

# PERILS OF JUDICIAL SELF-GOVERNMENT IN TRANSITIONAL SOCIETIES

Judicial councils and other judicial self-government bodies have become a worldwide phenomenon. Democracies are increasingly turning to them to insulate the judiciary from the daily politics, to enhance independence and ensure judicial accountability. This book investigates the different forms of accountability and the taxonomy of mechanisms of control to determine a best practice methodology. The author expertly provides a meticulous analysis, using over 800 case studies from the Czech and Slovak disciplinary courts from 1993 to 2010 and creates a systematic framework that can be applied to future cases.

David Kosař is currently Head of the Department of Constitutional Law and Political Science at Masaryk University Faculty of Law. He clerked for a Justice and then the Vice-President of the Supreme Administrative Court, and for a Justice of the Constitutional Court of the Czech Republic.





More information

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-11212-4 - Perils of Judicial Self-Government in Transitional Societies: Holding the Least Accountable Branch to Account David Kosař Frontmatter

#### COMPARATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND POLICY

Series Editors

Tom Ginsburg, *University of Chicago* Zachary Elkins, *University of Texas at Austin* Ran Hirschl, *University of Toronto* 

Comparative constitutional law is an intellectually vibrant field that encompasses an increasingly broad array of approaches and methodologies. This series collects analytically innovative and empirically grounded work from scholars of comparative constitutionalism across academic disciplines. Books in the series include theoretically informed studies of single constitutional jurisdictions, comparative studies of constitutional law and institutions, and edited collections of original essays that respond to challenging theoretical and empirical questions in the field.

#### Books in the Series

Perils of Judicial Self-Government in Transitional Societies: Holding the Least Accountable Branch to Account

David Kosař

**Engaging Social Rights** 

Brian Ray

Making We the People

Chaihark Hahm and Sung Ho Kim

Radical Deprivation on Trial

Cesar Rodríguez-Garavito and Diana Rodríguez-Franco

Unstable Constitutionalism

Edited by Mark Tushnet and Madhav Khosla

Magna Carta and Its Modern Legacy

Edited by Robert Hazell and James Melton

International Courts and the Performance of International Agreements: A General Theory with Evidence from the European Union

Clifford Carrubba and Matthew Gabel

Reputation and Judicial Tactics: A Theory of National and International Courts

Shai Dothan

Constitutions and Religious Freedom

Frank Cross

Constitutionalism in Asia in the Early Twenty-First Century

Edited by Albert Chen

Social Difference and Constitutionalism in Pan-Asia

Edited by Susan H. Williams

Constitutions in Authoritarian Regimes

Edited by Tom Ginsburg and Alberto Simpser

Presidential Legislation in India: The Law and Practice of Ordinances

Shubhankar Dam

Social and Political Foundations of Constitutions

Edited by Denis J. Galligan and Mila Versteeg

Consequential Courts: Judicial Roles in Global Perspective

Edited by Diana Kapiszewski, Gordon Silverstein and Robert A. Kagan

Comparative Constitutional Design

Edited by Tom Ginsburg





# PERILS OF JUDICIAL SELF-GOVERNMENT IN TRANSITIONAL SOCIETIES

Holding the Least Accountable Branch to Account

DAVID KOSAŘ





### **CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107112124

© David Kosař 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data
Names: Kosař, David, 1979– author.

Title: Perils of judicial self-government in transitional societies: holding
the least accountable branch to account / David Kosař, 1979–
Description: New York: Cambridge University Press, 2016. |
Series: Comparative constitutional law and policy
Identifiers: LCCN 2015030771 | ISBN 9781107112124 (hardback)
Subjects: LCSH: Judicial independence. | Judicial power. |
Political questions and judicial power. | Transitional justice.
Classification: LCC K3367.K67 2016 | DDC 347/.012–dc23
LC record available at http://lccn.loc.gov/2015030771

ISBN 978-1-107-11212-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For Pavla





#### CONTENTS

	Ack	nowledgments page xiii					
	Cav	eats xv					
Introduction 1							
	I.	The Puzzle 9					
	II.	The Approach 14					
	III.	Overview of the Argument 16					
	IV.	Plan of the Book 19					
PART	ONI	Judicial Accountability: Theoretical Framework					
1	The	Concept of Judicial Accountability 25					
	I.	Unpacking the Notion of Accountability 30					
	II.	Specifics of Judicial Accountability 36					
	III.	The Concept of Judicial Accountability 40					
	IV.	Why Judicial Accountability Matters? 59					
		De Jure versus De Facto Judicial Accountability 65					
	VI.	Accountability Perversions 68					
2	2 Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability 73						
	I.	What Do Judges Maximize? 74					
	II.	What Is "In": Taxonomy of Mechanisms of Judicial					
	111	Accountability 75					
	111.	What Is "Out": Contingent Circumstances of Judicial Accountability 92					
	IV.	Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability in Recognition and					
		Career Judiciaries 113					
3		icial Accountability and Judicial Councils 121					
		The Rise of Judicial Councils and Their Effects 121					
	II.	The Judicial Council Euro-model of Court Administration 126					
	III.	The Impact of the Judicial Council Euro-model on Judicial Accountability 136					
		ix					
		IA.					



