

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10781-6 - Representation in Congress: A Unified Theory

Kim Quaile Hill, Soren Jordan and Patricia A. Hurley

Index

[More information](#)

Index

- abortion policy
 - issue complexity theory and, 58–59
 - issue easiness, 60
 - in Methodological Appendix, 179–84, 193–94
 - party polarization over, 58–59
 - as party-defining issue, 34, 71
 - representational linkages for, 70, 82
 - switched-seat members and, 120
 - trustee representation and, 120, 164–65
- The Almanac of American Politics*, 104
- American National Election Study (ANES), 111–12, 15, 51, 187–90
- American Representation Study, 10, 82
- ANES. *See* American National Election Study
- belief-sharing
 - constituency preferences and, 157
 - with dyadic representation, 8, 43–44
 - military spending policy and, 150–52
 - representational linkage and, 83–87
 - roll call votes and, 110, 114–19
 - in scientific study of representation, 171–72
 - for switched-seat members, 114–19
- bipartisanship. *See also* party polarization
 - with Violence Against Women Act, 2
- Burke, Edmund, 19
- Bush, George W., 2, 111
- busing, as party-defining, 71
- campaign finance reform
 - issue complexity theory and, 58–59
 - issue easiness, 60–61
 - in Methodological Appendix, 189–90
 - party polarization over, 58–59
 - representation linkage for, 91–94
 - roll call votes for, 126, 128
 - Shays-Meehan bill for, 61
 - trustee representation in, 91–92, 164–65
- civil rights policy
 - in American Representation Study, 82
 - delegate representation for, 9
 - issue complexity theory and, 58–59
 - issue easiness, 60
 - in Methodological Appendix, 197–99
 - party polarization over, 58–59
 - representational linkages for, 70
- collective representation, 20–21, 66
- dyadic representation and, 173–75
- partisan representation and, 173–74

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10781-6 - Representation in Congress: A Unified Theory

Kim Quaile Hill, Soren Jordan and Patricia A. Hurley

Index

[More information](#)

220

Index

- Congress, U.S. *See also* elections, to Congress; electoral marginality, of legislators; House of Representatives; Senate; switched-seat members, in Congress
- 85th Congress, 52
- embryonic stem cell use, bills for, 2–3
- 95th Congress, 51
- 97th Congress, 51
- 106th Congress, 50–51
- Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, partisan responses to, 1–2
- congressional elections. *See* elections, to Congress
- constituency preferences
- belief-sharing and, 157
- in dyadic representation, 38, 159, 161
- electoral marginality of legislators and, 137, 152
- in issue complexity theory, 38
- party polarization and, 38
- in theoretical research for dyadic representation, 12–13
- trustee representation and, 157
- constituency representation
- collective representation and, 20–21
- consideration of observable phenomena for, 26
- dyadic representation and, 4–7, 163–68
- electoral rules and, 18
- for embryonic stem cell use, 2–3
- in global democracies, 17–20
- in House of Representatives, 4–5
- for Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, 1–2
- perceived quality of, 21
- political parties in, role of, 28
- pork barrel policies and, 3
- preferences of, demographic attributes as influence on, 12–13
- scholar's intuition as influence on, 27
- as theory, 3, 26–30
- for Violence Against Women Act, 2
- construction, of theory, 47
- co-partisan model, for social welfare policy, 78–79, 81–82
- cross-cutting issues
- case study literature on, 61–63
- with dyadic representation, 44–46
- electoral marginality and, 136
- in issue complexity theory, 32–34, 53–54
- metrics for, 53–54
- military spending policy, 71, 80–81
- party liberalism and, 54
- party polarization and, 32–34, 53–54
- social welfare policy, 71, 169–70
- daylight savings time, 71
- delegate representation, 7–8
- for civil rights policy, 9
- Democratic Party
- role in constituency representation, 28
- support for Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, 1–2
- directional theory of voting, 122–23
- dyadic representation. *See also*
- representational linkage, patterns of; scientific study, of representation; theoretical research, for dyadic representation
- ANES and, 10–12
- assumptions about, 36
- belief-sharing model and, 8, 43–44
- character of, 166–67
- collective representation and, 173–75
- for complex political issues, 44
- in Congress, 4–7
- constituency preferences in, 38, 159, 161
- constituency representation and, 4–7, 163–68
- constituent knowledge of elected representative in, 37–38
- criteria for, as political theory, 24–26
- with cross-cutting issues, 44–46
- delegate representation and, 7–9
- electorally revealed preferences in, 38–39

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10781-6 - Representation in Congress: A Unified Theory

Kim Quaile Hill, Soren Jordan and Patricia A. Hurley

Index

[More information](#)

