

INDEX

- abductive arguments, 18. *See also* causal explanations
- according to law behavior, 203–206
 - release behavior and, 204–205
- adequacy threshold, 194–195
- adjectival law. *See* procedural law
- administrative best practice guidelines, 236
- analogical reasoning, presumptive
 - argument schemes from, 19–20
- argument schemes, 5–8. *See also* presumptive argument schemes
 - attacks in, 7–9
 - of defeasible reasoning, 5–8
 - defeat relations in, 7–9
 - knowledge base for, 22
 - Modus Ponens* schemes, 5–6
- argumentation logic, 9–13
 - attacks in, 22–24
 - defeats in, 22–24
 - dialectical evaluation of arguments, 25–28
 - dialectical trees, 9–13
 - formalisation of, 21–29
 - foundationalism and, 28
 - grounded semantics in, 27
 - knowledge base for, 22
 - labeling approach in, 25–29
 - law of non-contradiction invalidated by, 30
 - logical language, 22–23
 - as nonmonotonic, 30
 - opponents, 9
 - as paraconsistent, 30
 - positions in, 28–29
 - preferred semantics in, 27
 - proponents, 9
 - purpose of, 3
 - rebutting attacks and, 23–24
 - structure of, 22–24
 - undercutting attacks and, 24
 - winning strategy with, 9–11
- arguments, types of, 5–9
- Aristotle, 212–215
- Artificial Intelligence, defeasible
 - reasoning and, 4
- assumptions, logic systems and, 36–37
- atomic vagueness, 249–251
- attacks, in argument schemes, 7
 - in argumentation logic, 22–24
 - defeats compared to, 8–9
 - rebutting, 15–18, 23–24
 - undercutting, 15–17, 24
- Austin, J. L., 240–241
- Australian law
 - autonomy of, 126
 - Bisticic v Rokov*, 120
 - under Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act, 120
 - imperial courts and, 119–120
 - legal inconsistency in, 119–122
 - legal orders in, pre-1986, 119–122
 - Privy Council and, 119–120
 - rules of recognition under, 121–122
 - Viro v The Queen*, 120
 - Westminster Parliament and, 119–122
- Baker, John, 181
- Barber, Nicholas, 228
- Basic Law, 122–125
- Beall, Jc, 177–179
- bediavad* (minimal performance of legal duty), 76–78

- Besmer, Veronica, 265
 binary divisions, 220–225
 definition and classification of, 220
 formation of, 221–222
 hybrids of, 224
 objections to, 220, 222–224
 binary logic
 cosmopolitan thought and, 220–225
 legal classifications and, 224
 self-determination law and, 130–131
 indivisible sovereignty and, 131–133
 bindingness, 252–255, 260
Bisticic v Rokov, 120
 bivalent logic, 212–220. *See also* Jewish law
 Aristotle on, 212–215
 cosmopolitan thought and, 234
 “excluded middle” and, 215–216
 in Hebrew Bible, 70
 three-valued logic and, 213–215
 use of legal materials, 216–220, 235
 multi-valued, 218–220
 both-and logic, 227–228

 case-legal consequence pair (CLCP), 95
 causal explanations, in presumptive argument schemes, 17–18
 causal refutations, 18
 CECs. *See* clinical ethics committees
 Chen, Albert, 123
 Chinese Constitution, 122
 choice, cosmopolitan thought and, 226–227
 Christianity, monotheism and, 71–72
 Chunk and Permeate framework, 174–176, 234–235
 application of, 174–176
 English common law and, 186–195
 Equity and, 190–195
 extra-legal factors in, 176
 inference principles in, 176
 inter-jurisdictional agreements and, 189
 multiple legal orders and, 186–188
 classical logic
 deductive logic and, 177
 multivalent logic and, 46

