Leases for Lives

Many historians of insurance have commented on the disconnect between the rise of English life insurance companies in the early eighteenth century and the mathematics behind the sound pricing of life insurance products that was developed at about the same time. Insurance and annuity promoters typically ignored this mathematical work. Bellhouse explores this issue and shows that the early mathematical work was not motivated by insurance but instead by the fair valuation of life contingent contracts related to property.

Even the work of the mathematician James Dodson in the creation of the Equitable Life Assurance Society, offering sound actuarially based premiums, did not change the industry in any significant way. The tipping point was a crisis in 1770 in which the philosopher and mathematician Richard Price, as well as other mathematicians, showed that a dozen or more recently formed annuity societies could not meet their financial obligations and were inviable.
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Preface

I studied actuarial science as an undergraduate and then gave it up to pursue statistics as a field of study. Throughout my entire career I maintained an interest in history to the point that eventually my research moved away from technical work in statistics to the history of statistics. I seem to have come full circle by researching and then writing a book on the history of actuarial science.

In one sense this book is a natural outgrowth of an article I wrote with my friend and colleague, Christian Genest, on the life of Abraham De Moivre, the eighteenth-century Huguenot mathematician working in London, England. De Moivre is best known for his work in probability theory, but is also seen as one of the fathers of actuarial science. After much more research I was able to write a full-length biography of De Moivre. In that book there is a chapter on De Moivre's actuarial work, beginning in 1725 with his book on life annuities. When I was researching that chapter, what intrigued me was that I found a number of manuscripts showing English mathematicians around the 1740s answering questions on life contingent contracts involving life annuities but related to property. These questions had nothing to do with the insurance and annuity industry. That was puzzling to me. At about the same time I was reading histories of insurance and found, to my surprise, another puzzle. Although the insurance industry started in England in the late seventeenth century, mathematicians were not involved in the industry until the 1750s or 1760s and then only peripherally. It was not until much later in the eighteenth century that mathematics became more engaged in the insurance and annuity industry. Apparently, mathematicians like De Moivre were neither needed nor wanted by the industry. The manuscripts that I initially found marked the beginning of a long paper chase that has resulted in what I hope are solutions to these puzzles.

What I have shown is that mathematical work in actuarial science was initially motivated by problems in the valuation of life contingent contracts related to property: leases for lives, reversions on estates, and
marriage settlements. There was one enterprising mathematician, James Dodson, who tried in the 1750s to translate his skills in these areas to the establishment of a life insurance company. He died before the company was finally founded in 1762. The company he worked to establish, the Society for Equitable Assurances, may have remained the outlier among life insurance companies had it not been for mathematicians in the 1770s pointing to the very shaky foundations of several organizations offering life annuities. Because of the work of these mathematicians, especially Richard Price, almost all of these organizations ceased operating and those remaining reformed the products they offered. This was the turning point in the mathematicians' involvement in the life insurance and annuity industries. Throughout this entire period mathematicians continued to work as consultants on life contingent contracts related to property.
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