v	CONTENTS
X	CONTENTS

#### PART TWO Holding Czech and Slovak Judges Accountable

4	Prologue to th	e Case Studies: Methodology and Data
	Reporting	145

- I. Research Design of My Case Studies 145
- II. What Is Measured 149
- III. Data Collection 150
- IV. Method and Evaluation 152
- V. Potential Inaccuracies 155

#### 5 The Czech Republic 158

- I. The Czech Judiciary in Context 158
- II. Court Administration after the Split (1993–2010): TwoDecades of Calibrating the Ministry of Justice Model 181
- III. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability from 1993 to 2002 187
- IV. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability from 2003 to 2010 215
- V. Overall Conclusion on the Czech Case Study 235

#### 6 Slovakia 236

- I. The Slovak Judiciary in Context 236
- II. Court Administration after the Split (1993–2010): The Road from the Ministry of Justice Model to the Judicial Council Euro-model 254
- III. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability from 1993 to 2002 264
- IV. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability from 2003 to 2010 299
- V. Overall Conclusion on the Slovak Case Study 333

## 7 Evaluation: The Czech Republic and SlovakiaCompared 334

- I. Comparing Results from Slovakia and the Czech Republic between 1993 and 2002 334
- II. Comparing Results from Slovakia and the Czech Republic between 2003 and 2010 347
- III. Effects of the Judicial Council Euro-model in Slovakia 361
- IV. Alternative Explanations 372



> хi CONTENTS

#### **Conclusions and Implications** PART THREE

8	Perils of Judicial Self-Government	389
---	------------------------------------	-----

- I. Court Presidents: Invisible Masters of Central and Eastern European Judiciaries 390
- II. The Judicial Leadership Theory of Judicial Councils
- III. The Judicial Council Euro-model: Toward the System of Dependent Judges within an Independent Judiciary? 406
- IV. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability in Transitional Societies
- V. Oversight of Judges: Why Fire Alarms Do Not Work? 422
- VI. Judicial Virtues Matter

465

Annex A. Court System of the Czech Republic 433 Annex B. Court System of Slovakia Annex C. The Number of Judges in the Czech Republic and Slovakia (1993–2010) 439 **Bibliography** Index





#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Far more people than I could mention here have helped me to get the manuscript to its present shape, having provided comments and criticisms on its previous drafts, presented at various occasions and some of them published in forms of articles. Instead of risking forgetting someone, I would like to personally thank the three "trios" without whom I would not have been able to "get the job done" at all.

First, I thank the "CEU trio" of judges/academics whom I happened to meet at the CEU, Aharon Barak, András Sajó, and the late Roger Errera, for triggering my interest in judicial accountability and shaping my early thoughts on this topic. Second, I am indebted to the "Czech trio," Michal Bobek, Jan Komárek, and Zdeněk Kühn, for having always been on my side and for commenting on various parts of this work as well as the final draft. Their feedback and support cannot be overemphasized. Third, I am immensely grateful to the "New York trio," Stephen Holmes, Tom Ginsburg, and Ran Hirschl, for their ongoing mentorship, encouragement, and trust. Most of all, they believed in the project long before it materialized into a full-length book. Stephen Holmes was a patient mentor who guided me throughout the entire process of writing. Tom Ginsburg and Ran Hirschl provided me with helpful suggestions and also showed me what it means to be an academic. In addition to these three "trios," I would like to thank Robert Zbíral and Hubert Smekal, two most promising Czech political scientists, and two anonymous reviewers for reading the entire manuscript and for their thoughtful suggestions.

The European Commission provided me a generous grant (Marie Curie Actions – Support for training and career development of researcher [CIG], Grant Agreement No.: PCIG10-GA-2011–303933) to conduct the two case studies on the Czech Republic and Slovakia and present their results at conferences on both sides of the Atlantic. I am also grateful to the NYU Summer Writing Program for funding my two-month stay at the NYU Global Research Center in Berlin in summer 2012, to the French Embassy in Prague for supporting my research at the Aix-Marseille

xiii



xiv

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Université in September 2013, to the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law for allowing me to access its fantastic library and to discuss the key chapters of this book in summer 2014, and to the Jan Hus Foundation for allowing me to "buy time" to finish this manuscript. However, I owe the greatest debt of gratitude to Pavla for her infinite understanding and constant support.



#### CAVEATS

The empirical part of this book (Chapters 4–7) studies the years between 1993 and 2010. The subsequent developments in the Czech Republic and Slovakia are mentioned only if they illuminate the main findings of this book. The remaining parts of this book reflect the state of the art as of June 30, 2014. The subsequent developments are discussed only selectively.

Usual caveats apply. All opinions expressed in this book are personal to the author and should not be attributed to any institution he was or has been working with during the writing of this book. Any mistake, of course, remains author's own.