

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-11449-4 - Judicial Review in an Objective Legal System

Tara Smith

Index

[More information](#)

## Index

- Ackerman, Bruce, 175, 178–180, 182
- Adams, John, 78
- Affordable Care Act, 68, 255
- Alexander, Larry, 149
- Alito, Samuel, 203, 206
- ambiguity, 55, 58, 61, 83–85, 153, 196, 254, 262. *See also* Legal Objectivity, vagueness of law
- Arbitrariness. *See* Rule of Men
- Article II (of Constitution), 193
- Article VI (of Constitution), 259
- aspirational judicial review, 244. *See also* Dworkin, Ronald; Fleming, James; Living Constitutionalism
- authority. *See also* Common Law, constitution and knowledge, 5, 49–50, 54, 56, 60, 66, 71, 75, 104–105, 119–120, 130, 135, 165, 169, 171, 182, 203–204, 222, 262
- and reason, 1, 7, 13, 18–19, 21, 30, 34, 38, 42–44, 93, 97–105, 219, 279
- as based in function, 52, 61–66, 83–84, 88–94, 132–133, 212
- as constraining valid interpretation of law, 4–5, 48–50, 69, 127, 235, 269
- as distinguished from power, 93, 126
- as hybrid of common law and written constitution 8, 138–141
- in relation to objectivity of law, 5, 19, 61–66, 69, 88–111, 136–137, 181, 208, 212, 219–239, 260–265, 270–274
- legal, 4–8, 44, 50, 52, 54, 57, 61–141, 147, 158–159, 165, 170–171, 173–174, 178, 180–184, 193, 200–201, 206, 209, 211, 213, 219–239, 243–255, 260–265, 268, 270–274
- moral, 6–8, 67–113, 133, 147, 170–171, 200
- of government, 3, 5, 9, 46–47, 60, 90–111, 132, 162, 165, 180, 210, 217, 260–265, 268
- of the courts, 3–5, 86, 126–127, 145–147, 193, 199, 219, 227–228, 235–237, 251
- transference of 109–110
- balance
- place in judicial review, 245–246, 265
- Balkin, Jack, 164, 177
- Barnett, Randy, 162, 164–165, 171–174, 239
- Bennett, Robert, 148
- Black, Hugo, 149
- Blackstone, William, 77
- Bowers v. Hardwick*, 223
- Brennan, William, 187
- Breyer, Stephen, 179–180, 203, 205, 209
- Brink, David, 156, 234
- Brown v. Board of Education*, 208, 210, 268
- Burke, Edmund, 211
- Bush, George W., 68, 259
- Calder v. Bull*, 234
- Cardozo, Benjamin, 136, 187, 202
- Chase, Samuel 234
- Citizens United v. Federal Elections Committee*, 114, 268
- coercion, 90, 102
- as tool of government power, 2–3, 7, 46–48, 54, 57, 61, 63, 82–83, 87–88, 91, 107–109, 126, 171, 173, 175, 180, 183, 200, 213, 235, 268, 271, 274
- Coke, Edward, 77, 119
- Commerce Clause, 226
- Common Law. *See also* precedent and epistemology, 119–121, 130
- and stability, 114, 118–119, 122–124

