

## THE PHILOSOPHY OF ARGUMENT AND AUDIENCE RECEPTION

Recent work in argumentation theory has emphasized the nature of arguers and arguments along with various theoretical perspectives. Less attention has been given to the third feature of any argumentative situation – the audience. This book fills that gap by studying audience reception to argumentation and the problems that come to light as a result of this shift in focus. Christopher Tindale advances the tacit theories of several earlier thinkers by addressing the central problems connected with audience considerations in argumentation, problems that earlier philosophical theories overlook or inadequately accommodate. The main tools employed in exploring the central issues are drawn from contemporary philosophical research on meaning, testimony, emotion, and agency. These are then combined with some of the major insights of recent rhetorical work in argumentation to advance our understanding of audiences and suggest avenues for further research.

CHRISTOPHER W. TINDALE is Professor of Philosophy and Director of the Centre for Research in Reasoning, Argument and Rhetoric at the University of Windsor, Ontario. His key publications include: *Acts of Arguing* (1999), *Rhetorical Argumentation* (2004), *Fallacies and Argument Appraisal* (2007), and *Reason's Dark Champions* (2010). He is also the co-author, with Leo Groarke, of *Good Reasoning Matters*, now in its 5th edition (2012).





# THE PHILOSOPHY OF ARGUMENT AND AUDIENCE RECEPTION

CHRISTOPHER W. TINDALE

University of Windsor





## CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107101111

© Christopher W. Tindale 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

First published 2015

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Tindale, Christopher W. (Christopher William)
The philosophy of argument and audience reception / Christopher W. Tindale,
University of Windsor.—First [edition].
pages cm
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 978-I-IO7-IOIII-I (hardback)
1. Logic. 2. Audiences. I. Title.
BC50.T56 2015
168—dc23

2014045673

ISBN 978-1-107-10111-1 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



> For my fellow members of the Centre for Research in Reasoning, Argumentation and Rhetoric at the University of Windsor, who succeed in fostering such a rich environment in which to work.





#### Contents

Ack	Icknowledgements		
I	The role of audience in a theory of argumentation		I
	I.I	Approaching argumentation	I
	1.2	Argumentative speeches and journeys	3
	1.3		12
	1.4	Theories of argumentation	14
	1.5	The place of audience	18
2	Argumentation and its issues		20
	2.1	Argumentation as an expression of social nature	20
	2.2	A dynamic view of argument	22
	2.3	Consequences of an audience-centered approach	24
	2.4	The structure of the study	32
3	Aristotle and the natures of audiences		36
	3.1	Introduction: poetic parallels	36
	3.2	Rhetoric as insight	37
	3.3	Aristotle's arguer	44
	3.4	What counts as evidence in the <i>Rhetoric</i> : the power of signs	46
	3.5	Aristotle's rhetorical audiences	50
4	Perelman's audiences: a meeting of minds		58
	4.1	Introduction: what is this audience?	58
	4.2	Three audiences	60
	4.3	The goals of philosophy	63
	4.4	Audience adherence	66
	4.5	Adherence and the epideictic genre	74
	4.6	Perelman and composite audience	75
5	Habermas and the ideal audience		79
	5.1	Introduction: Habermas and the strands of	
		argumentation theory	79
	5.2	The public sphere	80



VIII Contents			
	5.3	Communication and consensus	83
	5.4	Dimensions of the lifeworld	85
	5.5	A theory of argumentation	87
	5.6		92
	5.7	Cultural differences and the universal	95
	5.8	Listeners, hearers, and the universal audience	96
6	Meaning and reasons		99
	6.1	Introduction	99
	6.2	Gricean pragmatics	100
	6.3	Revising Grice	105
	6.4	Gricean meaning	106
	6.5	The role of audience	107
	6.6	Two important criticisms	IIO
	6.7	Relevance theory and its critique of Grice	III
	6.8	Introducing Brandom	116
	6.9	The role of reasons	119
	6.10	Communication	119
	6.11	Objectivity	122
	6.12	Conclusions	125
7	Evidence and reasons: the place of testimony		127
	7.1	Sources of evidence	127
	7.2	Concerns with testimony	128
	7.3		131
	7.4		133
	7.5	Reductionism and non-reductionism	134
	7.6	The epistemology of testimony	137
	7.7	Community knowledge	141
	7.8	Cognitive environments	144
	7.9	Conclusion	146
8		ion and reasons	148
	8.1		148
	8.2	8	149
	8.3	8	155
	8.4		159
	8.5	Č .	160
	8.6	Value and emotions	164
9	Agency and reasons		167
		Introduction: acting for reasons	167
		Personhood	169
	9.3		172
	9.4	,	174
	9.5	Persons and reasons	177
	9.6	Conclusion	179



