

INDEX

- academics *see* doctrinal writers
- access to justice 11, 22
- accreditation of doctors by *Haute Autorité de Santé* 158
- actuarial assessments of risk 79, 96
- administrative compensation scheme in
 - France 4, 27, 53–4, 62, 172
 - access requirements 54–7
 - compensation and expenses paid by ONIAM 76–7, 109–11
- doctor–patient relationship 148–9
 - appeasing 143–8, 175–6
 - patient autonomy 143
- no internal appeal mechanism 105
- operation of 57–8, 74, 86
- patient safety 101–2, 166–9
- penalty payments 56, 60
- problems posed by 93–6, 98–9, 115–16, 174–5
 - categorization of victims 96–8, 106–8
 - comparison with English law 112–15
 - consequences of uncertainty 101–2
 - distinguishing accidents from illness 99–102
 - distinguishing no-fault from fault 100–1
 - fairness 108–12
 - inconsistency in decision-making 104–6
 - ‘seriousness’ 102–4
 - social security benefits 57
- Alcock v. Chief Constable of the South Yorkshire Police* 132
- Al Hamwi v. Johnston and Another* 122
- appeals
 - France 105, 110
- Appleton v. Garrett* 130
- Atiyah, P. 67, 71
- autonomy, patient 2, 117
 - administrative compensation scheme in France 143
 - battery, tort of 129–30
 - informed consent *see separate entry*
 - loss of chance 125–6, 131, 137
- battery
 - England: tort of 129–30
- Belgium 103–4
- benfluorex 60, 69, 96
- biomedical research
 - harm caused by 59, 96, 103
- Birch v. University College London Hospital NHS Foundation Trust* 121–2
- ‘blame’ or ‘compensation culture’ 68, 85, 87
- blood transfusions
 - hepatitis C from infected 59, 64, 96
- Bolam v. Friern Hospital Management Committee* 9, 31, 32, 35, 42, 124
- Bolam* test 9, 12, 30, 35
- Bolitho v. City and Hackney Health Authority* 9, 35, 42
- Bonnington Castings v. Wardlaw* 46
- Borghetti, J.-S. 69, 71–2
- Bristol Inquiry Report (2001) 12–13
- British Medical Association 123
- Bull v. Devon Area Health Authority* 165
- burden of proof
 - English law 49, 64
 - French law 34, 47–8, 120, 125, 136–7
- Burne v. A* 165

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10280-4 - Medical Accident Liability and Redress in English and French Law

Simon Taylor

Index

[More information](#)

180

INDEX

- candour, duty of 148, 168
 - English law 137–40, 160
 - French law 141–2, 160, 175
 - Welsh Regulations 16, 140
- Care Quality Commission 139–40, 151, 156
- Cassidy v. Ministry of Health* 34
- causation
 - English law 9, 49, 130
 - loss of chance 45, 49
 - multiple possible sources of victim's harm 47–8
 - French law 29, 42–3
 - loss of chance 43–6, 49, 57, 125, 131, 137
 - multiple possible sources of victim's harm 46–8
- certification by *Haute Autorité de Santé* 142, 158
- Chatterton v. Gerson* 130
- Chester v. Afshar* 118, 122, 126, 130–1
- Child A v. Ministry of Defence* 164
- Clinical Negligence Scheme for Trusts 74, 82, 151, 153–4, 157
- CNAMEd (*Commission nationale des accidents médicaux*) 54, 101, 104–5, 147, 168, 174
- Code of Deontology (*Code de déontologie médicale*) 120, 142
- collectivization of risk 62–3, 66, 69, 73, 75
- Collins v. Hertfordshire CC* 165
- 'compensation culture' 68, 85, 87
- Conciliation and Compensation Commissions (*Commissions de conciliation et d'indemnisation*) 54, 56, 58–9, 86, 102, 104, 107, 114
- conciliation 147–8
- delay 110
- distinguishing accidents from illness 100
- doctor–patient relationship 145–6
- fairness 110–12
- inconsistency in decision-making 104–6
- reporting of nosocomial infections 169
- conditional fee agreements 11, 84
- confidentiality clauses 160
- consent *see* informed consent
- consultations
 - fear of litigation 152
- contract law 30
 - NHS commissioning contract 140
- corrective justice 2, 9, 63–4, 69, 75, 173
- cost of medical accident redress 3, 76, 91–2, 173–4
- damages, level of 82–3
- England 8, 10–11, 22, 76, 78
 - cost of liability and funds for healthcare 73–4
 - NHS Redress Act 2006 14–15
 - no-fault scheme 20–1
 - reasons for lower costs in France 80–5
 - relevance of French experience for 85–91
- France 27, 76–80
 - cost of liability and funds for healthcare 72–4
 - reasons for lower costs 80–5
 - relevance of experience for English law 85–91
- New Zealand 19–20
- number of claims 80–2, 85–6
- Sweden 20
- Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease 59, 96
- criminal injuries compensation scheme 64
- criminal law
 - England 53, 165
 - France 49–53, 69, 86, 142, 162, 165, 168
- cultures of civil liability 61, 75, 173
 - concept of legal culture 65
 - differing 65–6
 - external 66–9
 - internal 70–4
 - English tradition 63–5
 - French tradition 61–3
- damages-based agreements 11, 84
- damages, level of 82–3
- data collection *see* information
- De Freitas v. O'Brien* 33