 CLCP. *See* case-legal consequence pair
 clinical codes. *See* ethical clinical codes
 clinical ethics committees (CECs), 268–270
 codes of conduct, in corporations, 236
 common law. *See also* English common law
 EU law and, 163
 legal orders and, 162–163
 royal courts of, 181
 Commonwealth of Australia
 Constitution Act, 120
 compliance conflicts, 93
 compliance statements, 113
 compromised peacebuilding, 156
 conciliation
 for law, 210, 225–226, 233
 through logic, 209–210, 225, 232–234
 conflict of laws, 164–165
 construction of, 164
 decline of, 167
 exceptions to, 166
 French Court of Cassation and, 166
 multivalent logic and, 165–167
 in US, 166–167
 conflict resolution, 129–130. *See also* hybrid self-determination
 consociationalism and, 149–150
 “excluded middle” and, 129–130, 151, 159–160
 legal norms and, 147
 logic of, 134
 multicultural liberalism and, 135–136
 conflicts of imposition, 92–93
 conjunction paradox, 32–33, 49–67
 fuzzy logic and, 52–54
 law and, 55–67
 MIN rule in, 56–57, 67–68
 multivalent logic and, 35–36
 partial truths and, 66
 uncertainty and, 63
 consociationalism, 149–150
 constitutional self-defence, 127–128
 constructive ambiguity, 141
 contradiction. *See* principle of contradiction
 conversational vagueness, 252

- corporate forms of power, 148–151
- The Cosmopolitan State* (Glenn), 3, 129, 208–209
- cosmopolitan thought
 - binary divisions and, 220–225
 - definition and classification of, 220
 - formation of, 221–222
 - hybrids of, 224
 - objections to, 220, 222–224
 - binary logic and, 220–225
 - legal classifications and, 224
 - bivalent logic and, 234
 - both-and logic and, 227–228
 - choice and, 226–227
 - concepts of state and, abandonment of, 228–231
 - conciliation for law and, 210, 225–226
 - defined, 209–210
 - multivalent logic and, 232–234
 - paraconsistent logic and, 210, 234–235
 - resolved law and, 226
- counterarguments
 - types of, 5–9
 - undercutting, 15–16
- Court of Justice of the European Union, 116–117
- critical questions, in Witness Testimony Scheme, 17
- CSR codes. *See* social responsibility codes
- day of rest. *See* Shabbat
- Declaration on the Rights of Persons Belonging to National or Ethnic, Religious or Linguistic Minorities, 142
- deductive argument schemes, 22
- deductive logic, 91
 - classical logic and, 177
 - logic of rule and, 92
- deductive validity, defined, 90–91
- defeasible reasoning, 4. *See also* presumptive argument schemes
 - argument schemes of, 5–8
 - fuzzy law and, 248–249
 - generalisations in, 13–16
 - Modus Ponens* schemes in, 15–16
 - rebutting attacks and, 15
 - rules of, 13–16
 - undercutting attacks and, 15–16
- defeat graph, 25
- defeats, in argument schemes, 7–8
 - in argumentation logic, 22–24
 - attacks compared to, 8–9
- deliberative coherence, 263–265
- democracy. *See* participatory democracy; representative democracy
- dialectical trees, 9–13
- Dicey and Morris on the Conflict of Laws*, 162
- dina de'malkhuta dina* (DMD)
 - doctrine, 73–75
 - kim li* and, 75
- disaggregated states, rights to, 141–142
- discussive logic, 172
- divine authority, halakhah and, 75–82
- divine revelation, Jewish law and, 82–84
- DMD doctrine. *See* *dina de'malkhuta dina* doctrine
- doctrine of infelicities. *See* infelicities, doctrine of
- Dutch law
 - criminal, 96–97
 - incorporation of foreign rules in, 105–106
 - obligation to perform under, 103
- elections. *See* right to elections
- English common law
 - Chunk and Permeate framework and, 186–195
 - Equity and, 163, 181–186
 - historical perspective for, 189
 - inconsistency between, 195
 - judges in, 185–186
 - obligation not to perform under, 103
 - royal courts, 181
 - enforcement limitations for, 192
 - monetary threshold for, 183
 - Westminster Parliament, 119–122
- Engstrom, David, 237, 247
- enumerative arguments. *See* inductive arguments