- Common Law (*cont.*)  
 as basis of legal authority, 113–132, 135, 140, 203  
 limitations of, 8, 121–134, 136, 138–139, 141  
 meaning of, 115–117  
 strength of, 117–121, 138
- Concepts. *See also* interpretation, meaning and definitions, 34, 154–156, 166, 168, 192, 254  
 and kinds, 30–35, 39, 151–152, 154–155, 158  
 and meaning, 34, 57, 151, 166–167, 172–174, 218, 239  
 and objectivity, 30–31, 35, 39, 57, 156–158, 174, 218, 239, 241  
 formation of, 32  
 open-endedness of, 39–40, 134, 154–156, 166, 191–192, 250
- consent, 97. *See also* democracy, Social Contract Theory  
 and authority, 93, 96, 179–180, 183
- constitution  
 and obligation to honor, 161, 189, 197, 259–263  
 as basis of legal authority, 113, 132–138, 165  
 benefits of being written, 113, 133–136, 165  
 British vs. American conceptions of, 116, 134–135
- “Constitutional Construction,” 133, 136, 163–164, 173–174
- Constitutional dualism, 178
- context. *See also* interpretation, meaning as relevant to objectivity, 36–40, 86, 89, 111, 145–146, 152, 156, 160, 166, 191, 198, 212–213, 215–250, 263, 265–270, 275
- counter-majoritarian character of judicial review, 176, 186, 247
- courage as a judicial virtue, 9, 243–244, 254, 270–271, 274
- “dead hand” argument, 3, 170
- Declaration of Independence, 233
- deference in judicial review, 4, 58, 98, 114, 126, 139, 141, 175–186, 197, 203, 205, 211, 213, 221–222, 230–231, 238, 245–247, 260
- definitions, 166, 254  
 and changes in knowledge, 34, 154–156, 168, 192
- democracy  
 and authority, 94, 140, 150, 205, 213, 231  
 in relation to meaning, 162–175  
 role of, 4, 160, 176–186, 203–204, 211, 268
- Democratic Deference. *See* Popular Constitutionalism
- determinacy. *See* indeterminacy
- Dicey, A.V., 77
- Dickinson, John, 135
- dissents. *See* judicial dissents
- Dodd-Frank Act, 254
- Douglas, William, 176
- due process, 31, 228  
 substantive, 81
- Dworkin, Ronald, 188–200, 212, 225, 235–238
- Edlin, Douglas, 119, 193
- egoism, 95–96. *See also* well-being
- Ely, John Hart, 179
- Equal Protection Clause, 206, 242, 256–257
- Federal Communications Commission, 56
- First Amendment, 193, 231, 256, 258, 264  
 “fit” condition (on legal interpretation), 189, 191, 197. *See also* Dworkin, Ronald
- Fleming, James, 74, 173, 190, 205, 236
- Force. *See* coercion
- foreign law  
 role in judicial review, 223–225
- Fourteenth Amendment, 255–258
- Fourth Amendment, 215, 226
- formalism, 69
- Frankfurter, Felix, 204, 222
- freedom  
 and rights, 7, 29, 47, 60, 83, 89, 92–94, 97, 99–111, 129, 135, 229–231, 264, 274  
 and security, 94, 96–105  
 and the ability to reason, 94, 97–105  
 and the function of government, 6–8, 10, 50, 52, 57–58, 82, 87, 92, 107–111, 129, 132, 133, 136–137, 170, 183, 192, 213, 225, 229, 231, 251–252, 257, 260, 264, 266, 269, 271  
 and well-being, 92, 94–95, 97–110
- Friedman, Barry, 178
- function. *See also* function of government  
 and authority, 90–94  
 and form, 78–81, 92–93  
 and purpose, 89–94
- function of government, 6–8, 10, 50, 52, 57–58, 82, 87, 92, 107–111, 129, 132, 133, 136–137, 170, 183, 192, 213, 225, 229, 231, 251–252, 257, 260, 264, 266, 269, 271  
 and authority, 3, 5, 9, 46–47, 60, 90–111, 132, 162, 165, 180, 210, 217, 260–265, 268  
 and legal objectivity, 6–8, 10, 50, 52, 57–58, 82, 87, 92, 107–111, 129, 132, 133, 136–137,

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-11449-4 - Judicial Review in an Objective Legal System

Tara Smith

Index

[More information](#)