- defective health products 37–40, 97, 102, 108, 112, 115
- disclosure in court 159–60
- distributive justice 67
- doctor–patient relationship 1, 3, 117, 148–9
 - administrative compensation scheme in France 148–9
 - appeasement 143–8, 175–6
 - patient autonomy 143
- candour, duty of 148, 168
 - English law 137–40, 160
 - French law 141–2, 160, 175
 - Welsh Regulations 16, 140
- conciliation 147–8
- informed consent *see separate entry*
- redress in England 146–7, 149
- doctrinal writers/academics
 - England 71, 73
 - France 29, 39, 46, 70–2, 94
 - candour, duty of 141
 - distinguishing accidents from illness 99
 - informed consent 135
 - level of ONIAM payments 109
 - patient privacy 105
- Donoghue v. Stevenson* 63
- economic analysis of law 153
- Elliott, D. W. 71
- emergencies
 - France 120
- employees
 - confidentiality clauses 160
 - France: immunity for medical professionals who are 161–2
 - gagging clauses in contracts 159
 - whistleblowers 159
- English law 3, 8–10, 22–3, 60, 115, 172–3
- battery, tort of 129–30
- causation 9, 49, 130
 - loss of chance 45, 49
 - multiple possible sources of victim's harm 47–8
- civil liability, tradition of 63–5
 - differing national legal cultures 65–75
- Compensation Act 2006 9, 68
- compensation schemes 64
- Consumer Protection Act 1987 40, 64, 112
- criminal law 53, 165
- expert witnesses 35–7
- fault liability 93–4
 - Bolam* test 9, 12, 30, 32, 35
 - candour 142
 - comparative analysis: concept of 42
 - defective health products 39–40, 112
 - hospital-acquired infections 41–2, 112
 - res ipsa loquitur* 34, 63
- Human Rights Act 1998 132
- informed consent 49, 118
 - content of informational duty 121–5
 - learning from French law on information disclosure 133–7
 - loss of chance 125–6
 - moral harm 129–33
 - professional guidelines 122–5
- openness 9, 16–17
 - candour, duty of 137–40, 160
- pre-action protocol 10
- public inquiries 52
- reforming 10–15, 67
 - French compensation scheme 112–16, 177–8
 - New Zealand or Swedish schemes as models 18–21
 - possible reform in Scotland 21–2
- reporting obligation 9
- strict liability 64, 112
- vicarious liability 51, 155, 162, 166
- Welsh Regulations 3, 15–18, 87, 89–91, 114–15, 140, 146–7, 169, 176
- European Convention on Human Rights
 - Article 8: private and family life 132
- European Union 177
 - Court of Justice 5, 38
 - Patient Rights Directive 5, 177
 - Product Liability Directive 37–40, 64, 108, 112

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10280-4 - Medical Accident Liability and Redress in English and French Law

Simon Taylor

Index

[More information](#)