- Equity
 adequacy threshold and, 194–195
 Chunk and Permeate framework and, 190–195
 as corrective system, 183–185
 English common law and, 163, 181–186
 historical perspective for, 189
 inconsistency between, 195
 evolution into legal system, 190–191
 judgment norms and, 189
 organization and classification of, 192–194
 origins of, 191–194
 recognition of rules of, 190
 trust law, 193–194
 estimated probabilities, partial truths compared to, 35–36
 ethical clinical codes, 236
 EU law
 common law and, 163
 constitutional self-defence and, 127–128
 Court of Justice of the European Union, 116–117
 German Constitutional Court and, 117–118
 incorporation of foreign rules in, 106
 legal pluralism and, 116–119
 for member states, 125
 stability of, 118–119
 supremacy doctrine and, 117
 evaluative linguistic expressions, 42
 ex ante probabilities, 57–58
 ex contradictione quodlibet, 203
 ex post probabilities, 57–58
 excessive information. *See also* Chunk and Permeate framework
 legal systems and, 96
 rule conflicts and, 100–101
 “excluded middle”
 bivalent logic and, 215–216
 conflict resolution and, 129–130, 151, 159–160
 fuzzy logic and, 130
 hybrid self-determination and, 137–144
 multivalent logic and, 151–156, 215–216
 peacebuilding and, 155–158
 political orders of, 154
 hybrid, 154–155
 self-determination law and, 137–144
 sovereignty and, 152–153
 exile. *See galut*
 explanations. *See* causal explanations
 external self-determination, 135, 142–143, 146–147
 fact-finding, 35
 MIN rule for, 62–63
 fallacies, 245–246
 final conclusion, 22
 forum law, 105–106
 foundationalism, 28
 French Court of Cassation, 166
 frequentist probability, 38
 Fuller, Lon, 125
 fuzzy law, 246–267. *See also* quasi-legal schemes
 aggregate functions in, 258–259
 bindingness in, 254–255, 260
 coordination dynamics of, 255
 defeasible reasoning and, 248–249
 fuzzy-set theory and, 243–246, 248, 259
 model of, 253–260
 plurivaluationism and, 260
 public trust and, 267–268
 TCP and, 247–248
 theoretical foundations of, 237–238
 fuzzy logic
 accuracy of, 46
 basics of, 41–42
 conjunction paradox and, 52–54
 defined, 134
 “excluded middle” and, 130
 expressions of provability, 59–60
 flexibility of, 47–48
 as inclusive system, 46
 internal self-determination and, 142–143
 in law, 48–49
 MIN rule in, 51, 53, 64–66
 nonclassical logic and, 40–48

- occurrence odds and, 47
- operators in, 50–51
- probability theory compared to, 42–48, 52–54
- standard of proof, 42–43
- fuzzy sovereignty, rights to, 142–144
- fuzzy-set theory, 243–246, 248, 259
- galut* (exile), 72–82
 - DMD doctrine, 73–75
- German Constitutional Court, 117–118
 - hierarchy of courts for, 117–118
- get out clause, 146
- Glenn, H. Patrick, 29–30, 32, 72, 185.
 - See also* cosmopolitan thought
 - The Cosmopolitan State*, 3, 129, 208–209
 - Legal Traditions of the World*, 3, 89–90, 168
 - on multiple legal orders, 181
 - on multivalent logic, 134
 - on paraconsistent logic, 208–209
 - on relationship between common law and Equity, 186
 - on *res judicata*, 233–234
- gluts, as theory
 - legal, 200
 - according to law behavior, 203–206
 - construction of, 201–202
 - God's law and, 205–206
 - production of, 201–203
 - in traffic priority cases, 202–203
 - voting rights and, 202
 - overdetermined law and, 206–207
 - of truth values, 199–200
 - underdetermined law and, 206–207
- God's law, 205–206
- grounded semantics, 27
- halakhah. *See also* Jewish law
 - as collective project of generational knowledge, 82
 - divine authority and, 75–82
 - DMD doctrine, 73–75
 - galut* and, 72–82
 - judges and, 73
 - multivalence of, 79–82
 - Sanhedrin and, 72
 - stateless law and, 75–82
 - Talmud and, 72
 - validity of, as Jewish law, 79–82
- Halbertal, Moshe, 83
- half-truths, 64–65. *See also* partial truth
- hard law, 245
- Hart, H. L. A., 113–115, 241–242
- havdala* ceremony, 70
- Hebrew Bible, 69
 - bi-valence in, 70
- hierarchy of courts, in German Constitutional Court, 117–118
- HKSAR v Ng Kung Siu*, 124
- Holmes, Oliver Wendell, 91
- Hong Kong law
 - autonomy of, from China, 126
 - under Basic Law, 122–125
 - Chinese Constitution and, 122
 - HKSAR v Ng Kung Siu*, 124
 - Lau Kong Yung* case, 123–124
 - legal orders and, 122–125
 - Ma Wai-kwan* case, 122–123
 - Ng Ka-Ling* case, 123–125
- Horty, John, 255
- How to Do Things with Words* (Austin), 240–241
- Huber, Ulrich, 164
- hybrid binary divisions, 224
- hybrid political orders, 154–155
- hybrid self-determination
 - development of, 129–130
 - disaggregated states, rights to, 141–142
 - “excluded middle” and, 137–144
 - fuzzy sovereignty, rights to, 142–144
 - international supervision of, 144
 - multivalent logic and, 144–151
 - corporate forms of power and, 148–151
 - corporate participation and, 148–151
 - external techniques, 146–147
 - get out clause and, 146
 - internal techniques, 146–147
 - language of, 147
 - in participatory democracy, 148–151