		Contents	IX
10	Making meaning present		181
	IO.I	Introduction: the shift of perspective	181
	10.2	The presence of an argument	182
	10.3	Philosophical views of presence	183
	10.4	Choice	187
	10.5	Meaning and the environments we share	191
	10.6	Reconfiguring arguments	194
II	Audie	nces and addressees: the experience of reception	197
	II.I	Introduction: a voice of our own	197
	11.2	Recent views on reception	198
	11.3	Received meaning	200
	11.4	Commitment	202
	11.5	Personalization through metaphor, irony, and allusion	205
12	Historical arguments and elective audiences		212
	12.1	Introduction: effectiveness and objectivity	212
	12.2	The historical audience	213
	12.3	The power of the universal audience	216
	12.4	Revisiting the cognitive environment	222
Refe	erences		225
-	ndex		238





### Acknowledgements

This project began to take shape in 2009, but much of it grew out of discussions that occurred much earlier. Naturally, many people have made direct or indirect contributions, and the following expressions of gratitude are in order:

I was fortunate to be Visiting Professor at Macquarie University, Sydney during 2009–2010, where drafts of the first nine chapters were completed. I am grateful to the Department of Philosophy there and especially to Nick Smith and Peter Menzies.

Many conversations on Aristotle's *Rhetoric* with Andreas Welzel have influenced my understanding of that important work, and those discussions also had an influence on the treatment of the emotions in Chapter 8. My view on the nature of *energeia* in the *Rhetoric* has benefited from conversations with David Mirhady and Christian Kock, to both of whom I express my gratitude. I have learned an enormous amount from conversations with Fred Kauffeld, and I particularly recall a long evening in San Francisco where his gentle promptings led me to see important distinctions between audiences and addressees. Phil Rose in the Philosophy Department at Windsor listened to a lot of the frustrations that arose from some of these chapters, and always had something useful to offer. I would also like to thank Harvey Siegel for pointing me in the direction of the "felt reasons" that play a role in Chapter 10.

A number of students at the University of Windsor have had some input into the ideas developed here, and I am grateful to the members of my graduate courses in 2010 and 2012 for the thoughtful discussions that occurred there. I would particularly like to thank Katharina von Radziewsky who took an interest in the project to the point of working with me on a related paper. Justin Morris and Cameron Fioret worked as research assistants at various stages of the project. I would like to thank both of them for their interest and energy.



хII

#### Acknowledgements

Given that this is a work in part on the nature of audiences, it seems appropriate that so many of them have contributed through their responses at different venues over the years: Large portions of Chapter 3 were read to the philosophy colloquium at Macquarie University, Sydney in June, 2010. Parts of Chapter 5 were read at the third conference on Logic, Argumentation and Critical Thinking, in Santiago, January 2013. A short version of Chapter 6 was read at the seventh international conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation, Amsterdam, July 2010. Many of the ideas of Chapter 7 are drawn from a paper read to the Rhetoric in Society conference, Antwerp, January 2011. A version of Chapter 9 was read to a members of the Centre for Research in Reasoning, Argumentation and Rhetoric (CRRAR) at the University of Windsor in the fall of 2010. And versions of Chapter 10 formed my Martin Wesley lecture at the University of Windsor in January, 2012, and were also delivered to audiences at the Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal, in October, 2012 and at the V Congreso Colombiano de Filosofía, Medellín, Colombia in July 2014. In all cases I am grateful for the many thoughtful comments from participants, too numerous to mention by name. I would also like to thank the following for their invitations: Hilde van Belle, Álvaro Corral, Fabrizio Macagno, Antonio Rossini, and Cristián Santibáñez Yáñez.

Some of the ideas here have already appeared in print: parts of the discussions in Sections 4.3 and 4.4 appeared in my paper "Ways of Being Reasonable," published in *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 43.4 (© 2010), and used here by permission of the copyright holder, The Pennsylvania State University Press; parts of Chapter 7 appeared in *Verbal and Visual Rhetoric in a Media* World (2013), Hilde van Belle (ed.), Leiden: University of Leiden Press, and parts of Sections 9.2 and 9.5 appeared in *Pragmatics and Cognition*, 19.3 (2011). I am grateful for permission to include them here.

Gratitude is also due to the team at Cambridge. To my editor, Hilary Gaskin for her willingness to take on the project, and to Gillian Dadd whose assistance has proved invaluable. I would also like to thank David Mackenzie who saw the book through production, and Jon Billam for his very efficient copy-editing. I am also grateful to the two reviewers for the Press, whose helpful suggestions led to improvements in the text and who saved me from several errors.

Finally, I continue to be the beneficiary of membership in one of the strongest groups of theorists in the field, those working at the Centre for Research in Reasoning, Argumentation and Rhetoric at the University of Windsor, to whom the book is dedicated.