Index

221

- for established political issues, 43
- fairness to subgroups with, 5–6
- full constituency preferences with, 41–42
- issue complexity theory and, 36–39, 158–63
- legislator knowledge of constituent preferences in, 39
- with new political issues, 41–43
- parsimony of, 25, 46
- partisanship as influence on, 5, 161–62
- party polarization and, 36–39, 158–63
- party-defining issues and, 44–46
- policy issue salience and, 62–67
- principle predictions as result of, 39–46
- re-election as influence on, 38
- responsible party representation and, 8–9
- seminal research on, 9
- standard model of, 158–63
- sub-constituency representation and, 173–75
- tests of predictions for, 95–97
- theoretical expectations about, 7–9
- trustee representation and, 7–9
- unification of theory for, 23–24
- dynamic representation, 174
- 85th Congress, 52
- Einstein, Albert, 23, 26
- elections, to Congress
 - competition on policy issues and, 100–3, 106–7
 - data testing and methodology for, 125–27
 - directional theory of voting, 122–23
 - incumbent policy preferences and, 124–25
 - military spending policy and, 127
 - representational linkages and, 100
 - for sophisticated candidates, 102–7
 - switched-seat members and, 108–10
 - trustee representation and, 103
 - unsophisticated candidates, 103–4
- electoral marginality, of legislators
 - constituency preferences and, 137, 152
 - constituency responsiveness and, 133
 - on cross-cutting issues, 136
 - defined, 132
 - easiness of issues and, 140
 - electoral vulnerability and, 139
 - empirical methodology for, 136–38
 - for gun control policy, 148–50
 - legislator responsiveness and, 135–38
 - marginality hypothesis and, 133–35
 - military spending policy and, 150–52
 - party polarization and, 140
 - on party-defining issues, 136, 138
 - personal electoral votes and, 133
 - policy issue type and, 135–38
 - policy preferences and, expected effects of, 140–48
 - predictions for, 136–37
 - research design for, 136–38
 - on social welfare policy, 140–48
- electoral rules, constituency representation and, 18
- embryonic stem cells, use of
 - Congressional bills for, 2–3
 - Republican Party response to, 2–3
- Equal Rights Amendment (ERA), 147, 192
- explanatory power, of political theory, 25
- The Federalist Papers* (Madison), 99
- Feynman, Richard, 24, 155
- foreign policy
 - issue complexity theory and, 58–59
 - issue easiness, 61
 - in Methodological Appendix, 199–200
 - under Mutual Security Acts, 121
 - party polarization over, 58–59
 - switched-seat members and, 120
 - trustee representation and, 9, 120, 164–65

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10781-6 - Representation in Congress: A Unified Theory

Kim Quaile Hill, Soren Jordan and Patricia A. Hurley

Index

[More information](#)

222

Index

- gay rights, representational linkages for, 70
- General Social Survey (GSS), 193
- gun control policy
 - as cross-cutting issue, 169
 - electoral marginality of legislators and, 148–50
 - issue complexity theory and, 58–59
 - issue easiness, 61
 - in Methodological Appendix, 184–86
 - party polarization over, 58–59
 - as party-defining issue, 169
 - roll call votes on, 57, 127–28
 - trustee representation in, 91
- House of Representatives
 - constituency representation in, 4–5
 - embryonic stem cell use in, bills for, 2–3
 - Violence Against Women Act in, bipartisan support of, 2
- ideal points, for legislators, 14
- incumbents, in re-elections
 - data and methodology for, 125–27
 - policy preferences for, 124–25
 - roll call votes for, 121–25, 127–29
- issue complexity theory. *See also* verification, of political theory
 - abortion and, 58–59
 - assumptions about, 30–36
 - bidirectional influences on, 32
 - campaign finance reform and, 58–59
 - case study literature for, 61–63
 - civil rights policy and, 58–59
 - complexity of policy issue and, 54–56
 - constituency preferences in, 38
 - constituent knowledge of elected representative in, 37–38
 - cross-cutting issues and, 32–34, 53–54
 - dyadic representation and, 36–39, 158–63
 - electorally revealed preferences in, 38–39
 - evolution of policy issues in, 34–36
 - foreign policy and, 58–59
 - gun control policy and, 58–59
 - issue-specific metric scores for, 57–59
 - legislative constituencies and, 36–37
 - legislator knowledge of constituent preferences in, 39
 - with length of time on political agenda, 56–57
 - military spending policy and, 58–59
 - multiple alternative patterns of, 31
 - party-defining issues and, 32–33, 53–54
 - public knowledge of issues in, 33–34
 - public policy issues and, 31
 - re-election as influence on, 38
 - for scientific understanding of representation, 155–57
 - with social welfare policy, 58–59
- jury prediction theory, 37
- Kaplan, Abraham, 154
- Kennedy, Ted, 147, 192
- liberalism. *See* party liberalism
- Madison, James, 99, 129
- marginality hypothesis, 133
 - existing research on, 133–35
 - measurement validity of, 135
- Methodological Appendix, 51, 53, 104–5, 177–79
 - abortion policy in, 179–84, 193–94
 - campaign finance reform in, 189–90
 - civil rights policy in, 197–99
 - foreign policy in, 199–200
 - gun control policy in, 184–86
 - military spending policy in, 195–97
 - social welfare policy in, 186–92, 200–2
- military spending policy, 51
 - belief-sharing and, 150–52
 - congressional elections and, 127
 - as cross-cutting issue, 71, 80–81
 - electoral marginality of legislators and, 150–52
 - issue complexity theory and, 58–59
 - issue easiness, 61

