards 1962 OECD Convention, 55 Grenada, 79, 82 Guinea and UNCTAD, 95 Guinea-Bissau and UNCTAD, 95 gunboat diplomacy. See diplomatic protection Honduras, 79, 82 Hoppe, Hans-Herman, 85



Index 241

Hull standard of compensation, 49, 60, 83, early skepticism about impact on 118-19, 135 investment flows, 57, 114, 127 Hull, Cordell, 49 enforcement, 5 Hungary, 87, 103, 123, 130 establishment of, 55-9 CEELI, 84 ignorance of, xiii-xiv, 44, 159 Indian and Sri Lankan opposition, 57, Imperialism. See colonialism lack of cases in early years, 57 incomplete contracts, 20, 37, 39 India, 59 lacking definition of investment, 139 BIT adoption strategy of, 53, 130-1, Latin American alternative, 149, 198 Latin American opposition to, 4, 57, 149 151 - 3Calvo Doctrine, 57 model clauses, 58, 69, 72-4, 76, 136-7 early BIT adoption strategy of, South Africa, 187 60, 61 staff members, 74, 97, 186 transparency rules, 139, 143, 167 intellectual property rights, 131 reconsidering BITs, 4 United Kingdom model clauses, 60 UN General Assembly, 66 International Court of Justice (ICJ), 19, 35 UNCTAD, 93, 95, 101 International Labor Organisation (ILO) WTO v. BITs, 12 investor guidelines, 89 International Monetary Fund (IMF), 49 Indonesia early BIT adoption strategy of, 58, 61, enforcement of arbitral awards, 4 65-6 not strong supporter of BITs, 72 structural adjustment. See structural reconsidering BITs, 4 UN General Assembly, 66 adjustment UNCTAD, 93, 95, 101 International Trade Organization (ITO), 49-51 WTO v. BITs, 12 inertia. See status-quo bias investment arbitration Calvo doctrine. See Calvo doctrine information about risks of BITs, 135-41 de-politicization. See de-politicization imperfect, 30, 37, 39, 42, 161, 181 enforceable, xiv, 20, 137-8 imperfect processing of. See bounded exhaustion of local remedies, xiv, 63, 65, rationality ICSID. See International Centre for the institutional choice de-coupling, 14 Settlement of Investment Disputes fully v. bounded rational. See bounded (ICSID) rationality information about, 37, 135-41 lacking salience of, 40, 66, 70 intellectual property rights, 176 legitimacy of, 2-4 India, 131 Pakistan, 12 rise of, 2, 37, 138-41 South Africa, 186 treaty v. contract, xv International Centre for the Settlement of unanticipated consequences of, xiii-xv, 2-4, 9-18, 37, 141-60, 188-9, Investment Disputes (ICSID) 193-4, 197 as promoter of investment treaties, 58, 72-4, 137 unimportant during early BIT availability of negotiation records, 22 negotiations, 65 Calvo doctrine, 57 v. interstate dispute settlement, 199-200 CEELI promotion of, 85 investment laws Albania, 86 Chile's justification for joining, 122 China, 44, 158 American advisors, 83-7 claims against Argentina, 4 Cambodia, 80 contracts referring to, 7 correspondence with investment treaties, counterclaims, 197 10,86 de-politicization, 44, 56, 156, See also Cuba, 180 de-politicization Dominican Republic, 80 early Jamaican support, 65 Gabon, 79



242

Index

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-11953-6 - Bounded Rationality and Economic Diplomacy: The Politics of Investment Treaties in Developing Countries Lauge N. Skovgaard Poulsen Index More information