- hybrid self-determination (cont.)
 - process norms and, 147–148
 - in representative democracy, 148–151
 - substantive norms and, 147–148
 - systems theory and, 146
- participation in public institutions, 140–141
- peacebuilding and, 157–160
 - through interventions, 158–159
- procedural right to be heard, 138–140
- redefinition of states, rights to, 140–141
- right to elections, 140
- “I hold of.” *See kim li*
- ibn Daud, Abraham, 83
- ideal performance of legal duty. *See lekhatila*
- impunity standards, for international legal institutions, 139–140
- In Contradiction* (Priest), 199
- incompatibility. *See* legal incompatibility
- inconsistency. *See* legal inconsistency
- inconsistent obligations, 200
- incorporation, of foreign rules
 - in Dutch law, 105–106
 - in EU law, 106
 - legal norms and, 104–106
- indigenous peoples
 - in international legal institutions, 139
 - new standards on impunity for, 139–140
 - UN Declaration of the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, 143–144
- indivisible sovereignty, 131–133
- inductive arguments, 19
- infelicities, doctrine of, 240–241
- inference rules. *See also* argument schemes
 - in Chunk and Permeate framework, 176
 - paraconsistent logic and, 171
 - valid, 3–4
- institutionalized pluri-nationalism, 143
- institutionally-generated vagueness, 251–253
- intermediate conclusion, 22
- internal self-determination, 135, 142–143, 146–147
- International Court of Justice, 134–135
- international crime victims, 139
 - new standards on impunity for, 139–140
- international law of recognition, 136
- international legal institutions, 135–136
 - indigenous peoples and, 139–140
 - indigenous peoples under, 139
 - international criminal victims, 139
 - marginalized groups and, 139–140
 - minorities and, 139–140
 - new standards on impunity, 139–140
 - women and, 139–140
- Jewish law
 - divine revelation and, 82–84
 - havdala* ceremony and, 70
 - Hebrew Bible and, 69
 - kim li*, 75
 - as method of divine worship, 79–80
 - multivalence in, 82–85
 - retrieval model in, 83
 - Shabbat, 70–71
 - smikha*, 73
 - social regulation through, 81–82
 - as specific for Jews, 71–72
 - Talmud and, 71
 - variety of categories under, 79
- judges
 - in English common law, 185–186
 - halakhah and, 73
 - procedural law and, 187
 - substantive law and, 187
- Katz, Leo, 250
- Keefe, Rosanne, 259
- Kelso, Scott, 237, 247
- kim li* (“I hold of”), 75
- Klabbers, Jan, 244–245
- knowledge base, for arguments, 22
- Kosko, Bart, 134

