

Contents

<i>Series editors' preface</i>	page xv
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xvi
Introduction	1
PART I TWENTY- EIGHT NATIONAL SUPPORT SCHEMES IN REGULATORY COMPETITION	7
1 Regulation of renewable electricity in the internal electricity market: (still) a preserve of Member States	9
1.1 The concept of regulation in the USA and the EU	9
1.1.1 Definition of regulation	9
1.1.2 Market-based instruments of regulation	12
1.1.3 Practice of regulation and academic debate	14
1.2 Regulation of electricity markets in the EU: from national monopolies to a common market	19
1.3 Regulation of renewable electricity: regulatory competition in how to reach national targets	22
1.3.1 Regulation of renewable electricity in times of national monopolies	22
1.3.2 First attempt at regulation of renewable electricity in a liberalized market: indicative targets and guarantees of origin under the First RES Directive	26
1.3.3 The regulatory status quo: mandatory national targets under the Second RES Directive	33

x CONTENTS

2	The regulatory options from an economic point of view: superiority of prices over quantities under real-world conditions	39
2.1	The distinction between price-based and quantity-based support schemes	39
2.2	The (European ignorance of the) equal efficiency of price-based and quantity-based instruments under ideal conditions	41
2.3	Efficiency of price-based and quantity-based instruments under real-world conditions	45
2.3.1	Imperfect information and uncertainty	45
2.3.2	Access to capital and investment risk	47
2.3.3	Administrative and transaction costs	50
2.3.4	Dynamic effects	51
2.3.5	Market power	53
2.3.6	Strategic behavior of firms	54
2.3.7	Windfall gains and price discrimination	56
2.3.8	Regulatory stability	57
2.3.9	Theoretical conclusion	57
2.3.10	Empirical confirmation	58
3	The times they are a-changin’? The evolution of support schemes in Member States over time	62
3.1	The first generation of support schemes: from the late 1970s until the First RES Directive	62
3.2	The second generation of support schemes: emergence of TGC in the context of market opening and increasing sophistication of FIT	65
3.3	Overview of the evolution in time of support schemes in the different Member States	68
PART II REGULATORY COMPETITION AND UNION LAW PROTECTING THE INTERNAL MARKET		77
4	Union law on State aid: down for the count, but not knocked out by <i>PreussenElektra</i>	79
4.1	The fine line that separates “State resources” from “no State resources”	79
4.1.1	The 1990s: Member States notify their support schemes, and the Commission approves them without hesitation	81
4.1.2	The starting point for a complex decision-making practice: <i>PreussenElektra</i>	82

	CONTENTS	xi
4.1.3	“Damage control” by the Commission (and the Court?): the art of threading the fine line between State resources and no State resources	85
4.1.3.1	<i>Support schemes involving a compensation mechanism based on a levy</i>	89
4.1.3.2	<i>Support schemes involving the use of resources of public undertakings</i>	92
4.1.3.3	<i>Green certificate schemes</i>	98
4.1.4	After Essent, Commission v. Netherlands (“Dutch NOx trading”), Vent de colère and Elcogaz: What scope remains for PreussenElektra?	103
4.1.4.1	<i>Clear legal assessment</i>	108
4.1.4.2	<i>Small doubts with regard to legal assessment</i>	108
4.1.4.3	<i>Major uncertainties with regard to legal assessment</i>	110
4.1.5	Should regulatory measures be subject to State aid control?	111
4.1.5.1	<i>The importance of PreussenElektra: A clear confirmation of Van Tiggele and Sloman Neptun as to whether only measures financed from State resources are covered by Article 107 (1) TFEU</i>	112
4.1.5.2	<i>Arguments on the relationship between regulation and State aid</i>	113
4.1.5.3	<i>The status quo: a partial overlap</i>	115
4.2	Under which conditions does a national support scheme confer an advantage?	117
4.3	Compatibility of State aid for renewable electricity with the internal market	121
4.3.1	Assessment under the Environmental Guidelines	121
4.3.1.1	<i>Content and evolution of the Environmental Guidelines</i>	121
4.3.1.2	<i>Commission decision practice</i>	125
4.3.2	Assessment as a public service compensation	132
4.3.3	Main differences between the Environmental Guidelines and public service compensations	135
4.3.4	Assessment of compliance with other treaty provisions in the context of the State aid assessment	136