early BIT adoption strategy of, 65-6 investment laws (cont.) Ghana, 101 expertise, 45, 175 Honduras, 79 UNCTAD, 95 United Nations, 88 Korobkin, Russell, 40, 66 World Bank, 57 Kunda, Ziva, 26 World Bank Guidelines on the Kuwait, 13, 66 Treatment of Foreign Investment, Kyrgyzstan, 116 76 - 81CEELI, 84 Zambia, 79 UNCTAD, 95 Zimbabwe, 106 investment treaties Laos, 127 as credible commitments, 5-9 UNCTAD, 95 as incomplete contracts. See incomplete Latvia, 117 contracts CEELI, 84 cancelling, 4, 201-3 UNCTAD, 95 correspondence with investment laws, Lauder, Ronald, 141 10,86 learning, 30-1, 35-45, 135-60 default rules. See default rules South Africa, 170-3, 182-4, 188-90 impact on investment flows. See foreign investment BIT adoption strategy of, 159 lacking investor obligations, 18, 52, 198 early BIT adoption strategy of, 63 normative considerations, 21 UNCTAD, 95 re-negotiating, 106, 149, 185, 189, 195, Lesotho and UNCTAD, 91, 95 200 - 1Liberia, 53 Libya, 53 BIT adoption strategy of, 63, 154–5 vague and open-ended, xiii, 1-3, 18-20, 36-7, 44, 193 Iran, 59, 79, 183 expropriation, 59 early BIT adoption strategy of, 62 UNCTAD, 95 UNCTAD, 95 Lithuania, 84-5, 139 Iraq, 13, 59, 63 CEELI, 84 Italy Kenneth Vandevelde advising, 85-7, 195 Foresti v. South Africa, 165-6 logic of appropriateness. See emulation UNCTAD, 95 Ivory Coast, 60, 64 Macedonia and CEELI, 84 Madagascar and UNCTAD, 95 Jamaica, 94 Major, John, 168, 174 BIT adoption strategy of, 117 Malawi, 62 UNCTAD, 95 early BIT adoption strategy of, 63, 65, 67-8 Malaysia, 152, 197 expertise, 67 UNCTAD, 93 BIT with South Africa, 177-8 Japan, 115, 152 early BIT adoption strategy of, 53, 62, UNCTAD, 95 65, 67 Jordan, 57, 117 UNCTAD, 93 FIAS, 79 WTO v. BITs, 12 Mali, 181 Kazakhstan, 139 UNCTAD, 95 Malta and UNCTAD, 95 CEELI, 84 Mandela, Nelson, 162, 174 Kenya, 60, 62 BIT adoption strategy of, 53, 154 Mauritania and UNCTAD, 95 UNCTAD, 91, 95 Mauritius, 183 Khan, Ayub, 53 arbitration lawyers as policy advisors to,

UNCTAD, 95

McNamara, Robert, 72

Metallgesellschaft, 68

Klaus, Vaclav, 102, 104

BIT adoption strategy of, 62

BIT with South Africa, 175

Korea, 152



Mexico, 123	South Africa, 162, 168-9, 177
1938 expropriations by, 49, 136	Turkey, 125
arbitration lawyers as policy advisors, 97	nationalisation. See expropriation
BIT adoption strategy of, 53, 118-20	Nepal and UNCTAD, 91
BIT claims against, 140, 146–8	Netherlands
Calvo doctrine, 65	aid policy, 64
NAFTA, 97, 118–20	BIT adoption strategy of, 201
negotiators, 98	BIT claims by Dutch investors, 140–1,
Moldova, 117	153
CEELI, 84	BIT with Czechoslovakia, 105
UNCTAD, 95	BIT with South Africa, 175
Mongolia, 117	complaining about lacking expertise, 155
Montt, Santiago, 36	early BIT adoption strategy of, 64, 68,
Morocco, 53	72
arbitration lawyers as policy advisors,	political risk insurance, 68
97	Shell, 8, 51, 68
most favoured nation (MFN) clauses, 41,	UNCTAD, 95
49, 107, 115, 136	Unilever, 68
South Africa, 183	New International Economic Order
Turkey, 125	(NIEO), 36, 60, 66, 88, 118
motivated reasoning, 17–18, 26–7, 34, 70,	Nicaragua, 120
109, 134, 197	Nigeria
Chile, 123	BIT adoption strategy of, 132–4, 154
Ecuador, 122	UNCTAD, 92
Ethiopia, 132	Nixon, Richard, 51
Ghana, 101	Nkrumah, Kwame, 99, 221
India, 131	North American Free Trade Agreement
Mexico, 119	(NAFTA), 11, 37, 39
Nigeria, 133	arbitration lawyers as policy advisors on,
Pakistan, 129	97
Thailand, 127	arbitrators defence of, 201
Turkey, 126	Chile, 122, 150
Mozambique, 106, 116, 182	claims, 140, 152, 176, 197, 200
UNCTAD, 95	Mexico, 118–20, 140
Mugabe, Robert, 106–7	Norway, 105
Multilateral Agreement on Investment	
(MAI), 6, 44, 91, 133, 140, 157, 178	Odell, John, 192
Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency	Organization for Economic Co-operation
(MIGA), 74–8	and Development (OECD)
early survey on investment impact of	as promoter of investment treaties, 137
BITs, 8, 113	expropriation policy, 59
Ghana, 101	inspiration for BITs, 60, 94, 169, 176–8
Muñoz, Guillermo Leon Valencia, 54	investor guidelines, 89
Myanmar and UNCTAD, 95	multilateral investment treaty, 6, 52,
	55–6, 91, 178
national treatment clauses, 115, 167	Overseas Private Investment Corporation
China, 82	(OPIC), 52, 107
Czechoslovakia, 104	Ozal, Turkut, 124
Egypt, 66	
Malaysia, 177	Pakistan, 59
Mexico, 118	arbitration lawyers as policy advisors to,
Philippines, 53	97
pre-establishment, 117	BIT adoption strategy of, xiv-xv, 53,
salience of, 44, 65, 70	127–30
Singapore, 63	BIT claims against, xiii, 2-3