## Index

289

- 170, 183, 192, 213, 225, 229, 231, 251–252, 257, 260, 264, 266, 269, 271  
and supervisory role of courts, 3–5, 86, 126–127, 145–147, 193, 199, 219, 227–228, 235–237, 251
- Garner, Bryan, 149–150, 153  
Gingrich, Newt, 175  
Ginsberg, Ruth Bader, 203  
government, 3, 5, 9, 46–47, 60, 90–111, 132, 162, 165, 180, 210, 217, 260–265, 268. *See also* function of government  
coercion as a tool of, 2–3, 7, 46–48, 54, 57, 61, 63, 82–83, 87–88, 91, 107–109, 126, 171, 173, 175, 180, 183, 200, 213, 235, 268, 271, 274  
*Griswold v. Connecticut*, 268  
Grotius, Hugo, 77
- Hamilton, Alexander, 234, 247  
Holmes, Oliver Wendell, 176, 203, 221
- impartiality  
and neutrality, 22, 85  
and objectivity, 22, 71  
and values, 31
- indeterminacy  
of law's meaning, 195–197
- individual rights. *See* rights
- interpretation  
“literal,” 230, 237  
as context-sensitive, 36–40, 86, 89, 111, 145–146, 152, 156, 160, 166, 191, 198, 212–213, 215–250, 263, 265–270, 275  
as distinguished from constitutional construction, 133, 136, 163–164, 173–174  
as distinguished from implementation of practical implications, 46, 50, 146–147, 236, 251, 265–270
- Intrinsicism, 240–243, 250  
as distinguished from objectivity, 6, 41–42, 217  
as distinguished from subjectivism, 41, 240  
in Textualist conception of meaning, 158, 160, 212
- judicial activism, 3–4, 189, 244, 262  
judicial character, 243–245. *See also* judicial virtues  
judicial dissents  
value of, 273–274  
judicial restraint, 179, 201–205, 244, 246
- judicial review. *See also* objective judicial review  
as involving conceptual classification, 29, 33, 116, 169, 243–244  
difficulty of, 4, 55, 122, 146, 193–194, 217, 260, 274. *See also* Scalia, Antonin  
in relation to Rule of Law, 78–81, 147, 174, 180, 219, 247, 252, 275  
Living Constitutionalist/ Perfectionist model, 3, 4, 8, 145, 150, 170, 186–201, 203, 211–213, 235–236, 238, 240  
Minimalist model, 1, 3, 8, 45, 201–213, 221, 240, 248, 261  
Popular Constitutionalist/ Democratic Deference model, 1, 3, 8, 140, 145, 175–186, 200, 203, 212, 221, 231, 240, 248  
Public Understanding Originalist model, 3, 8, 153, 162–175  
Textualist model 3, 21, 28, 145, 148–162, 164–167, 166, 170, 174, 189, 212–213, 250
- judicial virtues, 204, 206, 245, 270–271. *See also* judicial character
- Kelo v. New London*, 209–210  
Kennedy, Anthony, 209–210  
knowledge, 6, 14, 18, 21, 23, 25, 26, 41–43, 98–101, 136, 151–157, 192, 201, 218, 221, 246  
and authority, 5, 49–50, 54, 56, 60, 66, 71, 75, 104–105, 119–120, 130, 135, 165, 169, 171, 182, 203–204, 222, 262  
and the wisdom of common law, 117, 121, 129–132  
as distinguished from facts, 14–15, 37  
context relativity of, 36–40  
hierarchical structure of, 34–36, 40
- Kramer, Larry, 175, 177–178
- law. *See also* authority, Common Law, constitution  
administration of, 3, 7, 48–50, 52–61, 63–65, 69–70, 79, 82, 84, 92, 115–116, 137, 147, 174, 180, 206, 213, 225, 233, 253–254  
as fundamental object of objective judicial review, 220–222  
authority of, 4–8, 44, 50, 52, 54, 57, 61–141, 147, 158–159, 165, 170–171, 173–174, 178, 180–184, 193, 200–201, 206, 209, 211, 213, 219–239, 243–255, 260–265, 268, 270–274  
content of, 7, 48–53, 61–63, 69, 74, 82, 84, 147, 172, 187, 193, 237, 253–255, 265

