

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-11239-1 - World Trade Organization: Dispute Settlement Reports: 2013: Volume I

Cambridge University Press

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

Dispute Settlement Reports

2013

Volume I

Pages 1-468

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-11239-1 - World Trade Organization: Dispute Settlement Reports: 2013: Volume I
Cambridge University Press
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107112391

© World Trade Organization 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

ISBN 978-1-107-11239-1 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-11239-1 - World Trade Organization: Dispute Settlement Reports: 2013: Volume I

Cambridge University Press

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

THE WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT REPORTS

The *Dispute Settlement Reports* of the World Trade Organization (the "WTO") include panel and Appellate Body reports, as well as arbitration awards, in disputes concerning the rights and obligations of WTO Members under the provisions of the *Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization*. The *Dispute Settlement Reports* are available in English. Volumes comprising one or more complete cases contain a cumulative list of published disputes. The cumulative list for cases that cover more than one volume is to be found in the first volume for that case.

This volume may be cited as DSR 2013:I

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-11239-1 - World Trade Organization: Dispute Settlement Reports: 2013: Volume I

Cambridge University Press

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
Canada - Certain Measures Affecting the Renewable Energy Generation Sector /	
Canada - Measures Relating to the Feed-in Tariff Program (WT/DS412, WT/DS426)	
Reports of the Appellate Body	7
Reports of the Panel.....	237
Cumulative List of Published Disputes	443

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-11239-1 - World Trade Organization: Dispute Settlement Reports: 2013: Volume I

Cambridge University Press

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-11239-1 - World Trade Organization: Dispute Settlement Reports: 2013: Volume I

Cambridge University Press

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Canada - Renewable Energy / Canada - Feed-in Tariff Program

**CANADA - CERTAIN MEASURES AFFECTING THE
RENEWABLE ENERGY GENERATION SECTOR /****CANADA - MEASURES RELATING TO THE FEED-IN
TARIFF PROGRAM****Reports of the Appellate Body**
WT/DS412/AB/R, WT/DS426/AB/R*Adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body
on 24 May 2013**

Canada, *Appellant/Appellee*
 Japan, *Other Appellant/Appellee/
 Third Participant*
 European Union,
*Other Appellant/Appellee/
 Third Participant*
 Australia, *Third Participant*
 Brazil, *Third Participant*
 China, *Third Participant*
 El Salvador, *Third Participant*
 Honduras, *Third Participant*¹
 India, *Third Participant*
 Korea, *Third Participant*
 Mexico, *Third Participant*

Appellate Body Division:
 Present:
 Ramírez-Hernández, Presiding
 Member
 Bhatia, Member
 Unterhalter, Member

* Note by the Secretariat:

The Appellate Body is issuing these Reports in the form of a single document constituting two separate Appellate Body Reports: WT/DS412/AB/R and WT/DS426/AB/R. The cover page, preliminary pages, sections 1 through 5 and the annexes are common to both Reports. The page header throughout the document bears the two document symbols WT/DS412/AB/R and WT/DS426/AB/R, with the following exceptions: section 6 on pages JPN-217 to JPN-219, which bears the document symbol for and contains the Appellate Body's findings and conclusions in the Appellate Body Report WT/DS412/AB/R; and section 6 on pages EU-220 to EU-221, which bears the document symbol for and contains the Appellate Body's findings and conclusions in the Appellate Body Report WT/DS426/AB/R.

Reports of the Appellate Body

Norway, *Third Participant*
 Saudi Arabia, *Third Participant*
 Separate Customs Territory of Taiwan,
 Penghu, Kinmen and Matsu, *Third
 Participant*
 Turkey, *Third Participant*²
 United States, *Third Participant*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION.....	28
2. ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTICIPANTS AND THIRD PARTICIPANTS.....	43
2.1 Claims of error by Canada – Appellant.....	43
2.1.1 Article III:8(a) of the GATT 1994.....	43
2.1.2 Article 11 of the DSU – "Commercial resale".....	46
2.2 Arguments of Japan – Appellee.....	50
2.2.1 Article III:8(a) of the GATT 1994.....	50
2.2.2 Article 11 of the DSU – "Commercial resale".....	51
2.3 Arguments of the European Union – Appellee.....	54
2.3.1 Article III:8(a) of the GATT 1994.....	54
2.3.2 Article 11 of the DSU – "Commercial resale".....	56
2.4 Claims of error by Japan – Other appellant.....	61
2.4.1 The order in which the Panel dealt with Japan's claims under the SCM Agreement and its claims under the TRIMs Agreement and the GATT 1994.....	61
2.4.2 Claims under Article III:8(a) of the GATT 1994.....	63
2.4.2.1 The Panel's finding that the FIT Programme and Contracts involve "procurement by governmental agencies of products purchased".....	63
2.4.2.2 The Panel's interpretation of the word "for" in term "for governmental purposes".....	64

¹ In DS412 only.

² In DS426 only.

