

Beauty and Sublimity

Recent decades have witnessed an explosion in neuroscientific and related research treating aesthetic response. This book integrates this research with insights from philosophical aesthetics to propose new answers to long-standing questions about beauty and sublimity. Hogan begins by distinguishing what we respond to as beautiful from what we count socially as beautiful. He goes on to examine the former in terms of information processing (specifically, prototype approximation and non-habitual pattern recognition) and emotional involvement (especially of the endogenous reward and attachment systems). In the course of the book, Hogan examines such issues as how universal principles of aesthetic response may be reconciled with individual idiosyncrasy, how it is possible to argue rationally over aesthetic response, and what role personal beauty and sublimity might play in the definition of art. To treat these issues, the book considers works by Woolf, Wharton, Shakespeare, Arthur Miller, Beethoven, Matisse, and Kiran Rao, among others.

PATRICK COLM HOGAN is a professor in the Department of English, the Program in Cognitive Science, and the Program in Comparative Literature and Cultural Studies at the University of Connecticut. He is the author of seventeen scholarly books, including What Literature Teaches Us About Emotion (Cambridge, 2011), and How Authors' Minds Make Stories (Cambridge, 2013).





Beauty and Sublimity

A Cognitive Aesthetics of Literature and the Arts

Patrick Colm Hogan

University of Connecticut





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107115118

© Patrick Colm Hogan 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-107-11511-8 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For Lalita





Contents

Acknowledgments	page viii
Introduction: why beauty?	1
Literary aesthetics: beauty, the brain, and Mrs. Dalloway	, 19
The idiosyncrasy of beauty: aesthetic universals and the diversity of taste	46
Unspoken beauty: problems and possibilities of absence	76
Aesthetic response revisited: quandaries about beauty and sublimity	107
My <i>Othello</i> problem: prestige status, evaluation, and aesthetic response	162
What is aesthetic argument?	178
Art and beauty	215
Afterword: a brief recapitulation, with a coda on anti-aesthetic art	245
Works cited	257 277
	Introduction: why beauty? Literary aesthetics: beauty, the brain, and Mrs. Dalloway. The idiosyncrasy of beauty: aesthetic universals and the diversity of taste Unspoken beauty: problems and possibilities of absence Aesthetic response revisited: quandaries about beauty and sublimity My Othello problem: prestige status, evaluation, and aesthetic response What is aesthetic argument? Art and beauty Afterword: a brief recapitulation, with a coda on anti-aesthetic art

vii



Acknowledgments

An earlier version of part of Chapter 1 appeared as "Literary Aesthetics: Beauty, the Brain, and Mrs. Dalloway," in Literature, Neurology, and Neuroscience, ed. Anne Stiles, Stanley Finger, and François Boller (Boston, MA: Elsevier, 2014), 319-337. An earlier version of some additional sections of Chapter 1 appeared as "Attachment System Involvement in Esthetic Response" in Archives of Neuroscience 1.3 (2014). An earlier version of Chapter 2 appeared as "The Idiosyncrasy of Beauty: Aesthetic Universals and the Diversity of Taste," in Investigations into the Phenomenology and the Ontology of the Work of Art: What Are Artworks, and How Do We Experience Them?, ed. Peer Bundgaard and Frederik Stjernfelt (Dordrecht, Germany: Springer Verlag, 2015, © Peer Bundgaard and Frederik Stjernfelt and Patrick Colm Hogan, 2015). (The latter "book is published with open access at SpringerLink.com"; the chapter of that volume "is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License, which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.") I am grateful to the publishers for permission to include the revised versions here. Earlier versions of Chapter 1 and parts of Chapter 3 were presented at the University of Mainz, the University of Vienna, Duke University, and the University of Connecticut in 2013. An earlier version of part of Chapter 6 was presented at the Shakespeare 450 conference (Paris 2014). I am grateful to the organizers of these events for giving me the opportunity to share my thoughts on these topics and to the participants for their stimulating comments and questions. I should particularly mention Sibylle Baumbach, Martha Cutter, Deborah Jenson, Christa Knellwolf, Vanessa Levine-Smith, Anja Mueller-Wood, Letitia Naigles, Alejandra Rodriguez, Margarete Rubik, and William Snyder. Many of the ideas of the book were presented in my seminar on beauty and sublimity at the University of Connecticut. I would like to thank my students for their comments and help in clarifying these ideas: Carla Calandra, Nicole Haiber, Rachel Kinstler, and Paige Kolakowski, with special thanks to Katie Hires, Chris

viii



Acknowledgments

ix

McDermott, Georgina Paiella, Evan Reardon, and David Smith. My good friend Frederick Aldama graciously translated Lorca for Chapter 3. Keith Oatley facilitated the progress of the manuscript with his usual, unflagging kindness. Hetty Marx of Cambridge University Press was everything one could ask for in an editor – thoughtful, communicative, always helpful. Carrie Parkinson, also of Cambridge University Press, was an invaluable resource on permissions questions.