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-11449-4 - Judicial Review in an Objective Legal System

Tara Smith

Index

[More information](#)

290

## Index

- law as opposed to politics, 76, 84–86, 162, 173–203, 238, 249
- law as philosophical. *See also* Dworkin
- in relation to Living Constitutionalism 8, 186, 189–192, 198–201
- in relation to objective judicial review, 88, 94, 128, 137, 207, 217–218, 233–239, 249–250
- law-making, 4, 51, 53, 59, 73, 111, 150, 160, 178, 182, 196, 199, 211, 261
- as distinguished from meaning-making, 149, 159, 168–170, 175, 194–195
- Lawrence v. Texas*, 208–210, 268
- Legal Creationism, 195
- Legal Objectivity. *See also* Objective Judicial Review, Objectivity
- and function of legal system, 6–8, 10, 50, 52, 57–58, 82, 87, 92, 107–111, 129, 132, 133, 136–137, 170, 183, 192, 213, 225, 229, 231, 251–252, 257, 260, 264, 266, 269, 271
- and internal consistency, 8, 40, 48, 54–55, 64–65, 71, 74–75, 81, 83–84, 110, 135, 255, 264, 272
- and laws' administration, 3, 7, 48–50, 52–61, 63–65, 69–70, 79, 82, 84, 92, 115–116, 137, 147, 174, 180, 206, 213, 225, 233, 253–254
- and law's authority, 4–8, 44, 50, 52, 54, 57, 61–141, 147, 158–159, 165, 170–171, 173–174, 178, 180–184, 193, 200–201, 206, 209, 211, 213, 219–239, 243–255, 260–265, 268, 270–274
- and laws' content, 7, 48–53, 61–63, 69, 74, 82, 84, 147, 172, 187, 193, 237, 253–255, 265
- and laws' statement, 54–61
- and rules 13, 27, 33, 46–49, 51–52, 54, 61, 71–73, 82, 84, 108, 123, 136–139, 188, 196, 201, 236, 239, 244
- and systematicity, 35, 98, 123, 139, 226
- distinguishing features of, 5–6, 215–248
- Levinson, Sandy, 164
- liberty. *See* freedom
- Living Constitutionalism, 4, 145, 203, 235–236. *See also* Dworkin, Ronald; Fleming, James
- arguments on behalf of, 150, 186–192
- as confusing rule of philosophy with Rule of Law, 198–200, 212
- as reflecting philosophical character of law, 8, 186, 189
- as sanctioning judicial law-making, 193, 197–199, 211, 238
- criticism of, 170, 190–201, 213, 240
- distinguishing features of, 3, 186–190
- Locke, John, 77, 102
- Loving v. Virginia*, 104, 268
- Magna Carta, 116
- majoritarian politics. *See* counter-majoritarian character of judicial review. *See also* democracy
- man as an end in himself. *See also* well-being and rights 94–97
- Marshall, Thurgood, 186, 212
- McCain-Feingold Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act, 259
- meaning, 35, 55, 58, 60, 116, 121, 148, 234–235, 251. *See also* concepts, definitions, interpretation
- and concepts, 34, 57, 151, 166–167, 172–174, 218, 239
- and definitions, 34, 154–156, 166, 168, 192, 254
- and mental content, 152–153, 163, 168, 172, 213
- and popular usage, 162–175
- and speaker intention, 8, 149, 152, 156, 158, 177
- context-sensitivity of, 86, 152–201, 226, 238, 240–243
- expected applications, 153–154, 161, 191–192
- objectivity of, 8, 39–40, 59, 61, 87, 89, 148–175, 150–151, 157–163, 223, 233, 243, 248–249, 252, 272
- stability of, 4, 148–175, 177–180, 186–201
- Minimalism, 145, 248, 261
- arguments on behalf of, 203–205
- as advocating incommensurable ends, 208–209, 213
- as ambiguous in its instruction, 205–211
- as ecumenical, 202
- as incoherent concept, 205, 209, 211
- as vacuous, 208–209
- criticism of, 8, 205–212, 221, 240
- distinguishing features of, 3, 201–203, 207
- natural law, 77–78, 89–90
- Nebbia v. New York*, 228, 232–233
- Necessary and Proper Clause, 226, 234, 265
- “New Originalism,” 163
- Ninth Amendment, 229, 265
- Objective judicial review. *See also* Judicial Review, Legal Objectivity, Objectivity