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10781-6 - Representation in Congress: A Unified Theory

Kim Quaile Hill, Soren Jordan and Patricia A. Hurley

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

223

- in Methodological Appendix, 195–97
- party polarization over, 58–59
- representational linkage for, 70, 79–81
- roll call votes for, 111, 125–27
- multilevel regression and post-stratification (MRP), 49
- Mutual Security Acts, 121
- National Annenberg Election Survey (NAES), 50, 78–79, 179, 184–89
- National Political Action Test (NPAT), 50, 78–79, 104–5, 179–80, 184–89
- 95th Congress, 51
- 97th Congress, 51
- nomothetic model of explanation, 25
- NPAT. *See* National Political Action Test
- Obamacare. *See* Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act
- 106th Congress, 50–51
- outcropping research, 50
- partisan representation, 173–74
- partisanship. *See also* bipartisanship; Democratic Party; Republican Party
 - dyadic representation influenced by, 5, 161–62
 - over Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, 1–2
 - for social welfare policy, 170
- party liberalism, 54
- party polarization. *See also* Democratic Party; Republican Party; verification, of political theory
 - abortion policy and, 58–59
 - assumptions about, 30–36
 - bidirectional influences on, 32
 - on campaign finance reform, 58–61
 - case study literature for, 61–63
 - civil rights policy and, 58–59
 - constituency preferences and, 38
 - constituent knowledge of elected representative and, 37–38
 - from cross-cutting issues, 32–34, 53–54
 - dyadic representation and, 36–39, 158–63
 - electoral marginality of legislators and, 140
 - electorally revealed preferences in, 38–39
 - evolution of policy issues and, 34–36
 - foreign policy and, 58–59
 - gun control policy and, 58–59
 - issue easiness and, 59–61
 - issue-specific metric scores for, 57–59
 - legislative constituencies and, 36–37
 - legislator knowledge of constituent preferences in, 39
 - with length of time on political agenda, 56–57
 - military spending policy and, 58–59
 - multiple alternative patterns of, 31
 - party-defining issues and, 32–34, 53–54
 - public knowledge of issues and, 33–34
 - public policy issues and, 31
 - re-election as influence on, 38
 - for scientific understanding of representation, 155–57
 - social welfare policy and, 58–59
- party-defining issues
 - abortion as, 34, 71
 - busing, 71
 - complexity of policy issue and, 54–56
 - dyadic representation and, 44–46
 - electoral marginality for, 136, 138
 - gun control as, 169
 - issue complexity theory and, 32–33, 53–54
 - metrics for, 53–54
 - party liberalism and, 54
 - party polarization and, 32–34, 53–54
 - Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act as, 45
 - social welfare policy as, 71, 169
 - time as factor for, 45

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10781-6 - Representation in Congress: A Unified Theory

Kim Quaile Hill, Soren Jordan and Patricia A. Hurley

Index

[More information](#)