- language. *See also* philosophy of
 language; semantics
 multivalent logic and, 147
 vagueness in, 46, 250
 conversational, 252
 semantic, 252
Lau Kong Yung case, 123–124
 law. *See also* Dutch law; English
 common law; EU law
 conciliation for, 210, 225–226, 233
 conjunction paradox and, 55–67
 economic explanations, 64–66
 explanatory introductions, 57
 fuzzy logic in, 48–49
 hard, 245
 logic systems in, 91–92
 modern, 164–165
 morality in conflict between, 102
 overdetermined, 206–207
 philosophical explanations for, 59–60
 procedural, 187
 reasoning in, 176–177
 resolved, 226
 soft, 105, 244–245
 state, 164–165
 state-less, 75–82
 substantive, 187
 three fundamental tensions within, 235
 underdetermined, 206–207
 law of non-contradiction. *See* principle
 of non-contradiction
 legal gaps, 206–207
 legal gluts, 200
 according to law behavior, 203–206
 construction of, 201–202
 God's law and, 205–206
 production of, 201–203
 in traffic priority cases, 202–203
 voting rights and, 202
 legal incompatibility
 defined, 89
 of legal consequences, 89
 legal inconsistency. *See also* legal
 pluralism
 in Australian law, 119–122
 defined, 89
 gluts and, 199–200
 legal orders and, 111–125
 within legal rules, 94, 99
 in traffic priority cases, 202–203
 in voting rights, 202
 legal norms
 conflict resolution and, 147
 principle of non-contradiction and,
 113
 propositions compared to, 112
 rule conflicts, 102–106
 avoidance strategies for, 103–104
 in forum law, 105–106
 incorporation of foreign rules and,
 104–106
 in PIL, 104
 practical reason and, 103
 reference techniques and, 104–106
 scope conditions for, 103–104
 separation and, 102–103
 in soft law, 105
 legal orders
 common law and, 162–163
 legal inconsistency and, 111–125
 legal pluralism and, 111–125
 in Australian law, pre-1986, 119–122
 comparisons between legal
 systems, 115
 constitutional ordering and, 115
 constitutional self-defence and,
 127–128
 in EU law, 116–119
 flexibility of, 127
 in Hong Kong law, 122–125
 legal inconsistency and, 126–128
 stability of, 115
 multiple, 113–114, 181, 186–188
 rule of recognition for, 113–115
 legal pluralism, 110–125
 EU law and, 116–119
 for member states, 125
 stability of, 118–119
 supremacy doctrine and, 117
 historical development of, 110–111
 legal orders and, 111–125
 in Australian law, pre-1986,
 119–122
 comparisons between legal
 systems, 115
 constitutional ordering and, 115

- legal pluralism (cont.)
 - constitutional self-defence and, 127–128
 - in EU law, 116–119
 - flexibility of, 127
 - in Hong Kong law, 122–125
 - legal inconsistency and, 126–128
 - stability of, 115
 - model of, 110–115
 - rule of recognition and, 114–115
- legal principles, 96
- legal rules
 - applicability of, 97–98
 - conflicts between, 89–93
 - of compliance, 93
 - from excessive information, 100–101
 - of imposition, 92–93
 - consistency of, 94
 - contradictions between, 109
 - deductive logic and, 91
 - deductive validity and, 90–91
 - diverse sources for, 89–90
 - exceptions to, 98–99
 - logic of, 98–99
 - inconsistency of, 94, 99
 - prevalence between, 99–100
- legal systems
 - as agent-relative, 95
 - consistency of, 94
 - defined, 93–95
 - Dutch criminal law, 96–97
 - obligation to perform under, 103
 - Equity as, 190–191
 - interactions between, 173
 - pluralist legal orders and,
 - comparisons between, 115
 - rule conflicts within, 93–102
 - CLCP and, 95
 - from excessive information, 96
 - legal principles and, 96
 - limitations of power through, 97
 - summary of, 101–102
 - scope of rules for, 95–97
- legal theory, traditional
 - binary foundations of, 238–243
 - critiques of, 243–246
 - fuzzy-set theory and, 243–246
 - in Judaism, 238–240
 - TCP and, 243–246
 - binding rules in, 242
 - content-independent reasons in, 242
 - exclusionary reasons in, 243
 - NJT in, 242–243
 - obligation and, 245–246
 - philosophy of language in, 241–243
 - pragmatics in, 241–243
 - rule of recognition in, 241–242
- LEGAL TRADITIONS OF THE WORLD (Glenn), 3, 89–90, 168
- lekhatthila* (ideal performance of legal duty), 76
- lex posterior* principle, 171
- linguistic hedges, 42
- logic. *See also* argumentation logic; binary logic; bivalent logic; fuzzy logic; logic systems; multivalent logic
 - classical, 46
 - of conflict resolution, 134
 - deductive, 91–92
 - discussive, 172
 - many-valued, 168–171
 - modal, 168–171
 - nonclassical, 32, 39–49
 - non-deductive, 101
 - nonmonotonic, 30
 - paraconsistent, 167, 171–172
 - reasoning and, 176–177
 - three-valued, 213–215
 - truth values, 168–169
- logic of rule
 - deductive logic and, 92
 - as syllogistic argument, 90
- logic systems, 36–39. *See also* fuzzy logic; nonclassical logic
 - assumptions, 36–37
 - in law, 91–92
 - operators and, 37
 - testing of, 38–39
- logical language, 22–23
- Łukasiewicz, Jan, 213–215
- Luria, Solomon (Rabbi), 84
- Ma Wai-kwan* case, 122–123
- MacCormick, Neil, 225