xii	CONTENTS	
5	Union law on free movement of goods: the protection of the environment justifies (nearly) everything, except for “Buy European” clauses for equipment	138
6	Prohibition of internal customs duties and discriminatory taxation: the sometimes forgotten straightjacket	146
6.1	The relevant case law: <i>Outokumpu Oy</i> , <i>AEM</i> and <i>AEM Torino</i> , <i>Essent</i> , <i>Van Calster</i> and <i>Streekgewest</i>	146
6.2	The Commission’s control of violations of Articles 30 and 110 TFEU by support schemes and remedies	150
6.2.1	Parafiscal levies financing stranded costs, FIT and PTS	150
6.2.2	TGC and compliance with Articles 30 and 110 TFEU	155
	A brief aside I: WTO rules on subsidies and countervailing measures	159
	A brief aside II: investor-State arbitration and the Energy Charter Treaty	164
	PART III TOWARD A COMMON MARKET FOR RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY?	173
7	2013 to 2015 – Years of upheaval?	175
7.1	The economic case for a common market: efficiency gains	175
7.2	The repeated political failure of proposals for a common market: it’s the jobs, stupid (and maybe also legislative capture?)!	176
7.3	2013 to 2015 – A tale of regulatory activism and judicial self-restraint	180
7.3.1	Foreplay: the Commission’s 2012 communication “Renewable Energy: a major player in the European energy market”	181
7.3.2	Regulatory activism: Commission attempts at harmonization through communications and State aid guidelines from 2013 to 2015	186

	CONTENTS	xiii
7.3.2.1	<i>Commission guidance for the design of renewables support schemes for the period until 2020</i>	186
7.3.2.2	<i>The 2030 framework for climate and energy policies</i>	190
7.3.2.3	<i>The 2014 Environmental Guidelines: the bell tolls for FIT</i>	193
7.3.2.4	<i>Renewable energy in the Energy Union Package of 2015</i>	196
7.3.3	<i>Judicial self-restraint in Ålands vindkraft and Essent Belgium</i>	197
8	Regulatory options for the creation of a common market	201
8.1	Preliminary considerations on legal basis and interaction with other regulatory policies	202
8.1.1	Legal basis	202
8.1.1.1	<i>The Treaty of Rome: absence of a common energy policy from the text</i>	202
8.1.1.2	<i>Energy in the Single European Act and the Treaty of Maastricht: first attempts by Member States to put brakes on EU action</i>	205
8.1.1.3	<i>A dedicated legal basis for energy that comes at the price of a partial re-nationalization of energy policy</i>	206
8.1.2	Interaction with other regulatory policies	213
8.1.2.1	<i>Is there any additional impact in terms of CO₂ reduction from support schemes for renewable electricity in the presence of the EU ETS?</i>	215
8.1.2.2	<i>Are overlapping layers of regulation necessarily a problem in the light of the Tinbergen rule?</i>	216
8.1.2.3	<i>The different levels of interaction between EU ETS and support schemes for renewable electricity</i>	218
8.2	Bottom-up: regulatory convergence	220
8.3	Top-down, the regulatory way: State aid guidelines as tool of regulatory policy	221
8.4	Top-down, the legislative way: an EU-wide support scheme	227
8.4.1	The regulatory technique (price-based; quantity-based; national choice of the system combined with trading between systems)	227
8.4.2	Market risk	229

xiv	CONTENTS	
9	Regulatory cross-fertilization across the Atlantic	231
9.1	Transplanting feed-in tariffs and green certificates: intended and unintended effects	231
9.2	The federal versus the State: parallels and differences in constraints imposed by federal law on regulatory competition and the emergence of a federal market	233
9.3	A common transatlantic market for renewable electricity?	235
	<i>References</i>	237
	<i>Index</i>	262