182

INDEX

- experts
 - administrative compensation scheme
 - in France 111–12
 - distinguishing accidents from illness 100
- fees
 - ONIAM 77, 88
- free expert report
 - France 86
- witnesses 35–7
- Fairchild v. Glenhaven Funeral Services Limited* 47
- fault liability
 - English law
 - Bolam* test 9, 12, 30, 32, 35
 - candour 142
 - comparative analysis: concept of 42
 - defective health products 39–40, 112
 - hospital-acquired infections 41–2, 112
 - res ipsa loquitur* 34, 63
 - French law
 - candour 142
 - concept of 42
 - errors in use of medical equipment 33
 - exceptions 37–42
 - faute virtuelle* 33
- fines 157, 165
- forgotten instruments or swabs 33–4
- Francis Report 159
- fraud 130
- French law 24–8, 60, 168
 - causation 29, 42–3
 - loss of chance 43–6, 49, 57, 125, 131, 137
 - multiple possible sources of victim's harm 46–8
- civil liability, tradition of 61–3
 - differing national legal cultures 65–75
- compensation schemes 62
 - additional schemes run by ONIAM 58–60, 69, 96, 103
 - administrative compensation
 - scheme *see separate entry*
 - criminal law 49–53, 69, 86, 142, 162, 165, 168
 - expert witnesses 35–7
 - fault liability 25, 29–30, 95–7
 - candour 142
 - concept of 42
 - errors in use of medical equipment 33
 - exceptions 37–42
 - faute virtuelle* 33
 - informed consent 48–9, 57, 97, 118–19, 175
 - administrative compensation scheme 143
 - content of informational duty 119–22, 124
 - damages 129
 - facilitating enforcement: loss of chance 125, 131, 137
 - model for England 133–7
 - moral harm 126–9, 131–3
 - role of administrative and private law jurisdictions 28–30, 95–8, 108, 128–9
 - strict liability 25, 27, 29, 48, 62, 95
 - defective health products 37–40, 108, 115
 - hospital-acquired infections 40–2, 79, 98, 106–7, 115, 164
 - vicarious liability 154, 162
- Garcia v. St Mary's NHS Trust* 165
- Garner v. Morrell* 34
- Geraets-Smits v. Stichting Ziekenfonds* 5, 177
- General Medical Council (GMC) 123–5, 139
- Gregg v. Scott* 45, 73, 153
- Hammond v. North West Hertfordshire Health Authority* 34
- Haute Autorité de Santé* 120, 135, 151
 - accreditation of doctors 158
 - certification by 142, 158
 - guidelines on *revues de mortalité et de morbidité* (RMM) 158

- Health and Safety at Work Act 1974 165
Health and Safety Executive v. Mid Staffordshire NHS Foundation Trust 166
- Health and Social Care Act 2012 151
- hepatitis B vaccine and multiple sclerosis 43
- hepatitis C 59, 64, 96, 103
- HIV 59, 64, 96, 103
- Hofstede, G. 66–7
- hospital-acquired infections 40–2,
 97–8, 112, 115, 150,
 158 causation 42, 46–8
 compensation from state fund 55–6,
 79, 106–7
 patient safety and administrative
 compensation scheme 166–7
 reporting of 169
 system failure 164
- Hotson v. East Berkshire Area Health Authority* 45
- Human Rights Act 1998 132
- immunity
 France: medical professionals who
 are employees 161–2
- individualism 67, 75
- information 113
 administrative compensation scheme
 in France 167–9
 decisions confidential 104, 168
 provision of documents to
 applicants 112
 adverse events: reporting and data
 collection 151
 England 156–8
 France 156, 167–9
 candour, duty of 148, 168
 English law 137–40, 160
 French law 141–2, 160, 175
 Welsh Regulations 16, 140
 France: access to medical records 86,
 141
 informed consent *see separate entry*
 Welsh scheme 114
- informed consent 118–19, 148
 England 49, 118
 content of informational duty 121–5
- learning from French law on
 information disclosure 133–7
 loss of chance 125–6
 moral harm 129–33
 professional guidelines 122–5
- France 48–9, 57, 97, 118–19, 175
 administrative compensation
 scheme 143
 content of informational duty
 119–22, 124
 damages 129
 facilitating enforcement: loss of
 chance 125, 131, 137
 model for England 133–7
 moral harm 126–9, 131–3
- innovative treatment
 England: reform proposals (2014)
 11–12, 68
- instruments or swabs, forgotten 33–4
- insurance
 England 63
 patient safety 153–4
 France 26, 40, 52, 54–6, 60, 69
 actuarial assessments of risk 79, 96
 administrative compensation
 scheme 56–7, 105, 112–13, 166
 collectivization of risk 62
 cost of liability and funds for
 healthcare 72–4
 criticisms made by insurers 78–80,
 106–7
 doctrinal writers 72
 patient safety 153–6, 168
 pay-outs 77–8
 premiums 77, 79–80, 89, 154–5
 Sweden 19
- Ison, T. 67, 71
- Jones v. Manchester Corporation* 34
- Jones v. North West Strategic Health Authority* 130
- Knetsch, Jonas 145
- Kohll v. Union des Caisses de Maladie*
 5, 177
- Lee v. South West Thames Regional Health Authority* 139