Reagan, Ronald, 11, 81–2 Romania, 102
BIT adoption strategy of, 117
CEELI, 84, 86
early BIT adoption strategy of, 62, 65
Rothbard, Murray, 85
Russia, 183
BIT adoption strategy of, 117
BIT claims against, 3, 139
CEELI, 84
Rwanda
arbitration lawyers as policy advisors to,
97
UNCTAD, 91
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
salience bias, 26, 31, 34, 40–2, 70, 134
BIT adoption patterns as indication of,
142–6
Chile, 150
Czech Penublic 105 6
Czech Republic, 105–6
early BIT negotiations, 65–6, 70
Ecuador, 149
Ethiopia, 153
Ghana, 102
India, 151–3
Kenya, 154
Libya, 154–5
Mexico, 146–8
Nigeria, 154
role of expertise and experience, 18,
41–2, 44–5, 135, 171, 156–60
role of mass media, 201
salience enhancement, 71
CEELI, 83–8
UNCTAD, 91–9, 106, 108
World Bank, 76–81
South Africa, 167-79, 185, 188-90
Thailand, 150-1
Zimbabwe, 109
Salinas, Carlos, 118
satisficing, 19, 27, 31, 35, 99, 109-10,
115–16, 134
Argentina, 121
Chile, 124
Czech Republic, 104
Ecuador, 121
Ethiopia, 132
India, 131
Mexico, 118–20
Nigeria, 133
Pakistan, 127–30 South Africa, 174–8, 181, 183
South Africa, 174–8, 181, 183
Thailand, 126–7
Turkey, 125
Zimbabwe, 108



saturation, 143–5	status-quo bias, 19, 26-7, 134, 160, 196,
Schreuer, Christoph, 20, 96	200
Senegal, 117	Chile, 124
UNCTAD, 95	Czech Republic, 104
Serbia, 102	default rules. See default rules
Shawcross, Hartley, 51-2	Ecuador, 149
Shell (Royal Dutch), 8, 51, 68	Ethiopia, 132
Shihata, Ibrahim, 74–81, 90	India, 131, 152
Sierra Leone, 63	Mexico, 118–20, 147
FIAS, 79	Pakistan, 130
UNCTAD, 94	role of expertise and experience, 45
signalling	South Africa, 174–8
competition, 16, 32, 73, 91, 94, 177, 187	Thailand, 127, 151
emulation, 13–15	treaty design, 35, 42–4, 114–16
UNCTAD, 96	Turkey, 125
Simon, Herbert, 27, 182	Zimbabwe, 108
Singapore, 68, 152	structural adjustment, 80–1
early BIT adoption strategy of, 63, 65–6,	Ethiopia, 131
68	Ghana, 100
UN General Assembly, 66	Mexico, 118
Slovakia and CEELI, 84	Nigeria, 132
Société Générale de Surveillance (SGS) v.	Turkey, 124
Pakistan, xiii, 3	Zambia and Gabon, 79–80
soft law	Sudan, 63
as alternative to multilateral investment	UNCTAD, 95
treaty, 76	Summers, Lawrence, 77
BITs mistaken for, 18, 188	Sunstein, Cass, 45
Somalia, 70	survival clauses, 201
South Africa	Sweden
arbitration lawyers as policy advisors to,	early BIT adoption strategy of, 72
97, 186	investors and BITs, 8
as deviant case, 161	UNCTAD, 95
BIT adoption strategy of, 162-91	Switzerland, 79, 123
BIT claims against, 163-8, 188-9	BIT claims by Swiss investors, xv
BIT with the United Kingdom, 168–74,	BIT with Pakistan, xv
190	early BIT adoption strategy of, 54
cancelling BITs, 4, 189	UNCTAD, 95
early BIT program, 174–85	
UNCTAD, 95	Tanzania, 59, 63
sovereignty costs, 5-6, 55, 83, 114	UNCTAD, 95
expectations in rationalist models, 31, 36,	Thailand
111, 160	anchoring to UK BIT, 127
expertise and awareness of, 44	arbitration lawyers as policy advisors to,
multilateral treaty, 179	97
other international legal regimes, 19	BIT adoption strategy of, 126-7,
Soviet Union, 10, 59, 90	150–1
assistance, 131, 180	BIT claims against, 150–1
Spain, 154	early BIT adoption strategy of, 63, 65
aid policy, 156	early scepticism towards ICSID, 57
Sri Lanka, 59	
	FCN treaty with US, 58
AAPL v., 138–9	UN General Assembly, 66
BIT adoption strategy of, 10, 116–17	Togo
early BIT adoption strategy of, 63	FCN treaty with US, 58
early scepticism towards ICSID, 57	UNCTAD, 95
UNCTAD, 93	transaction costs, 30, 33