224

Index

- Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA)
 constituency representation and, 1–2
 Democratic Party support for, 1–2
 as party-defining issue, 45
 Republican Party opposition to, 1–2
 political theory. *See also* dyadic
 representation; issue complexity
 theory; party polarization;
 verification, of political theory
 consideration of observable
 phenomena for, 26
 conventional criteria for, 24–26
 election effects in, 29
 evolution of policy issues and, 30
 explanatory power of, 25
 nomothetic model of explanation
 for, 25
 parsimony of, 25, 46
 scholar's intuition and, 27
 simplicity of, 25
 theoretical completeness as part of,
 25, 27, 29
Politics in America, 104
 pork barrel policies, constituency
 representation and, 3
 Project Vote Smart, 50
 public policy, constituency
 representation and, 31
 representation. *See* dyadic
 representation; scientific study, of
 representation
 representational linkage, patterns of
 for abortion, 70, 82
 belief-sharing and, 83–87
 for campaign finance reform, 91–94
 causal processes for, 97–98, 100
 for civil rights policy, 70
 for congressional elections, 100
 in existing research, 70–73
 for military spending, 70, 79–81
 models of, identification of, 97–98
 policy test cases for, 91–95
 predictions for, 73–74
 responsible party representation
 and, 73–83
 roll-call vote equations in, 82–83
 for social welfare policy, 70, 78–79,
 82
 for tax policy, 70
 for tax reform, 70
 with trustee representation, 88–91
 verification of theory and, 70–73
 Republican Party
 on embryonic stem cell use, 2–3
 opposition to Patient Protection and
 Affordable Care Act, 1–2
 role in constituency representation,
 28
 responsible party representation, 8–9
 for social welfare policy, 9
 Revamp Medical Insurance
 Regulations Act, 187–88
 roll call votes, 61
 belief-sharing and, 110, 114–19
 for campaign finance reform, 126,
 128
 data testing and methodology for,
 125–27
 extremism in, by incumbents,
 123–24, 128
 on gun control, 57, 127–28
 for incumbents, 121–25, 127–29
 for military spending policy, 111,
 125–27
 by policy issue, 111–12
 re-election prospects as influence on,
 121–25, 127–29
 in representational linkage, 82–83
 for social welfare policy, 127
 for switched-seat members, 108–19
 trustee representation issues and,
 94–95, 119–21
 scientific study, of representation
 additional prediction tests, 171
 belief-sharing in, 171–72
 future verification tests for, 169–70
 for issue complexity theory, 155–57
 replication tests for, 170–71
 research design implications for,
 155–57
 Senate, 2–3

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10781-6 - Representation in Congress: A Unified Theory

Kim Quaile Hill, Soren Jordan and Patricia A. Hurley

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

225

- Shaw, George Bernard, 154
 Shays-Meehan bill, 61
 Snyder, James, 180
 social welfare policy
 co-partisan model for, 78–79, 81–82
 as cross-cutting issue, 71, 169–70
 electoral marginality of legislators
 on, 140–48
 evolution of, 34–35
 issue complexity theory and, 58–59
 issue easiness, 60
 in Methodological Appendix,
 186–92, 200–2
 partisanship for, 170
 party polarization over, 58–59
 as party-defining, 71, 169
 representational linkage for, 70,
 78–79, 82
 responsible party representation for,
 9
 roll call votes for, 127
 sophisticated candidates, 102–4
 defined, 103–4
 issue position predictions for, 104–7
 sub-constituency representation,
 173–75
 switched-seat members, in Congress
 abortion policy and, 120
 belief-sharing for, 114–19
 foreign policy and, 120
 roll call votes for, 108–19
 testing expectations of, data
 methodology for, 110–14
 trustee representation issues, 119–21
 tax policy, representational linkages
 for, 70
 tax reform, representational linkages
 for, 70
 theoretical completeness, in political
 theory, 25, 27, 29
 theoretical research, for dyadic
 representation, 9–12. *See also*
 political theory
 alternative forms of representation
 in, 14–15
 with ANES, 10–12, 15
 consequences of, 16–17
 constituency preferences in, 12–13
 future scholarship influenced by,
 20–21
 legislator ideal points in, 14
 legislator preferences in, 13–14
 limited data availability in, 12–16
 scholarly consensus after, 16–17
 theory. *See* construction, of theory;
 political theory; verification, of
 political theory
*Toward a More Responsible Two-
 Party System*, 8
 trustee representation, 7–8
 abortion policy and, 120, 164–65
 Burke and, 19
 in campaign finance reform, 91–92,
 164–65
 congressional elections and, 103
 constituency preferences and, 157
 foreign policy and, 9, 120, 164–65
 future research on, 172
 for gun control, 91
 representational linkage with, 88–91
 roll call votes and, 94–95, 119–21
 verification, of political theory. *See also*
 dyadic representation
 with ANES, 51
 case study literature for, 61–63
 complexity of policy issues and,
 54–56
 for cross-cutting issues, 53–54
 data collection efforts in, 52
 with 85th Congress, 52
 empirical tests for, 155–56
 functions of, 47–48
 issue easiness and, 59–61
 issue-specific metric scores in, 57–59
 with length of time on political
 agenda, 56–59
 measures of concepts for, 48–53
 with models of representation,
 48–49
 MRP and, 49
 with National Annenberg Election
 Survey, 50

| | |
|--|--|
| verification, of political theory. (cont.) | policy issue salience and, 62–67 |
| with 95th Congress, 51 | representational linkage and, |
| with 97th Congress, 51 | 70–73 |
| with NPAT, 50 | research plan for, 48–53 |
| with 106th Congress, 50–51 | in scientific study of representation, |
| as ongoing process, 70, 157 | 169–70 |
| outcropping research for, 50 | Violence Against Women Act |
| for party-defining issues, 53–54 | constituency representation and, 2 |
| policy cases for, 48–53 | in House of Representatives, 2 |