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10280-4 - Medical Accident Liability and Redress in English and French Law

Simon Taylor

Index

[More information](#)

184

INDEX

- legal advice
 - administrative compensation scheme
 - in France 111–12
 - free
 - NHS Redress Act 2006 14
 - Welsh Redress Scheme 17
- legal aid
 - England 11, 84
- legal costs
 - England 8, 10–11, 14, 83–4, 88
 - introduction of administrative scheme 88
 - France 83–4
 - ONIAM 77, 88, 111
- legal culture *see* cultures of civil liability
- limitation periods
 - France 29, 108
- Loraine v. Wirrall University Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust* 165
- Lybert v. Warrington Health Authority* 122
- Maclean, A. 125, 132
- MACSF 52, 78–9, 83, 86, 109
- Making Amends* (2003) 8, 13, 20, 76, 138, 144
- manslaughter, gross negligent 53
- McAllister v. Lewisham and North Southwark HA* 31
- McLoughlin v. O'Brian* 132
- mediation
 - NHS Litigation Authority 15
- Mediator 60, 69
- Medical Defence Union 153
- medical records 152
 - France: access to 86, 141
- Mid Staffordshire NHS Foundation Trust 139, 159
- multi-causal model of medical accidents 153
- Najeeb v. Great Ormond Street NHS Hospital Trust* 82
- National Commission on Medical Accidents (*Commission nationale des accidents médicaux*, CNAMed) 54, 101, 104–5, 147, 168, 174
- National Office for the Compensation of Medical Accidents (*Office national d'indemnisation des accidents médicaux*, ONIAM)
 - administration costs 76–7, 88, 111
 - administrative compensation scheme 54, 56–7, 74, 76–7, 86, 101, 110, 143
 - comparison with court payments 109–10
 - legal fees reimbursed 111
 - limited information 168
 - litigation involving ONIAM 105–6, 113
 - patient safety 154, 166
 - other compensation schemes run by 58–60, 96, 103
- Naylor v. Preston Area Health Authority* 139
- Nettleship v. Weston* 63
- New Zealand 4, 18–20, 57, 98, 169–70
- NHS commissioning contract 140
- NHS Constitution 139
- NHS Litigation Authority 14, 80, 88, 139
 - data collection 156, 170
 - mediation 15
 - risk management 151
- NHS Redress Act 2006 3, 13–15, 87, 89–91, 110, 112–15, 140, 146–7, 174, 176
- nosocomial infections 40–2, 97–8, 112, 115, 150, 158
- causation 42, 46–8
- compensation from state fund 55–6, 79, 106–7
- patient safety and administrative compensation scheme 166–7
- reporting of 169
- system failure 164
- number of adverse events 150–1
- number of claims 19–20, 80–2, 85–6
- Nursing and Midwifery Council 139
- Ombudsman 138
- ONIAM *see* National Office for the Compensation of Medical Accidents