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-11449-4 - Judicial Review in an Objective Legal System

Tara Smith

Index

[More information](#)

## Index

291

- appropriate expectations, 6, 9, 17, 43–44, 217, 240–243
- as conceptual rather than mechanical, 158, 174, 241–242, 271–272
- as distinguished from Living Constitutionalism, 235–238
- criticisms of, 248–251, 271–274
- distinguishing features of, 5–6, 215–248
- in relation to foreign law, 223–225
- in relation to precedent, 222–223
- role of context, 36–40, 86, 89, 111, 145–146, 152, 156, 160, 166, 191, 198, 212–213, 215–250, 263, 265–270, 275
- the law as its fundamental object, 220–222
- Objectivity (in general). *See also* Legal Objectivity, subjectivism
  - and higher abstractions, 28–32, 195–196
  - and purpose, 14–17, 21–22, 32, 43–44
  - and the scientific method, 19–21
  - and “the view from nowhere,” 6, 42
  - and “who’s to say?” objection, 25–26
  - as achieved by an active process, 6–7, 16, 32, 42, 97, 241–242, 252
  - as context-sensitive, 36–40, 86, 89, 111, 145–146, 152, 156, 160, 166, 191, 198, 212–213, 215–250, 263, 265–270, 275
  - as distinguished from evenhandedness, 23–24
  - as distinguished from infallibility, 23–26, 36, 40–42, 50, 99, 137, 156, 219, 252, 276
  - as distinguished from interchangeability, 24–26
  - as distinguished from intersubjectivity, 42, 175–186
  - as distinguished from intrinsicism, 6, 41–42
  - as distinguished from neutrality, 22–23
  - as distinguished from publicness, 18–19
  - as distinguished from subjectivism, 6, 25, 27, 63–64, 165
  - as hierarchical, 34–36, 40
  - as relational, 14, 36–38, 40–42, 241
  - of meaning, 8, 39–40, 59, 61, 87, 89, 148–175, 150–151, 157–163, 223, 233, 243, 248–249, 252, 272
- O'Connor, Sandra Day, 203, 209
- “optimizing” condition (on legal interpretation), 189, 191, 197. *See also* Dworkin, Ronald
- Originalism (in general), 3–4, 148. *See also* Original Intent Thesis, Public Understanding Originalism, Textualism
- Original Intent Thesis, 149–150, 152, 172, 185–187. *See also* Originalism
- Paine, Thomas, 185
- Perfectionism. *See* Living Constitutionalism. *See also* Dworkin, Ronald
- Perry, Michael, 178
- Popular Constitutionalism, 1, 145, 200, 203
  - and authority, 140, 180–181, 231
  - and democracy, 177, 182
  - and meaning, 177–178, 183
  - arguments on behalf of, 178–179
  - as elevating the political over the legal, 140, 177, 231
  - as implicit in common criticisms of judicial rulings, 176–177
  - as premised on erroneous conception of law’s function, 8, 180–181, 183–184, 221
  - as premised on erroneous conception of objectivity, 181, 212, 248
  - criticism of, 8, 140, 179–186, 240
  - distinguishing features of, 3, 175–178
  - similarities with Originalism, 177
- popular sovereignty. *See also* democracy, Popular Constitutionalism, Social Contract Theory
  - as basis of legal authority, 93–94, 170–171
- positivism, 89–90, 209
- Posner, Richard, 176
- preamble, 132, 230
- precedent, 130–131, 157, 206–207, 211, 220, 249, 251, 260, 267. *See also* Common Law and authority, 114, 118, 139, 141, 189, 222–223, 261, 273
  - and meaning, 124–127, 133, 157
- presumption of Constitutionality, 229–232
- presumption of liberty, 228–229
- presumptions in the law, 9, 81, 254. *See also* presumption of Constitutionality, presumption of liberty
  - as potentially distorting relevant context, 217, 227–233, 264–266
- Primacy of Existence, 15–16, 25, 27, 32, 38
- Public Understanding Originalism. *See also* Originalism
  - and constitutional construction, 164, 173–174
  - arguments on behalf of, 164–165
  - as confusing original meaning with objective meaning, 8, 168–170, 172
  - as confusing word usage with word meaning, 168–170, 173