- Macdonald, Stuart, 233
 many-valued logic, 168–171
 marginalized groups, international legal institutions and, 139–140
 new standards on impunity for, 139–140
 Marmor, Andrei, 252
 metastability, 248
 MIN rule, 62–63
 in conjunction paradox, 56–57, 67–68
 elements of law and, 57
 for fact-finding, 62–63
 in fuzzy logic, 51, 53, 64–66
 for partial truths, 64–66
 minimal performance of legal duty.
 See bediavad
 minorities, in international legal institutions, 139
 new standards on impunity for, 139–140
 Mishnah, 72
 Mishnah Rosh Hashanah, binary logic and, 238–239
 modal logic, 168–171
 operators in, 169–170
 paraconsistency in, 172
 modern law, 164–165
Modus Ponens schemes, 5–6
 in defeasible reasoning, 15–16
 monotheism, Christianity and, 71–72
 morality
 law in conflict with, 102
 principle of contradiction and, 111–112
 multicultural liberalism
 conflict resolution and, 135–136
 self-determination law and, 135–136
 multiple legal orders, 113–114, 181
 Chunk and Permeate framework and, 186–188
 multivalent legal reasoning, 167
 multivalent logic, 32–33. *See also* fuzzy logic
 Aristotle on, 212–215
 classical logic and, 46
 conciliation through, 209–210, 225, 232–234
 conflict of laws and, 165–167
 conjunction paradox and, 35–36
 cosmopolitan thought and, 232–234
 “excluded middle” and, 151–156, 215–216
 Glenn on, 134, 211, 220, 229, 231–232
 hybrid self-determination and, 144–151
 corporate forms of power and, 148–151
 external techniques, 146–147
 get out clause and, 146
 internal techniques, 146–147
 language of, 147
 in participatory democracy, 148–151
 process norms and, 147–148
 in representative democracy, 148–151
 substantive norms and, 147–148
 systems theory and, 146
 in Jewish law, 82–85
 nonclassical logic and, 40
 partial truths and, 35
 in real life, 131–133
 self-determination law and, 133–137
 three-valued logic and, 213–215
 use of legal materials, 216–220, 235
 multi-valued, 218–220
Ng Ka-Ling case, 123–125
 NJT. *See* normal justification thesis
 nonclassical logic, 32, 39–49
 fuzzy logic and, 40–48
 multivalent logic and, 40
 vagueness and, 39–40
 non-contradiction. *See* principle of non-contradiction
 non-deductive logic
 excessive information and, 101
 in legal reasoning, 177
 non-deductive reasoning, 177
 non-legal systems, rule conflicts in, 102–106
 normal justification thesis (NJT), 242–243
 normative systems. *See* legal norms

- obligation, in traditional legal theory, 245–246
- obligation not to perform, under English law, 103
- obligation to perform, under Dutch criminal law, 103
- occurrence odds, 43, 47
- operators
 - in fuzzy logic, 50–51
 - logic systems and, 37
 - in modal logic, 169–170
 - probability theory and, 52–53
- opponents, in argumentation logic, 9
- orders. *See* legal orders
- overdetermined law, 206–207