246 Index transfer clauses, 156 South Africa, 171, 173 Chile, 123 staff members, 97, 99 Costa Rica, 44, 157 teaming up with arbitration community, Egypt, 61 96-8 FCN treaties, 135 US power, 90-1 Germany, 52 United States Ghana, 100 1938 Mexican expropriations, 49 Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention, 52 IMF obligations, 72 Pakistan, xiv aid policy, 156 availability of negotiation records, 22 Romania, 62 salience of, 44, 65, 173 BIT adoption strategy of, 81-3, 86-7 South Africa, 162, 173 BIT claims by US investors, 141 BIT model, 14-15, 18, 81, 84, 114-17, Zambia, 79 Zimbabwe, 108 186, 197 Trujillo, Rafael, 53 BIT negotiations with India, 131 Tubman, William, 53 BIT negotiations with South Africa, 186 Tunisia BIT with Czech Republic, 104, 106 BIT adoption strategy of, 116 BIT with Ecuador, 120 Dutch BIT linked to aid, 64 BIT with Egypt, 115 early BIT adoption strategy of, 63 BIT with Panama, 144 Turkey BIT with Turkey, 124 BIT adoption strategy of, 44, 124-6, 159 Caribbean Basin Initiative, 82 non-compliance with German BIT, 111 CEELI, 83-7 skepticism towards 1962 OECD diplomatic protection, 44, 49, 56, 59, 156 Convention, 55 early BIT negotiations with China, 82 FCN treaties, 49, 58, 69, 81 Uganda, 59 GATT, 82 UNCTAD, 95 ICSID, 56 Ukraine investors and BITs, 8 BIT adoption strategy of, 117 ITO, 49-50 CEELI, 84 MAI, 201 policy makers, 97 NAFTA, 118 NAFTA claims against, 37 umbrella clauses, 3 uncertainty, 36, 38 negotiators, 98 OPIC, 52 Unilever, 68 United Kingdom, 58, 130 PTA negotiations with South Africa, BIT with Ghana, 100 185 refusing to sign BIT with Pakistan, 12, 130 BIT with South Africa, 168-74 BIT with Thailand as default, 128 salience of BITs with, 14 early BIT program, 59-69, 72 subrogation agreements, 52, 61, 64, 69 Hartley Shawcross, 51 take-off in BIT program, 11 investors and BITs, 8, 174 UNCTAD, 90-1 UNCTC, 90 negotiators, 97 United Nations Centre on Transnational Wall Street Brigade in Czech Republic, Corporations (UNCTC), 88-91 Code of Conduct, 76 Zimbabwe refusing to sign BIT with, 107 informing developing countries, 136-8 Uzbekistan and CEELI, 84 South Africa, 171, 173 United Nations Conference on Trade and Vandevelde, Kenneth Development (UNCTAD) advising Lithuania, 85-7, 195 as Global OECD, 91 Venezuela negotiating and signing sessions, 92-6 BIT claims against, 139, 161 promotion of BITs, 91-9, 106-9, 114, cancelling BITs, 4 133, 143 Vicuña, Francisco, 20, 97, 123 role during MAI, 91 Vietnam, 127



Index

Washington Consensus, 10, 17, 71, 89, 145 Wedel, Janine, 83 West Indies Associated States, 61 Weyland, Kurt, 29, 173 Williamson, John, 10, 30 World Bank FIAS. See Foreign Investment Advisory Services (FIAS) Guidelines on the Treatment of Foreign Investment, 76-81, 90, 104 ICSID. See International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) influence on developing countries' BIT adoption strategies, 99-102 MIGA. See Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (MIGA) promotion of BITs, 71-81 structural adjustment. See structural adjustment World Trade Organization (WTO)

multilateral investment treaty, 179
South Africa, 178–80
unanticipated consequences of, 19
v. BITs, 12, 146

Yemen, 63
UNCTAD, 95
Yugoslavia, 63, 79, 107

Zaire, 63
BIT claims against, 139
Zambia, 79–80
UNCTAD, 92, 95
Zedillo, Ernesto, 119
Zimbabwe
BIT adoption strategy of, 106–9,
141
FIAS, 107

UNCTAD, 93, 106-9

inter-state dispute settlement, 111

Doha-Round, 152

247