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-11449-4 - Judicial Review in an Objective Legal System

Tara Smith

Index

[More information](#)

292

## Index

- Public Understanding Originalism (*cont.*)  
 as distinguished from original intent  
   thesis, 3  
 as distinguished from Textualism, 153, 162–163  
 as passing the buck, 169  
 as subjectivism, 171  
 as treating law-makers as  
   meaning-makers, 168  
 criticism of, 8, 165–175  
 distinguishing features of, 3, 162–164
- Pufendorf, Samuel, 77
- purpose of government, 6–8, 10, 50, 52, 57–58,  
 82, 87, 92, 107–111, 129, 132, 133, 136–137,  
 170, 183, 192, 213, 225, 229, 231, 251–252,  
 257, 260, 264, 266, 269, 271
- Rational Basis Test, 228. *See also* presumption  
 of Constitutionality, presumption of  
 liberty  
 as non-objective, 229–231
- reality. *See* Primacy of Existence
- reason, 25–26, 75–78, 87, 116, 119–121, 129–130  
 as means of survival, 94, 97–99  
 exercise of reason as depending on  
   freedom 94, 99–106, 111  
 fallibility of, 15, 23–26, 36, 40–42, 50, 99,  
 154, 186, 219, 252, 276  
 role in objective interpretation, 1, 7, 13,  
 18–19, 21, 30, 34, 38, 42–44, 46–66,  
 263, 279
- reference (of language), 151–161, 166–173, 250
- Regents of the University of California v.*  
*Bakke*, 56
- religious freedom, 65, 215–216, 230–231,  
 256–258
- rights, 87, 96, 121, 134–135, 176, 179, 208, 227,  
 233, 251. *See also* freedom, man as an end  
 in himself  
 and freedom, 7, 29, 47, 60, 83, 89, 92–94,  
 97, 99–111, 129, 135, 229–231, 264, 274  
 and function of government, 6–8, 10, 50,  
 52, 57–58, 82, 87, 92, 107–111, 129, 132, 133,  
 136–137, 170, 183, 192, 213, 225, 229, 231,  
 251–252, 257, 260, 264, 266, 269, 271  
 as casualty of non-objective judicial review,  
 3, 66, 83–84, 93, 115, 122–123, 125, 128,  
 129, 160–162, 172, 175, 186, 200–201, 230,  
 255, 274
- Roberts, John, 203
- Roe v. Wade*, 1, 177, 268
- Religious Freedom Restoration Act, 256
- Roosevelt, Theodore, 175–176
- Rule of Law. *See also* Rule of Men  
 and objectivity, 67, 74–75, 84–86, 182, 206,  
 211, 240  
 as a moral ideal, 67–88  
 as distinguished from rule of philosophy,  
 198–201  
 as rule of reason, 75–78, 87  
 benefit of, 69, 72–78, 91–92, 229, 266–268  
 conditions of, 70–72, 81, 83, 89, 112, 137, 148,  
 203, 242, 262, 266–267, 269  
 in relation to function of law, 78–81, 147,  
 174, 180, 219, 247, 252, 275  
 in relation to means of law's enforcement,  
 2–3, 46–47, 54, 62, 80–84, 86, 91–92, 111,  
 171, 175  
 inadequacy of value neutral conception of,  
 7, 68, 70, 84–86
- Rule of Men, 71, 82–83, 180, 240, 250. *See also*  
 Rule of Law, subjectivism  
 as arbitrary, 69, 75–76  
 as lacking authority, 79–80, 92–94, 141, 150,  
 200, 267  
 as “might makes right,” 75–77, 87
- rules, 13, 27, 33, 46, 49, 51–52, 54, 61, 71, 82, 84,  
 136–139, 188, 196, 201, 236, 239, 244  
 benefits of, 47–48, 72–73, 108, 123
- Rutan v. Republican Party of Illinois*, 223
- Scalia, Antonin, 69, 114, 148–150, 152–153,  
 156–157, 159–161, 163, 171–172, 190,  
 223–224, 259, 273. *See also* Textualism  
 and the “easy” character of judicial review,  
 146, 161
- separation of powers, 125, 133, 165, 230, 236,  
 246–247
- shirking of Constitutional obligations,  
 245, 259–263. *See also* deference in  
 judicial review
- Siegel, Reva, 177
- Social Contract Theory  
 and authority, 93–94
- Solum, Larry, 148, 162–163, 173–174, 237–238
- stare decisis*. *See* precedent
- Stevens, John Paul, 223
- Stone, Harlan, 228, 230
- Story, Joseph, 149
- Strauss, David, 114, 118, 120–121, 123, 125.  
*See also* Common Law, Living  
 Constitutionalism, precedent
- Strict Scrutiny, 274  
 and rationality, 9  
 criteria of, 230–231