Canada - Renewable Energy / Canada - Feed-in Tariff Program

2.4.2.3	The Panel's interpretation and application of the term "with a view to commercial resale"	65
2.4.3	The Panel's decision to exercise judicial economy with respect to Japan's "stand-alone" claim under Article III:4 of the GATT 1994	66
2.4.4	Claims under the SCM Agreement	69
2.4.4.1	Article 1.1(a) – "Financial contribution" or "income or price support"	69
2.4.4.2	Article 1.1(b) – "Benefit"	72
2.4.4.3	Article 11 of the DSU – "Benefit"	76
2.5	Claims of error by the European Union – Other appellant	78
2.5.1	The relationship between the TRIMs Agreement and Article III:4 of the GATT 1994	78
2.5.2	Claims under Article III:8(a) of the GATT 1994	80
2.5.2.1	The Panel's finding that the domestic content requirements "govern" procurement of electricity	80
2.5.2.2	The Panel's interpretation of the term "governmental purposes"	82
2.5.3	Claims under the SCM Agreement	84
2.5.3.1	Article 1.1(b) – "Benefit"	84
2.5.3.2	Article 11 of the DSU – "Benefit"	88
2.6	Arguments of Canada – Appellee	90
2.6.1	The order in which the Panel dealt with Japan's claims under the SCM Agreement and its claims under the TRIMs Agreement and the GATT 1994	90
2.6.2	The relationship between the TRIMs Agreement and Article III:4 of the GATT 1994	90
2.6.3	Claims under Article III:8(a) of the GATT 1994	92
2.6.3.1	The Panel's finding that the FIT Programme and Contracts involve "procurement by governmental agencies of products purchased"	92
2.6.3.2	The Panel's finding that the domestic content requirements "govern" the procurement of electricity	93
2.6.3.3	The Panel's interpretation of the term "governmental purposes"	94

Reports of the Appellate Body

2.6.3.4	The Panel's interpretation of the word "for" in the term "for governmental purposes".....	94
2.6.3.5	The Panel's interpretation of the term "with a view to commercial resale".....	95
2.6.3.6	Canada's request for completion of the analysis.....	97
2.6.4	The Panel's decision to exercise judicial economy with respect to Japan's "stand-alone" claim under Article III:4 of the GATT 1994	98
2.6.5	Claims under the SCM Agreement	99
2.6.5.1	Article 1.1(a) – "Financial contribution" or "income or price support"	99
2.6.5.2	Article 1.1(b) – "Benefit".....	101
2.6.5.3	Article 11 of the DSU – "Benefit"	104
2.7	Arguments of the third participants.....	106
2.7.1	Australia.....	106
2.7.2	Brazil.....	107
2.7.3	China.....	109
2.7.4	India	110
2.7.5	Norway	111
2.7.6	Saudi Arabia	111
2.7.7	Turkey.....	112
2.7.8	United States.....	112
3.	ISSUES RAISED IN THIS APPEAL	116
4.	BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW OF THE MEASURES AT ISSUE.....	118
4.1	Electricity networks	118
4.2	Ontario's electricity market	121
4.3	The FIT Programme.....	128
5.	ANALYSIS OF THE APPELLATE BODY.....	131
5.1	The order in which the Panel dealt with Japan's claims under the SCM Agreement and its claims under the TRIMs Agreement and the GATT 1994	131
5.2	The applicability of Article III:8(a) of the GATT 1994 to measures falling under Article 2.2 of the TRIMs Agreement and the Illustrative List annexed thereto	134
5.2.1	The Panel's findings.....	134
5.2.2	Claims and arguments on appeal	136

Canada - Renewable Energy / Canada - Feed-in Tariff Program

5.2.3	Is Article III:8(a) of the GATT 1994 applicable to measures falling within the scope of Article 2.2 of the TRIMs Agreement and the Illustrative List annexed thereto?	137
5.3	Article III:8(a) of the GATT 1994.....	143
5.3.1	The Panel's findings	143
5.3.2	Claims and arguments on appeal.....	147
5.3.3	Interpretation of Article III:8(a) of the GATT 1994	150
5.3.4	Application of Article III:8(a) of the GATT 1994 to the facts of these disputes.....	159
5.4	Did the Panel properly exercise judicial economy when it declined to make a finding under Japan's "stand-alone" claim under Article III:4 of the GATT 1994?	162
5.5	Claims under the SCM Agreement.....	169
5.5.1	Article 1.1(a) – "Financial contribution" or "income or price support".....	169
5.5.1.1	The Panel's findings	169
5.5.1.2	Whether the Panel erred in finding that the FIT Programme and Contracts are government "purchases [of] goods" under Article 1.1(a)(1)(iii) of the SCM Agreement.....	172
5.5.1.3	Did the Panel err in exercising judicial economy with respect to the allegations that the measures at issue constitute "income or price support"?.....	181
5.5.2	Article 1.1(b) – "Benefit"	183
5.5.2.1	The Panel's findings	185
5.5.2.2	Whether the Panel erred in finding that the European Union and Japan failed to establish that the challenged measures confer a benefit within the meaning of Article 1.1(b) of the SCM Agreement.....	188
5.5.2.2.1	The legal standard for the determination of benefit under Article 1.1(b) of the SCM Agreement.....	188
5.5.2.2.2	The relevant market.....	190
5.5.2.2.3	Identification of a benefit benchmark for electricity	

 Reports of the Appellate Body

	produced from windpower and solar PV technologies.....	195
5.5.2.2.4	The Panel's benefit benchmark analysis.....	198
5.5.2.2.5	Conclusions under Article 1.1(b) of the SCM Agreement.....	204
5.5.2.3	Whether the Panel acted inconsistently with Article 11 of the DSU in finding that the European Union and Japan failed to establish that the challenged measures confer a benefit within the meaning of Article 1.1(b) of the SCM Agreement	207
5.5.2.4	Completion of the analysis.....	208
6.	FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE APPELLATE BODY REPORT WT/DS412/AB/R.....	JAP-217
6.	FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE APPELLATE BODY REPORT WT/DS426/AB/R.....	EU-220
ANNEX 1	222
ANNEX 2	224
ANNEX 3	229
ANNEX 4	233