- paraconsistent logic, 167, 171–172
 - cosmopolitan thought and, 210, 234–235
 - Glenn on, 208–209
 - inference principles, 171
 - modal logic and, 172
- partial truth, 35
 - conjunction paradox and, 66
 - estimated probabilities compared to, 35–36
 - MIN rule for, 64–66
 - multivalent logic and, 35
- participatory democracy, 148–151
- peacebuilding
 - compromised, 156
 - “excluded middle” and, 155–158
 - hybrid self-determination and, 157–160
 - through interventions, 158–159
- People of the Book* (Halberty), 83
- philosophy of language, 241–243
- PIL. *See* private international law
- pluralist legal orders, 111–125
 - stability of, 115
- plurivaluationism, 260
- Pope, Thaddeus Mason, 270
- practical reason, rule conflicts and, 103
- pragmatics, in traditional legal theory, 241–243
- preferred semantics, 27
- presumptive argument schemes, 16–21
 - abductive arguments, 18
 - from analogy, 19–20
 - from bad consequences, 19
 - causal explanations, 17–18
 - from causal refutations, 18
 - critical questions in, 17
 - from good consequences, 18–19
 - induction, 19
 - rebutting attacks in, 16–17
 - teleological interpretation arguments, 19
 - undercutting attacks in, 16–17
 - Witness Testimony Scheme as, 17, 20–21
- Priest, Graham, 186, 199–200, 234–235
- principle of contradiction, 111–112
- principle of non-contradiction, 30
 - legal norms and, 113
- private international law (PIL), rule conflicts within, 104
- probability theory, 32–33
 - defined, 43–44
 - ex ante, 57–58
 - ex post, 57–58
 - frequentist, 38
 - fuzzy logic and, 42–48, 52–54
 - occurrence odds and, 43, 47
 - operators and, 52–53
 - subjective, 38
- procedural law, 187
- procedural right to be heard, 138–140
- proponents, in argumentation logic, 9
- propositions, legal norms compared to, 112
- public trust, fuzzy law and, 267–268

- quasi-legal schemes
 - conceptual critique of, 237
 - defined, 236–237
 - deliberative dynamics of, 261–265
 - for CSR codes, 264–265
 - deliberative coherence and, 263–264, 264–265
 - in priority relations between norms, 261–264
 - threshold deontology, 262–264
 - empirical critique of, 237
 - institutional dynamics of, 271–272

- social dynamics of, 266–270
 - instability as aspect of, 268–270
 - public trust and, 267–268
 - types of, 236
- rabbinic ordination. *See smikha*
- rabbinic tradition, retrieval model of, 83
- Raz, Joseph, 241–243
 - on binding rules, in legal theory, 242
 - on NJT, 242–243
- real world, 35
- reasoning. *See also* analogical reasoning; defeasible reasoning
 - in law, 176–177
 - logic and, 176–177
 - non-deductive, 177
- rebutting attacks, 15
 - in abductive arguments, 18
 - argumentation logic and, 23–24
 - in presumptive argument schemes, 16–17
- recitation of daily prayer. *See shema*
- redefinition of states, 140–141
- reference techniques, legal norms and, 104–106
- representative democracy
 - consociationalism and, 149–150
 - hybrid self-determination and, 148–151
- res judicata*, 233–234
- resolved law, 226
- responsible realism. *See* consociationalism
- retrieval model, of rabbinic tradition, 83
- right to elections, 140
- royal courts, for English common law, 181
 - enforcement limitations for, 192
 - monetary threshold for, 183
- rule conflicts
 - between legal rules, 89–93
 - compliance conflicts, 93
 - conflicts of imposition, 92–93
 - from excessive information, 100–101
 - within legal systems, 93–102
- CLCP and, 95
 - exceptions to, 107
 - from excessive information, 96
 - legal principles and, 96
 - limitations of power through, 97
 - summary of, 101–102
- in non-legal systems, 102–106
- between normative systems, 102–106
 - avoidance strategies, 103–104
 - forum law, 105–106
- incorporation of foreign rules, 104–106
- PIL and, 104
- practical reason in, 103
- reference techniques, 104–106
- scope conditions, 103–104
- through separation, 102–103
- soft law, 105
- in transnational law, 106
- rule of recognition, 94
 - under Australian law, 121–122
 - for legal orders, 113–115
 - legal pluralism and, 114–115
 - in traditional legal theory, 241–242
- rule-based choice of law. *See* conflict of laws
- rules. *See* legal rules
- Russell, Bertrand, 39, 46
- Sanhedrin (halakhic Supreme Court), 72
- Savigny, Friedrich Carl von, 164
- self-determination law. *See also* hybrid self-determination
 - binary logic and, 130–131
 - indivisible sovereignty and, 131–133
 - “excluded middle” and, 137–144
 - through external techniques, 135, 142–143, 146–147
 - through internal techniques, 135, 142–143, 146–147
- International Court of Justice and, 134–135
- international law of recognition and, 136
- in international legal institutions, 135–136
- multicultural liberalism and, 135–136
- multivalent logic and, 133–137