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-11449-4 - Judicial Review in an Objective Legal System

Tara Smith

Index

[More information](#)

## Index

293

- Subjectivism, 6, 25, 27, 38, 41, 57, 64, 74, 87, 93, 212, 242. *See also* Intrinsicism, Objectivity
- of interpretation, 49, 63, 126, 171, 175, 182, 198, 201, 205, 240–241, 243, 263
- of meaning, 56, 58–59, 151, 158–162, 191
- Sunstein, Cass, 201–204, 208
- Tea Party, 183
- and Popular Constitutionalism 175, 182
- Tenth Amendment, 265
- Textualism, 145, 164. *See also* Scalia, Antonin; Originalism
- and stability of meaning, 151–158, 166, 174, 213, 250
- arguments on behalf of, 148–151
- as intrinsicist, 158, 212
- as subjectivism, 158–162, 170, 212
- criticism of, 8, 151–162, 165–167, 174, 189
- distinguishing features of, 3, 148–149, 158
- Thompson, Bradley, 185
- three-tiered scrutiny
- as contrary to the authority of government, 9, 264
- as non-objective, 231, 254, 264
- traditionalism, *See* precedent. *See also* Strauss, David
- Tushnet, Mark, 175
- US v. Carolene Products Company*, 228–233, 260
- US v. Windsor*, 209, 268
- vagueness of law, 54–56, 60, 87, 164, 173, 196, 254. *See also* ambiguity, Legal Objectivity
- “view from nowhere”
- as distinguished from objectivity, 6, 42
- volition, 6–7, 16, 32, 42, 97, 241–242, 252
- well-being
- and freedom, 92, 94–95, 97–110
- and function of government, 92, 93, 107–110
- and security, 96
- and spiritual values, 92
- and trade, 91
- Whittington, Keith, 92, 94–95, 99
- Wickard v. Filburn*, 232–233
- Wilkinson, Harvie, 176
- Wilson, Woodrow, 176
- words as designating. *See* reference
- writeability of meaning, 151–158, 166, 174, 213, 238–239, 250