- patient autonomy 2, 117
 - administrative compensation scheme
 - in France 143
 - battery, tort of 129–30
 - informed consent *see separate entry*
 - loss of chance 125–6, 131, 137
- patient safety 150–2, 169–71
 - civil liability as deterrent to medical accidents 152–6
 - civil liability and learning from medical accidents 176
 - duties to report adverse events 156–61
 - encouraging greater openness 161–6
- England 150–2, 169–71
 - civil liability as deterrent to medical accidents 153–5
 - data collection 156
 - duties to report adverse events 156–60
 - encouraging greater openness 164–6
 - individual sued 161–2
- France 150–2, 169–71, 176
 - administrative compensation scheme 101–2, 166–9
 - civil liability as deterrent to medical accidents 153–6
 - data collection 156
 - duties to report adverse events 156, 158–61
 - encouraging greater openness 163–4, 166
 - immunity for employees 161–2
 - multi-causal model of medical accidents 153
 - number of adverse events 150–1
- Pearce v. United Bristol Healthcare NHS Trust* 121–2
- penalty payments
 - France 56, 60
- Perruche* case 25, 68–9, 72–3
- Powell v. Boldadz* 140
- precedent
 - France 29, 128
- privacy 104
- private and family life 132
- public health risks
 - urgent measures to combat 59
- public inquiries 52
- Quick, O. 152
- R v. Tabassum* 130
- Rees v. Darlington Memorial Hospital NHS Trust* 132
- Rehman v. University College London* 165
- reporting and data collection *see* information
- res ipsa loquitur* 34, 63
- risk, collectivization of 62–3, 66, 69, 73, 75
- risk management 151, 153–4, 157, 169
- Road Traffic Act Personal Injury Scheme 15
- Robertson v. Nottingham Area Health Authority* 164–5
- Roe v. Ministry of Health* 31
- Rylands v. Fletcher* 63
- safety *see* patient safety
- Saïlles, R. 70
- Sargos, P. 73
- Scandinavian countries 4, 57
 - Sweden 18–20
- Scotland 21–2
- seriousness
 - France
 - administrative compensation scheme 102–4
 - informed consent 134–5
- SHAM 52, 77, 86, 109
- Sidaway v. Board of Governors of the Bethlem Royal Hospital* 121
- Smith v. Barking, Havering and Brentwood Health Authority* 131–2
- Smith v. Salford HA* 122
- Smith v. Tunbridge Wells Health Authority* 121, 122
- social security benefits
 - France 57, 154
- Starck, B. 70
- Street, H. 67, 71

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10280-4 - Medical Accident Liability and Redress in English and French Law

Simon Taylor

Index

[More information](#)

186

INDEX

- strict liability
 - English law 64, 112
 - French law 25, 27, 29, 48, 62, 95
 - defective health products 37–40, 108, 115
 - hospital-acquired infections 40–2, 79, 98, 106–7, 115, 164
- swabs or instruments, forgotten 33–4
- Sweden 18–20
- systems failures/errors
 - encouraging openness: focusing on 161–6
- Tagg v. Countess of Chester Hospital Foundation Trust* 35
- Thake v. Maurice* 121
- Thatcher government 67
- Thomas v. Curley* 35
- time limits
 - France
 - administrative compensation scheme 55–6, 58, 103, 110
 - candour, duty of 141
 - defective health products 37
 - limitation periods 29, 108
 - other compensation schemes 59–60
 - Welsh Redress Scheme 16
- translators
 - France 120
- Tunc, A. 70, 94
- uncertainty avoidance 67
- United States 150, 152, 156
- vaccination 59, 64, 96, 103
 - hepatitis B vaccine and multiple sclerosis 43
- Van Dam, C. 66
- vicarious liability 51, 154–5, 162, 166
- Voller v. Portsmouth Corporation* 41, 112
- Wales
 - NHS Redress Scheme 3, 15–18, 87, 89–91, 114–15, 140, 146–7, 169, 176
- Watts v. Bedford Primary Care Trust* 5, 177
- Weeks v. Wright* 35
- whistleblowers 159
- Whitehouse v. Jordan* 73
- witnesses
 - expert 35–7
- Woodland v. Essex County Council* 155
- Woolf Report (1996) 10
- Wright v. Cambridge Medical Group* 45