- Selznick, Philip, 242–243
 semantics
 in argumentation logic, 27
 vagueness in, 252
 Shabbat (day of rest), 70–71
 Shari'a, 89
shema (recitation of daily prayer),
 76–77
simpliciter, 172
smikha (rabbinic ordination), 73
 social regulation, through Jewish law,
 81–82
 social responsibility (CSR) codes,
 264–265
 deliberative coherence and,
 264–265
 external support for, 265
 soft law. *See also* fuzzy law; quasi-legal
 schemes
 hard law compared to, 245
 Klabbers on, 244–245
 legal norms in, 105
 Sousa Santos, Bouventuras de, 152
 sovereignty
 degrees of, 133
 “excluded middle” and, 152–153
 indivisible, 131–133
 standard of proof, fuzzy logic and,
 42–43
 state laws, 164–165
 statehood, cosmopolitan thought and,
 228–231
 state-less law, halakhah and,
 75–82
 Story, Joseph, 164
 subjective probability theory, 38
 substantive law, 187
 Sunstein, Cass, 251
 supremacy doctrine, 117
 supremacy doctrine, for EU law, 117
 syllogistic argument, logic of
 rule as, 90
 systems theory, 146
 Talmud, 71, 78–79, 81–82
 halakhah and, 72
 TCP. *See* theory of complementary
 pairs
 teleological interpretation arguments,
 19
 territorial borders, 133
 testing, of logic systems, 38–39
 Thagard, Paul, 263–264
 theory of complementary pairs (TCP),
 237, 243–246
 frames in, 247
 fuzzy law and, 247–248
 metastability in, 248
 three-valued logic, 213–215
 threshold deontology, 262–264
 traditional legal theory. *See* legal theory,
 traditional
 traffic priority cases, 202–203
 transnational environmental
 governance, 236
 transnational law, rule conflicts in, 106
 transterritorial law, 96
 trust law, 193–194
 truth values
 glut of, 199–200
 in logic, 168–169
 UN Declaration of the Rights of
 Indigenous Peoples, 143–144
 uncertainty, conjunction
 paradox and, 63
 undercutting attacks, 15–16
 argumentation logic and, 24
 in presumptive argument schemes,
 16–17
 undercutting counterarguments,
 15–16
 underdetermined law, 206–207
 United States (US), conflict of laws in,
 166–167
 Uslaner, Eric, 267
 vagueness, 249–253. *See also* fuzzy law;
 fuzzy-set theory
 atomic, 249–251
 bindingness, 252–253
 institutionally-generated, 251–253
 in language, 46, 250
 conversational, 252
 semantic, 252
 nonclassical logic and, 39–40

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-10695-6 — Law and the New Logics
Edited by H. Patrick Glenn , Lionel D. Smith
Index
[More Information](#)

INDEX 285

valid inference, 3–4	Witness Testimony Scheme, 17, 20–21
<i>Viro v The Queen</i> , 120	women, in international legal
voting rights, 202	institutions, 139
	new standards on impunity for,
Westminster Parliament, Australian	139–140
law and, 119–122	
Williams, Bernard, 112–113	Zadeh, Lotfi, 40, 248. <i>See also</i> fuzzy-set
on compliance statements, 113	theory